# p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> Tumor Suppressor Genes Are Commonly Inactivated in Cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Victoria L. Brown,\* Catherine A. Harwood,\* Tim Crook,† James G. Cronin,\* David P. Kelsell,\* and Charlotte M. Proby\*

\*Centre for Cutaneous Research, St Bartholomew's and the Royal London School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of London, London, UK; †Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research, Imperial College Faculty of Medicine, London, UK

The p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> tumor suppressor genes (TSGs) are encoded within the CDKN2A locus on chromosome 9p21 and function as cell cycle regulatory proteins in the p53 and RB pathways. Inactivation of these genes by genetic and epigenetic changes has been described in some human cancers, but their importance in cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) has not been established. Our detailed examination of 40 cutaneous SCC revealed loss of heterozygosity of 9p21 markers in 32.5% of cases. Mutational analysis confirmed five point mutations in four of 40 SCCs. These mutations changed the amino acid sequence of p16<sup>INK4a</sup> in four tumors and p14<sup>ARF</sup> in three tumors. Promoter methylation of p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> was detected in 13 of 36 (36%) and 16 of 38 (42%) cases, respectively. Absent protein expression was confirmed by immunohistochemistry in 13 of 16 (82%) of the tumors with biallelic inactivating events. Overall, the frequency of 9p21 alterations was 76% and for both p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup>, promoter methylation is the commonest mechanism of gene inactivation. Alterations at this locus were significantly more common in tumors from immunocompetent compared with immunosuppressed individuals. These data confirm the importance of inactivation of p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> TSGs in the pathogenesis of cutaneous SCCs.

Key words: p16<sup>INK4a</sup>/p14<sup>ARF</sup>/tumor supressor genes/CDKN2A/cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma/gene hyper methylation

J Invest Dermatol 122:1284-1292, 2004

Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is the second most common cancer in Caucasians (Johnson et al, 1992). The incidence of the disease is rising rapidly in Europe, North America, and Australia (Holme et al, 2000; Alam and Ratner, 2001; Ramsay et al, 2002). Almost all cases of this carcinoma arise on sun-exposed areas of skin, implicating chronic exposure to ultraviolet radiation as the major etiological factor (Grossman and Leffell, 1997). Organ transplant recipients have a 100-fold increased risk of developing SCC, implying that immunosuppression may significantly alter individual susceptibility to the disease (Lindelof et al, 2000). In these patients cutaneous SCC has a more aggressive clinical course, a greater tendency to metastasize and a higher mortality rate when compared with the general population (Martinez et al, 2003). Cutaneous SCC can also arise from within chronic wounds, scars, burns, or ulcers (Alam and Ratner, 2001).

The molecular events associated with the initiation and progression of cutaneous SCC remain poorly understood. The cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A) locus on human chromosome 9p21 is the second most commonly altered gene locus in human cancer after p53 (Liggett and Sidransky, 1998).

CDKN2A uniquely encodes for two candidate tumor suppressor genes (TSG): p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup>. They share common exons 2 and 3 but have alternatively spliced first exons (exon  $1\alpha$  for p16<sup>INK4a</sup>; exon  $1\beta$  for p14<sup>ARF</sup>). These first exons are under the control of distinct promoters and uniquely create two proteins that have no sequence homology at the amino acid level (Stone et al, 1995). Both genes function as crucial cell cycle regulators. p16<sup>INK4a</sup> binds to CDK4 and CDK6, which prevent pRB phosphorvlation and G1-S phase progression (Serrano et al, 1993). By binding to MDM2, p14ARF prevents both MDM2mediated p53 degradation and MDM2-mediated Rb inactivation, causing arrest of the G1 and G2 phases of the cell cycle (Bates et al, 1998; Stott et al, 1998). Therefore loss of function of either p16<sup>INK4a</sup> or p14<sup>ARF</sup> may lead to unrestrained cell cycling and uncontrolled cell growth, a common alteration in the carcinogenic process.

Inactivation of the two TSG at the CDKN2A locus can occur by a variety of genetic mechanisms including mutations and deletions (Liggett and Sidransky, 1998). Due to the unique arrangement of the exons, a mutation in exon 2 has the potential to alter the amino acid sequence of either or both of the genes. In addition to genetic mechanisms, hypermethylation of the CpG islands of certain gene promoters, including p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup>, is a significant means of transcriptional silencing in a variety of tumor types (Esteller *et al*, 2001b). The importance of this epigenetic mechanism of gene silencing in almost every stage of the

Abbreviations: Bp, base pairs; CDKN2A, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A; HPV, human papillomavirus; LOH, loss of heterozygosity; MSP, methylation specific PCR; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; TSG, tumour suppressor gene

Table I. LOH results

initiation and progression of human cancer has only recently begun to be fully appreciated (Jones and Baylin, 2002).

Of the two genes, p16<sup>INK4a</sup> has been more intensively studied and has been proven conclusively to play an important role in carcinogenesis, particularly head and neck SCC and familial melanoma (Reed et al, 1996; Liggett and Sidransky, 1998). The role of p14ARF inactivation in human cancers is less well established, but is supported by studies of transgenic mice carrying a disrupted exon 1ß which are cancer-prone at an early age (Kamijo et al, 1997). In addition, both p14<sup>ARF</sup> mutations and methylation can have a deleterious functional effect (Zhang and Xiong, 1999; Rizos et al, 2001; Esteller et al, 2001a). In cutaneous SCC there has been relatively little published concerning the inactivation of p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> genes. Knockout mice experiments suggest a possible role for p14<sup>ARF</sup> in the initiation of cutaneous SCC development (Serrano et al, 1996; Kamijo et al, 1997) and for p16<sup>INK4a</sup> in determining the differentiation status of skin squamous tumors (Sharpless et al, 2001). In primary cutaneous SCC, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at the 9p21 locus and CDKN2A mutations have been reported but with disparate results (Quinn et al, 1994a, b; Kubo et al, 1997; Kushida et al, 1999; Soufir et al, 1999; Saridaki et al, 2003). Only one study has addressed the important mechanism of gene promoter hypermethylation in this tumor type, looking at p16<sup>INK4a</sup> alone (Soufir et al, 1999) and no previous work has examined p14<sup>ARF</sup> promoter methylation.

