



# Maximal element theorems in product $FC$ -spaces and generalized games <sup>☆</sup>

Xie Ping Ding

*College of Mathematics and Software Science, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu 610066, PR China*

Received 21 July 2004

Available online 7 January 2005

Submitted by H. Frankowska

---

## Abstract

Let  $I$  be a finite or infinite index set,  $X$  be a topological space and  $(Y_i, \{\varphi_{N_i}\}_{i \in I})$  be a family of finitely continuous topological spaces (in short,  $FC$ -space). For each  $i \in I$ , let  $A_i : X \rightarrow 2^{Y_i}$  be a set-valued mapping. Some existence theorems of maximal elements for the family  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  are established under noncompact setting of  $FC$ -spaces. As applications, some equilibrium existence theorems for generalized games with fuzzy constraint correspondences are proved in noncompact  $FC$ -spaces. These theorems improve, unify and generalize many important results in recent literature. © 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Maximal element; Generalized game; Fuzzy constraint; Equilibrium;  $FC$ -space

---

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

It is well known that many existence theorems of maximal elements for set-valued mappings have been established in topological vector spaces,  $H$ -spaces and  $G$ -convex spaces by many authors. Their important applications to mathematical economies and generalized games have been studied extensively by many authors. For existence results of maximal elements of various classes of set-valued mappings and their applications to mathematical

---

<sup>☆</sup> This project was supported by the NSF of Sichuan Education Department of China (2003A081) and SZD0406. *E-mail address:* [dingxip@sicnu.edu.cn](mailto:dingxip@sicnu.edu.cn).

economies, generalized games and other branches of mathematics, the reader may consult [3,5–12,16–25,27,33–45] and the references therein.

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. We denote by  $2^X$  and  $\langle X \rangle$  the family of all subsets of  $X$  and the family of all nonempty finite subsets of  $X$  respectively. Let  $\Delta_n$  be the standard  $n$ -dimensional simplex with vertices  $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n$ . If  $J$  is a nonempty subset of  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , we denote by  $\Delta_J$  the convex hull of the vertices  $\{e_j: j \in J\}$ .

The following notions was introduced by Ding in [13,14].

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be compactly open (respectively, compactly closed) if for each nonempty compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ ,  $A \cap K$  is open (respectively, closed) in  $K$ . The compact interior and the compact closure of  $A$  are defined by

$$\text{cint } A = \bigcup \{B \subset X: B \subset A \text{ and } B \text{ is compactly open in } X\}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{cccl } A = \bigcap \{B \subset X: A \subset B \text{ and } B \text{ is compactly closed}\}.$$

Clearly, we have  $X \setminus \text{cint } A = \text{cccl}(X \setminus A)$  and  $X \setminus \text{cccl } A = \text{cint}(X \setminus A)$ . For any compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , we have  $\text{cint } A \cap K = \text{int}_K(A \cap K)$  and  $\text{cccl } A \cap K = \text{cl}_K(A \cap K)$ .

A set-valued mapping  $T: X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to be transfer compactly open-valued if for  $x \in X$  and for each compact subset  $K$  of  $Y$ ,  $y \in T(x) \cap K$  implies that there exists  $x' \in X$  such that  $y \in \text{int}_K(T(x') \cap K)$ .

The following notion of a finitely continuous topological space (in short,  $FC$ -space) was introduced by Ding in [15].

**Definition 1.1.**  $(Y, \{\varphi_N\})$  is said to be a  $FC$ -space if  $Y$  is a topological space and for each  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$  where some elements in  $N$  may be same, there exists a continuous mapping  $\varphi_N: \Delta_n \rightarrow Y$ . A subset  $D$  of  $(Y, \{\varphi_N\})$  is said to be a  $FC$ -subspace of  $Y$  if for each  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$  and for each  $\{y_{i_0}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subset N \cap D$ ,  $\varphi_N(\Delta_k) \subset D$  where  $\Delta_k = \text{co}\{e_{i_j}: j = 0, \dots, k\}$ .

Clearly, each  $FC$ -subspace  $D$  of a  $FC$ -space  $(Y, \{\varphi_N\})$  is also a  $FC$ -space.

The following notion of generalized convex (in short,  $G$ -convex) spaces was introduced by Park and Kim in [31] and Park in [30].

**Definition 1.2.**  $(Y, \Gamma)$  is said to be a  $G$ -convex space if  $Y$  is a topological space and  $\Gamma: \langle Y \rangle \rightarrow 2^Y \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  such that for each  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$ , there exists a continuous mapping  $\varphi_N: \Delta_n \rightarrow \Gamma(N)$  satisfying that for each  $B = \{y_{i_0}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subset N$ ,  $\varphi_N(\Delta_k) \subset \Gamma(B)$  where  $\Delta_k = \text{co}\{e_{i_j}: j = 0, \dots, k\}$ . A subset  $D$  of  $Y$  is said to be  $G$ -convex if for any  $N \in \langle D \rangle$ ,  $\Gamma(N) \subset D$ .

It is clear that the class of  $G$ -convex spaces is a true subclass of  $FC$ -spaces. We emphasize that  $FC$ -space is a topological space without any convexity structure. Major examples of  $FC$ -space is convex subsets of topological vector spaces, Lassonde’s convex spaces in [26],  $C$ -spaces (or  $H$ -spaces) due to Horvath in [24],  $G$ -convex space due to Park and Kim in [30,31] and many topological spaces with abstract convexity structure, see [30,31].

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $(Y, \{\varphi_N\})$  be a  $FC$ -space. The class  $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  of better admissible mappings was introduced as follows:  $F \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X) \Leftrightarrow F : Y \rightarrow 2^X$  is an upper semicontinuous set-valued mapping with compact values such that for any  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$  and any continuous mapping  $\psi : F(\varphi_N(\Delta_n)) \rightarrow \Delta_n$ , the composition mapping  $\psi \circ F|_{\varphi_N(\Delta_n)} \circ \varphi_N : \Delta_n \rightarrow 2^{\Delta_n}$  has a fixed point.

