



# Existence results for the three-point impulsive boundary value problem involving fractional differential equations<sup>☆</sup>

Yuansheng Tian<sup>a,\*</sup>, Zhanbing Bai<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Xiangnan University, Chenzhou, Hunan, 423000, People's Republic of China

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, 266510, People's Republic of China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 14 September 2009

Received in revised form 9 January 2010

Accepted 11 January 2010

### Keywords:

Fractional differential equation

Impulse

Three-point boundary value problem

Fixed point theorem

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we consider the existence of solutions for a class of three-point boundary value problems involving nonlinear impulsive fractional differential equations. By use of Banach's fixed point theorem and Schauder's fixed point theorem, some existence results are obtained.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the existence of solutions for the three-point impulsive boundary value problem involving nonlinear fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D^q u(t) = f(t, u(t)), & 0 < t < 1, t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ \Delta u|_{t=t_k} = I_k(u(t_k)), & \Delta u'|_{t=t_k} = \bar{I}_k(u(t_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ u(0) + u'(0) = 0, & u(1) + u'(\xi) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  ${}^C D^q$  is the Caputo fractional derivative,  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 < q \leq 2$ ,  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function,  $I_k, \bar{I}_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\xi \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\xi \neq t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$  and  $\Delta u|_{t=t_k} = u(t_k^+) - u(t_k^-)$ ,  $\Delta u'|_{t=t_k} = u'(t_k^+) - u'(t_k^-)$ ,  $u(t_k^+)$  and  $u(t_k^-)$  represent the right-hand limit and the left-hand limit of the function  $u(t)$  at  $t = t_k$ , and the sequences  $\{t_k\}$  satisfy that  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_p < t_{p+1} = 1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Fractional differential equations arise in many engineering and scientific disciplines as the mathematical modeling of systems and processes in the fields of physics, chemistry, aerodynamics, electrodynamics of a complex medium, polymer rheology, etc. involves derivatives of fractional order. Fractional differential equations also serve as an excellent tool for the description of hereditary properties of various materials and processes. In consequence, fractional differential equations have been of great interest. For details, see [1–9] and the references therein.

Integer-order impulsive differential equations have become important in recent years as mathematical models of phenomena in both the physical and social sciences. There has a significant development in impulsive theory especially

<sup>☆</sup> This work was supported by the Scientific Research Foundation of Hunan Provincial Education Department (08C826); it was also supported by the Aid Program for Science and Technology Innovative Research Team in Higher Educational Institutions of Hunan Province, and the Construct Program of the Key Discipline in Hunan Province.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 0735 2885006.

E-mail addresses: [tys73@163.com](mailto:tys73@163.com) (Y. Tian), [zhanbingbai@163.com](mailto:zhanbingbai@163.com) (Z. Bai).

in the area of impulsive differential equations with fixed moments; see for instance [10,11]. Recently, the boundary value problems of impulsive differential equations of integer order have been studied extensively in the literatures (see [12–15]).

To the best of our knowledge, there are few papers that consider the impulsive boundary value problem involving nonlinear differential equations of fractional order. In this paper, we study the existence of solutions for three-point impulsive boundary value problem (1.1); by use of Banach's fixed point theorem and Schauder's fixed point theorem, some existence results are obtained.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present some necessary definitions and preliminary results that will be used to prove our main results. The proofs of our main results are given in Section 3. In Section 4, we will give an examples to ensure our main result.

## 2. Preliminaries and lemmas

The material in this section is basic in some sense. For the reader's convenience, we present some necessary definitions from fractional calculus theory and preliminary results.

**Definition 2.1.** For a function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the Caputo derivative of fractional order  $q$  is defined as

$${}^c D^q f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \int_0^t \frac{f^{(n)}(s)}{(t-s)^{q-n+1}} ds, \quad n = [q] + 1,$$

where  $[q]$  denotes the integer part of real number  $q$ .

**Definition 2.2.** The Riemann–Liouville fractional integral of order  $q$  is defined as

$$I^q f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds, \quad q > 0,$$

provided the integral exists.

**Definition 2.3.** The Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative of order  $q$  for a function  $f(t)$  is defined by

$$D^q f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_0^t \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{q-n+1}} ds, \quad n = [q] + 1,$$

provided the right-hand side is pointwise defined on  $(0, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([9]). Let  $q > 0$ ; then the differential equation

$${}^c D^q h(t) = 0$$

has solution  $h(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} t^{n-1}$ ,  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ,  $n = [q] + 1$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([9]). Let  $q > 0$ ; then

$$I^{qc} D^q h(t) = h(t) + c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} t^{n-1}$$

for some  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ,  $n = [q] + 1$ .