We have performed a comprehensive study of the CDKN2A locus in cutaneous SCC to establish the importance of p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> inactivation in the pathogenesis of this tumor. Using highly specific and sensitive techniques, a variety of possible mechanisms for gene silencing have been examined, including correlation of the results with protein expression.

## Results

**LOH analysis** A total of 13 out of the 40 tumors (32.5%) exhibited allelic loss/LOH at one or more markers for 9p21. Eleven samples showed loss at all informative markers. This data is summarized in Table I and representative examples are given in Fig 1. No samples showed microsatellite instability at this locus.

**Mutational analysis** Five different point mutations were found in four of 40 SCC (Table II and Fig 2). All mutations were in exon 2 and consisted of four single C to T nucleotide changes and one double substitution CC to TT. Since these all occurred in dipyrimidine sites, they are consistent with a UVB fingerprint type of mutation. Corresponding genomic DNA did not show these mutations confirming tumor specificity.

Sample 31T had two different independent point mutations in exon 2. LOH analysis had previously shown loss of one allele in this tumor specimen implying that both mutations must have occurred in the same allele. This was confirmed by subsequent sub-cloning (data not shown).

Sample 49T had a double CC to TT mutation, which would alter the amino acid sequence in two codons of  $p16^{INK4a}$  but only one of  $p14^{ARF}$ .

Case no.	D9S171	D9S1748	D9S974
1	0	0	-
3	_	0	-
5	0	0	0
8	0	_	0
11	0	0	0
14	0	0	0
17	0	0	0
18	•	-	•
19	0	0	0
20	•	•	•
21	-	-	•
24	•	•	-
25	0	_	0
26	0	0	0
27	0	0	-
28	_	0	0
29	-	_	0
31	•	•	-
32	_	•	-
33	_	0	-
34	0	0	0
35	0	•	•
36	•	•	-
37	0	0	×
38	•	•	-
39	0	_	•
40	•	•	•
41	0	0	0
42	0	0	-
44	_	0	0
45	_	0	-
46	0	-	0
47	0	0	×
48	0	0	-
49	0	0	-
50	-	0	0
51	•	•	•
52	0	0	×
53	0	0	-
54	•	•	×
Ν	31	33	22
LOH	9	10	7
% LOH ÷ N	29	30	32

 $\bullet$  , loss of heterozygosity (LOH);  $\,\circ$  , retention of heterozygosity;  $\,\times$  , homozygous/not informative; –, no result/not done.



### Figure 1

**Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) analysis.** Units on the *y*-axis represent intensity of fluorescent signal; on the *x*-axis is allele length in base pairs. The *y*-axis scaling differs between samples because quantities of PCR products vary in intensity. Examples show retention of heterozygosity (LOH index 0.64) for sample 14 at marker D9S171 and complete loss of the upper allele (LOH) for sample 31T compared to matched blood, 31B (arrows) at marker D9S1748. Note stutter bands are evident prior to the allele (highest peak) signal.

Two other samples 45T and 48T (and their corresponding genomic DNA) showed a G to A nucleotide polymorphism that is seen in 4%–6% of the general population (Bertram *et al*, 2002).

**Methylation analysis** Using MSP, evidence of methylation was observed for the p16<sup>INK4a</sup> promoter in 13 of 36 (36%) samples and for the p14<sup>ARF</sup> promoter in 16 of 38 (42%) samples (Fig 3). When initial bisulfite modification of DNA was successful, all samples produced a product with the primers specific for unmethylated DNA. This is due to amplification of the normal stromal tissue present in the initial biopsy specimen. In addition, in those samples with positive methylation, it is possible that the unmethylated band could also represent the partial methylation status of the tumor cells or genetic diversity of the tumor cell population. Four samples were methylated for both the p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> promoters: 32T, 35T, 44T, and 53T.

**Biallelic events** Combining all the results from LOH studies, mutation screening and methylation analysis, biallelic changes (i.e. two of these three possible events) were present in ten of 38 samples for  $p16^{INK4a}$  and six of 39 samples for  $p14^{ARF}$  (Table III).

**Immunohistochemical results** p16<sup>INK4a</sup> expression was detected in 20 of 40 cutaneous SCC, of which 75% were graded + (Fig 4). Neither the amount nor the intensity of staining correlated with tumor differentiation status. In 23 of 36 (64%) cases there was concordance between the presence/absence of protein expression and genetic/epigenetic results. In seven of ten (70%) of tumors with biallelic genetic/epigenetic events, there was no protein expression evident.

 $p14^{ARF}$  expression was seen in 17 of 40 (42.5%) of the samples; 14 of these being classified as +. In 23 of 37 (62%) cases there was concordance between the presence/absence of protein expression and genetic/epigenetic results. In all of the six tumors with biallelic genetic/epigenetic results, there was no protein expression evident.

**Comparison of immune status with mechanistic data** For p16<sup>INK4a</sup>, eight of ten (80%) immunocompetent patients had genetic/epigenetic events compared with 12 of 28 (43%) immunosuppressed individuals (Table IV). Results were similar for p14<sup>ARF</sup> with 9 of 10 (90%) *vs* 16 of 29 (55%) respectively (p = 0.05 for both p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup>, Fisher's exact probability test).

Table V summarizes the data on immune status, p14<sup>ARF</sup> and p16<sup>INK4a</sup> events (mutation, methylation, and immunohistochemical expression) and highlights those tumors in which bilallelic events were identified.

# Discussion

In this study we report the systematic analysis of the CDKN2A locus in a well-characterized series of cutaneous SCC. We demonstrate that alterations at the CDKN2A locus are common in these cancers and we show inactivation of the two TSG at this locus via both genetic and epigenetic mechanisms.

