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#### Abstract

We discuss the chromaticity of one family of $K_{4}$-homeomorphs which has girth 7 , and give sufficient and necessary condition for the graphs in the family to be chromatically unique.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider graphs which are simple. For such a graph $G$, let $P(G ; \lambda)$ denote the chromatic polynomial of $G$. Two graphs $G$ and $H$ are chromatically equivalent, denoted by $G \sim H$, if $P(G ; \lambda)=P(H ; \lambda)$. A graph $G$ is chromatically unique if for any graph $H$ such that $H \sim G$, we have $H \cong G$, i.e., $H$ is isomorphic to $G$.

A $K_{4}$-homeomorph is a subdivision of the complete graph $K_{4}$. Such a homeomorph is denoted by $K_{4}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$ if the six edges of $K_{4}$ are replaced by the six paths of length $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta$, respectively, as shown in Fig. 1.

So far, the study of the chromaticity of $K_{4}$-homeomorphs with at least 2 paths of length 1 has been fulfilled (see $[2,4,5,11]$ ). Also the study of the chromaticity of $K_{4}$-homeomorphs which have girth $3,4,5$ or 6 has been fulfilled. When referring to the chromaticity of $K_{4}$-homeomorphs which have girth 7 , we know that only three types of $K_{4}$-homeomorphs which have girth 7 need to be solved, i.e. $K 4(1,2,4, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta), K 4(3,2,2, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$ and $K 4(1,3,3, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$. Because the length of this paper will be too long and some details cannot be left out, we study one type of them, that is the chromaticity of $K_{4}(1,3,3, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$ (as Fig. 2) in this paper. The chromaticity of the other two types will be given in other papers.

## 2. Auxiliary results

In this section we cite some known results used in what follows.
Proposition 1. Let $G$ and $H$ are chromatically equivalent. Then
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Fig. 1. $K_{4}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$.


Fig. 2. $K_{4}(1,3,3, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$.
(1) $|V(G)|=|V(H)|,|E(G)|=|E(H)|$ (see [3]);
(2) $G$ and $H$ have the same girth and same number of cycles with the length equal to their girth (see [10]);
(3) If $G$ is a $K_{4}$-homeomorph, then $H$ is a $K_{4}$-homeomorph as well (see [1]);
(4) If $G$ and $H$ are homeomorphic to $K_{4}$, then both the minimum values of parameters and the number of parameters equal to this minimum value of the graphs $G$ and $H$ coincide (see [9]).

Proposition 2 (Ren [8]). Let $G=K_{4}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$ (see Fig. 1) when exactly three of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta$ are the same. Then $G$ is not chromatically unique if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}(s, s, s-2,1,2, s)$ or $K_{4}(s, s-2, s, 2 s-$ $2,1, s)$ or $K_{4}(t, t, 1,2 t, t+2, t)$ or $K_{4}(t, t, 1,2 t, t-1, t)$ or $K_{4}(t, t+1, t, 2 t+1,1, t)$ or $K_{4}(1, t, 1, t+1,3,1)$ or $K_{4}(1,1, t, 2, t+2,1)$, where $s \geq 3, t \geq 2$.

Proposition 3 (Peng [7]). Let $K_{4}$-homeomorphs $K_{4}(1,3,3, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$ and $K_{4}\left(3,2,2, \delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)$ be chromatically equivalent, then $K_{4}(1,3,3, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}\left(3,2,2, \delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)$.

Proposition 4 (Peng [6]). Let $K_{4}$-homeomorphs $K_{4}(1,3,3, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$ and $K_{4}\left(1,3,3, \delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)$ be chromatically equivalent, then we have

$$
K_{4}(1,3,3, a-1, a, a+3) \sim K_{4}(1,3,3, a+1, a-1, a+2)
$$

## 3. Main results

Lemma. If $G \cong K_{4}(1,3,3, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$ and $H \cong K_{4}\left(1,2,4, \delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)$, then we have
(1) $P(G)=(-1)^{n+1}\left[r /(r-1)^{2}\right]\left[-r^{n+1}+2+2 r+Q(G)\right]$, where $Q(G)=-2 r^{3}-2 r^{4}-r^{\delta}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\eta}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-$ $r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{\delta+6}+r^{\delta+\varepsilon+\eta}$
$r=1-\lambda, n$ is the number of vertices of $G$.
(2) $P(H)=(-1)^{m+1}\left[r /(r-1)^{2}\right]\left[-r^{m+1}+2+2 r+Q(H)\right]$, where $Q(H)=-r^{2}-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-$ $r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}}$
$r=1-\lambda, m$ is the number of vertices of $H$.
(3) If $P(G)=P(H)$, then $Q(G)=Q(H)$.

