Protection effect of Xuanfudaizhetang on reflux esophagitis in rats
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Objective: To study protection effect of Xuanfudaizhetang on reflux esophagitis in rats.  
Methods: A total of 50 Wistar rats were randomly divided into groups A, B, C, D and E with 10 in each. Reflux esophagitis model in rats was established by incomplete helicobacter seam-lower esophagus sphincterotomy. All rats were divided into 5 groups: group A as control group, group B as model group, group C with saline lavage treatment, group D with motilium treatment, group E with Xuanfudaizhetang lavage treatment. Recovery of esophageal, gastric mucosa and pH changes of rats were compared between groups.  
Results: Weight gain in group D and E was significantly higher than group C; the esophageal mucosa grades and esophagus tissue pathological morphology grades of group D and E were higher than that of group B and C with significant difference between groups ($P<0.05$); pH of lower esophageal mucosa in group D and E increased significantly than that in the group B and C ($P<0.05$), and the distal mucosal pH dropped significantly in the group B and C ($P<0.05$).  
Conclusions: Xuanfudaizhetang can obviously improve the pH of lower esophageal mucosa in rats with reflux esophagitis, decrease pH value of gastric mucosal, thus improve esophageal mucosa pathological conditions to achieve therapeutic effect on reflux esophagitis.

1. Introduction

Reflux esophagitis is a clinical common disease, with the rising trend of incidence year by year, along with the life and diet structure change³¹–⁵. The reason for this chronic intractable disease is that lower esophageal sphincter dysfunction results in reflux of gastric or duodenal contents into the esophagus, causing inflammatory lesions of esophageal mucosa, may induce serious complications such as esophageal stenosis and bleeding⁶⁷. Experiments showed that, damage and symptoms of esophageal mucosa are closely related to the frequency and contact time of acid exposure, with pH dependence³⁹. The author aimed to observe the protective effect of Xuanfudaizhetang on reflux esophagitis, esophageal mucosal and the effect on pH value, by selecting Wistar rats to establish reflux esophagitis model with Xuanfudaizhetang treatment. Then the curative effect on esophageal and gastric mucosa and pH value change were observed and reported as follows.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental animal

A total of 50 male, clean Wistar rats were selected, and they were provided by the Laboratory Animal Center, ClassⅠ, weighting (251.3±42.5) g. They were free to forage and drinking. Experimental process of rats was strictly in accordance with "Regulations on Administration of Experimental Animals".
2.2. Instruments and reagents

DigitrapperTMMk pH Value Recorder (Synectics); Ray magnetic PHS-3 precision pH meter (Shanghai precision scientific instrument co., LTD). motilium (yeung sum pharmaceutical co., LTD., production); Saline solution (pH = 7.01); Chanjing blue mixed suspension (tianjin toyo ink co.; Xuanfudaizhetang formula: inula spend 9 g, ginger 10 g, 12 g, jujube, ginseng 6 g, red ochre 30 g, pinellia 9 g, licorice root 6 g, pan-fried for crude drug concentration of 1 g/mL water decoction.

2.3. Model establishment

Reflux esophagitis model in rats was established by incomplete helicobacter seam+lower esophagus sphincterotomy after starvation for 24 h[9]. After intraperitoneal injection of urethane anesthesia and abdominal midline incision, the stomach was pierced with metal needle through the gastric body, from the pylorus to the duodenum. Pylorus seam was kept, then metal stitches was taken out. Stomach puncture point was stitched. It was incised longitudinally in 0.5 cm under the esophageal sphincter to the mucosa for strengthening the gastric reflux. All experimental animals were provided with food 24 h after surgery.

2.4. Methods

A total of 50 Wistar rats were randomly divided into A, B, C, D, E groups with 10 in each. After modelling, the rats in sham-operated Group A were only given laparotomy; Group B is the model group without special treatment; Group C was treated with saline lavage; Group D was treated with motilium (2 mL/d) for a week; Group E was treated with Xuanfudaizhetang lavage 2 mL once a day for a week after 48 h.

2.5. Indexes observation

The growth situation and weight changes during treatment were observed. One week later, recovery of esophageal, gastric mucosa and pH changes of rats were compared between groups. After anesthesia and caesarean section, a pH recorder electrode was inserted into the stomach big bend about 1 cm above the stomach–esophagus border to record the pH; then pH electrode was rinsed with distilled water and it was inserted through the distal big bend perforation to record the pH. After sacrificing, the lower segment of esophagus was collected after saline flushing for macroscopic inspection of RE classification, according to the Chinese Medical Association Digestive Endoscopy Diagnostic Criteria about RE[10]. 1 cm of esophagus at 0.5 cm above the stomach–esophagus border was collected to prepare HE dyeing for pathological RE grading.

2.6. Statistical analysis

SPSS12.0 statistics software was used for processing data in this study; data were expressed as (mean±sd), and analyzed by t test. P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant difference.