Our results show that LOH at 9p21 is frequent in cutaneous SCC. The frequency of 9p21 allelic loss observed in this study (32.5%) is consistent with the largest previous study by Quinn *et al* (1994b) who found LOH in 31% of samples. But in two smaller series of cancers, Kushida *et al* (1999) and Soufir *et al* (1999) were unable to detect LOH. These three studies all used fewer markers at 9p21 in mostly non-microdissected tumor DNA, with a non-quantitative method of assessing LOH. Saridaki *et al* 

Table II. Mutations found in CDKN2A exon 2 and the consequent changes in amino acid sequence for p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> proteins

Sample	p16 <sup>INK4a</sup> codon change	p16 <sup>INK4a</sup> amino acid change	p14 <sup>ARF</sup> codon change	p14 <sup>ARF</sup> amino acid change		
31T	$ccc \Rightarrow ctc$	Pro81Leu	$acc \Rightarrow act$	Thr142Thr		
31T	$ttc \Rightarrow ttt$	Phe 90 Phe	$cct \Rightarrow tct$	Pro152Ser		
41T	$ccc \Rightarrow ctc$	Pro114Leu	$gcc \Rightarrow gct$	Ala175Ala		
49T	$gcc \Rightarrow gct$	Ala57Ala	$ccg \Rightarrow ttg$	Pro118Leu		
	$cga \Rightarrow tga$	Arg58STOP	$ccg \Rightarrow ttg$	Pro118Leu		
54T	$cga \Rightarrow tga$	Arg80STOP	$ccg \Rightarrow ctg$	Pro141Leu		
All successible shares on a substitutions success for 40T that had a tendem CO. IT mutation						

All nucleotide changes C  $\rightarrow$  T substitutions except for 49T that had a tandem CC  $\rightarrow$  TT mutation.



C. C G C С C GT

wτ

Figure 2

Examples of mutations found in two cutaneous SCCs. Denaturing high-performance liquid chromatography traces (left) and corresponding sequencing results (right) for samples 31 (upper panel) and 54 (lower panel). Sequencing traces show heterozygous  $\mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{T}$  base changes (arrowed) in exon 2 at nucleotide (nt) positions 242 and 270 for 31T; 238 for 54T.

(2003) has recently shown a higher rate of LOH of 52% in a smaller series, which combined Bowen's disease (carcinoma in situ) and SCC. The samples in the present work were all histologically confirmed invasive SCC. Furthermore, microdissected DNA was tested and a quantitative method was used to calculate LOH. As such, our results are likely to be a more accurate estimate of the true prevalence of LOH at this locus in cutaneous SCC.

Using DHPLC, point mutations were detected in only four of 40 samples (10%). The sensitivity of our methodology is validated by detection of the Ala148Thr polymorphism that is found in 4%–6% of the general population (Bertram et al, 2002) and was detected in two of 40 of our samples (both tumor and genomic DNA from samples 45 and 48). All the mutations carried a UV signature (Brash et al, 1991), reflecting the importance of UV in the etiology of these tumors, which all arose on sun-exposed sites. This finding also provides evidence of a role for mutagenesis of the p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> genes in the pathogenesis of UV-induced SCC.



#### Figure 3

G C

Representative examples of 10% acrylamide gels of methylation specific PCR products. The presence of a visible band in "U" lanes indicates unmethylated genes (normal stromal tissue); in "M" lanes indicates methylated genes. (A) p16<sup>INK4a</sup> is methylated in sample 21. (B) <sup>RF</sup> is methylated in samples 3, 8, 27. Positive (Pos) controls were p14<sup>AI</sup> universally methylated or unmethylated DNA for the M and U PCR, respectively. H<sub>2</sub>O served as a negative (Neg) control for both reactions. Controls were included in every run. L = marker ladder.

Table III. Summary of monoallelic and biallelic molecular abnormalities in cutaneous SCC

Molecular abnormalities	No. of SCC with p16 <sup>INK4a</sup> alterations	No. of SCC with p14 <sup>ARF</sup> alterations
None	18	14
LOH alone	4	8
Mutation alone	1	0
Methylation alone	5	11
LOH + mutation	2	1
LOH + methylation	7	3
Mutation + methylation	1	1
LOH + mutation + methylation	0	1

SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; LOH, loss of heterozygosity.

Each of the mutations occurred in exon 2, the exon most commonly mutated in other human cancers. For p16<sup>INK4a</sup>, two of the mutations result in amino acid substitutions (Pro81Leu and Pro114Leu), whereas the other two mutations result in premature termination of the protein (Arg58-STOP and Arg80STOP). Each of these mutations has been previously described in other human cancer types (Pollock et al, 1996; Kubo et al, 1997; Fargnoli et al, 1998; Soufir et al, 1999; Bazan et al, 2002).

The two non-truncating mutations, Pro81Leu and Pro114Leu, which both occur in the key ankyrin repeat domains, result in functional impairment of the p16<sup>INK4a</sup> protein, (Koh et al, 1995; Ranade et al, 1995). Both of the premature termination p16<sup>INK4a</sup> mutations result in expression of truncated proteins lacking CDK binding activity (Parry and Peters, 1996). Three of the p16<sup>INK4a</sup> mutations also affect p14<sup>ARF</sup>. Of these, one (Pro118Leu) has previously been described in a cutaneous SCC (Soufir et al, 1999). The



Figure 4

Immunohistochemistry slides showing predominant nuclear staining for p16<sup>INK4a</sup> antibody in tumor 44 and p14<sup>ARF</sup> antibody in tumor 29. Absence of expression is noted for p16<sup>INK4a</sup> in tumor 54 and p14<sup>ARF</sup> in tumor 31. Both these tumors had biallelic inactivating events detectable.

