

On the Discrepancy of Some Special Sequences

ROBERT F. TICHY AND GERHARD TURNWALD

*Department of Mathematics, Technical University Vienna,
Wiedner Hauptstrasse 6-10, A-1040 Vienna, Austria*

Communicated by E. Hlawka

Received March 24, 1986

We obtain estimates for the discrepancy of the sequence $(x_s^{(d)}(q; n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where $s^{(d)}(q; n)$ denotes the sum of the d th powers of the q -ary digits of the nonnegative integer n and x is an irrational number of finite approximation type. Furthermore metric results for a similar type of sequences are given. © 1987 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

A sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of real numbers is said to be uniformly distributed mod 1 (u.d. mod 1) if and only if the number $A(I, N) = \text{card}\{0 \leq n < N: \{x_n\} \in I\}$ is asymptotically N times the length $|I|$ of I (where I denotes an arbitrary subinterval of $[0, 1)$; the fractional part $\{t\}$ is defined by $\{t\} = t - [t]$ and $[t]$ is the greatest integer $\leq t$). As a quantitative measure of the distribution behaviour of (x_n) the discrepancy

$$D_N(x_n) = \sup_I \left| \frac{A(I, N)}{N} - |I| \right|$$

can be introduced and it is well known (cf. the monographs [5] and [6]) that (x_n) is u.d. mod 1 if and only if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N(x_n) = 0.$$

In several papers [1, 2, 3] Coquet (*et al.*) investigated the distribution behaviour mod 1 of some sequences of the form $(x\varphi(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where x is an irrational number and $\varphi(n)$ is a number-theoretic function that is additive with respect to a given digit representation (and $\varphi(0) = 0$). In the case of the usual q -ary representation

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k q^k \quad (q \geq 2, n \geq 0),$$

$$0 \leq \varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_k(q; n) \leq q - 1$$

the most interesting type of an additive function is the sum of d th powers of the digits

$$\varphi(n) = s^{(d)}(q; n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\varepsilon_k(q; n))^d \quad (d, q \geq 2 \text{ integral with } d \geq 1, q \geq 2).$$

(It is obvious that $\varphi(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi(\varepsilon_k(q; n) q^k)$, i.e., φ is additive.) It follows from [1] that $(xs^{(1)}(q; n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 if (and only if) x is an arbitrary real irrational.

For a special type of irrationals we prove a quantitative version of Coquet's result. We consider real numbers x of finite approximation type η , i.e., irrationals x such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\|hx\| \geq \frac{c(x, \varepsilon)}{h^{\eta + \varepsilon}}$$

for all positive integers h ; $c(x, \varepsilon)$ is a positive constant only depending on x and ε and $\|t\|$ is defined by $\|t\| = \min(\{t\}, 1 - \{t\})$. In Section 2 we prove

THEOREM 1. *Let x be of finite approximation type η . Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$D_N(xs^{(d)}(q; n)) \leq \frac{c(q, x, \varepsilon)}{(\log N)^{1/2\eta - \varepsilon}}$$

for all integers $N > 1$. If x is not of approximation type η' for any $\eta' < \eta$ then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and infinitely many N

$$D_N(xs^{(d)}(q; n)) \geq \frac{1}{(\log N)^{1/2\eta + \varepsilon}}.$$

Furthermore for every irrational x and infinitely many N

$$D_N(xs^{(d)}(q; n)) \geq \frac{c'(q, d, x)}{(\log N)^{1/2}}.$$

In Section 3 we consider sequences $(x_n(t))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ($0 \leq t < 1$) of the form $x_n(t) = xs_n^{(d)}(q; t) + a_n$, where x is an irrational number, $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ an arbitrary sequence of reals, and (for real $d > 0$ and integral $q \geq 2$)

$$s_n^{(d)}(q; t) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\varepsilon_k(q; t))^d \text{ for } t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(q; t) q^{-k}$$

$$(0 \leq \varepsilon_k(q, t) < q).$$

Note that the q -ary representation of t is assumed to be infinite. We prove that $(x_n(t))_{n=0}^\infty$ is u.d. mod 1 for almost all real numbers $t \in [0, 1)$ (in the sense of the Lebesgue measure). If x is of finite approximation type we obtain the following more precise result.

THEOREM 2. *Let x be of finite approximation type η and let $(a_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers. Then for almost all $t \in [0, 1)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$D_N(xs_n^{(d)}(q; t) + a_n) \leq c(t, q, x, \varepsilon) N^{-(1/2n) + \varepsilon}$$

for all positive integers N .