Proof. (1) Let $r=1-\lambda$. From [9], we have the chromatic polynomial of $K_{4}$-homeomorph $K_{4}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(K_{4}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)\right)= & (-1)^{n+1}\left[r /(r-1)^{2}\right]\left[\left(r^{2}+3 r+2\right)-(r+1)\left(r^{\alpha}+r^{\beta}+r^{\gamma}+r^{\delta}+r^{\varepsilon}+r^{\eta}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(r^{\alpha+\delta}+r^{\beta+\eta}+r^{\gamma+\varepsilon}+r^{\alpha+\beta+\varepsilon}+r^{\beta+\delta+\gamma}+r^{\alpha+\gamma+\eta}+r^{\delta+\varepsilon+\eta}-r^{n+1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(G)= & P\left(K_{4}(1,3,3, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)\right) \\
= & (-1)^{n+1}\left[r /(r-1)^{2}\right]\left[\left(r^{2}+3 r+2\right)-(r+1)\left(r^{1}+r^{3}+r^{3}+r^{\delta}+r^{\varepsilon}+r^{\eta}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(r^{\delta+1}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{\delta+6}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{\delta+\varepsilon+\eta}-r^{n+1}\right)\right] \\
= & (-1)^{n+1}\left[r /(r-1)^{2}\right]\left[-r^{n+1}+2 r+2-2 r^{3}-2 r^{4}-r^{\delta}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\eta}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}\right. \\
& \left.+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{\delta+6}+r^{\delta+\varepsilon+\eta}\right] \\
= & (-1)^{n+1}\left[r /(r-1)^{2}\right]\left[-r^{n+1}+2 r+2+Q(G)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
Q(G)=-2 r^{3}-2 r^{4}-r^{\delta}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\eta}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{\delta+6}+r^{\delta+\varepsilon+\eta} .
$$

(2) We can handle this case in the same fashion as case (1), and get the result (2).
(3) If $P(G)=P(H)$, then it is easy to see that $Q(G)=Q(H)$.

Theorem. $K_{4}$-homeomorphs $K_{4}(1,3,3, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$ (see Fig. 2) which has exactly 1 path of length 1 and has girth 7 is not chromatically unique if and only if it is $K_{4}(1,3,3, a-1, a, a+3), K_{4}(1,3,3, a+1, a-1, a+2), K_{4}(1,3,3,2, b, b+2)$, $K_{4}(1,3,3,2,4,7), K_{4}(1,3,3,2,5,8), K_{4}(1,3,3,5,2,5)$, or $K_{4}(1,3,3,5,2,6)$, where $a>2, b \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $G \cong K_{4}(1,3,3, \delta, \varepsilon, \eta)$ and $\min \{\delta, \varepsilon, \eta\} \geq 2$. If there is a graph $H$ such that $P(H)=P(G)$, then from Proposition 1, we know that $H$ is a $K_{4}$-homeomorph $K_{4}\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)$ which has exactly 1 path of length 1, and the girth of $H$ is 7 . So $H$ must be one of the following four types:
Type 1:

$$
K_{4}\left(1,2, \gamma^{\prime}, 2, \varepsilon^{\prime}, 2\right)\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} \geq 4, \gamma^{\prime} \geq 4\right)
$$

Type 2:

$$
K_{4}\left(3,2,2, \delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\left(\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime} \geq 5, \varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime} \geq 4, \delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime} \geq 5\right)
$$

Type 3:

$$
K_{4}\left(1,3,3, \delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\left(\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime} \geq 4, \varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime} \geq 6, \delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime} \geq 4\right)
$$

Type 4:

$$
K_{4}\left(1,2,4, \delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\left(\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime} \geq 5, \varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime} \geq 6, \delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime} \geq 3\right) .
$$

We now solve the equation $P(G)=P(H)$ to get all solutions.
If $H$ has Type 1, then from Proposition 2, we know that $H$ is chromatically unique. Since $G \sim H$, we have $G \cong H$. But it is obvious that $G$ is not isomorphic to $H$. This is a contradiction.