For the sake of convenience, we introduce the following notations.

Let  $J = [0, 1]$ ,  $J_0 = [0, t_1]$ ,  $J_1 = (t_1, t_2]$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $J_{p-1} = (t_{p-1}, t_p]$ ,  $J_p = (t_p, 1]$ ,  $J' = J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p\}$ , and

$PC(J) = \{u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid u \in C(J'), u(t_k^+) \text{ and } u(t_k^-) \text{ exist, and } u(t_k^-) = u(t_k), 1 \leq k \leq p\}$ .

Obviously,  $PC(J)$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|u\| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u(t)|$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $y \in C[0, 1]$  and  $\xi \in (t_l, t_{l+1})$ ;  $l$  is a nonnegative integer,  $0 \leq l \leq p$ ,  $1 < q \leq 2$ . A function  $u \in PC(J)$  is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^q u(t) = y(t), & 0 < t < 1, t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ \Delta u|_{t=t_k} = I_k(u(t_k)), & \Delta u'|_{t=t_k} = \bar{I}_k(u(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ u(0) + u'(0) = 0, & u(1) + u'(\xi) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

if and only if  $u$  is a solution of the integral equation

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds + M(1-t), & t \in J_0; \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds \\ + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^k (t-t_i) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^k (t-t_i) \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^k I_i(u(t_i)) + M(1-t), & t \in J_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$M = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds \\ + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{t_1}^{\xi} (\xi-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds \\ + \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^p I_i(u(t_i)).$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $u$  is a solution of (2.1). By applying Lemma 2.2, we have

$$u(t) = I^q y(t) - c_1 - c_2 t = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds - c_1 - c_2 t, \quad t \in J_0, \tag{2.3}$$

for some  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, we have

$$u'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds - c_2, \quad t \in J_0. \tag{2.4}$$

If  $t \in J_1$ , then, we have

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds - d_1 - d_2(t-t_1), \\ u'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds - d_2,$$

for some  $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus,

$$u(t_1^-) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds - c_1 - c_2 t_1, \\ u(t_1^+) = -d_1, \\ u'(t_1^-) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds - c_2, \\ u'(t_1^+) = -d_2.$$

In view of  $\Delta u|_{t=t_1} = u(t_1^+) - u(t_1^-) = I_1(u(t_1))$  and  $\Delta u'|_{t=t_1} = u'(t_1^+) - u'(t_1^-) = \bar{I}_1(u(t_1))$ , we have

$$-d_1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds + I_1(u(t_1)) - c_1 - c_2 t_1 \\ -d_2 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds + \bar{I}_1(u(t_1)) - c_2.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds + \frac{t-t_1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \\ \times \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds + (t-t_1) \bar{I}_1(u(t_1)) + I_1(u(t_1)) - c_1 - c_2 t, \quad t \in J_1.$$

In a similar way, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^k (t-t_i) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^k (t-t_i) \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^k I_i(u(t_i)) - c_1 - c_2 t, \quad t \in J_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.5}$$

By (2.3), (2.4) and the boundary condition  $u(0) + u'(0) = 0$ , we can obtain  $c_1 + c_2 = 0$ .

On the other hand, by (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(1) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_p}^1 (1-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^p \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (1-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \\
 &\times \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (1-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^p I_i(u(t_i)) - c_1 - c_2, \\
 u'(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{t_l}^{\xi} (\xi-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (\xi-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) - c_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the boundary condition  $u(1) + u'(\xi) = 0$  and  $c_1 + c_2 = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_1 &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds \\
 &- \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{t_l}^{\xi} (\xi-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds \\
 &- \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) - \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) - \sum_{i=1}^p I_i(u(t_i)),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_2 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{t_l}^{\xi} (\xi-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^p I_i(u(t_i)).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.7}$$

Substituting (2.6) and (2.7) into (2.3), (2.5) respectively, and letting  $M = c_2$ , we get (2.2).