If  $(Y, \{\varphi_N\})$  be a  $G$ -convex space, the class  $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  was introduced by Park in [28]. If  $Y$  is a nonempty convex subset of a vector space  $E$ , the class  $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  is introduced and studied by Park in [29]. The class  $\mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  of better admissible set-valued mappings includes many important classes of set-valued mappings, for example,  $\mathcal{U}_c^k(Y, X)$  in [31],  $KKM(Y, X)$  in [4] and  $\mathbf{A}(Y, X)$  in [2] and so on as proper subclasses, see [28].

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $I$  be any index set. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $(Y_i, \{\varphi_{N_i}\})$  be a  $FC$ -space. Let  $Y = \prod_{i \in I} Y_i$  and  $\varphi_N = \prod_{i \in I} \varphi_{N_i}$ . Then  $(Y, \{\varphi_N\})$  is also a  $FC$ -space.*

**Proof.** Let  $Y$  be equipped with the product topology and for  $i \in I$ , let  $\pi_i : Y \rightarrow Y_i$  be the projective mapping from  $Y$  to  $Y_i$ . For any given  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$ , let  $N_i = \pi_i(N) = \{\pi_i(y_0), \dots, \pi_i(y_n)\} \in \langle Y_i \rangle$ . Since  $Y_i$  is a  $FC$ -space, there exists a continuous mapping  $\varphi_{N_i} : \Delta_n \rightarrow Y_i$ . Define a mapping  $\varphi_N : \Delta_n \rightarrow Y$  by

$$\varphi_N(\alpha) = \prod_{i \in I} \varphi_{N_i}(\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta_n.$$

Then  $\varphi_N$  is continuous and hence  $(Y, \{\varphi_N\})$  is also a  $FC$ -space.  $\square$

By the definition of  $FC$ -subspace of a  $FC$ -space and Lemma 1.1, we can prove that if for each  $i \in I$ ,  $D_i$  is a  $FC$ -subspace of  $FC$ -space  $(Y_i, \{\varphi_{N_i}\})$ , then  $D = \prod_{i \in I} D_i$  is also a  $FC$ -subspace of the  $FC$ -space  $(Y, \{\varphi_N\})$  defined in Lemma 1.1.

**Lemma 1.2** [13]. *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces,  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a set-valued mapping with nonempty values. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $T$  has the compactly local intersection property,
- (2) for each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and for each  $y \in Y$ , there exists an open subset  $O_y$  of  $X$  (which may be empty) such that  $O_y \cap K \subset T^{-1}(y)$  and  $K = \bigcup_{y \in Y} (O_y \cap K)$ ,
- (3) for each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , there exists a set-valued mapping  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  such that for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $F^{-1}(y)$  is open or empty in  $X$ , and  $F^{-1}(y) \cap K \subset T^{-1}(y)$  for each  $y \in Y$  and  $K = \bigcup_{y \in Y} (F^{-1}(y) \cap K)$ ,
- (4) for each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and for each  $x \in K$ , there exists  $x \in \text{cint } T^{-1}(y) \cap K$ , i.e.,

$$K = \bigcup_{y \in Y} (\text{cint } T^{-1}(y) \cap K) = \bigcup_{y \in Y} (T^{-1}(y) \cap K),$$

- (5)  $T^{-1} : Y \rightarrow 2^X$  is transfer compactly open-valued on  $Y$ .

## 2. Existence of maximal elements

In this section, we shall show several existence theorems of maximal elements for a set-valued mapping and for a family of set-valued mappings involving a better admissible set-valued mapping.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $(Y, \{\varphi_N\})$  be a FC-space,  $F \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  and  $A : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  such that,*

(i) *for each  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$  and for each  $\{y_{i_0}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subset N$ ,*

$$F(\varphi_N(\Delta_k)) \cap \left( \bigcap_{j=0}^k \text{cint } A^{-1}(y_{i_j}) \right) = \emptyset,$$

(ii)  *$A^{-1} : Y \rightarrow 2^X$  is transfer compactly open-valued,*

(iii) *there exists a nonempty set  $Y_0 \subset Y$  and for each  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$ , there exists a compact FC-subspace  $L_N$  of  $Y$  containing  $Y_0 \cup N$  such that  $K = \bigcap_{y \in Y_0} (\text{cint } A^{-1}(y))^c$  is empty or compact in  $X$  where  $(\text{cint } A^{-1}(y))^c$  denotes the complement of  $\text{cint } A^{-1}(y)$ .*

*Then there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $A(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose the conclusion is false, then  $A(x) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in X$ . If  $K$  is empty, then we have

$$X = X \setminus \bigcap_{y \in Y_0} (\text{cint } A^{-1}(y))^c = \bigcup_{y \in Y_0} \text{cint } A^{-1}(y). \tag{1}$$

If  $K$  is nonempty and compact, by (ii) and Lemma 1.2, we have

$$K = \bigcup_{y \in Y} (\text{cint } A^{-1}(y) \cap K).$$

Since  $K$  is compact, there exists  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$  such that

$$K = \bigcup_{i=0}^n (\text{cint } A^{-1}(y_i) \cap K) \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^n \text{cint } A^{-1}(y_i).$$

It follows that

$$X \setminus \bigcup_{y \in Y_0} \text{cint } A^{-1}(y) = \bigcap_{y \in Y_0} (\text{cint } A^{-1}(y))^c = K \subset \bigcup_{y \in N} \text{cint } A^{-1}(y).$$