Table IV.	Comparison of genetic events in immunosuppressed
	versus immunocompetent patients

	р16 <sup>іNК4а</sup> а	Iterations	p14 <sup>ARF</sup> alterations		
	Present	Absent	Present	Absent	
Immunosuppressed	12	16	16	13	
Immunocompetent	8	2	9	1	
	p = 0	.048	p=0	.050	

effect of these mutations on the function of p14<sup>ARF</sup> awaits formal characterization; however, other human cancerassociated point mutations have been shown to functionally impair p14<sup>ARF</sup> by diminishing its ability to activate and stabilize p53 (Zhang and Xiong, 1999; Rizos *et al*, 2001).

As described above, the mutations in CDKN2A target both p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> in three cases, implying selective pressure to abrogate the function of both proteins during tumorigenesis in squamous epithelium. Unusually, 31T in which there was unequivocal evidence of LOH, contained two independent exon 2 missense mutations on the retained allele. One of these affects the amino acid sequence of p16<sup>INK4a</sup>, the other p14<sup>ARF</sup>. The presence of distinct mutations targeting each gene in 31T, 49T, and 54T, strongly argues that loss of function in both proteins is a key event in carcinogenesis in cutaneous SCC.

In this study, we did not examine exon 3 (which contributes coding sequence to only the four most c-terminal amino acids of p16<sup>INK4a</sup>) nor did we analyze homozygous deletions. Homozygous deletions, while rela-

tively common in carcinoma cell lines are rare in primary carcinomas (e.g. primary gastric carcinomas, Lee *et al*, 1997). Similarly, biallelic deletion is very rare in primary carcinomas of prostate and liver (Herman *et al*, 1995; Peng *et al*, 2002).

Our results show that methylation of the normally unmethylated promoter regions of both genes is the most common mechanism of inactivation in cutaneous SCC. p14<sup>ARF</sup> promoter methylation has never previously been examined in cutaneous SCC and was the commonest abnormality found in our series. In addition, this is the first formal demonstration of p16<sup>INK4a</sup> promoter methylation in cutaneous SCC. In a previous study using Southern blotting, it was reported that the p16<sup>INK4a</sup> promoter was not methylated in cutaneous SCC (Soufir et al, 1999). It is well recognized that the sensitivity of this method is substantially lower than MSP for detection of methylation (Herman et al, 1996). Moreover, our study analyzed 40 cases in contrast to the eight SCC analyzed by Soufir and co-workers. These factors may well account for the differences between the two studies. Our results accord well with studies using MSP in other squamous cancers (Reed et al, 1996; Gasco et al, 2002; Huang et al, 2002). Further analysis of our results reveals that p16<sup>INK4a</sup> was hypermethylated in the presence of an unmethylated p14<sup>ARF</sup> in nine of 13 (69%) of the cases; p16<sup>INK4a</sup> was unmethylated with p14<sup>ARF</sup> hypermethylation in 12 of 16 (75%) of tumors and four (10%) cases demonstrated methylation of both gene promoters. Thus, consistent with previous studies of colorectal carcinomas, methylation of the p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> promoters are independent events (Esteller et al, 2000).

			p16 <sup>INK4a</sup> alterations			p14 <sup>ARF</sup> alterations			
Sample no.	IC/IS	LOH <sup>a</sup>	Mutation <sup>b</sup>	Methylation	Expression	Mutation <sup>b</sup>	Methylation	Expression	Biallelic events
1	IS	Ret		nr	+		-	-	
3	IS	Ret		_	+		+	+	
5	IS	Ret		_	_		_	_	
8	IS	Ret		_	_		+	_	
11	IS	Ret		_	+		+	_	
14	IS	Ret		+	_		_	_	
17	IS	Ret		_	+ +		_	+	
18	IS	LOH		_	+		_	_	
19	IS	Ret		nr	_		nr	+	
20	IS	LOH		nr	_		nr	_	
21	IS	LOH		+	+		_	±	с
24	IC	LOH		nr	+		_	+	
25	IS	Ret		-	+		_	+	
26	IS	Ret		_	_		-	_	
27	IS	Ret		_	+		+	_	
28	IS	Ret		_	_		_	+	
29	IS	Ret		_	+ +		+	+ +	
31	IC	LOH	Y	_	_	Y	-	_	c, d
32	IC	LOH		+	_		+	_	c, d
33	IS	Ret		_	_		+	_	
34	IS	Ret		_	+		+	_	
35	IS	LOH		+	_		+	_	c, d
36	IC	LOH		_	-		+	_	d
37	IC	Ret		_	_		+	+	
38	IS	LOH		+	-		-	_	с
39	IS	LOH		+	-		-	+	с
40	IC	LOH		+	+		-	+	с
41	IC	Ret	Y	+	+	Ν	-	_	с
42	IS	Ret		+	+		_	-	
44	IS	Ret		+	+ +		+	+ +	
45	IS	Ret		_	+		_	+ +	
46	IS	Ret		_	+ +		-	+	
47	IS	Ret		_	-		_	_	
48	IC	Ret		_	+		+	+	
49	IC	Ret	Y	-	_	Y	+	-	d
50	IS	Ret		+	+ +		-	+	
51	IC	LOH		+	-		-	+	с
52	IS	Ret		_	±		-	+	
53	IS	Ret		+	+		+	_	
54	IS	LOH	Y	_	_	Y	+	_	c, d

# Table V. Summary table of all results for both p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> genes

IC, immunocompetent; IS, immunosuppressed; LOH, loss of heterozygosity; Ret, retention of heterozygosity; nr, no result. <sup>a</sup>See Table I for details. <sup>b</sup>See Table II for details. <sup>c</sup>Biallelic events for p16<sup>INK4a</sup>. <sup>a</sup>Biallelic events for p14<sup>ARF</sup>.

