

JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS 113, 468-472 (1986)

# Comparison Theorems for Difference Inequalities

S. R. GRACE AND B. S. LALLI

*Department of Mathematics, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada S7N 0W0*

AND

C. C. YEH

*Department of Mathematics, Central University,  
Chung-Li, Taiwan, Republic of China*

*Submitted by S. M. Meerkov*

Let  $R = (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $R^+ = [0, \infty)$  and let  $N$  denote the set of nonnegative integers. For the sequence  $\{u_n\}: N \rightarrow R$  and for the function  $u: R^+ \rightarrow R$ , we define

$$\Delta u_n = u_{n+1} - u_n \quad \text{for } n \in N$$

and

$$\Delta u(t) = u(t+1) - u(t) \quad \text{for } t \in R^+.$$

Recently, Redheffer and Walter [3] established a comparison theorem for difference inequalities which is similar to that of the first-order differential inequalities. The purpose of this Note is to give some comparison theorems for difference inequalities which are different from the result of Redheffer and Walter [3]. For related results we refer to [1, 2, 4, 5].

As in the classical definition a real-valued function  $f(t, u)$  defined on  $R^+ \times R$  is called increasing in  $u$  if

$$u \leq v \quad \text{implies } f(t, u) \leq f(t, v)$$

for  $t \in R^+$ . If  $-f(t, u)$  is increasing in  $u$ , then  $f$  is called decreasing in  $u$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *For the two sequences  $\{v_n\}, \{w_n\}: N \rightarrow R$ , if*

(A)  *$f(t, u)$  is increasing in  $u$  and*

$$\Delta v_n - f(n, v_n) \leq \Delta w_n - f(n, w_n) \quad \text{for all } n \in N, \quad (1)$$

or

(B)  $f(t, u)$  is decreasing in  $u$  and

$$\Delta v_n - f(n, v_{n+1}) \leq \Delta w_n - f(n, w_{n+1}) \quad \text{for all } n \in N, \quad (2)$$

then  $v_0 \leq w_0$  implies  $v_n \leq w_n$  for all  $n \in N$ .

*Proof.* We consider the case (A). If the assertion were untrue, then there would exist a positive integer  $m$  such that

$$v_m \leq w_m,$$

but

$$v_{m+1} > w_{m+1}.$$

Thus

$$\Delta v_m > \Delta w_m$$

and

$$f(m, v_m) \leq f(m, w_m).$$

Hence

$$\Delta v_m - f(m, v_m) > \Delta w_m - f(m, w_m)$$

which is a contradiction. This contradiction proves the case (A).

A similar argument can be used to prove the case (B). Thus the proof is complete. ■

*Remark.* The case (A) of Theorem 1 is a discrete analogue for first-order differential inequalities, for example, see [1].

The following is a continuous analogue of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $f: R^+ \times R \rightarrow R$  and  $v, w: R^+ \rightarrow R$ . If

(A)  $f(t, u)$  is increasing in  $u$  and

$$\Delta v(t) - f(t, v(t)) \leq \Delta w(t) - f(t, w(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in R^+, \quad (3)$$

or

(B)  $f(t, u)$  is decreasing in  $u$  and

$$\Delta v(t) - f(t, v(t+1)) \leq \Delta w(t) - f(t, w(t+1)) \quad \text{for } t \in R^+, \quad (4)$$

then  $v(t) \leq w(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1)$  implies  $v(t) \leq w(t)$  for  $t \in R^+$ .