3. Results

3.1. General condition

Group A revealed luster hair, good appetite and granule stool; Group B revealed lacklustre, less appetite abdominal distension. General situation of D, E groups of rats was close to group A after treatment, body weight was increased with the increase of appetite, with weight growth rate of (22.14±3.89) g in group E, (11.91±3.13) g in group D, (13.97±1.87) g in group C, the difference between three groups was statistically significant (P<0.05).

3.2. Pathological morphology changes

Group A showed complete, smooth and white. The esophageal mucosa grades and esophagus tissue pathological morphology grades of group D and E were higher than that of group B and C with significant difference between groups (P<0.05); grades of group D and E shows no significant difference between each other. Esophageal mucosa of rats in group A had no obvious abnormality of HE staining (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Macroscopic inspection grades</th>
<th>Pathological morphology grades</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.81±0.42*</td>
<td>2.11±0.74*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.45±0.73*</td>
<td>2.66±0.50*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group D</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.54±0.67△</td>
<td>0.35±0.67△</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group E</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.30±0.48△</td>
<td>0.21±0.42△</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

note: *P<0.05 compared with group A; △P<0.05 compared with group B, C.

3.3. pH comparision of lower esophageal mucosa and the distal mucosa

There was no significant difference in pH between group D and E (P>0.05); pH of lower esophageal mucosa in group
D and E was increased significantly than that in the group B and C ($P<0.05$), and the distal mucosal pH dropped significantly in the group B and C ($P<0.05$) (Table 2).

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Lower esophageal mucosa</th>
<th>Distal mucosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.03±0.22</td>
<td>1.82±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.39±0.32$^*$</td>
<td>1.66±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.70±0.20$^*$</td>
<td>1.67±0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group D</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.96±0.46$^\triangle$</td>
<td>1.28±0.38$^\triangle$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group E</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.06±0.58$^\triangle$</td>
<td>1.10±0.20$^\triangle$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $^*P<0.05$ compared with group A; $^\triangle P<0.05$ compared with group B, C.

3.4. Pathological observations of esophageal mucosa

Esophageal mucosa of group A, D, E was normal; hyperplasia of squamous esophageal mucosa was significant in Group B and C. Propria nipples was observed in mucosa lamina, inflammatory cells infiltrating, predominantly neutrophils and lymphocytes, was observed (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Pathological observations of esophageal mucosa (HE×100).](image)

4. Discussion

The reflux esophagitis belongs to “noisy, spit acid, choking diaphragmatic” category in Chinese traditional medicine, related to many factors such as diet and mood. The pathogenesis is that the gastric estrangement and liver–qi stasis, resulting in liver–qi depression, dampness and heat cements in the liver[11–15]. Acid reflux can cause burning pain of diaphragm, which would progress into choke symptoms[16,17]. In view of the fact that this disease is mainly caused by spleen deficient, weak stomach, obstructed phlegm, etc. So based on the theory of Chinese medicine that digestive organs should have harmonious relationshi[18], we chose Xuanfudaizhetang to treat rats with reflux esophagitis, and obtained good effect.

Inula flower can cause gas saliva inversion and sputum elimination. The official medicine red ocher can treat hiccups and belching, the adjuvant medicine ginger can warm the stomach phlegm, pinellia can inverse the stomach. Combination use of these ingredients can decrease the acid damage[19–24]. Modern pharmacology showed that Xuanfudaizhetang can obviously enhance the gastrointestinal peristalsis, and increase a variety of amino acids and strong tonic, provide the body with sufficient nutrients to promote recovery of vital spirit[25–29]. In this study, after treatment, the weight gain of group E was significantly higher than that of group C, which was provided with saline ($P<0.05$), and its mechanism of action and the theory is the same. Research has shown that esophageal mucosa injury and symptoms in reflux esophagitis are pH dependent, frequency and contact time with acid exposure is also closely related to reflux esophagitis. Acid reflux can cause the direct damage in reflux esophagitis when esophageal pH is less than 4, play an important role in the pathogenesis of reflux esophagitis[30–32]. In this experiment, lower esophageal pH in group E rats is significantly higher than group C (model group) and group B (placebo), suggesting that Xuanfudaizhetang can obviously improve the pH of lower esophageal mucosa in rats with reflux esophagitis, decrease pH value of gastric mucosal, thus improve reflux esophagitis pathological state. Xuanfudaizhetang and motilium can improve pH value of lower esophageal mucosal, which may be related to promoting anterograde peristalsis of oesophagus and stomach, as well as inhibition of gastric acid secretion and so on[33,34].

The experimental results showed that compared with group B and C, pH of lower esophageal mucosa of rats of group D increased significantly after treatment for a week. It suggests that Xuanfudaizhetang can increase the pH value of the lower esophageal mucosa and reduce inflammation stimulation, so as to protect the esophageal mucosa, it can also significantly stimulate the secretion of gastrin and gastric acid, reduce gastric pH value to improve pathological condition of esophageal mucosa in reflux esophagitis treatment.
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