This result has the following curious consequence: There exists a non-decreasing sequence $(s_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ of integers with $0 \leq s_n \leq n$ such that the sequence $(\sqrt{2} s_n + \pi^n)$ is u.d. mod 1.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We will use the inequality of Erdős and Turán for proving our upper bound for $D_N(xs^{(d)}(q; n))$. Hence we begin to investigate the exponential sums

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(hxs^{(d)}(q; n)) \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where $e(t) = e^{2\pi it}$ for real t . By the next Lemma we may restrict ourselves to the case $N = q^k$.

LEMMA 1. *Let $g: \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function such that $g(0) = 1$, $|g(n)| \leq 1$, and*

$$g(n) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} g(\varepsilon_k(q; n) q^k) \quad (\text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Assume that

$$\left| \frac{1}{q^k} \sum_{n=0}^{q^k-1} g(n) \right| \leq \frac{1}{f(q^k)} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $f: [1, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous nondecreasing, and $f(u) \leq u$. Then we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} g(n) \right| \leq \frac{q+1}{f(\sqrt{N})} \quad \text{for } N = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Let m be the largest index such that $\varepsilon_m = \varepsilon_m(q; N) \neq 0$, and define

$$N(j) = \sum_{k=j}^m \varepsilon_k(q; N) q^k.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} g(n) = \sum_{n=0}^{N(m)-1} g(n) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n=N(j+1)}^{N(j)-1} g(n),$$

where

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N(m)-1} g(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{\varepsilon_m-1} \sum_{n=lq^m}^{(l+1)q^m-1} g(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{\varepsilon_m-1} g(lq^m) \sum_{n=0}^{q^m-1} g(n)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N(j+1)}^{N(j)-1} g(n) &= g(N(j+1)) \sum_{n=0}^{\varepsilon_j q^j - 1} g(n) \\ &= g(N(j+1)) \sum_{l=0}^{\varepsilon_j - 1} g(lq^j) \sum_{n=0}^{q^j - 1} g(n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} g(n) \right| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \left| \sum_{l=0}^{\varepsilon_j - 1} g(lq^j) \right| \left| \sum_{n=0}^{q^j - 1} g(n) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon_j q^j \frac{1}{q^j} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{q^j - 1} g(n) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \varepsilon_j q^j + \sum_{j=r}^m \varepsilon_j q^j \frac{1}{f(q^r)} \leq q^r + \frac{N}{f(q^r)} \end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let t be the unique real number such that $(t/q) f(t/q) = N$; then $t/q \geq \sqrt{N}$ because of $f(t/q) \leq t/q$.

Choosing r such that $q^{r+1} > t \geq q^r$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} g(n) \right| &\leq q^r + \frac{N}{f(q^r)} \leq t + \frac{N}{f(t/q)} = \frac{qN}{f(t/q)} + \frac{N}{f(t/q)} \\ &\leq (q+1) \frac{N}{f(\sqrt{N})}, \end{aligned}$$

thus proving the lemma.

We want to apply the lemma to $g(n) = e(hxs^{(d)}(q; n))$. In order to verify the assumptions on $g(n)$ in Lemma 1 it remains to prove an inequality of the form

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{q^k-1} g(n) \right| \leq \frac{q^k}{f(q^k)} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{q^{k+1}-1} g(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{n=0}^{q^k-1} g(jq^k + n) = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} g(j) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{q^k-1} g(n)$$

and so

$$\sum_{n=0}^{q^k-1} g(n) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} g(j) \right)^k = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} e(hxj^d) \right)^k. \quad (*)$$

For estimating $|\sum_{n=0}^{q^k-1} g(n)|$ we will apply the following simple

LEMMA 2. For reals α and $d > 0$ and integral $q \geq 2$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} e(\alpha j^d) \right| \leq q - 2\pi \|\alpha\|^2.$$

Proof of Lemma 2. A simple argument shows

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} e(\alpha j^d) \right| \leq q - 2 + 2 |\cos \pi \alpha| \quad \text{for } q \geq 2.$$