Next, we treat with a comparison theorem for difference inequalities which is similar to that of the integral inequalities due to Walter [4]. As usual, we define  $\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} u(s) = 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $F: N \times N \times R \rightarrow R$  satisfy*

$$u \leq v \text{ implies } F(t, s, u) \leq F(t, s, v)$$

for all  $(t, s) \in N \times N$ . If for three sequences  $\{v_n\}, \{w_n\}, \{g_n\}: N \rightarrow R$  the following two inequalities hold:

$$v_n \leq g_n + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F(n, s, v_s), \quad w_n \geq g_n + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F(n, s, w_s), \quad (5)$$

where the equality holds in at most one place for each  $n$ , then

$$v_n < w_n \quad \text{for all } n \in N.$$

*Proof.* For  $n=0$ , it follows from (5) that

$$v_0 \leq g_0$$

and

$$w_0 \geq g_0,$$

where the equality holds in at most one place; thus

$$v_0 < w_0.$$

If the conclusion were false, then there would exist a positive integer  $m$  such that  $v_m = w_m$  and  $v_s < w_s$  for  $s=0, 1, \dots, m-1$ . By the increasing of  $F$ ,

$$F(m, s, v_s) \leq F(m, s, w_s) \quad \text{for } s=0, 1, \dots, m-1.$$

This and (5) imply

$$v_m \leq g_m + \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} F(m, s, v_s) \leq g_m + \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} F(m, s, w_s) \leq w_m,$$

where there is strictly inequality in at least one place; hence

$$v_m < w_m.$$

This contradiction proves our theorem.

It follows from Theorem 3 that we have the following

COROLLARY 4. Let  $F$ ,  $v_n$ , and  $w_n$  be defined as in Theorem 3 for  $n \in N$ . If

$$v_n - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F(n, s, v_s) < w_n - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F(n, s, w_s) \quad \text{for } n \in N,$$

then  $v_n < w_n$  for all  $n \in N$ .

Finally, we consider the case that “ $<$ ” is replaced by “ $\leq$ ” in Corollary 4.

THEOREM 5. Let  $F$ ,  $v_n$ ,  $w_n$ , and  $g_n$  be defined as in Theorem 3. Suppose the difference equation

$$w_n = g_n + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F(n, s, w_s)$$

has a unique solution  $w_n(g_n)$  for each  $g_n$  and

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq n-1} |h_k(s) - g_s| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{implies } |w_n(h_k(n)) - w_n(g_n)| \rightarrow 0 \quad (6)$$

where  $h_k: N \rightarrow R$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . If

$$v_n - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F(n, s, v_s) \leq w_n - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F(n, s, w_s) \quad \text{for } n \in N,$$

then  $v_n \leq w_n$  for all  $n \in N$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$g_n = w_n - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F(n, s, w_s),$$

and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $w_n^\varepsilon$  be the solution of

$$g_n + \varepsilon = w_n^\varepsilon - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F(n, s, w_s^\varepsilon).$$

Thus

$$v_n - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F(n, s, v_s) \leq g_n < g_n + \varepsilon = w_n^\varepsilon - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F(n, s, w_s^\varepsilon)$$

From Corollary 4, we have

$$v_n < w_n^\varepsilon \quad \text{for } n \in N.$$

It follows from (6) that

$$\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{implies } w_n^\varepsilon \rightarrow w_n^0 \equiv w_n.$$

Thus  $v_n \leq w_n$  for all  $n \in N$ .

The continuous analogue of Theorem 5 is due to Kato [2, p. 122].

As an application of Theorem 5, let  $F(n, s, u) = L(n, s)u$  and

$$w_n = H_n + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} L(n, s)w_s, \quad (7)$$

then we can estimate the solution of (7) under suitable assumptions on  $L$  and  $H_n$ . Hence we derive the Gronwall inequality of discrete type from Theorem 5. For this technique we refer to [5].

#### REFERENCES

1. J. EISENFELD AND V. LAKSHMIKANTHAN, Differential inequalities and flow-invariance via linear functionals, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **69** (1979), 104–114.
2. J. KATO, "Functional Equations," 1974. (Japanese)
3. R. REDHEFFER AND W. WALTER, A comparison theorem for difference inequalities, *J. Differential Equations* **44** (1982), 111–117.
4. W. WALTER, "Differential and Integral Inequalities," Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1970.
5. C. C. YEH, Discrete inequalities of the Gronwall–Bellman type in  $n$  independent variables II, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, in press.