Hence we obtain

$$X = \bigcup_{y \in Y_0 \cup N} \text{cint } A^{-1}(y). \tag{2}$$

Therefore, in both cases, there exists  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$  such that (2) holds. By condition (iii), there exists a compact FC-subspace  $L_N$  of  $Y$  containing  $Y_0 \cup N$ . Since  $F$  is

upper semicontinuous with compact values and  $L_N$  is compact, it follows from Proposition 3.1.11 of Aubin and Ekeland in [1] that  $F(L_N)$  is compact in  $X$ . By (2), we have

$$F(L_N) = \bigcup_{y \in L_N} (\text{cint } A^{-1}(y) \cap F(L_N)). \tag{3}$$

Hence there exists a finite set  $M = \{z_0, \dots, z_m\} \in \langle L_N \rangle \subset \langle Y \rangle$  such that

$$F(L_N) = \bigcup_{i=0}^m (\text{cint } A^{-1}(z_i) \cap F(L_N)). \tag{4}$$

Since  $L_N$  is a  $FC$ -subspace of  $Y$ , we have

$$\varphi_M(\Delta_r) \subset L_N, \quad \forall \{z_{i_0}, \dots, z_{i_r}\} \subset M, \tag{5}$$

where  $\Delta_r = \text{co}(\{e_{i_j} : j = 0, \dots, r\})$ .

Let  $\{\psi_i\}_{i=0}^m$  is the continuous partition of unity subordinated to the open covering  $\{\text{cint } A^{-1}(z_i) \cap F(L_N)\}_{i=0}^m$ . Then for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$  and  $x \in F(L_N)$ ,

$$\psi_i(x) \neq 0 \iff x \in \text{cint } A^{-1}(z_i) \cap F(L_N) \subset \text{cint } A^{-1}(z_i). \tag{6}$$

Define a mapping  $\psi : F(L_N) \rightarrow \Delta_m$  by

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m \psi_i(x)e_i, \quad \forall x \in F(L_N). \tag{7}$$

Hence  $\psi$  is continuous and

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{j \in J(x)} \psi_j(x)e_j \in \Delta_{J(x)}, \quad \forall x \in F(L_N), \tag{8}$$

where  $J(x) = \{j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} : \psi_j(x) \neq 0\}$ . Note that  $M \subset L_N$  and  $L_N$  is  $FC$ -subspace of  $Y$ , we have  $F(\varphi_M(\Delta_m)) \subset F(L_N)$ . Since  $F \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ , it follows from (5) and (7) that the function  $\psi \circ F|_{\varphi_M(\Delta_m)} \circ \varphi_M : \Delta_m \rightarrow \Delta_m$  has a fixed point  $z \in \Delta_m$ , i.e.,  $z \in \psi \circ F|_{\varphi_M(\Delta_m)} \circ \varphi_M(z)$ . Hence there exists  $\bar{x} \in F|_{\varphi_M(\Delta_m)} \circ \varphi_M(z)$  such that

$$z = \psi(\bar{x}) = \sum_{j \in J(\bar{x})} \psi_j(\bar{x})e_j \in \Delta_{J(\bar{x})},$$

where  $J(\bar{x}) = \{j \in \{0, \dots, m\} : \psi_j(\bar{x}) \neq 0\}$ . It follows from (i) that

$$\bar{x} \in F|_{\varphi_M(\Delta_m)} \circ \varphi_M(z) \subset F(\varphi_M(\Delta_{J(\bar{x})})) \subset \bigcup_{j \in J(\bar{x})} (X \setminus \text{cint } A^{-1}(z_j)).$$

Therefore there exists  $j_0 \in J(\bar{x})$  such that  $\bar{x} \notin \text{cint } A^{-1}(z_{j_0})$ . On the other hand, by the definition of  $J(\bar{x})$ , we have  $\psi_{j_0}(\bar{x}) \neq 0$ . It follows from (6) that  $\bar{x} \in \text{cint } A^{-1}(z_{j_0})$  which is a contradiction. Hence there must exists  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $A(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** Theorem 2.1 generalizes Theorem 2.1 of Ding in [11] from  $G$ -convex spaces to  $FC$ -space without any convexity structure.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $K$  be a nonempty compact subset of  $X$ ,  $(Y, \{\varphi_N\})$  be a FC-space,  $F \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  and  $A: X \rightarrow 2^Y$  such that,

(i) for each  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$  and for each  $\{y_{i_0}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subset N$ ,

$$F(\varphi_N(\Delta_k)) \cap \left( \bigcap_{j=0}^k \text{cint } A^{-1}(y_{i_j}) \right) = \emptyset,$$

(ii)  $A^{-1}: Y \rightarrow 2^X$  is transfer compactly open-valued,

(iii) for each  $N \in \langle Y \rangle$ , there exists a compact FC-subspace  $L_N$  of  $Y$  containing  $N$  such that

$$F(L_N) \setminus K \subset \bigcup_{y \in L_N} \text{cint } A^{-1}(y).$$

Then there exists a point  $\hat{x} \in K$  such that  $A(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Suppose the conclusion is false, then  $A(x) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in X$ . By (ii) and Lemma 1.2, we have

$$K = \bigcup_{y \in Y} (\text{cint } A^{-1}(y) \cap K).$$

Since  $K$  is compact, there exists  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$  such that

$$K = \bigcup_{i=0}^n (\text{cint } A^{-1}(y_i) \cap K).$$

By (iii) and  $F \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ , there exists a compact FC-subspace  $L_N$  of  $Y$  containing  $N$  and  $F(L_N)$  is compact in  $X$  and hence we have

$$F(L_N) = \bigcup_{y \in L_N} (\text{cint } A^{-1}(y) \cap F(L_N)).$$

By using similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can show that there exists  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $A(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ . The condition (iii) implies that  $\hat{x}$  must be in  $K$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** Theorem 2.2 generalizes Theorem 2.2 of Ding in [11] from  $G$ -convex space to FC-space without any convexity structure.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let  $(X, \{\varphi_N\})$  be a FC-space and  $K$  be a nonempty compact subset of  $X$ . Let  $F \in \mathcal{B}(X, X)$  and  $A: X \rightarrow 2^X$  be such that