If $H$ has Type 2, then from Proposition 3, we know that $G$ is isomorphic to $H$.
If $H$ has Type 3, then from Proposition 4, we know that the solutions of the equation $P(G)=P(H)$ are

$$
K_{4}(1,3,3, a-1, a, a+3) \sim K_{4}(1,3,3, a+1, a-1, a+2)
$$

where $a>2$.
Suppose that $H$ has Type 4, we solve the equation $Q(G)=Q(H)$. From the Lemma, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(G)= & -2 r^{3}-2 r^{4}-r^{\delta}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\eta}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{\delta+6}+r^{\delta+\varepsilon+\eta} \\
Q(H)= & -r^{2}-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5} \\
& +r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote the lowest remaining power by 1.r.p. and the highest remaining power by h.r.p.. We can assume $\min \left\{\delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right\} \geq 2, \varepsilon \leq \eta$, and we know that $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ (from Proposition 1). So we obtain the following after simplification:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\delta}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\eta}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{\delta+6} \\
& Q(H):-r^{2}-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the l.r.p. in $Q(G)$ with the 1.r.p. in $Q(H)$, we have $\min \{\delta, \varepsilon\}=2$. There are two cases to be considered. Case A. If $\min \{\delta, \varepsilon\}=\delta=2$, then we obtain the following after simplification:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\eta}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By considering the h.r.p. in $Q(G)$, we have the h.r.p. in $Q(G)$ is 8 or $\eta+4$. There are two cases to be considered.
Case 1. If the h.r.p. in $Q(G)$ is 8 , from $Q(G)=Q(H)$, we have $\delta^{\prime}+6 \leq 8$. Since $\delta^{\prime} \geq 2$, we get $\delta^{\prime}=2$. Comparing the l.r.p. in $Q(G)$ with the l.r.p. in $Q(H)$, we have $\delta^{\prime}=\varepsilon=2$. From $\delta=2, \delta^{\prime}=2$ and $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta+2=\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the following after simplification:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-2 r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\eta}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{5}+r^{6}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\eta+4} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $-2 r^{3}$ and $-r^{4}$ in $Q(G)$. Since $Q(G)=Q(H)$, there are two terms in $Q(H)$ which are equal to $-r^{3}$ and there is one term in $Q(H)$ which is equal to $-r^{4}$. So we have $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\eta^{\prime}=3$ or $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\eta^{\prime}+1=3$ or $\varepsilon^{\prime}+1=\eta^{\prime}=3$.

If $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\eta^{\prime}=3$, from (1), we get $\eta=4$. Thus, $G \cong H$.
If $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\eta^{\prime}+1=3$, from (1), we get $\eta=3$, then $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, a contradiction.
If $\varepsilon^{\prime}+1=\eta^{\prime}=3$, from (1), we get $\eta=3$, then $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, a contradiction.
Case 2. If the h.r.p. in $Q(G)$ is $\eta+4$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta+4>8  \tag{2}\\
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\eta}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}
\end{align*}
$$

By considering the h.r.p. in $Q(H)$, we have the h.r.p. in $Q(H)$ is $\varepsilon^{\prime}+4$ or $\eta^{\prime}+5$ or $\delta^{\prime}+6$. There are three cases to be considered.

