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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give two different ways to construct mutually orthogonal frequency hyperrectangles (MOFHR). Firstly, we exhibit sets of linear polynomials over finite fields that represent complete sets of MOFHR of prime power order, which generalize Mullen's method in (G.L. Mullen, Discrete Math. 69 (1988) 79-84). Secondly, a recursive algorithm is given to construct $(d+1)$-dimensional MOFHR of type $t+1$ from $d$-dimensional MOFHR of type $t$, which generalizes a recursive procedure described in (Laywine et al., Monatsch Math. 119 (1995) 223-238). (C) 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.


## 1. Introduction

Frequency squares and hyperrectangles have numerous statistical properties and as a result, there has been considerable interest in various aspects of the theory and construction of such objects. In this paper, we provide two different ways of constructing mutually orthogonal frequency hyperrectangles of a prescribed type.

Firstly, we exhibit sets of linear polynomials over finite fields that represent complete sets of mutually orthogonal frequency hyperrectangles (MOFHR) of a prescribed type and of prime power order, which generalize Mullen's method in [3].

Secondly, we give a recursive algorithm to construct $(d+1)$-dimensional MOFHR of type $t+1$ from $d$-dimensional MOFHR of type $t$, which generalizes a recursive procedure in [2].

We begin with some notation.

[^0]For a natural number $n$, we use $\underline{n}$ for the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, and we let $P_{k}(S)$ denote the set consisting of all $k$-subsets of the set $S$. When $k=0$, we define $P_{k}(S)$ as $\{\phi\}$, where $\phi$ is the empty set.

Definition 1.1. We coordinatize the $\prod_{i=1}^{d} n_{i}$ cells of a $d$-dimensional hyperrectangle of size $n_{1} \times \cdots \times n_{d}$ by the $d$-tuple of integers $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}\right)$ where $0 \leqslant j_{i} \leqslant n_{i}-1$. A frequency hyperrectangle ( F -hyperrectangle) of size $n_{1} \times \cdots \times n_{d}$ and type $t, 0 \leqslant t \leqslant d-1$, denoted by $\operatorname{FHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t ; m\right)$, where $m \mid n_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$, is an $n_{1} \times \cdots \times n_{d}$ array consisting of $m \geqslant 2$ symbols, say $0,1, \ldots, m-1$, with the property that whenever any $t$ of the coordinates are fixed, all $m$ symbols occur equally often in that subarray.

Definition 1.2. Two F-hyperrectangles $\operatorname{FHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t ; m\right)$ are orthogonal if upon superposition, each ordered pair $(i, j), 0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant m-1$, appears equally often, i.e., $\prod_{i=1}^{d} n_{i} / m^{2}$ times. A set of F-hyperrectangles is called mutually orthogonal if every pair of F-hyperrectangles is orthogonal.

The following upper bound on the maximum number of mutually orthogonal F-hyperrectangles with a prescribed type is given in [1]. This result generalizes Theorem 3.1 of [2].

Theorem 1.3 (Cheng [1]). The maximal number of MOFHR of size $n_{1} \times \cdots \times n_{d}$ and type $t$, based on $m$ symbols, is bounded above by

$$
r \frac{1}{m-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} n_{i}-\sum_{k=1}^{t} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \in P_{k}(\underline{d})} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(n_{i_{j}}-1\right)-1\right) .
$$

Definition 1.4. A set of $r$ MOFHR of size $n_{1} \times \cdots \times n_{d}$ and type $t$, based on $m$ symbols, is called complete if $r$ equals the bound from Theorem 1.3.

## 2. Polynomial representation of orthogonal F-hyperrectangles

Let $F_{q}$ denote the finite field of order $q$, where $q$ is a prime power. Following Niederreiter in [4], we say that a polynomial $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ with coefficients in $F_{q}$ is a permutation polynomial in $n$ variables over $F_{q}$ if the equation $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\alpha$ has exactly $q^{n-1}$ solutions in $F_{q}^{n}$ for each $\alpha \in F_{q}$. More generally, we say that a system $f_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \ldots, f_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of polynomials with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ is orthogonal in $F_{q}$ if the system of equations $f_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\alpha_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ has exactly $q^{n-m}$ solutions in $F_{q}^{n}$ for each $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right) \in F_{q}^{m}$.