Conversely, we assume that  $u$  is a solution of the integral equation (2.2). In view of the relations  ${}^C D^p y(t) = y(t)$  for  $p > 0$ , we get

$${}^C D^q u(t) = y(t), \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad t \neq t_k \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad 1 < q \leq 2.$$

Moreover, it can easily be shown that  $\Delta u|_{t=t_k} = I_i(u(t_k))$ ,  $\Delta u'|_{t=t_k} = \bar{I}_i(u(t_k))$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Also it can easily be verified that the boundary conditions  $u(0) + u'(0) = 0$ ,  $u(1) + u'(\xi) = 0$  are satisfied. The proof is completed.  $\square$

### 3. Existence of solutions

Let  $\xi \in (t_l, t_{l+1})$ ;  $l$  is a nonnegative integer,  $0 \leq l \leq p$ . Define an operator  $T : PC(J) \rightarrow PC(J)$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Tu)(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s, u(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k-s)^{q-1} f(s, u(s)) ds \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k-s)^{q-2} f(s, u(s)) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) \bar{I}_k(u(t_k))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(u(t_k)) + (1-t) \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} f(s, u(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \right. \\
 & \times \int_{t_i}^{\xi} (\xi-s)^{q-2} f(s, u(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} f(s, u(s)) ds \\
 & \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} f(s, u(s)) ds + \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^p I_i(u(t_i)) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the fixed points of the operator  $T$  are solutions of problem (1.1). Our first result is based on Banach’s fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that:

- (C<sub>1</sub>) There exists a constant  $L_1 > 0$  such that  $|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq L_1|x - y|$ , for each  $t \in J$  and all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (C<sub>2</sub>) There exist constants  $L_2, L_3 > 0$  such that  $|I_k(x) - I_k(y)| \leq L_2|x - y|$ ,  $|\bar{I}_k(x) - \bar{I}_k(y)| \leq L_3|x - y|$ , for each  $t \in J$  and all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

If

$$L_1 \left( \frac{2p+3}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{3p+1}{\Gamma(q)} \right) + p(2L_2 + 3L_3) < 1,$$

then problem (1.1) has a unique solution.

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in PC(J)$ . Then, for each  $t \in J$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Tx)(t) - (Ty)(t)| & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} |f(s, x(s)) - f(s, y(s))| ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k-s)^{q-1} |f(s, x(s)) - f(s, y(s))| ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k-s)^{q-2} |f(s, x(s)) - f(s, y(s))| ds \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) |\bar{I}_k(x(t_k)) - \bar{I}_k(y(t_k))| + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} |I_k(x(t_k)) - I_k(y(t_k))| \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} |f(s, x(s)) - f(s, y(s))| ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{t_i}^{\xi} (\xi-s)^{q-2} |f(s, x(s)) - f(s, y(s))| ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} |f(s, x(s)) - f(s, y(s))| ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} |f(s, x(s)) - f(s, y(s))| ds \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) |\bar{I}_i(x(t_i)) - \bar{I}_i(y(t_i))| + \sum_{i=1}^l |\bar{I}_i(x(t_i)) - \bar{I}_i(y(t_i))| + \sum_{i=1}^p |I_i(x(t_i)) - I_i(y(t_i))| \\
 & \leq \frac{L_1 \|x - y\|}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} ds + \frac{2L_1 \|x - y\|}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} ds \\
 & + \frac{3L_1 \|x - y\|}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} ds + \frac{L_1 \|x - y\|}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{t_i}^{\xi} (\xi-s)^{q-2} ds \\
 & + 2pL_2 \|x - y\| + 3pL_3 \|x - y\| \\
 & \leq \left[ L_1 \left( \frac{2p+3}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{3p+1}{\Gamma(q)} \right) + p(2L_2 + 3L_3) \right] \|x - y\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \left[ L_1 \left( \frac{2p+3}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{3p+1}{\Gamma(q)} \right) + p(2L_2 + 3L_3) \right] \|x - y\|.$$

Since

$$L_1 \left( \frac{2p+3}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{3p+1}{\Gamma(q)} \right) + p(2L_2 + 3L_3) < 1,$$

consequently  $T$  is a contraction. As a consequence of Banach's fixed point theorem, we deduce that  $T$  has a fixed point which is a solution of problem (1.1).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that:

(C<sub>3</sub>) The function  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and there exists a constant  $N_1 > 0$  such that

$$|f(t, u)| \leq N_1, \quad \text{for each } t \in J \text{ and all } u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(C<sub>4</sub>) The functions  $I_k, \bar{I}_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous, and there exist constants  $N_2, N_3 > 0$  such that

$$|I_k(u)| \leq N_2, \quad |\bar{I}_k(u)| \leq N_3, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

Then problem (1.1) has at least one solution.

**Proof.** We shall use Schauder's fixed point theorem to prove that  $T$  has a fixed point. The proof will be given in four steps.