Case 2.1. If the h.r.p. in $Q(H)$ is $\varepsilon^{\prime}+4$, since the h.r.p. in $Q(G)$ is $\eta+4$, from $Q(G)=Q(H)$, we have $\eta+4=\varepsilon^{\prime}+4$. From $\delta=2$ and $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon+2=\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the following after simplification:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\varepsilon+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $-r^{3}$ and $-r^{4}$ in $Q(G)$. It is due to $Q(G)=Q(H)$ that there are terms in $Q(H)$ which are equal to $-r^{3}$ and $-r^{4}$ respectively. So we have $\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}=7$ (one of $\delta^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}$ is equal to 3 ), or $\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}+1=7$ (one of $\delta^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}+1$ is equal to $3)$, or $\eta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}+1=7$.

By $\varepsilon+2=\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ Eq. (3), It is easy to handle these cases in the same fashion as case 1, and we obtain $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, a contradiction.

Case 2.2. If the h.r.p. in $Q(H)$ is $\eta^{\prime}+5$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\eta}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}
\end{aligned}
$$

since the h.r.p. in $Q(G)$ is $\eta+4$, from $Q(G)=Q(H)$, we have $\eta+4=\eta^{\prime}+5$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\eta^{\prime}+1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the case of $\eta+4=\varepsilon^{\prime}+4$ has been discussed in Case 2.1, we can suppose $\eta^{\prime}+5 \neq \varepsilon^{\prime}+4$. Since the h.r.p. in $Q(H)$ is $\eta^{\prime}+5$, we have $\eta^{\prime}+5>\varepsilon^{\prime}+4$ and $\eta^{\prime}+5 \geq \delta^{\prime}+6$, so

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta^{\prime}+1>\varepsilon^{\prime}  \tag{5}\\
& \eta^{\prime} \geq \delta^{\prime}+1 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

after simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}$ in $Q(H)$. It is due to $\eta^{\prime}+1>\varepsilon^{\prime}\left(\right.$ from (5)) and $\eta^{\prime} \geq \delta^{\prime}+1$ (from (6)) and $\eta+4>8$ (from (2)) that $r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}$ cannot be cancelled by the negative terms in $Q(H)$, so none of the negative terms in $Q(H)$ is equal to the term $-r^{\eta+1}$ in $Q(G)$ (by noting $\eta+1=\eta^{\prime}+2$ (from (4)). So $-r^{\eta+1}$ must be cancelled by the positive term in $Q(G)$. Therefore, $\eta+1$ must be equal to one of $\varepsilon+3, \varepsilon+4,8$ and $\eta^{\prime}+2$ must be equal to one of $\varepsilon+3, \varepsilon+4$, 8 . So $\eta+1=\eta^{\prime}+2=\varepsilon+3=8$ or $\eta+1=\eta^{\prime}+2=\varepsilon+4=8$.

If $\eta+1=\eta^{\prime}+2=\varepsilon+3=8$, by $\delta=2$ and $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$. It is easy to get $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, a contradiction.

If $\eta+1=\eta^{\prime}+2=\varepsilon+4=8$, since $\delta=2$ and $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$, we have $\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}=7$. After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{4}+r^{7}+r^{10} \\
& Q(H):-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{6}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the 1.r.p. in $Q(G)$ with the 1.r.p. in $Q(H)$, by $\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}=7$, we have $\varepsilon^{\prime}=3$ and $\delta^{\prime}=4$. Thus we obtain the solution where $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,3,3,2,4,7)$ and $H$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,2,4,4,3,6)$. That is

$$
K_{4}(1,3,3,2,4,7) \sim K_{4}(1,2,4,4,3,6)
$$

Case 2.3. If the h.r.p. in $Q(H)$ is $\delta^{\prime}+6$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\eta}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}
\end{aligned}
$$

since the h.r.p. in $Q(G)$ is $\eta+4$, from $Q(G)=Q(H)$, we have $\eta+4=\delta^{\prime}+6$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\delta^{\prime}+2 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the case of $\eta+4=\varepsilon^{\prime}+4$ and the case of $\eta+4=\eta^{\prime}+5$ have been discussed in Case 2.1 and in Case 2.2 respectively, we can suppose $\delta^{\prime}+6 \neq \varepsilon^{\prime}+4$ and $\delta^{\prime}+6 \neq \eta^{\prime}+5$. Since the h.r.p. in $Q(H)$ is $\delta^{\prime}+6$, we have $\delta^{\prime}+6>\varepsilon^{\prime}+4$ and $\delta^{\prime}+6>\eta^{\prime}+5$, so