As indicated by Niederreiter in [4], the system $f_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \ldots, f_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is orthogonal if and only if for all $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right) \in F_{q}^{m}$ with $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right) \neq(0, \ldots, 0)$, the polynomial $b_{1} f_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\cdots+b_{m} f_{m}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a permutation polynomial in $n$ variables over $F_{q}$.

Let $m=q$, a prime power, and let $n_{i}=q^{s_{i}}$, where $s_{i} \geqslant 1$ is an integer. Now we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. The $(1 / q-1)\left(q^{\sum_{i=1}^{d} s_{i}}-\sum_{k=1}^{t} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \in P_{k}(\underline{d})} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(q^{s_{i j}}-1\right)-1\right)$ polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\left(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1 s_{1}}, \ldots, a_{d 1}, \ldots, a_{d s_{d}}\right)}\left(x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1 s_{1}}, \ldots, x_{d 1}, \ldots, x_{d s_{d}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}} a_{i j} x_{i j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

over $F_{q}$, where
(a) at least $t+1$ of the subvectors $\left(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1 s_{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{d 1}, \ldots, a_{d s_{d}}\right)$ are nonzero;
(b) no two sets of a's are nonzero $F_{q}$ multiples of each other, i.e.,

$$
\left(a_{11}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{1 s_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{d 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{d s_{d}}^{\prime}\right) \neq e\left(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1 s_{1}}, \ldots, a_{d 1}, \ldots, a_{d s_{d}}\right)
$$

for any $e \neq 0 \in F_{q}$, represent a complete set of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(q^{s_{1}}, \ldots, q^{s_{d}} ; t ; q\right)$ of dimension $d$ and type $t$.

Proof. There are

$$
\frac{1}{q-1}\left(q^{\sum_{i=1}^{d} s_{i}}-\sum_{k=1}^{t} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \in P_{k}(\underline{d})} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(q^{s_{j}}-1\right)-1\right)
$$

polynomials over $F_{q}$ defined by (1) and conditions (a) and (b).
Label the $i$ th coordinate with all $s_{i}$-tuples $\left(j_{i 1}, \ldots, j_{i_{i}}\right)$ over $F_{q}$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$. Now, we may view an $\operatorname{FHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t ; m\right)$ as a function $f: F_{q}^{\sum_{s=1}^{d} s_{i}} \rightarrow F_{q}$, where the element $\left(j_{11}, \ldots, j_{1 s_{1}}, \ldots, j_{d 1}, \ldots, j_{d s_{d}}\right)$ becomes the element

$$
f\left(j_{11}, \ldots, j_{1 s_{1}}, \ldots, j_{d 1}, \ldots, j_{d s_{d}}\right) \in F_{q} .
$$

If $\left(j_{i_{k}, 1}, \ldots j_{i_{k}, s_{k}}\right)$, for $k=1, \ldots, t$, is fixed, then

$$
\left.\left.f_{(a)}\left(x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1 s_{1}}, \ldots, x_{d 1}, \ldots, x_{d s_{d}}\right)\right|_{\left(x_{i_{k}, 1}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}, s_{k}}\right)}\right)=\left(j_{i_{k}, 1, \ldots,} j_{i_{k}, s_{k}}\right), k=1, \ldots, t=\alpha
$$

has the same number of solutions in $F_{q}^{\sum_{w \neq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}} s_{w}}$ for each $\alpha \in F_{q}$, so that in the subarray obtained by fixing the $i_{1}$ th, $\ldots, i_{t}$ th coordinates, each element of $F_{q}$ is picked up equally often. Hence $f_{(a)}\left(x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1 s_{1}}, \ldots, x_{d 1}, \ldots, x_{d s_{d}}\right)$ represents an $\operatorname{FHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t ; m\right)$.