**Step 1:**  $T$  is continuous.

Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $PC(J)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tu_n)(t) - (Tu)(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t - t_k) \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-2} |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t - t_k) |\bar{I}_k(u_n(t_k)) - \bar{I}_k(u(t_k))| + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} |I_k(u_n(t_k)) - I_k(u(t_k))| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i - s)^{q-1} |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{t_1}^{\xi} (\xi - s)^{q-2} |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p (1 - t_i) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i - s)^{q-2} |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i - s)^{q-2} |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^p (1 - t_i) |\bar{I}_i(u_n(t_i)) - \bar{I}_i(u(t_i))| + \sum_{i=1}^l |\bar{I}_i(u_n(t_i)) - \bar{I}_i(u(t_i))| + \sum_{i=1}^p |I_i(u_n(t_i)) - I_i(u(t_i))| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i - s)^{q-1} |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i - s)^{q-2} |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{t_1}^{\xi} (\xi - s)^{q-2} |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + 3 \sum_{i=1}^p |\bar{I}_i(u_n(t_i)) - \bar{I}_i(u(t_i))| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^p |I_i(u_n(t_i)) - I_i(u(t_i))|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f, I$  and  $\bar{I}$  are continuous functions, then we have

$$\|Tu_n - Tu\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Step 2. T maps bounded sets into bounded sets.*

Indeed, it is enough to show that, for any  $\rho > 0$ , there exists a positive constant  $L$  such that, for each  $u \in \Omega_\rho = \{u \in PC(J) \mid \|u\| \leq \rho\}$ , we have  $\|Tu\| \leq L$ . By (C<sub>3</sub>) and (C<sub>4</sub>), we have, for each  $t \in J$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tu)(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k-s)^{q-1} |f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k-s)^{q-2} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) |\bar{I}_k(u(t_k))| \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} |I_k(u(t_k))| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \\ &\quad \times \int_{t_i}^\xi (\xi-s)^{q-2} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} |f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) |\bar{I}_i(u(t_i))| + \sum_{i=1}^l |\bar{I}_i(u(t_i))| + \sum_{i=1}^p |I_i(u(t_i))| \\ &\leq \frac{N_1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} ds + \frac{2N_1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{3N_1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} ds + \frac{N_1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{t_i}^\xi (\xi-s)^{q-2} ds + 2pN_2 + 3pN_3 \\ &\leq N_1 \left( \frac{2p+3}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{3p+1}{\Gamma(q)} \right) + p(2N_2 + 3N_3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|Tu\| \leq N_1 \left( \frac{2p+3}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{3p+1}{\Gamma(q)} \right) + p(2N_2 + 3N_3) := L.$$

*Step 3. T maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets.*

Let  $\Omega_\rho$  be a bounded set of  $PC(J)$  as in Step 2, and let  $u \in \Omega_\rho$ . For each  $t \in J_k, 0 \leq k \leq p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tu)'(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-2} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k-s)^{q-2} |f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} |\bar{I}_k(u(t_k))| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \\ &\quad \times \int_{t_i}^\xi (\xi-s)^{q-2} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} |f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) |\bar{I}_i(u(t_i))| + \sum_{i=1}^l |\bar{I}_i(u(t_i))| + \sum_{i=1}^p |I_i(u(t_i))| \\ &\leq \frac{N_1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \left( \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-2} ds + \int_{t_i}^\xi (\xi-s)^{q-2} ds \right) + \frac{3N_1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{N_1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} ds + 2pN_2 + 3pN_3 \\ &\leq \left( \frac{3p+2}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{p+1}{\Gamma(q+1)} \right) N_1 + pN_2 + 3pN_3 := M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, letting  $t'', t' \in J_k, t' < t'', 0 \leq k \leq p$ , we have

$$|(Tu)(t'') - (Tu)(t')| \leq \int_{t'}^{t''} |(Tu)'(s)| ds \leq M(t'' - t').$$

So,  $T(\Omega_\rho)$  is equicontinuous on all  $J_k (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p)$ . We can conclude that  $T : PC(J) \rightarrow PC(J)$  is completely continuous.

**Step 4. A priori bounds**

Now it remains to show that the set

$$\Omega = \{u \in PC(J) \mid u = \lambda Tu \text{ for some } 0 < \lambda < 1\}$$

is bounded.