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta^{\prime}+2>\varepsilon^{\prime}  \tag{8}\\
& \delta^{\prime}+1>\eta^{\prime} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

after simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\eta}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $r^{\eta+3}$ in $Q(G)$, it is due to $\varepsilon \leq \eta$ that $r^{\eta+3}$ can cancel none of the negative terms in $Q(G)$. Thus, $r^{\eta+3}$ must be equal to one of the terms in $Q(H)$. Since $\eta>\eta^{\prime}$ and $\eta>\varepsilon^{\prime}$ (noting Eqs. (7)-(9)), we have $r^{\eta+3}=r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}$ or $r^{\eta+3}=r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}$.

Case 2.3.1. If $r^{\eta+3}=r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}$, then $\eta=\varepsilon^{\prime}+1$. Since $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ and $\delta=2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon+3=\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

after simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $-r^{3}$ in $Q(G)$. Since $-r^{3}$ can cancel none of the positive terms in $Q(G),-r^{3}$ must be equal to one of the terms in $Q(H)$. By $\eta>4$ (from (2)) and $\eta=\varepsilon^{\prime}+1$, we have $\varepsilon^{\prime}>3$. So $-r^{3}=-r^{\delta^{\prime}}$ or $-r^{3}=-r^{\eta^{\prime}}$ or $-r^{3}=-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}$.

If $-r^{3}=-r^{\delta^{\prime}}$, then $\delta^{\prime}=3$. From (10), we have $\varepsilon=\eta^{\prime}$. From (7), we have $\eta=5$. So from $\eta=\varepsilon^{\prime}+1$, we have $\varepsilon^{\prime}=4$. After simplifying, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{6}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{7}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $\varepsilon=3$ and $\eta^{\prime}=3$. Thus we obtain the solution where $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,3,3,2,3,5)$ and $H$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,2,4,3,4,3)$. That is

$$
K_{4}(1,3,3,2,3,5) \sim K_{4}(1,2,4,3,4,3)
$$

If $-r^{3}=-r^{\eta^{\prime}}$, then $\eta^{\prime}=3$. From (10), we have $\varepsilon=\delta^{\prime}$. From (7), we have $\eta=\varepsilon+2$. So from $\eta=\varepsilon^{\prime}+1$, we have $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\varepsilon+1$. After simplifying, we have $Q(G)=Q(H)$. Let $\varepsilon=b$. We obtain the solution where $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,3,3,2, b, b+2)$ and $H$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,2,4, b, b+1,3)$. That is

$$
K_{4}(1,3,3,2, b, b+2) \sim K_{4}(1,2,4, b, b+1,3)
$$

If $-r^{3}=-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}$, then $\eta^{\prime}=2$. From (10), we have $\delta^{\prime}=\varepsilon+1$. From (7), we have $\eta=\varepsilon+3$. So from $\eta=\varepsilon^{\prime}+1$, we have $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\varepsilon+2$. After simplifying, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{4}-r^{\varepsilon}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{2}-r^{5}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}+r^{4}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to say that $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, this a contradiction.
Case 2.3.2. If $r^{\eta+3}=r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}$, then $\eta=\eta^{\prime}+2$. Since $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ and $\delta=2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon+4=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

after simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\eta}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{\varepsilon+4}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $-r^{3}$ in $Q(G)$. Since $-r^{3}$ can cancel none of the terms in $Q(G),-r^{3}$ must be equal to one of the terms in $Q(H)$. Since $\eta>4$ (from (2)) and $\eta=\eta^{\prime}+2$, we have $\eta^{\prime}>2$. So we have $-r^{3}=-r^{\delta^{\prime}}=-r^{\eta^{\prime}}$ (by $\eta=\eta^{\prime}+2$ and $\eta=\delta^{\prime}+2\left(\right.$ from (7)) or $-r^{3}=-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ or $-r^{3}=-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}$.