Clearly, the F-hyperrectangles represented by $f_{1}=f_{(a)}\left(x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1 s_{1}}, \ldots, x_{d 1}, \ldots, x_{d s_{d}}\right)$ and $f_{2}=f_{\left(a^{\prime}\right)}\left(x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1 s_{1}}, \ldots, x_{d 1}, \ldots, x_{d s_{d}}\right)$ are orthogonal if and only if $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ form an orthogonal system of polynomials in $\sum_{s=1}^{d} s_{i}$ variables over $F_{q}$. By the Corollary of [4, p. 417], $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ form an orthogonal system over $F_{q}$ if and only if for all $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \neq(0,0) \in F_{q}^{2}$, the polynomial $b_{1} f_{1}+b_{2} f_{2}$ is a permutation polynomial in $\sum_{s=1}^{d} s_{i}$ variables over $F_{q}$. Any linear polynomial of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j} x_{j}$ is a permutation polynomial in $r$ variables provided at least one $c_{j} \neq 0$.

Let $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \neq(0,0) \in F_{q}^{2}$. If $b_{1}=0$, then $b_{2} f_{2}$ is a permutation polynomial since $b_{2} \neq 0$ while if $b_{2}=0$, then $b_{1} f_{1}$ is a permutation polynomial. Suppose $b_{1} b_{2} \neq 0$, so $b_{1} f_{1}+b_{2} f_{2}$ is a permutation polynomial unless all $\sum_{s=1}^{d} s_{i}$ coefficients vanish, in which
case $b_{1} a_{j}^{\prime}=-b_{2} a_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, \sum_{s=1}^{d} s_{i}$, i.e., unless $a_{j}^{\prime}=-b_{2} a_{j} / b_{1}$ for $j=1, \ldots, \sum_{s=1}^{d} s_{i}$, a contradiction of condition (b). Hence $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ form an orthogonal system and the proof is complete.

For example, Theorem 2.1 gives the complete sets $\Xi_{1}$ of $9 \operatorname{MOFHR}(4,4 ; 1 ; 2) ; \Xi_{2}$ of $3 \operatorname{MOFHR}(4,2 ; 1 ; 2)$; and $\Xi_{3}$ of $3 \operatorname{MOFHR}(4 ; 0 ; 2)$.

Consider the 9 polynomials over $\operatorname{GF}(2)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=x_{1}+x_{3} \\
& f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=x_{2}+x_{3} \\
& f_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=x_{2}+x_{4} \\
& f_{4}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=x_{1}+x_{4} \\
& f_{5}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}, \\
& f_{6}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}, \\
& f_{7}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=x_{1}+x_{3}+x_{4}, \\
& f_{8}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{4} \\
& f_{9}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These 9 polynomials represent the complete set of $9 \operatorname{MOFHR}(4,4 ; 1 ; 2)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Xi_{1}: H_{1}=\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array} \quad H_{2}=\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array} \quad H_{3}=\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array} \quad H_{5}=\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array} \quad H_{6}=\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array} \quad H_{9}=\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}
\end{array} .
$$

Consider the 3 polynomials over $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1}+x_{3}, \\
& f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}, \\
& f_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{2}+x_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These 3 polynomials represent the complete set of $3 \operatorname{MOFHR}(4,2 ; 1 ; 2)$ :

$$
\Xi_{2}: Q_{1}=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array} \quad Q_{2}=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array} \quad Q_{3}=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array} .
$$

Consider the 3 polynomials over GF(2) given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}, \\
& f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{2}, \\
& f_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}+x_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These 3 polynomials represent the complete set of $3 \operatorname{MOFHR}(4 ; 0 ; 2)$ :