Let  $u \in \Omega$ ; then  $u = \lambda Tu$  for some  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Thus, for each  $t \in J$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s, u(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k-s)^{q-1} f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k-s)^{q-2} f(s, u(s)) ds + \lambda \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) \bar{I}_k(u(t_k)) \\ &+ \lambda \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(u(t_k)) + (1-t)\lambda \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} f(s, u(s)) ds \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{t_i}^{\xi} (\xi-s)^{q-2} f(s, u(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \\ &\times \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} f(s, u(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &\left. + \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{I}_i(u(t_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^p I_i(u(t_i)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies by (C<sub>3</sub>) and (C<sub>4</sub>) that, for each  $t \in J$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k-s)^{q-1} |f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k-s)^{q-2} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t-t_k) |\bar{I}_k(u(t_k))| \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} |I_k(u(t_k))| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \\ &\times \int_{t_i}^{\xi} (\xi-s)^{q-2} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} |f(s, u(s))| ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} |f(s, u(s))| ds + \sum_{i=1}^p (1-t_i) |\bar{I}_i(u(t_i))| + \sum_{i=1}^l |\bar{I}_i(u(t_i))| + \sum_{i=1}^p |I_i(u(t_i))| \\ &\leq \frac{N_1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} ds + \frac{2N_1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-1} ds + \frac{3N_1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{q-2} ds \\ &+ \frac{N_1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \int_{t_i}^{\xi} (\xi-s)^{q-2} ds + 2pN_2 + 3pN_3 \\ &\leq N_1 \left( \frac{2p+3}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{3p+1}{\Gamma(q)} \right) + p(2N_2 + 3N_3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every  $t \in J$ , we have

$$\|u\| \leq N_1 \left( \frac{2p+3}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \frac{3p+1}{\Gamma(q)} \right) + p(2N_2 + 3N_3).$$



This shows that the set  $\Omega$  is bounded. As a consequence of Schauder's fixed point theorem, we deduce that  $T$  has a fixed point which is a solution of problem (1.1).  $\square$

#### 4. Example

Let  $q = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $\xi = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $p = 1$ . We consider the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^{\frac{3}{2}} u(t) = f(t, u), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}, 0 < t < 1, \\ \Delta u|_{t=\frac{1}{3}} = I\left(u\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\right), & \Delta u'|_{t=\frac{1}{3}} = \bar{I}\left(u\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\right), \\ u(0) + u'(0) = 0, & u(1) + u'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$f(t, u) = \frac{1 + tu^2 \sin^4 u}{1 + t^2 + u^2}, \quad I(u) = \frac{3 + 2u^2}{1 + u^2}, \quad \bar{I}(u) = \frac{5u^2}{1 + u^2}.$$

Obviously,  $f$ ,  $I$  and  $\bar{I}$  are continuous functions, and

- (1)  $|f(t, u)| \leq 1$ , for each  $t \in J$  and all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (2)  $|I(u)| \leq 3$ ,  $|\bar{I}(u)| \leq 5$ , for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ .

So conditions  $(C_3)$  and  $(C_4)$  hold; by [Theorem 3.2](#), problem (4.1) has at least one solution.

#### References

- [1] A. Babakhani, V.D. Gejji, Existence of positive solutions of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 278 (2003) 434–442.
- [2] C. Bai, J. Fang, The existence of a positive solution for a singular coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 150 (2004) 611–621.
- [3] Z. Bai, H. Lü, Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 311 (2005) 495–505.
- [4] Y. Chang, J. Nieto, Some new existence results for fractional differential inclusions with boundary conditions, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 49 (2009) 605–609.
- [5] D. Delbosco, Fractional calculus and function spaces, *J. Fract. Calc.* 6 (1994) 45–53.
- [6] H. Jafari, V.D. Gejji, Positive solutions of nonlinear fractional boundary value problems using a domain decomposition method, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 180 (2006) 700–706.
- [7] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, in: North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 204, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [8] H.A.H. Salem, On the fractional order  $m$ -point boundary value problem in reflexive Banach spaces and weak topologies, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 224 (2009) 567–572.
- [9] S. Zhang, Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2006 (2006) 1–12.
- [10] V. Lakshmikantham, D.D. Bainov, P.S. Simeonov, *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [11] A.M. Samoilenko, N.A. Perestyuk, *Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- [12] E.K. Lee, Y.H. Lee, Multiple positive solutions of singular two point boundary value problems for second order impulsive differential equation, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 158 (2004) 745–759.
- [13] X. Lin, D. Jiang, Multiple positive solutions of Dirichlet boundary value problems for second order impulsive differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 321 (2006) 501–514.
- [14] J.J. Nieto, R.R. Lópe, Boundary value problems for a class of impulsive functional equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 55 (2008) 2715–2731.
- [15] J. Shen, W. Wang, Impulsive boundary value problems with nonlinear boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Anal.* 69 (2008) 4055–4062.