Next we observe that $|\cos \pi \alpha| = \cos \pi \|\alpha\|$. Hence the result of the lemma immediately follows from the inequality $\cos x \leq 1 - (x^2/\pi)$ for $|x| \leq \pi/2$ which is valid since

$$\cos x = 1 - \int_0^x \sin t \, dt \leq 1 - \int_0^x \frac{2}{\pi} t \, dt = 1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi} \quad \left(|x| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \right).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{q^k-1} g(n) \right| \leq (q - 2\pi \|hx\|^2)^k \leq (q - 4 \|hx\|^2)^k.$$

Now we choose the function f in Lemma 1 such that

$$f(q^k) = \left(\frac{q}{q - 4 \|hx\|^2} \right)^k,$$

i.e.,

$$f(u) = \left(\frac{q}{q-4 \|hx\|^2} \right)^{\log u / \log q}$$

Since $q-4 \|hx\|^2 \geq 1$ (for $q \geq 2$; for $q \geq 3$ we could work with the bound $q-2\pi \|hx\|^2$), we have $f(u) \leq q^{\log u / \log q} = u$ for $u \geq 1$; obviously f is non-decreasing. Hence Lemma 1 and the well-known inequality $(1 - (1/u))^u \leq 1/e$ give

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(hxs^{(d)}(q; n)) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{q+1}{f(\sqrt{N})} \\ & = (q+1) \left(1 - \frac{4 \|hx\|^2}{q} \right)^{(\log N)/(2 \log q)} \\ & = (q+1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{q/4 \|hx\|^2} \right)^{(q/4 \|hx\|^2) \cdot (\log N)/(2q \log q/4 \|hx\|^2)} \\ & \leq (q+1) \exp \left(- \frac{2 \|hx\|^2 \log N}{q \log q} \right) \quad (\exp t = e^t). \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply the inequality of Erdős and Turán (cf. [6, p. 112]) and make use of $\|hx\| \geq c_0(x, \varepsilon) h^{-\eta - \varepsilon}$ ($\varepsilon > 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} & D_N(xs^{(d)}(q; n)) \\ & \leq 6 \left(\frac{1}{H} + \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{q+1}{h} \exp(-2c_0(x, \varepsilon)^2 h^{-2\eta - 2\varepsilon} \log N / (q \log q)) \right) \\ & \leq 6 \left(\frac{1}{H} + (1 + \log H)(q+1) \exp(-c_1(q, x, \varepsilon) H^{-2\eta - 2\varepsilon} \log N) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We put $H = [(\log N)^{(1/2\eta) - \varepsilon}]$ for $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4\eta$ and sufficiently large N and obtain (with positive constants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 depending on q, x , and ε)

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \log H) \exp(-c_1 H^{-2\eta - 2\varepsilon} \log N) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\eta} - \varepsilon \right) \log \log N \exp(-c_2 (\log N)^{-(\varepsilon/\eta) + 2\varepsilon\eta + 2\varepsilon^2}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \log \log N \exp(-c_2 (\log N)^{-\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon^2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c_3(\log N)^c \exp(-c_2(\log N)^\varepsilon) \\
&\leq \frac{c_3}{H} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\eta} \log \log N - c_2(\log N)^\varepsilon\right) \\
&\leq \frac{c_4}{H},
\end{aligned}$$

thus $D_N(x_S^{(d)}(q; n)) \leq c_5/H \leq c(q, x, \varepsilon)/(\log N)^{1/2\eta - \varepsilon}$.

In order to prove the lower bounds we note that

$$\|hx\| \leq c/h^\kappa \text{ for infinitely many } h \in \mathbb{N} \quad (**)$$

with $\kappa = \eta - \varepsilon$ ($\eta > \varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary) if x is not of approximation type η' (for any $\eta' < \eta$) and with $\kappa = 1$ for arbitrary x (by Dirichlet's approximation theorem). Applying Koksma's inequality (cf. [6, Theorem 5.1, p. 143 and Example 5.1, p. 144]) to the function $t \mapsto \exp(2\pi i h t)$ (of variation $2\pi h$), we obtain for all positive integers h, N ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{0 \leq n < N} \exp(2\pi i h x_S^{(d)}(q; n)) \right| \leq 2\pi h D_N(x_S^{(d)}(q; n)).$$