If $-r^{3}=-r^{\delta^{\prime}}=-r^{\eta^{\prime}}$, then $\delta^{\prime}=\eta^{\prime}=3$. From (11), we have $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\varepsilon+1$. From (7), we have $\eta=5$. After simplifying, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{6}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{3}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}+r^{5}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $\varepsilon=3$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}=4$. Thus we obtain the solution where $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,3,3,2,3,5)$ and $H$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,2,4,3,4,3)$. That is

$$
K_{4}(1,3,3,2,3,5) \sim K_{4}(1,2,4,3,4,3)
$$

If $-r^{3}=-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$, then $\varepsilon^{\prime}=3$. From (11), we have $\delta^{\prime}=\varepsilon+1$. From (7), we have $\eta=\varepsilon+3$. So from $\eta=\eta^{\prime}+2$, we have $\eta^{\prime}=\varepsilon+1$. After simplifying, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{\varepsilon}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{6}+r^{7} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $\varepsilon=5$ and $\eta^{\prime}=6$. Thus we obtain the solution where $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,3,3,2,5,8)$ and H is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,2,4,6,3,6)$. That is

$$
K_{4}(1,3,3,2,5,8) \sim K_{4}(1,2,4,6,3,6)
$$

If $-r^{3}=-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}$, then $\varepsilon^{\prime}=2$. From (11), we have $\delta^{\prime}=\varepsilon+2$. From (7), we have $\eta=\varepsilon+4$. So from $\eta=\eta^{\prime}+2$, we have $\eta^{\prime}=\varepsilon+2$. After simplifying, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{4}-r^{\varepsilon}-r^{\varepsilon+1}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\varepsilon+3}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{2}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the 1.r.p. in $Q(G)$ with the 1.r.p. in $Q(H)$, we have $\varepsilon=2$. So $\delta^{\prime}=4, \eta=6, \eta^{\prime}=4$. It is easy to say that $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, this a contradiction.
Case B. If $\min \{\delta, \varepsilon\}=\varepsilon=2$, then we obtain the following after simplification:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-2 r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\delta}-r^{\eta}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{5}+r^{6}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{\delta+6} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $-r^{5}$ in $Q(H)$. There are two cases to be considered.
Case 1. If the term $-r^{5}$ in $Q(H)$ cannot be cancelled by the positive term in $Q(H)$ (which implies $\eta^{\prime}+2 \neq 5$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}+3 \neq 5$ ), then none of the terms in $Q(H)$ is equal to the term $r^{5}$ in $Q(G)$. So, by $Q(G)=Q(H)$, there are two terms in $Q(G)$ which are equal to $-r^{5}$. Thus we have $-r^{\delta}=-r^{\eta}=-r^{5}$ or $-r^{\delta}=-r^{\eta+1}=-r^{5}$.

Case 1.1. If $-r^{\delta}=-r^{\eta}=-r^{5}$, from $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon=2$, we have $\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}=12$. Then we obtain the following after simplification:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-2 r^{3}-r^{4}+r^{8}+r^{9}+r^{11} \\
& Q(H):-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the l.r.p. in $Q(G)$ with the 1.r.p. in $Q(H)$, and by $\eta^{\prime}+2 \neq 5$, we have $\delta^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{\prime}=3$. From $\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}=12$, we get $\eta^{\prime}=6$. Thus we obtain the solution where $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,3,3,5,2,5)$ and H is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,2,4,3,3,6)$. That is

$$
K_{4}(1,3,3,5,2,5) \sim K_{4}(1,2,4,3,3,6)
$$

Case 1.2. If $-r^{\delta}=-r^{\eta+1}=-r^{5}$, from $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon=2$, we have $\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}=11$. Then we obtain the following after simplification:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-2 r^{3}-2 r^{4}+r^{6}+r^{7}+r^{8}+r^{11} \\
& Q(H):-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the 1.r.p. in $Q(G)$ with the 1.r.p. in $Q(H)$, and by $\eta^{\prime}+2 \neq 5$, we have $\delta^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{\prime}=3$. From $\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}=11$, we get $\eta^{\prime}=5$. Thus $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, a contradiction.