$$
\Xi_{3}: 00111 \quad 0101 \quad 0110 .
$$

## 3. Type 0 canonical F-hyperrectangles

The following construction gives type 0 canonical $\operatorname{FHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; 0 ; m\right)$ from $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1} ; 0 ; m\right), \ldots, \operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{d} ; 0 ; m\right)$. Furthermore, adding a set of MOFHR of type 1 , we will have an enlarged set of MOFHR of type 0 . If the set of MOFHR of type 1 and the sets of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1} ; 0 ; m\right), \ldots, \operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{d} ; 0 ; m\right)$ are both complete, then the enlarged set of MOFHR of type 0 is also complete.
Suppose $X$ is an $\operatorname{FHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t ; m\right)$. Let $X\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ denote the entry in position $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$. The subarray obtained by assigning some fixed values $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}$ to the $i_{1}$ th $, \ldots, i_{t}$ th coordinates, where $0 \leqslant a_{j} \leqslant n_{i_{j}}-1$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant t$, will be called a hyperplane and denoted by $X\left(x_{i_{j}}=a_{j}, j=1, \ldots, t\right)$. The class of hyperplanes into which $X$ is partitioned by coordinates $x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}$ is denoted by $\left\{X\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=a_{t}\right) \mid\right.$ $\left.0 \leqslant a_{j} \leqslant n_{i_{j}}-1\right\}$.

A set $\Psi_{i}$ of type 0 canonical FHR can be constructed from a set $\Delta$ of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{i} ; 0 ; m\right)$.
Suppose $L \in \Delta$, then define a size $n_{1} \times \cdots \times n_{d}$ FHR, $L^{*}$ as follows:

$$
L^{*}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=L\left(x_{i}\right) .
$$

It is clear that $L^{*}$ is a type $0, d$-dimensional FHR. The set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{d} \Psi_{i}$ is called the set of type 0 canonical FHR.

For example, from the set $\Xi_{3}$ of $\operatorname{MOFHR}(4 ; 0 ; 2)$ in Section 2, we can construct two sets $\Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{2}$. The set $\Psi_{1} \cup \Psi_{2}$ is the set of type 0 cononical $\operatorname{FHR}(4,4 ; 0 ; 2)$. Furthermore, $\left(\Psi_{1} \cup \Psi_{2}\right) \cup \Xi_{1}$ is a complete set of $\operatorname{MOFHR}(4,4 ; 0 ; 2)$.

$$
\Psi_{1}: C_{1}=\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array} \quad C_{2}=\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array} C_{3}=\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array} .
$$

Theorem 3.1. Given a set of $l_{1} \operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1} ; 0 ; m\right), \ldots$, a set of $l_{d} \operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{d} ; 0 ; m\right)$, there exists a set $\Psi$ of $\sum_{i=1}^{d} l_{i}$ type 0 canonical $\operatorname{FHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; 0 ; m\right)$. Furthermore,
adding a set $\Lambda$ of $h \operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; 1 ; m\right)$, the enlarged set $\Psi \cup \Lambda$ is a set of $\sum_{i=1}^{d} l_{i}+h \operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; 0 ; m\right)$.

Proof. We only need to show that the members of $\Psi \cup \Lambda$ are orthogonal.
Let $X$ and $Y$ be members of $\Psi \cup \Lambda$. If $X, Y \in \Lambda$, then $X$ and $Y$ are orthogonal.
Otherwise, we may assume $X \in \Psi$, which implies that there exists $k$, where $1 \leqslant k \leqslant d$, such that $X \in \Psi_{k}$.

If $Y \in \Psi_{k}$, we assume that $X$ is constructed from $X^{\prime}, Y$ is constructed from $Y^{\prime}$, where $X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}$ are $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{k} ; 0 ; m\right)$. By the fact that each ordered pair occurs $n_{k} / m^{2}$ times in $\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)$, where $\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)$ denotes the F-hyperrectangle obtained by superimposing $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$, we have that each ordered pair occurs

$$
\frac{n_{k}}{m^{2}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d} n_{i}}{n_{k}}=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d} n_{i}}{m^{2}}
$$

times in $(X, Y)$. Hence $X$ and $Y$ are orthogonal.
If $Y \notin \Psi_{k}$, then each element occurs $\prod_{i=1}^{d} n_{i} /\left(m \times n_{k}\right)$ times in each hyperplane $Y\left(x_{k}=a\right), 0 \leqslant a \leqslant n_{k}-1$. Hence each ordered pair occurs exactly $\left(n_{k} / m\right) \prod_{i=1}^{d} n_{i} /(m \times$ $\left.n_{k}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{d} n_{i} / m^{2}$ times in $(X, Y)$. Therefore $X$ and $Y$ are orthogonal.