(i) for each  $N = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \in \langle X \rangle$  and for each  $\{x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_k}\} \subset N$ ,

$$F(\varphi_N(\Delta_k)) \cap \left( \bigcap_{j=0}^k \text{cint } A^{-1}(x_{i_j}) \right) = \emptyset,$$

- (ii)  $A^{-1} : X \rightarrow 2^X$  is transfer compactly open-valued,
- (iii) for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$  there exists a compact FC-subspace  $L_N$  of  $X$  containing  $N$  such that

$$F(L_N) \setminus K \subset \bigcup_{y \in L_N} \text{cint } A^{-1}(y).$$

Then  $A$  has a maximal element  $\hat{x} \in K$ , i.e.,  $A(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** The conclusion of Corollary 2.1 follows from Theorem 2.2 with  $X = (Y, \{\varphi_N\})$ .  $\square$

If  $F$  is the identity mapping in Corollary 2.1, then we have obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $(X, \{\varphi_N\})$  be a FC-space and  $K$  be a nonempty compact subset of  $X$ . Let  $A : X \rightarrow 2^X$  be such that

- (i) for each  $N = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \in \langle X \rangle$  and for each  $\{x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_k}\} \subset N$ ,

$$\varphi_N(\Delta_k) \cap \left( \bigcap_{j=0}^k \text{cint } A^{-1}(x_{i_j}) \right) = \emptyset,$$

- (ii)  $A^{-1} : X \rightarrow 2^X$  is transfer compactly open-valued,
- (iii) for each  $N \in \langle X \rangle$  there exists a compact FC-subspace  $L_N$  of  $X$  containing  $N$  such that

$$L_N \setminus K \subset \bigcup_{y \in L_N} \text{cint } A^{-1}(y).$$

Then  $A$  has a maximal element  $\hat{x} \in K$ , i.e.,  $A(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ .

**Remark 2.3.** We note that the coercive condition (iii) of Theorem 2.1 and the coercive condition (iii) of Theorem 2.2 are not equivalent. Hence they are different results. Corollary 2.1 generalizes Corollary 2.1 of Ding in [11] from  $G$ -convex space to FC-space. Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2, in turn, generalizes Theorem 2.1 of Shen in [32], Theorem 1 of Ding and Tan in [19], Theorem 1 of Ding et al. in [17], Theorem 2 of Tulcea in [40], Theorem 2.2 of Toussaint in [39], Theorem 5.1 of Yannelis and Prabhakar in [42] and Corollary 1 of Borglin and Keiding in [3] in many aspects.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $I$  be an any index set. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $(Y_i, \{\varphi_{N_i}\})$  be a FC-space and let  $Y = \prod_{i \in I} Y_i$  such that  $(Y, \{\varphi_N\})$  is a FC-space defined as in Lemma 1.1. Let  $F \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  and for each  $i \in I$ ,  $A_i : X \rightarrow 2^{Y_i}$  such that,

- (i) for each  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$  and for each  $\{y_{i_0}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subset N$ ,

$$F(\varphi_N(\Delta_k)) \cap \left( \bigcap_{j=0}^k \text{cint } A_i^{-1}(\pi_i(y_{i_j})) \right) = \emptyset,$$

where  $\pi_i$  is the projection from  $Y$  to  $Y_i$ ,

- (ii)  $A_i^{-1} : Y_i \rightarrow 2^X$  is transfer compactly open-valued,
- (iii) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $I(x) = \{i \in I : A_i(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite,
- (iv) there exists a nonempty set  $Y_0 \subset Y$  and for each  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$ , there exists a compact FC-subspace  $L_N$  of  $Y$  containing  $Y_0 \cup N$  such that

$$K = \bigcap_{y \in Y_0} \text{ccl} \{x \in X : \exists i \in I(x), \pi_i(y) \notin A_i(x)\}$$

is empty or compact in  $X$ .

Then there exists  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $A_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$ .

**Proof.** Define  $A : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} \bigcap_{i \in I(x)} \pi_i^{-1}(A_i(x)), & \text{if } I(x) \neq \emptyset, \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } I(x) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Then for each  $x \in X$ ,  $A(x) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $I(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in X$  with  $A(x) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists an  $i_0 \in I(x)$  such that  $A_{i_0}(x) \neq \emptyset$ . For each  $y \in Y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1}(y) &= \{x \in X : y \in A(x)\} = \left\{x \in X : y \in \bigcap_{i \in I(x)} \pi_i^{-1}(A_i(x))\right\} \\ &= \{x \in X : \pi_i(y) \in A_i(x), \forall i \in I(x)\} \\ &\subset \{x \in X : x \in A_{i_0}^{-1}(\pi_{i_0}(y))\} = A_{i_0}^{-1}(\pi_{i_0}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

For each  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$  and for each  $\{y_{i_0}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subset N$ , if  $u \in \bigcap_{j=0}^k \text{cint } A^{-1}(y_{i_j})$ , then  $u \in \bigcap_{j=0}^k \text{cint } A_{i_0}^{-1}(\pi_{i_0}(y_{i_j}))$ . By (i),  $u \notin F(\varphi_N(\Delta_k))$ . It follows that

$$F(\varphi_N(\Delta_k)) \cap \left( \bigcap_{j=0}^k \text{cint } A^{-1}(y_{i_j}) \right) = \emptyset.$$

The condition (i) of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied.