Case 2. If the term $-r^{5}$ in $Q(H)$ can be cancelled by the positive term in $Q(H)$, then $r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}$ or $r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}$ can cancel $-r^{5}$ which implies $\varepsilon^{\prime}=2$ or $\eta^{\prime}=3$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-2 r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\delta}-r^{\eta}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{5}+r^{6}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{\delta+6} \\
& Q(H):-r^{5}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2.1. If $\varepsilon^{\prime}=2$, then we obtain the following after simplification:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\delta}-r^{\eta}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{5}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{\delta+6} \\
& Q(H):-r^{2}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the 1.r.p. in $Q(G)$ with the 1.r.p. in $Q(H)$, we have $\delta=2$ or $\eta=2$.
Case 2.1.1. If $\delta=2$, from $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon=2$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}=2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta+2=\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\eta}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{5}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{8} \\
& Q(H):-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $-r^{3}$ and $-r^{4}$ in $Q(G)$. It is due to $Q(G)=Q(H)$ that one of $-r^{\delta^{\prime}},-r^{\eta^{\prime}},-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}$ in $Q(H)$ is equal to $-r^{3}$ or $-r^{4}$. So we have $\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}=7$ or $\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}+1=7$ or $\eta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}+1=7$.

If $\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}=7$, by $\eta+2=\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ (Eq. (12)), we have $\eta=5$, then $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, a contradiction.
If $\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}+1=7$, by $\eta+2=\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ (Eq. (12)), we have $\eta=4$, then $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, a contradiction.
If $\eta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}+1=7$, by $\eta+2=\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ (Eq. (12)), we have $\eta=\delta^{\prime}+1$, then $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, a contradiction.
Case 2.1.2. If $\eta=2$, from $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon=2$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}=2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta+2=\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-2 r^{3}-r^{4}-r^{\delta}+2 r^{5}+r^{6}+r^{\delta+6} \\
& Q(H):-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}}-r^{\eta^{\prime}+1}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+2}+r^{\eta^{\prime}+5}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $-2 r^{3}$ and $-r^{4}$ in $Q(G)$. Since $Q(G)=Q(H)$, there are two terms in $Q(H)$ which are equal to $-r^{3}$ and there is one term in $Q(H)$ which is equal to $-r^{4}$. So we have $\delta^{\prime}=\eta^{\prime}=3$. From $\delta+2=\delta^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ Eq. (13), we have $\delta=4$, then $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, a contradiction.

Case 2.2. If $\eta^{\prime}=3$, then we obtain the following after simplification:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{\delta}-r^{\eta}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{5}+r^{6}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{\delta+6} \\
& Q(H):-r^{\delta^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}+r^{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $-r^{3}$ in $Q(G)$. It is due to $Q(G)=Q(H)$ that $-r^{3}=-r^{\delta^{\prime}}$ or $-r^{3}=-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$ or $-r^{3}=-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}$. So we have $\delta^{\prime}=3$ or $\varepsilon^{\prime}=3$ or $\varepsilon^{\prime}+1=3$.

Case 2.2.1. If $\delta^{\prime}=3$, from $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon=2$ and $\eta^{\prime}=3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta+\eta=\varepsilon^{\prime}+4 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{\delta}-r^{\eta}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{5}+r^{6}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{\delta+6} \\
& Q(H):-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{8}+r^{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}$ in $Q(H)$. It is due to $Q(G)=Q(H)$ that $r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}=r^{\eta+3}$ or $r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}=r^{\eta+4}$ or $r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}=r^{\delta+6}$ or $r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}=r^{6}$.
If $r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}=r^{\eta+3}$, then $\eta=\varepsilon^{\prime}+1$, from $\delta+\eta=\varepsilon^{\prime}+4$ (Eq. (14)), we have $\delta=3$. After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{3}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{5}+r^{6}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{9} \\
& Q(H):-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}+r^{8}+r^{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the 1.r.p. in $Q(G)$ with the l.r.p. in $Q(H)$, we have $\varepsilon^{\prime}=3$. So $\eta=4$. Thus, $G \cong H$.
If $r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}=r^{\eta+4}$, then $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\eta$, from $\delta+\eta=\varepsilon^{\prime}+4$ (Eq. (14)), we have $\delta=4$. After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, this is a contradiction.