Corollary 3.2. If the initial sets of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1} ; 0 ; m\right), \ldots, \operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{d} ; 0 ; m\right)$ and the set of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; 1 ; m\right)$ are both complete, so is the enlarged set of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; 0 ; m\right)$.

Proof. If $l_{i}=\left(n_{i}-1\right) /(m-1)$ and

$$
h=\frac{1}{m-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} n_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(n_{i}-1\right)-1\right),
$$

then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d} l_{i}+h=\frac{1}{m-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} n_{i}-1\right)
$$

## 4. A recursive procedure

The following procedure constructs $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}, n_{d+1} ; t+1 ; m\right)$ from $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t ; m\right)$ and $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{d+1}, m ; 1 ; m\right)$.

Given a set $\Omega$ of $h \operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t ; m\right)$, we can divide the set into two classes, $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$. The class $\Omega_{1}$ consists of all $\operatorname{FHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t+1 ; m\right)$, and $\Omega_{2}$ consists of the rest. Let $h_{1}$ be the cardinality of $\Omega_{1}$.

Given a set $\Gamma$ of $l \operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{d+1}, m ; 1 ; m\right)$, we append the following $n_{d+1} \times m$ rectangle

$$
R=\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & \ldots & m-1 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & m-1 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & m-1
\end{array} \text { to } \Gamma .
$$

We denote this new set as $\Gamma^{+}$. Using this we now construct $h l+h_{1} \operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.n_{d}, n_{d+1} ; t+1 ; m\right)$. Suppose $X \in \Omega$, and $L \in \Gamma^{+}$, then define the $(d+1)$-dimensional hyperrectangle $X^{L}$ as follows:
$X^{L}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}, x_{d+1}\right)=L\left(X\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right), x_{d+1}\right)$. The expression is interpreted to mean that $X^{L}$ is partitioned into the hyperplanes $\left\{X^{L}\left(x_{d+1}=0\right), X^{L}\left(x_{d+1}=1\right), \ldots\right.$, $\left.X^{L}\left(x_{d+1}=n_{d+1}-1\right)\right\}$, where $X^{L}\left(x_{d+1}=i\right)$ is $X$ with a permutation applied to its symbols as defined by the $i$ th row of $L$. We can view each row of $L$ as the image of a permutation from $S_{m}$, the symmetric group on $m$ letters, $0,1, \ldots, m-1$.

The construction gives a new set

$$
\Phi=\left\{X^{L}: X \in \Omega_{1}, L \in \Gamma^{+}\right\} \cup\left\{X^{L}: X \in \Omega_{2}, L \in \Gamma\right\}
$$

Note that

$$
|\Phi|=\left|\Omega_{1}\right| \times(|\Gamma|+1)+\left|\Omega_{2}\right| \times|\Gamma|=h_{1}(l+1)+\left(h-h_{1}\right) l=h l+h_{1},
$$

as earlier claimed.
Let us look at an example. Set $\Omega=\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{9}, C_{1}, \ldots, C_{6}\right\}$, and $\Gamma=\left\{Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}\right\}$. From the above procedure we can construct a complete set of $54 \operatorname{MOFHR}(4,4,4 ; 1 ; 2)$. The following is $H_{5}^{Q_{2}}$.


Before showing that $\Phi$ is a set of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}, n_{d+1} ; t+1 ; m\right)$, we make some observations about the hyperplanes of members of $\Phi$.

Let $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t}, i_{t+1}\right)$ be an arbitrary element in $P_{t+1}(\underline{d+1})$. Now we consider two classes of hyperplanes in $X^{L}$, a typical member of $\Phi$.

Class 1 hyperplanes are of the form $X^{L}\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=a_{t}, x_{i_{t+1}}=a_{t+1}\right)$, where $i_{t+1}=d+1$. By definition this is $X\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=a_{t}\right)$ with the permutation determined by row $a_{t+1}$ of L applied to the symbols.