For any compact subset  $D$  of  $X$ , if  $x \in A^{-1}(y) \cap D$ , then for each  $i \in I(x)$ ,  $x \in A_i^{-1}(\pi_i(y)) \cap D$ . By (ii), each  $A_i^{-1}$  is transfer compactly open-valued and hence there exists  $\bar{y}_i \in Y_i$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_D(A_i^{-1}(\bar{y}_i) \cap D)$ . Note that  $I(x)$  is finite by (iii), we have

$$x \in \bigcap_{i \in I(x)} \text{int}_D(A_i^{-1}(\bar{y}_i) \cap D) \subset \text{int}_D \left( \bigcap_{i \in I(x)} (A_i^{-1}(\bar{y}_i) \cap D) \right).$$

Let  $\bar{y} = \prod_{i \in I(x)} \bar{y}_i \otimes \prod_{j \in I \setminus I(x)} (y_j)$  where  $y_j \in Y_j$  is an any fixed element for each  $j \in I \setminus I(x)$ . Hence there exists  $\bar{y} \in Y$  such that

$$x \in \text{int}_D \left( \bigcap_{i \in I(x)} A_i^{-1}(\pi_i(\bar{y})) \cap D \right) = \text{int}_D(A^{-1}(\bar{y}) \cap D).$$



Hence  $A^{-1}$  is transfer compactly open-valued, the condition (ii) of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied. By the definition of  $A$ , for each  $y \in Y$  we have

$$A^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X: \pi_i(y) \in A_i(x), \forall i \in I(x)\}.$$

It follows from (iv) that

$$K = \bigcap_{y \in Y_0} (\text{cint } A^{-1}(y))^c = \bigcap_{y \in Y_0} \text{ccl}\{x \in X: \exists i \in I(x), \pi_i(y) \notin A_i(x)\}$$

is empty or compact and hence the condition (iii) of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied. By Theorem 2.1, there exists  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $A(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  which implies  $I(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $A_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $X = Y = \prod_{i \in I} Y_i$  and  $F$  be an identity mapping on  $Y$ , then, by Theorem 2.3, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $I$  be an any index set. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $(X_i, \{\varphi_{N_i}\})$  be a FC-space and let  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  such that  $(X, \{\varphi_N\})$  is a FC-space defined as in Lemma 1.1. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $A_i: X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  such that*

- (i) *for each  $N = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \in \langle X \rangle$  and for each  $\{x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_k}\} \subset N$ ,*

$$\varphi_N(\Delta_k) \cap \left( \bigcap_{j=0}^k \text{cint } A_i^{-1}(\pi_i(x_{i_j})) \right) = \emptyset,$$

- (ii)  $A_i^{-1}: X_i \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  *is transfer compactly open-valued,*
- (iii) *for each  $x \in X$ ,  $I(x) = \{i \in I: A_i(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite,*
- (iv) *there exists a nonempty set  $X_0 \subset X$  and for each  $N = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \in \langle X \rangle$ , there exists a compact FC-subspace  $L_N$  of  $X$  containing  $X_0 \cup N$  such that  $K = \bigcap_{y \in X_0} \{x \in X: \exists i \in I(x), \pi_i(y) \notin A_i(x)\}$  is empty or compact.*

*Then there exists  $\hat{x} \in X$  such that  $A_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$ .*

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $I$  be an any index set. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $(Y_i, \{\varphi_{N_i}\})$  be a FC-space and let  $Y = \prod_{i \in I} Y_i$  such that  $(Y, \{\varphi_N\})$  is a FC-space defined as in Lemma 1.1. Let  $F \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  and for each  $i \in I$ ,  $A_i: X \rightarrow 2^{Y_i}$  such that*

- (i) *for each  $N = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\} \in \langle Y \rangle$  and for each  $\{y_{i_0}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subset N$ ,*

$$F(\varphi_N(\Delta_k)) \cap \left( \bigcap_{j=0}^k \text{cint } A_i^{-1}(\pi_i(y_{i_j})) \right) = \emptyset,$$

- (ii)  $A_i^{-1}: Y_i \rightarrow 2^{Y_i}$  *is transfer compactly open-valued,*
- (iii) *for each  $x \in X$ ,  $I(x) = \{i \in I: A_i(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite,*
- (iv) *there exists a compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and for each  $i \in I$  and  $N_i \in \langle Y_i \rangle$ , there exists a nonempty compact FC-subspace  $L_{N_i}$  of  $Y_i$  containing  $N_i$  such that for each  $x \in X \setminus K$ , there exists  $y \in L_N = \prod_{i \in I} L_{N_i}$  such that for each  $i \in I(x)$ ,  $x \in \text{cint } A_i^{-1}(\pi_i(y))$ .*

*Then there exists  $\hat{x} \in K$  such that  $A_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$ .*

**Proof.** Define  $A : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} \bigcap_{i \in I(x)} \pi_i^{-1}(A_i(x)), & \text{if } I(x) \neq \emptyset, \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } I(x) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Then for each  $x \in X$ ,  $A(x) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $I(x) \neq \emptyset$ . By the conditions (i)–(iii) and the proof of Theorem 2.3, the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. For each  $N \in \langle Y \rangle$  and  $i \in I$ , let  $N_i = \pi_i(N)$ . By (iv), there exists compact FC-subspace  $L_{N_i}$  containing  $N_i$ . Let  $L_N = \prod_{i \in I} L_{N_i}$ , then  $L_N$  is a compact FC-subspace of  $Y$  and

$$L_N = \prod_{i \in I} L_{N_i} \supset \prod_{i \in I} \pi_i(N) \supset N.$$

By (iv) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(L_N) \setminus K \subset X \setminus K &\subset \bigcup_{y \in L_N} \left( \bigcap_{i \in I(x)} \text{cint } A_i^{-1}(\pi_i(y)) \right) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{y \in L_N} \text{cint} \left( \bigcap_{i \in I(x)} A_i^{-1}(\pi_i(y)) \right) = \bigcup_{y \in L_N} \text{cint } A^{-1}(y). \end{aligned}$$

The condition (iii) of Theorem 2.2 is satisfied. By Theorem 2.2, there exists  $\hat{x} \in K$  such that  $A(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  which implies  $I(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $A_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $X = Y = \prod_{i \in I} Y_i$  and  $F$  be the identity mapping on  $Y$ , then, by Theorem 2.4, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $I$  be an any index set. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $(X_i, \{\varphi_{N_i}\})$  be a FC-space and let  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  such that  $(X, \{\varphi_N\})$  is a FC-space defined as in Lemma 1.1. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $A_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  such that*