By (*) we have for $N = q^k$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{0 \leq n < N} \exp(2\pi i h x_S^{(d)}(q; n)) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{0 \leq n < q} \exp(2\pi i h x n^d) \right|^k \\
&\geq \left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{0 \leq n < q} \cos(2\pi h x n^d) \right|^k = \left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{0 \leq n < q} \cos(2\pi n^d \|hx\|) \right|^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Since, by (**), $\|hx\| \leq c h^{-\kappa} \leq 1/(\pi \sqrt{2}(q-1)^d)$ for infinitely many h , the inequality $\cos t \geq 1 - t^2/2$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{0 \leq n < q} \cos(2\pi n^d \|hx\|) \right|^k \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{q} \sum_{0 \leq n < q} \cos(2\pi n^d \|hx\|) \right)^k \\
&\geq \cos(2\pi(q-1)^d \|hx\|)^k \\
&\geq (1 - 2\pi^2(q-1)^{2d} c^2 h^{-2\kappa})^k,
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$D_N(xs^{(d)}(q; n)) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi h} (1 - 2\pi^2(q-1)^{2d} c^2 h^{-2\kappa})^k, \quad N = 2^k$$

for infinitely many h . Choosing $k = [h^{2\kappa}]$ yields

$$D_N(xs^{(d)}(q; n)) \geq \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{\log q}{\log N} \right)^{1/2\kappa} \exp(-2\pi^2(q-1)^{2d} c^2), \quad N = q^{[h^{2\kappa}]}$$

for infinitely many h , thus establishing both lower bounds in Theorem 1.

Remark 1. Lemma 1 is a quantitative refinement of [2, Lemme 1].

Remark 2. By the theorem of Thue-Siegel-Roth every irrational real algebraic number x is of approximation type $\eta = 1$; in this case

$$D_N(xs^{(d)}(q; n)) \leq \frac{c(q, x, \varepsilon)}{\log N^{1/2-\varepsilon}}$$

and $\frac{1}{2}$ cannot be replaced by a larger exponent.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Our main tool will be the method of Gál and Koksma [4]. For this purpose we have to establish an upper bound for

$$\int_0^1 (ND_N(x_{n+M}(t)))^2 dt \quad (x_n(t) = xs_n^{(d)}(q; t) + a_n)$$

uniformly in $M = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. For $m > n$, real α and $d > 0$, we have

$$\int_0^1 e(\alpha(s_m^{(d)}(q; t) - s_n^{(d)}(q; t))) dt = \left(\frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} e(\alpha j^d) \right)^{m-n}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3. We observe that for $j \leq m$ the function $\varepsilon_j(q; \cdot)$ is constant on every open interval $I_k = (k/q^m, (k+1)/q^m)$ ($0 \leq k < q^m$). Hence for $t \in I_k$, $k = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i(k) q^i$, we obtain

$$\varepsilon_j(q; t) = \varepsilon_j(q; (k + (1/q))q^{-m}) = \varepsilon_j(q; (qk + 1)q^{-m-1}) = c_{m-j}(k).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 e(\alpha(s_m^{(d)}(q; t) - s_n^{(d)}(q; t))) dt \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{q^m-1} \int_{I_k} e\left(\alpha \sum_{j=n+1}^m \varepsilon_j(q; t)^d\right) dt \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{q^m-1} \frac{1}{q^m} e\left(\alpha \sum_{j=n+1}^m c_{m-j}(k)^d\right) \\
 &= \frac{q^n}{q^m} \sum_{0 \leq c_0, \dots, c_{m-n-1} < q} e(\alpha c_0^d) \cdots e(\alpha c_{m-n-1}^d) \\
 &= q^{n-m} \sum_{c_0=0}^{q-1} e(\alpha c_0^d) \cdots \sum_{c_{m-n-1}=0}^{q-1} e(\alpha c_{m-n-1}^d) = \left(\frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} e(\alpha j^d)\right)^{m-n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the inequality of Erdős and Turán we derive (applying the inequality of Cauchy–Schwarz),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 N^2 D_N(x_{n+M}(t))^2 dt \\
 & \leq 36 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{N}{H} + \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e(hx_{n+M}(t)) \right| \right)^2 dt \\
 & \leq 72 \left(\frac{N^2}{H^2} + \sum_{1 \leq h, k \leq H} \frac{1}{hk} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e(hx_{n+M}(t)) \right| \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e(kx_{n+M}(t)) \right| dt \right) \\
 & \leq 72 \left(\frac{N^2}{H^2} + \left(\sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \sqrt{\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e(hx_{n+M}(t)) \right|^2 dt} \right)^2 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The inner integral is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq N} e(h(x_{m+M}(t) - x_{n+M}(t))) dt \\
 &= N + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{1 \leq n < m \leq N} e(h(a_{m+M} - a_{n+M})) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times \int_0^1 e(hx(s_{m+M}^{(d)}(q; t) - s_{n+M}^{(d)}(q; t))) dt \right) \\
 & \leq N + 2 \sum_{1 \leq n < m \leq N} \left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} e(hxj^d) \right|^{m-n},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from Lemma 3. Applying Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq n < m \leq N} \left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} e(hx_j^d) \right|^{m-n} &\leq \sum_{m=2}^N \sum_{n=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{2\pi \|hx\|^2}{q} \right)^{m-n} \\ &\leq \sum_{m=2}^N \frac{q}{2\pi \|hx\|^2} \leq \frac{Nq}{2\pi \|hx\|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 N^2 D_N(x_{n+M}(t))^2 dt &\leq 72 \left(\frac{N^2}{H^2} + \left(\sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \sqrt{N + (Nq/\pi \|hx\|^2)} \right)^2 \right) \\ &\leq 72 \left(\frac{N^2}{H^2} + N \left(\sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} (1 + \sqrt{q/\pi \|hx\|^2}) \right)^2 \right) \\ &= 72 \left(\frac{N^2}{H^2} + N \left(\sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} + \sqrt{q/\pi} \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h \|hx\|} \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since x is of finite approximation type η , we have for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h \|hx\|} \leq c_0(x, \varepsilon) H^{\eta-1+\varepsilon/2} \quad (\text{cf. [6, p. 123]}),$$