If $r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}=r^{\delta+6}$, from $\delta+\eta=\varepsilon^{\prime}+4$ (Eq. (14)), we have $\eta=6$. After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{\delta}-r^{7}+r^{5}+r^{10} \\
& Q(H):-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}+r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+3}+r^{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the term $-r^{7}$ in $Q(G)$. We have $-r^{7}=-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+1}$ or $-r^{7}=-r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}$. If $\varepsilon^{\prime}=6$, then $\delta=4$. Thus $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, this is a contradiction. If $\varepsilon^{\prime}=7$, then $\delta=5$. Thus we obtain the solution where G is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,3,3,5,2,6)$ and H is isomorphic to $K_{4}(1,2,4,3,7,3)$. That is

$$
K_{4}(1,3,3,5,2,6) \sim K_{4}(1,2,4,3,7,3)
$$

If $r^{\varepsilon^{\prime}+4}=r^{6}$, then $\varepsilon^{\prime}=2$, from $\delta+\eta=\varepsilon^{\prime}+4$ (Eq. (14)), we have $\delta+\eta=6$. After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, this is a contradiction.

Case 2.2.2. If $\varepsilon^{\prime}=3$, from $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon=2$ and $\eta^{\prime}=3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+4 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{\delta}-r^{\eta}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{5}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{\delta+6} \\
& Q(H):-r^{4}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}+r^{7}+r^{8}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the term $-r^{4}$ in $Q(H)$. We have $-r^{4}=-r^{\delta}$ or $-r^{4}=-r^{\eta}$ or $-r^{4}=-r^{\eta+1}$.
If $-r^{4}=-r^{\delta}$, then $\delta=4$, from $\delta+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+4$ (Eq. (15)), we have $\eta=\delta^{\prime}$. After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have $\eta=4$ and $\delta^{\prime}=4$. Thus $G \cong H$.

If $-r^{4}=-r^{\eta}$, then $\eta=4$, from $\delta+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+4$, we have $\delta=\delta^{\prime}$. Thus $G \cong H$.
If $-r^{4}=-r^{\eta+1}$, then $\eta=3$, from $\delta+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+4$, we have $\delta=\delta^{\prime}+1$. After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, this is a contradiction.

Case 2.2.3. If $\varepsilon^{\prime}=2$, from $\delta+\varepsilon+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+\varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon=2$ and $\eta^{\prime}=3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+3 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(G):-r^{\delta}-r^{\eta}-r^{\eta+1}+r^{\eta+3}+r^{\eta+4}+r^{\delta+6} \\
& Q(H):-r^{2}-r^{\delta^{\prime}}+r^{8}+r^{\delta^{\prime}+6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the term $-r^{2}$ in $Q(H)$. We have $-r^{2}=-r^{\delta}$ or $-r^{2}=-r^{\eta}$.
If $-r^{2}=-r^{\delta}$, then $\delta=2$, from $\delta+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+3$ (Eq. (16)), we have $\eta=\delta^{\prime}+1$. After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, this is a contradiction.

If $-r^{2}=-r^{\eta}$, then $\eta=2$, from $\delta+\eta=\delta^{\prime}+3$ (Eq. (16)), we have $\delta=\delta^{\prime}+1$. After simplifying $Q(G)$ and $Q(H)$, we have $Q(G) \neq Q(H)$, this is a contradiction.

So far, we have solved the equation $P(G)=P(H)$ and got the solution as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{4}(1,3,3,2,3,5) \sim K_{4}(1,2,4,3,4,3) \\
& K_{4}(1,3,3,2,4,7) \sim K_{4}(1,2,4,4,3,6) \\
& K_{4}(1,3,3,2,5,8) \sim K_{4}(1,2,4,6,3,6) \\
& K_{4}(1,3,3,5,2,5) \sim K_{4}(1,2,4,3,3,6) \\
& K_{4}(1,3,3,5,2,6) \sim K_{4}(1,2,4,3,7,3) \\
& K_{4}(1,3,3,2, b, b+2) \sim K_{4}(1,2,4, b, b+1,3)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b \geq 2$.
The proof is completed.
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