(i) *for each  $N = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \in \langle X \rangle$  and for each  $\{x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_k}\} \subset N$ ,*

$$\varphi_N(\Delta_k) \cap \left( \bigcap_{j=0}^k \text{cint } A_{i_j}^{-1}(\pi_{i_j}(x_{i_j})) \right) = \emptyset,$$

(ii)  *$A_i^{-1} : X_i \rightarrow 2^X$  is transfer compactly open-valued,*

(iii) *for each  $x \in X$ ,  $I(x) = \{i \in I : A_i(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite,*

(iv) *there exists a compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and for each  $i \in I$  and  $N_i \in \langle X_i \rangle$ , there exists a nonempty compact FC-subspace  $L_{N_i}$  of  $X_i$  containing  $N_i$  such that for each  $x \in X \setminus K$ , there exists  $x \in L_N = \prod_{i \in I} L_{N_i}$  such that for each  $i \in I(x)$ ,  $x \in \text{cint } A_i^{-1}(\pi_i(x))$ .*

*Then there exists  $\hat{x} \in K$  such that  $A_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$ .*

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $I$  be an any index set. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $(X_i, \{\varphi_{N_i}\})$  be a FC-space and let  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  such that  $(X, \{\varphi_N\})$  is a FC-space defined as in Lemma 1.1. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $A_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  such that*

- (i) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $A_i(x)$  is a FC-subspace of  $X_i$ ,
- (ii) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $x_i = \pi_i(x) \notin A_i(x)$  and  $A_i^{-1} : X_i \rightarrow 2^X$  is transfer compactly open-valued,
- (iii) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $I(x) = \{i \in I : A_i(x) \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite,
- (iv) there exists a compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and for each  $i \in I$  and  $N_i \in \langle X_i \rangle$ , there exists a nonempty compact FC-subspace  $L_{N_i}$  of  $X_i$  containing  $N_i$  such that for each  $x \in X \setminus K$ , there exists  $y \in L_N = \prod_{i \in I} L_{N_i}$  such that for each  $i \in I(x)$ ,  $x \in \text{cint } A_i^{-1}(\pi_i(y))$ .

Then there exists  $\hat{x} \in K$  such that  $A_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in I$ .

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that the conditions (i) and  $\pi_i(x) \notin A_i(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  imply the condition (i) of Corollary 2.4 holds. Suppose that the condition (i) of Corollary 2.4 does not hold, then there exist  $N = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \in \langle X \rangle$  and  $\{x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_k}\} \subset N$  such that

$$\varphi_N(\Delta_k) \cap \left( \bigcap_{j=0}^k \text{cint } A^{-1}(\pi_i(x_{i_j})) \right) \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence there exists  $\hat{x} \in \varphi_N(\Delta_k)$  such that  $\hat{x} \in \text{cint } A_i^{-1}(\pi_i(x_{i_j})) \subset A_i^{-1}(\pi_i(x_{i_j}))$  for all  $j = 0, \dots, k$ . It follow that  $\{\pi_i(x_{i_j}) : j = 0, \dots, k\} \subset A_i(\hat{x})$ . Since  $A_i(\hat{x})$  is a FC-subspace of  $Y_i$ , we have

$$\hat{x}_i = \pi_i(\hat{x}) \in \pi_i(\varphi_N(\Delta_k)) = \varphi_{N_i}(\Delta_k) \subset A_i(\hat{x})$$

which contradicts the condition that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $x_i = \pi_i(x) \notin A_i(x)$ . Hence the condition (i) of Corollary 2.4 hold. The conclusion follows from Corollary 2.4.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** Corollary 2.5 generalizes Theorem 4.1 of Lin, Yu, Ansari and Lai in [27] from convex subsets of topological vector space to FC-spaces without any convexity structure.

### 3. Equilibria of generalized games

In this section, by using the maximal element theorems obtained in the above section, we will establish a new existence theorems for equilibrium points of generalized games with fuzzy constraint correspondences in FC-spaces.

Because of the fuzziness of consumers' behavior or market situations, in a real market, any preference of a real agent would be unstable. Therefore Kim and Tan [25] introduced the following model of generalized games with fuzzy constraint correspondences.

Let  $I$  be a finite or infinite set of agents. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $X_i$  be a strategy set (or commodity space) of  $i$ th agent. A generalized game  $\Gamma = (X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i)_{i \in I}$  is defined as a family of ordered quadruples  $(X_i, A_i, F_i, P_i)$ , where  $A_i : X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  is a constraint correspondence such that  $A_i(x)$  is the state attainable for  $i$ th agent;  $F_i : X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  is a fuzzy constraint correspondence such that  $F_i(x)$  is the unstable state for  $i$ th agent, and  $P_i : X \times X \rightarrow 2^{X_i}$  is a preference correspondence such that  $P_i(x, y)$  is the state preference of  $i$ th agent at  $(x, y)$ . An equilibrium for generalized game  $\Gamma$  is a point  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \in X \times X$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\hat{x}_i = \pi_i(\hat{x}) \in A_i(\hat{x})$ ,  $\hat{y}_i = \pi_i(\hat{y}) \in F_i(\hat{y})$ , and  $A_i(\hat{x}) \cap P_i(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \emptyset$ .