Epigenetic changes may predate genetic events in the natural history of some carcinomas. For example, p14<sup>ARF</sup> hypermethylation is present in 32% of colorectal adenomas (Esteller *et al*, 2000) and p16<sup>INK4a</sup> hypermethylation occurs in pre-malignant, dysplastic oral squamous lesions (Gasco *et al*, 2002). It would therefore be of interest to test the pre-malignant forms of cutaneous SCC, namely Bowen's disease and actinic keratoses, and chronically UV-exposed skin, for this epigenetic abnormality.

Inactivation of the p16<sup>INK4a</sup> gene was associated with absent protein expression in almost all tumor cells in a study of head and neck SCC (Reed et al, 1996) and non-small cell lung cancer (Sanchez-Cespedes et al, 1999). Absent mRNA was also found to correlate with lack of p16<sup>INK4a</sup> protein expression in a study of mycosis fungoides (Peris et al, 1999). In our study concordance with genetic events was only found in 64% and 62% of samples for p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup>, respectively. The most likely explanation for this is that methylation is not homogeneous in the SCC under study, but, rather, is restricted to a sub-population of tumor cells. This latter suggestion is supported by the immunohistochemical findings, which in most positive cases was patchy and heterogeneous throughout the tumor areas. This resembles the expression pattern seen in p16<sup>INK4a</sup> methylated oral and cervical SCC (Nuovo et al, 1999; Huang et al, 2002).

Tumors with biallelic inactivating events would be expected to lack functional protein. Immunohistochemistry confirmed that this was indeed the case in 13 of 16 of the studied cases (all six of the p14<sup>ARF</sup> cases and seven of ten of the p16<sup>INK4a</sup> cases). In each of the three tumors that retained some p16<sup>INK4a</sup> expression, one of the events was methylation of the p16<sup>INK4a</sup> promoter. The likely explanation for these observations is that methylation-dependent silencing occurred only in specific parts of the tumor, and that residual areas of unmethylated p16<sup>INK4a</sup> were present in each case in which p16<sup>INK4a</sup> was expressed. Use of *in situ* MSP has shown considerable heterogeneity in squamous carcinomas methylation, consistent with this explanation (Nuovo *et al*, 1999). Three tumors, 31T, 32T, and 54T, had biallelic events in both genes and all had no expression of either protein on immunohistochemistry.

For both p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> significantly more tumors from immunocompetent individuals had genetic/epigenetic inactivating events compared with cutaneous SCC from immunosuppressed individuals. These results, however, are in keeping with a previous study which reported less allelic loss at chromosome 9p in skin tumors from immunosuppressed compared with immunocompetent patients (Rehman *et al*, 1997). The explanation for these findings remain elusive, but one could speculate that the significantly higher prevalence of human papillomavirus found in immunosuppressed cutaneous SCC obviates the need for direct genetic/epigenetic TSG alterations, similar to the mechanism proven in anogenital carcinomas (Harwood and Proby, 2002).

In conclusion, this is the largest and most comprehensive study of the CDKN2A locus in cutaneous SCC reported to date. Overall, the total frequency of 9p21 alterations was 76%, with abnormalities of  $p16^{INK4a}$  detected in 53% of cases studied and  $p14^{ARF}$  changes present in 64%.

Promoter methylation in cutaneous SCC has never previously been demonstrated at this locus, but was the predominant mechanism of inactivation for both genes in our series. Biallelic events were common. These findings emphasize the importance of p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> TSG in the pathogenesis of cutaneous SCC.

## Materials and Methods

**Patient characteristics** Forty cutaneous, invasive SCC were obtained from 39 patients, following informed consent. These comprised 30 samples from 29 iatrogenically immunosuppressed patients and 10 samples from 10 immunocompetent patients. Twenty-nine patients were male and 10 female. All specimens were primary lesions and arose on sun-exposed areas of skin; namely face, scalp, upper limbs, and upper trunk.

**Specimen preparation** A small portion of tumor tissue was snap frozen immediately at operation and stored at  $-70^{\circ}$ C. The remainder was formalin-fixed, processed routinely and embedded in paraffin. The diagnosis was confirmed histologically by H&E staining of all samples. Venous blood was obtained from all but one patient.

**DNA extraction** Genomic DNA was extracted from the frozen tissue and venous blood using the Nucleon Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (Tepnel Life Sciences, Manchester, UK) according to the supplied protocol.

Microdissection and DNA isolation For the allelic imbalance studies tumor-enriched DNA was required. This was obtained by microdissection of a majority tumor cell population from up to six 8 µm sections cut from the paraffin blocks from each case. The tumor area on each slide was identified by reference to an adjacent hematoxylin and eosin-stained slide. The tumor tissue was obtained by scraping off unstained, waxed slides, with a singleedged razor blade. The tissue was placed in an eppendorf microfuge tube and dewaxed in 1 mL xylene on a rotating wheel for 1 h. After all the xylene had been removed, 4 µL Proteinase K solution (14 mg per mL; Roche Diagnostics Ltd., East Sussex, UK) and 100 µL 10% Chelex (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) solution were added, and the mixture incubated in a rotating oven at 56°C for 24 h. The tubes were then boiled at 100°C for 8 min to inactivate the Proteinase K. After centrifugation, 5 uL aliquots of the supernatant were used in the subsequent allelotyping PCR. The samples were stored at 4°C until used. From the one patient for whom venous blood was not obtained, normal skin was microdissected and genomic DNA extracted as described above.