and so we obtain with $H = [N^{1/2\eta}]$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 N^2 D_N(x_{n+M}(t))^2 dt &\leq c_1(q, x, \varepsilon) \left(\frac{N^2}{H^2} + N(\log H + H^{\eta-1+\varepsilon/2})^2 \right) \\ &\leq c_2(q, x, \varepsilon) \left(\frac{N^2}{N^{1/\eta}} + N \cdot N^{\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}} + \varepsilon \right) \\ &\leq c_3(q, x, \varepsilon) N^{\frac{2\eta-1}{\eta}} + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By the following lemma this yields

$$N^2 D_N(x_n(t))^2 = O(N^{\frac{2\eta-1}{\eta}} + \varepsilon)$$

for almost all t and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 4 (Special case of [4, Théorème 3]). *Put $F(M, N; t) = ND_N(x_{n+M}(t))$. If*

$$\int_0^1 F(M, N; t)^2 dt = O(\psi(N)) \quad \text{uniformly in } M = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and $\psi(N)/N$ is nondecreasing then for almost all t and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive constant $c(t, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$F(0, N; t) \leq c(t, \varepsilon) \sqrt{\psi(N)} (\log N)^{3/2 + \varepsilon}.$$

Remark 3. In the proof of Theorem 2 we have shown that

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{n=1}^N e(hx_n(t)) \right|^2 dt \leq N \left(1 + \frac{q}{\pi \|hx\|^2} \right) \quad (h = 1, 2, \dots)$$

for every irrational number x . By the theorem of Davenport, Erdős and Le Veque (cf. [6, p. 33, Theorem 4.2]) we conclude that $(x_n(t))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is u.d. mod 1 for almost all $t \in [0, 1)$.

Remark 4. Let x be an irrational number and let $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an arbitrary sequence of reals. Then there exists a nondecreasing sequence (s_n) of integers with $0 \leq s_n \leq n$ such that $(xs_n + a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is u.d. mod 1. (This follows immediately from the previous remark for $d = 1$ and $q = 2$, since $s_n = s_n^{(1)}(2; t)$ is nondecreasing and $s_n^{(1)}(2; t) \leq n$.)

REFERENCES

1. J. COQUET, Sur certaines suites uniformément équiréparties modulo 1, *Acta Arith.* **36** (1980), 157–162.
2. J. COQUET, Répartition de la somme des chiffres associée à une fraction continue, *Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège* **51** (1982), 161–165.
3. J. COQUET, G. RHIN, ET PH. TOFFIN, Représentations des entiers naturels et indépendance statistique 2, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **31** (1981), 1–15.
4. L. S. GÁL ET J. F. KOKSMA, Sur l'ordre de grandeur des fonctions sommables, *Indag. Math.* **12** (1950), 192–207.
5. E. HLAWKA, "Theorie der Gleichverteilung," B.I. Mannheim/Wien/Zürich, 1979.
6. L. KUIPERS AND H. NIEDERREITER, "Uniform Distribution of Sequences," Wiley, New York, 1974.