If for each  $i \in I$ ,  $F_i(x) = X_i$  and  $P_i(x, y) = P_i(x)$  for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ , then the above definition of a generalized game  $\Gamma$  and an equilibrium point of  $\Gamma$  coincide with the usual definition of a generalized game in [3,5,7–10,16–21,23,25,32–45].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\Gamma = ((X_i, \{\varphi_{N_i}\}), A_i, F_i, P_i)_{i \in I}$  be a generalized game and  $K$  be a non-empty compact subset of  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  such that for each  $i \in I$ , the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $A_i(x), F_i(x)$  are nonempty FC-subspaces of  $X_i$ ,
- (ii) for each  $y_i \in X_i$ ,  $A_i^{-1}(y_i), F_i^{-1}(y_i)$ , and  $P_i^{-1}(y_i)$  are compactly open,
- (iii) for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ ,  $P_i(x, y)$  is a FC-subspace of  $X_i$  and  $x_i = \pi_i(x) \notin P_i(x, y)$ ,
- (iv) the set  $W_i = \{(x, y) \in X \times X: \pi_i(x) \in A_i(x) \text{ and } \pi_i(y) \in F_i(x)\}$  is compactly closed,
- (v) for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ , the set  $I(x, y) = \{i \in I: A_i(x) \cap P_i(x, y) \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite,
- (vi) for each  $N_i, M_i \in \langle X_i \rangle$ , there exist compact FC-subspaces  $L_{N_i}$  and  $L_{M_i}$  of  $\langle X_i \rangle$  containing  $N_i$  and  $M_i$  respectively, such that for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X \setminus K \times K$ , there exists  $(u, v) \in L_N \times L_M$ , where  $L_N = \prod_{i \in I} L_{N_i}$  and  $L_M = \prod_{i \in I} L_{M_i}$ , such that for each  $i \in I(x, y)$ ,  $\pi_i(u) \in A_i(x) \cap P_i(x, y)$  and  $\pi_i(v) \in F_i(x)$ .

Then there exists  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \in K \times K$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\hat{x}_i = \pi_i(\hat{x}) \in A_i(\hat{x})$ ,  $\hat{y}_i = \pi_i(\hat{y}) \in F_i(\hat{y})$ , and  $A_i(\hat{x}) \cap P_i(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$  is an equilibrium point of  $\Gamma$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 1.1,  $(X \times X, \{\varphi_N\})$  is also a FC-space where  $X \times X = \prod_{i \in I} (X_i \times X_i)$ . For each  $i \in I$ , define  $G_i: X \times X \rightarrow 2^{X_i \times X_i}$  by

$$G_i(x, y) = \begin{cases} [A_i(x) \cap P_i(x, y)] \times F_i(x), & \text{if } (x, y) \in W_i, \\ A_i(x) \times F_i(x), & \text{if } (x, y) \notin W_i. \end{cases}$$

Then, by (i) and (iii), for each  $i \in I$  and for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ ,  $G_i(x, y)$  is a FC-space of  $X_i$  and so the condition (i) of Corollary 2.5 is satisfied. By (iii) and the definition of  $W_i$ , we have  $(x_i, y_i) = (\pi_i(x), \pi_i(y)) \notin G_i(x, y)$  for each  $i \in I$  and for any  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . For each  $i \in I$  and for any  $(u_i, v_i) \in X_i \times X_i$ , we have

$$G_i^{-1}(u_i, v_i) = [P_i^{-1}(u_i) \cap (A_i^{-1}(u_i) \times X) \cap (F_i^{-1}(v_i) \times X)] \cup [((X \times X) \setminus W_i) \cap (A_i^{-1}(u_i) \times X) \cap (F_i^{-1}(v_i) \times X)].$$

By the conditions (ii) and (iv),  $G_i^{-1}(u_i, v_i)$  is compactly open-valued and hence  $G_i^{-1}$  it transfer compactly open-valued on  $X_i \times X_i$ . The condition (ii) of Corollary 2.5 is satisfied. The condition (v) implies that the condition (iii) of Corollary 2.5 holds. Note that  $G_i^{-1}$  is compactly open-valued, from condition (vi), we have

$$(X \times X) \setminus (K \times K) \subset \bigcup \{G_i^{-1}(\pi_i(u), \pi_i(v)): (u, v) \in L_N \times L_M\} \\ = \bigcup \{\text{cint } G_i^{-1}(\pi_i(u), \pi_i(v)): (u, v) \in L_N \times L_M\}$$

and so the condition (iv) of Corollary 2.5 is satisfied. By Corollary 2.5, there exists  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \in X \times X$  such that  $G_i(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$ . If  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \notin W_j$  for some  $j \in I$ , then either  $A_i(\hat{x}) = \emptyset$  or  $F_i(\hat{y}) = \emptyset$  which contradicts the fact that  $A_i(x)$  and  $F_i(x)$  are both nonempty

for each  $x \in X$  and for any  $i \in I$ . Therefore we have  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \in W_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , and hence for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\hat{x}_i = \pi_i(\hat{x}) \in A_i(\hat{x})$ ,  $\hat{y}_i = \pi_i(\hat{y}) \in F_i(\hat{x})$  and  $A_i(\hat{x}) \cap P_i(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** Theorem 3.1 generalizes Theorem 5.1 of Lin, Yu, Ansari and Lai [27] from convex subsets of topological vector spaces to  $FC$ -spaces without any convexity structure under much weaker assumptions.