Allelotype/LOH analysis Microdissected tumor DNA and matched genomic DNA from each patient was analyzed for allelic loss using three highly polymorphic microsatellite (dinucleotide repeat) markers, D9S171, D9S1748, and D9S974, which span the CDKN2A locus at 9p21. These markers were chosen because of their location with respect to the CDKN2A exons (Fig 5). In addition they all have a high informativity rate (heterozygosity score) and produce a PCR product of a small enough size for successful amplification of DNA from paraffin-embedded samples. All primer oligonucleotide sequences are available through The Genome Database (http://www.gdb.org). For each primer pair, the 5' end of the forward primer was synthesized with a fluorescent label (6FAM or HEX) using amidite-labelling chemistry. Amplification was carried out using a standard PCR protocol (PCR reagents including Taq polymerase supplied by Bioline, London, UK) on a Hybaid Cycler (Hybaid Ltd, Middlesex, UK) using a 60°C annealing temperature for D9S171 and 65°C for D9S1748 and D9S974. The PCR products produced for each sample were pooled in a 1:1 ratio in the presence of Hi-Di formamide (Applied Biosystems Warrington, UK) and a 500-ROX internal size standard (Applied Biosystems). Genotyping was performed on an ABI 3100 automated DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems), which is capillary based and laser activated to detect fluorescent PCR products. The resultant allele profiles for each sample were analyzed using ABI Genescan 3.1 software (Applied Biosystems), which yields quantification of results in terms of trace peak size and height.

**Assessment of allele loss** Different marker traces were distinguishable by size and fluorescent tag/color. Heterozygous individuals were identified i.e. those with two PCR products of different size in normal DNA. The sizes of the two alleles were assigned to the peaks of the greatest height; smaller peaks were interpreted as stutter bands caused by slippage of the polymerase. LOH was assessed for each blood/tumor pair by a quantitative method calculating the LOH index (Okabe *et al*, 2000). The LOH index is defined as the allele ratio in normal DNA divided by the allele ratio in the corresponding tumor DNA. The allele ratio is the peak height of the smaller length allele divided by the peak height of the larger length allele. Allelic loss was defined by an LOH index of less than 0.5 or more than 2.0 i.e. at least a 50% signal change in tumor allele profile compared to normal allele profile.

Mutational analysis by denaturing high-performance liquid chromatography (DHPLC) and direct sequencing Exons  $1\alpha$ ,  $1\beta$ , and 2 of CDKN2A were amplified for each sample using primers that spanned the entire exon and intron-exon boundary. The PCR products for exons  $1\beta$  and 2 were split to provide two overlapping PCR products for ease of subsequent analysis. The primer sequences used were as follows (PCR product sizes in base pairs (bp) in brackets): exon 1α forward: GAAGAAAGAGGA-GGGGCTG; reverse: GCGCTACCTGATTCCAATTC (340 bp); exons 18-1 forward: TCAGGGAAGGCGGGTGCG; reverse: GCCGCGGGATGT-GAACCA (245 bp); Exons 1β-2 forward: GCCGCGAGTGAGGGTTTT; reverse: CACCGGCGGTTATCTCCTC (263 bp); Exon 2A forward: AGCTTCC-TTTCCGTCATGC; reverse: GCAGCACCACCAGCGTG (203 bp); Exon 2B forward: GACCCCGCCACTCTCACC; reverse: GTGCTGGAAAATGAATGC-TCTG (310 bp). PCR amplification was carried out using 50-100 ng of the frozen tissue genomic DNA in a standard PCR protocol (PCR reagents including Taq polymerase supplied by Bioline). Five percent dimethyl sulfoxide (BDH Laboratories, Poole, UK) was added to the reaction mixture for optimal PCR amplification of GC-rich regions. The annealing temperature for exons 1 $\beta$  and 2-2 was 60°C and for exon 1 $\alpha$  and 2A was 55°C. The PCR products obtained were heated to 94°C PCR for 5 min followed by cooling to 40°C at a rate of 0.03°C per s to ensure heteroduplex formation. Mutational analysis was then carried out using DHPLC with the Transgenomic WAVE System (Transgenomic, UK). The optimum temperatures for the analysis of each PCR fragment were calculated using the WAVE-Maker software (Transgenomic). Mutations in samples showing DHPLC traces that varied from the wild-type profile were identified by sequencing an independent PCR product. These PCR products were first purified using a PCR purification kit (QIAquick; Qiagen, Crawley, UK), followed by direct sequencing using ABI Prism Big-



#### Figure 5

Location of microsatellite markers used in allelotyping, relative to CDKN2A exons.

Dye terminator chemistry (Applied Biosystems) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Each sample was sequenced in both forward and reverse directions in independent PCR reactions and the products were analyzed on an ABI 377 automated sequencer. Sequence electropherograms were aligned using Sequence Navigator software (Applied Biosystems).

Methylation analysis For methylation specific PCR (MSP), genomic DNA was bisulfite modified using the reagents supplied in the CpGenome Modification Kit (Intergen Company, Oxford, UK), according to the manufacturer's instructions. To analyze the methylation status of both the p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and the p14<sup>ARF</sup> gene promoter regions, MSP was carried out with separate reaction mixtures using unmethylated (U) and methylated primers (M). Control samples were included in every run: positive controls were bisulfite modified universally methylated or unmethylated DNA (Intergen) in the M and U PCR, respectively. Negative controls substituted distilled water for DNA in the PCR. A "hot-start" PCR was employed using AmpliTaq Gold polymerase (Roche). For p16<sup>INK4a</sup> MSP was carried out using the CpG WIZ Amplification Kit (Intergen). For p14<sup>ARF</sup> MSP, primers were synthesized as previously described (Esteller et al, 2000) and used in a 25 µL reaction mixture composed of: 2.5  $\mu$ L 10  $\times$  GeneAmp PCR Buffer II, 2.0  $\mu$ L MgCl<sub>2</sub> solution 25 mM, 4.0 µL 5 mM dNTP mix, U or M primer 20 pmol, 1 U AmpliTaq Gold polymerase and 5 µL (50 ng) bisulfite modified DNA. The annealing temperature for both the U and M reactions was 60°C. PCR products were resolved on 10% non-denaturing acrylamide gels. The sizes of the PCR fragments for the p16<sup>INK4a</sup> U and M forms are 154 and 145 bp, respectively, and for the p14 ARF U and M forms are 132 and 122 bp, respectively.