## References

- [1] J.P. Aubin, I. Ekeland, Applied Nonlinear Analysis, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
- [2] H. Ben-El-Mechaiekh, S. Chebbi, M. Florenzano, J. Llinares, Abstract convexity and fixed points, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 222 (1998) 138–151.
- [3] A. Borglin, H. Keiding, Existence of equilibrium actions and of equilibrium: a note on the “new” existence theorems, J. Math. Econom. 3 (1876) 313–316.
- [4] T.H. Chang, C.L. Yen, KKM property and fixed point theorems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 203 (1996) 224–235.
- [5] G. Debreu, A social equilibrium existence theorem, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 38 (1952) 121–126.
- [6] P. Deguire, K.K. Tan, X.Z. Yuan, The study of maximal elements, fixed points for  $L_S$ -majorized mappings and their applications to minimax and variational inequalities in product topological spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 37 (1999) 933–951.
- [7] X.P. Ding, Coincidence theorems and equilibria of generalized games, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 27 (1996) 1057–1071.
- [8] X.P. Ding, Fixed points, minimax inequalities and equilibria of noncompact generalized games, Taiwanese J. Math. 2 (1998) 25–55.
- [9] X.P. Ding, Equilibria of noncompact generalized games with  $\mathcal{U}$ -majorized preference correspondences, Appl. Math. Lett. 11 (1998) 115–119.
- [10] X.P. Ding, Maximal element principles on generalized convex spaces and their application, in: R.P. Argawal (Ed.), Set Valued Mappings with Applications in Nonlinear Analysis, in: SIMMA, vol. 4, 2002, pp. 149–174.
- [11] X.P. Ding, Maximal elements of  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$ -majorized mappings in product  $G$ -convex spaces (I), Appl. Math. Mech. 24 (2003) 659–672.
- [12] X.P. Ding, Maximal elements of  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$ -majorized mappings in product  $G$ -convex spaces (II), Appl. Math. Mech. 24 (2003) 1017–1034.
- [13] X.P. Ding, New  $H$ -KKM theorems and their applications to geometric property, coincidence theorems, minimax inequality and maximal elements, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 26 (1995) 1–19.
- [14] X.P. Ding, Coincidence theorems in topological spaces and their applications, Appl. Math. Lett. 12 (1999) 99–105.
- [15] X.P. Ding, System of coincidence theorems in product topological spaces and applications, submitted for publication.
- [16] X.P. Ding, W.K. Kim, K.K. Tan, Equilibria of noncompact generalized games with  $\mathcal{L}^*$ -majorized preference correspondences, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 162 (1992) 508–517.
- [17] X.P. Ding, W.K. Kim, K.K. Tan, Equilibria of generalized games with  $L$ -majorized correspondences, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 17 (1994) 783–790.
- [18] X.P. Ding, K.K. Tan, A minimax inequality with applications to existence of equilibrium point and fixed point theorems, Colloq. Math. 63 (1992) 233–247.
- [19] X.P. Ding, K.K. Tan, On equilibria of noncompact generalized games, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 177 (1993) 226–238.
- [20] X.P. Ding, E. Tarafdar, Fixed point theorems and existence of equilibrium points of noncompact abstract economies, Nonlinear World 1 (1994) 319–340.
- [21] X.P. Ding, F.Q. Xia, Equilibria of nonparacompact generalized games with  $L_{F_c}$ -majorized correspondence in  $G$ -convex spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 56 (2004) 831–849.

- [22] X.P. Ding, J.C. Yao, L.J. Lin, Solutions of system of generalized vector quasi-equilibrium problems in locally  $G$ -convex uniform spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 292 (2004) 398–410.
- [23] X.P. Ding, G.X.-Z. Yuan, The study of existence of equilibria for generalized games without lower semicontinuity in locally convex topological vector spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 227 (1998) 420–438.
- [24] C.D. Horvath, Contractibility and general convexity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 156 (1991) 341–357.
- [25] W.K. Kim, K.K. Tan, New existence theorems of equilibria and applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 47 (2001) 531–542.
- [26] M. Lassonde, On the use of  $KKM$  multifunctions in fixed point theory and related topics, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 97 (1983) 151–201.
- [27] L.J. Lin, Z.T. Yu, Q.H. Ansari, L.P. Lai, Fixed point and maximal element theorems with applications to abstract economies and minimax inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 284 (2003) 656–671.
- [28] S. Park, Fixed points of admissible maps on generalized convex spaces, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 37 (2000) 885–899.
- [29] S. Park, Coincidence theorems for the better admissible multimaps and their applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 30 (1977) 4183–4191.
- [30] S. Park, Continuous selection theorems for admissible multifunctions on generalized convex spaces, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 25 (1999) 567–583.
- [31] S. Park, H. Kim, Foundations of the  $KKM$  theory on generalized convex spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 209 (1997) 551–571.
- [32] Z.F. Shen, Maximal element theorems of  $H$ -majorized correspondence and existence of equilibrium for abstract economies, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 256 (2001) 67–79.
- [33] S.P. Singh, E. Tarafdar, B. Watson, A generalized fixed point theorem and equilibrium point of an abstract economy, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 113 (2000) 65–71.
- [34] K.K. Tan, X.Z. Yuan, Existence of equilibrium for abstract economies, *J. Math. Econom.* 23 (1994) 243–251.
- [35] K.K. Tan, X.Z. Yuan, Approximation method and equilibria of abstract economies, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 122 (1994) 503–510.
- [36] K.K. Tan, X.L. Zhang, Fixed point theorems on  $G$ -convex spaces and applications, *Proc. Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* 1 (1996) 1–19.
- [37] E. Tarafdar, A fixed point theorem and equilibrium point of an abstract economy, *J. Math. Econom.* 20 (1991) 211–218.
- [38] E. Tarafdar, Fixed point theorems in  $H$ -spaces and equilibrium points of abstract economies, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* 53 (1992) 252–260.
- [39] S. Toussaint, On the existence of equilibria in economies with infinite commodities and without ordered preferences, *J. Econom. Theory* 33 (1984) 98–115.
- [40] C.I. Tulcea, On the equilibriums of generalized games, The Center for Math. Studies in Economics and Management Science, paper No. 696, 1986.
- [41] C.I. Tulcea, On the approximation of upper semi-continuous correspondences and the equilibriums of generalized games, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 136 (1988) 267–289.
- [42] N.C. Yannelis, N.D. Prabhakar, Existence of maximal elements and equilibria in linear topological spaces, *J. Math. Econom.* 12 (1983) 233–245.
- [43] G.X.-Z. Yuan, The study of minimax inequalities and applications to economies and variational inequalities, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (1998).
- [44] G.X.-Z. Yuan, *KKM Theory and Application in Nonlinear Analysis*, Dekker, New York, 1999.
- [45] G.X.-Z. Yuan, The existence of equilibria for noncompact generalized games, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 13 (2000) 57–63.