Immunohistochemical analysis Serial 4 µm sections of formalinfixed, paraffin-embedded biopsy samples were cut and one stained with H&E to confirm the histological diagnosis. Further sections were stained for both p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> proteins using commercially available primary antibodies: p16<sup>INK4a</sup>/MTS1 Ab-7 (16P07) mouse monoclonal antibody (NeoMarkers Inc., Fremont, California) and p14 ARF (C-18) (sc-8613) goat polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) Sections were dewaxed in xylene and rehydrated through graded alcohol. Endogenous peroxidase activity was inhibited by incubating in 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 10 min. Antigen retrieval was performed by boiling in 10 mM citrate buffer pH 6.0, for 4 min in a microwave. After blocking of non-specific binding by pre-incubation with donor horse/rabbit serum for 30 min, the primary antibody at a concentration of 1:100 was added for overnight incubation at 4°C. For detection, biotinylated horse anti-mouse (for p16<sup>INK4a</sup>) or biotinylated rabbit anti-goat (for p14ARF) sera (Vectastain ABC Kit, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, California) were applied as secondary antibodies for 30 min at room temperature, followed by incubation with the avidin-biotin complex chromogen (Vectastain) for 20 min at room temperature. The reaction was developed using DAB (3,3'-diaminobenzidine) chromogen solution (Biogenex Liquid DAB, Biogenex, California) and counterstained with hematoxylin. Appropriate positive and negative control slides were included in every run.

**Interpretation of immunostaining** Slides were assessed by two independent observers (VB and CP). CP had no prior knowledge of the clinical details or molecular studies. p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and p14<sup>ARF</sup> are both nuclear proteins and only nuclear reactivity was considered positive for protein expression. Internal positive controls included infiltrating inflammatory cells and basal keratinocytes for p16<sup>INK4a</sup> and glandular structures; for p14<sup>ARF</sup>. Staining was graded as negative (–), less than 5% of tumor nuclei positive (+), 25%–50% of tumor cells positive (+ + +).

**Ethical approval** This study was approved by the East London and City Health Authority Research Ethics Committee.

Victoria Brown is a Medical Research Council Clinical Training Fellow. The authors would like to thank Lucy Ghali, Scott Edmunds, David Ballard, and Dirk Brinkmann for technical assistance.

DOI: 10.1111/j.0022-202X.2004.22501.x

Manuscript received July 10, 2003; revised December 3, 2003; accepted for publication December 5, 2003

Address correspondence to: Charlotte M. Proby, Centre for Cutaneous Research 2, Newark Street, Whitechapel, London E1 2AT. Email: c.proby@cancer.org.uk

#### References

- Alam M, Ratner D: Cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med 344:975– 983, 2001
- Bates S, Phillips AC, Clark PA, Stott F, Peters G, Ludwig RL, Vousden KH: p14ARF links the tumour suppressors RB and p53. Nature 395:124–125, 1998
- Bazan V, Zanna I, Migliavacca M, *et al*: Prognostic significance of p16INK4a alterations and 9p21 loss of heterozygosity in locally advanced laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. J Cell Physiol 192:286–293, 2002
- Bertram CG, Gaut RM, Barrett JH, et al: An assessment of the CDKN2A variant Ala148Thr as a nevus/melanoma susceptibility allele. J Invest Dermatol 119:961–965, 2002
- Brash DE, Rudolph JA, Simon JA, et al: A role for sunlight in skin cancer: UVinduced p53 mutations in squamous cell carcinoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88:10124–10128, 1991
- Esteller M, Cordon-Cardo C, Corn PG, et al: p14ARF silencing by promoter hypermethylation mediates abnormal intracellular localization of MDM2. Cancer Res 61:2816–2821, 2001a
- Esteller M, Corn PG, Baylin SB, Herman JG: A gene hypermethylation profile of human cancer. Cancer Res 61:3225–3229, 2001b
- Esteller M, Tortola S, Toyota M, Capella G, Peinado MA, Baylin SB, Herman JG: Hypermethylation-associated inactivation of p14(ARF) is independent of p16(INK4a) methylation and p53 mutational status. Cancer Res 60:129– 133, 2000
- Fargnoli MC, Chimenti S, Keller G, Soyer HP, Dal Pozzo V, Hofler H, Peris K: CDKN2a/p16INK4a mutations and lack of p19ARF involvement in familial melanoma kindreds. J Invest Dermatol 111:1202–1206, 1998
- Gasco M, Bell AK, Heath V, et al: Epigenetic inactivation of 14-3-3 sigma in oral carcinoma: Association with p16(INK4a) silencing and human papillomavirus negativity. Cancer Res 62:2072–2076. 2002
- Grossman D, Leffell DJ: The molecular basis of nonmelanoma skin cancer: New understanding. Arch Dermatol 133:1263–1270, 1997
- Harwood CA, Proby CM: Human papillomaviruses and non-melanoma skin cancer. Curr Opin Infect Dis 15:101–114, 2002
- Herman JG, Graff JR, Myohanen S, Nelkin BD, Baylin SB: Methylation-specific PCR: A novel PCR assay for methylation status of CpG islands. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93:9821–9826, 1996
- Herman JG, Merlo A, Mao L, *et al*: Inactivation of the CDKN2/p16/MTS1 gene is frequently associated with aberrant DNA methylation in all common human cancers. Cancer Res 55:4525–4530, 1995
- Holme SA, Malinovszky K, Roberts DL: Changing trends in non-melanoma skin cancer in South Wales, 1988–98. Br J Dermatol 143:1224–1229, 2000
- Huang MJ, Yeh KT, Shih HC, *et al*: The correlation between CpG methylation and protein expression of P16 in oral squamous cell carcinomas. Int J Mol Med 10:551–554, 2002
- Johnson TM, Rowe DE, Nelson BR, Swanson NA: Squamous cell carcinoma of the skin (excluding lip and oral mucosa). J Am Acad Dermatol 26: 467–484, 1992
- Jones PA, Baylin SB: The fundamental role of epigenetic events in cancer. Nat Rev Genet 3:415–428, 2002
- Kamijo T, Zindy F, Roussel MF, et al: Tumor suppression at the mouse INK4a locus mediated by the alternative reading frame product p19ARF. Cell 91: 649–659, 1997
- Koh J, Enders GH, Dynlacht BD, Harlow E: Tumour-derived p16 alleles encoding proteins defective in cell-cycle inhibition. Nature 375:506–510, 1995
- Kubo Y, Urano Y, Matsumoto K, Ahsan K, Arase S: Mutations of the INK4a locus in squamous cell carcinomas of human skin. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 232:38–41, 1997
- Kushida Y, Miki H, Ohmori M: Loss of heterozygosity in actinic keratosis, squamous cell carcinoma and sun-exposed normal-appearing skin in

Japanese: Difference between Japanese and Caucasians. Cancer Lett 140:169–175, 1999

- Lee YY, Kang SH, Seo JY, *et al*: Alterations of p16INK4A and p15INK4B genes in gastric carcinomas. Cancer 80:1889–1896, 1997
- Liggett WH Jr, Sidransky D: Role of the p16 tumor suppressor gene in cancer. J Clin Oncol 16:1197–1206, 1998
- Lindelof B, Sigurgeirsson B, Gabel H, Stern RS: Incidence of skin cancer in 5356 patients following organ transplantation. Br J Dermatol 143:513–519, 2000
- Martinez JC, Otley CC, Stasko T, Euvrard S, Brown C, Schanbacher CF, Weaver AL: Defining the clinical course of metastatic skin cancer in organ transplant recipients: A multicenter collaborative study. Arch Dermatol 139:301–306, 2003
- Nuovo GJ, Plaia TW, Belinsky SA, Baylin SB, Herman JG: *In situ* detection of the hypermethylation-induced inactivation of the p16 gene as an early event in oncogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:12754–12759, 1999
- Okabe H, Ikai I, Matsuo K, et al: Comprehensive allelotype study of hepatocellular carcinoma: Potential differences in pathways to hepatocellular carcinoma between hepatitis B virus-positive and -negative tumors. Hepatology 31:1073–1079, 2000
- Parry D, Peters G: Temperature-sensitive mutants of p16CDKN2 associated with familial melanoma. Mol Cell Biol 16:3844–3852, 1996
- Peng CY, Chen TC, Hung SP, et al: Genetic alterations of INK4alpha/ARF locus and p53 in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Anticancer Res 22:1265– 1271, 2002
- Peris K, Stanta G, Fargnoli MC, Bonin S, Felli A, Amantea A, Chimenti S: Reduced expression of CDKN2a/P16INK4a in mycosis fungoides. Arch Dermatol Res 291:207–211, 1999
- Pollock PM, Pearson JV, Hayward NK: Compilation of somatic mutations of the CDKN2 gene in human cancers: Non-random distribution of base substitutions. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 15:77–88, 1996
- Quinn AG, Sikkink S, Rees JL: Basal cell carcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas of human skin show distinct patterns of chromosome loss. Cancer Res 54:4756–4759, 1994a
- Quinn AG, Sikkink S, Rees JL: Delineation of two distinct deleted regions on chromosome 9 in human non-melanoma skin cancers. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 11:222–225, 1994b
- Ramsay HM, Fryer AA, Hawley CM, Smith AG, Harden PN: Non-melanoma skin cancer risk in the Queensland renal transplant population. Br J Dermatol 147:950–956, 2002
- Ranade K, Hussussian CJ, Sikorski RS, et al: Mutations associated with familial melanoma impair p16INK4 function. Nat Genet 10:114–116, 1995
- Reed AL, Califano J, Cairns P, et al: High frequency of p16 (CDKN2/MTS-1/ INK4A) inactivation in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Res 56:3630–3633, 1996
- Rehman I, Quinn AG, Takata M, Taylor AEM, Rees JL: Low frequency of allelic loss in skin tumors from immunosupressed individuals. Br J Cancer 76:757–759, 1997
- Rizos H, Darmanian AP, Holland EA, Mann GJ, Kefford RF: Mutations in the INK4a/ARF melanoma susceptibility locus functionally impair p14ARF. J Biol Chem 276:41424–41434, 2001
- Sanchez-Cespedes M, Reed AL, Buta M, et al: Inactivation of the INK4A/ARF locus frequently coexists with TP53 mutations in non-small cell lung cancer. Oncogene 18:5843–5849, 1999
- Saridaki Z, Liloglou T, Zafiropoulos A, Koumantaki E, Zoras O, Spandidos DA: Mutational analysis of CDKN2A genes in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the skin. Br J Dermatol 148:638–648, 2003
- Serrano M, Hannon GJ, Beach D: A new regulatory motif in cell-cycle control causing specific inhibition of cyclin D/CDK4. Nature 366: 704–707, 1993
- Serrano M, Lee H, Chin L, Cordon-Cardo C, Beach D, DePinho RA: Role of the INK4a locus in tumor suppression and cell mortality. Cell 85:27–37, 1996
- Sharpless NE, Bardeesy N, Lee KH, et al: Loss of p16lnk4a with retention of p19Arf predisposes mice to tumorigenesis. Nature 413:86–91, 2001
- Soufir N, Moles JP, Vilmer C, et al: P16 UV mutations in human skin epithelial tumors. Oncogene 18:5477–5481, 1999
- Stone S, Jiang P, Dayananth P, et al: Complex structure and regulation of the P16 (MTS1) locus. Cancer Res 55:2988–2994, 1995
- Stott FJ, Bates S, James MC, *et al*: The alternative product from the human CDKN2A locus, p14(ARF), participates in a regulatory feedback loop with p53 and MDM2. EMBO J 17:5001–5014, 1998
- Zhang Y, Xiong Y: Mutations in human ARF exon 2 disrupt its nucleolar localization and impair its ability to block nuclear export of MDM2 and p53. Mol Cell 3:579–591, 1999