Class 2 hyperplanes are of the form $X^{L}\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=a_{t}, x_{i_{t+1}}=a_{t+1}\right)$, where $i_{t+1}<d+1$. Say $P=X^{L}\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=a_{t}, x_{i_{t+1}}=a_{t+1}\right)$. Partition $P$ into $\left\{P\left(x_{d+1}=\right.\right.$ $\left.0), \ldots, P\left(x_{d+1}=n_{d+1}-1\right)\right\}$. Further $P\left(x_{d+1}=k\right)$ is obtained from $X\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=\right.$
$\left.a_{t}, x_{i_{+1}}=a_{t+1}\right)$ by permuting the symbols in $X\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=a_{t}, x_{i_{t+1}}=a_{t+1}\right)$ according to the permutation defined by the $k$ th row of $L$.

Lemma 4.1. The members of $\Phi$ are of type $t+1$.

Proof. Suppose $X^{L} \in \Phi$, and let $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t}, i_{t+1}\right)$ be an arbitrary element in $P_{t+1}(\underline{d+1})$. We have to show that each symbol occurs an equal number of times in the hyperplane $X^{L}\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=a_{t}, x_{i_{+1}}=a_{t+1}\right)$, where $0 \leqslant a_{k} \leqslant n_{i_{k}}-1,1 \leqslant k \leqslant t+1$.

This is obvious if the hyperplane is in class 1 .
Consider a hyperplane $P$ in class 2 . Then $P$ consists of $n_{d+1}$ copies of hyperplane $X\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=a_{t}, x_{i_{t+1}}=a_{t+1}\right)$ with the $k$ th copy having the symbols permuted by the $k$ th row of $L$.

If $X \in \Omega_{1}$, then each symbol occurs equally often in $X\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=a_{t}, x_{i_{1+1}}=a_{t+1}\right)$ and therefore each symbol occurs equally often in $P$ since permutations of the symbols of $X\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=a_{t}, x_{i_{t+1}}=a_{t+1}\right)$ leave the number of occurrences of each symbol unchanged. So if $X \in \Omega_{1}$, then $X^{L}$ is an $\operatorname{FHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}, n_{d+1} ; t+1 ; m\right)$.

If $X \notin \Omega_{1}$, then $X \in \Omega_{2}$. Hence $L \in \Gamma$. Hyperplane $P$ has partition $\left\{P\left(x_{d+1}=\right.\right.$ $\left.0), P\left(x_{d+1}=1\right), \ldots, P\left(x_{d+1}=n_{d+1}-1\right)\right\}$, where $P\left(x_{d+1}=k\right)$ is $X\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=\right.$ $a_{t}, x_{i+1}=a_{t+1}$ ) with the symbols replaced according to row $k$ of $L$. For any element $e, 0 \leqslant e \leqslant m-1$, the first row of $L$ permutes $e_{1}$ to $e, \ldots$, the $n_{d+1}$ row of $L$ permutes $e_{n_{d+1}}$ to $e$, where $0 \leqslant e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n_{d+1}} \leqslant m-1$.

By the fact that $L \in \Gamma$, we see that the multi-set $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n_{d+1}}\right\}=\{0, \ldots, 0,1, \ldots$, $1, \ldots, m-1, \ldots, m-1\}$ (each element with multiplicity $n_{d+1} / m$ ). Hence the number of times that symbol $e$ appears in $P$ is $\sum_{k=1}^{n_{d+1}}$ (the number of times that symbol $e_{k}$ appears in $\left.X\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=a_{t}, x_{i_{t+1}}=a_{t+1}\right)\right)$, which is $n_{d+1} m \times \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}$ (the number of times that symbol $j$ appears in $X\left(x_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{t}}=a_{t}, x_{i_{t+1}}=a_{t+1}\right)$ ), which equals

$$
\frac{n_{d+1}}{m} \times \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{d} n_{k}}{\prod_{k=1}^{t+1} n_{i_{k}}}
$$

Thus each symbol occurs equally often in $P$.
Lemma 4.2. The members of $\Phi$ are mutually orthogonal.
Proof. Let $X^{L}$ and $Y^{M}$ be members of $\Phi$, and assume $X \neq Y$. Then $X$ and $Y$ are orthogonal, and $X^{L}$ and $Y^{M}$, respectively, have partitions $\left\{X^{L}\left(x_{d+1}=0\right), \ldots, X^{L}\left(x_{d+1}=\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.n_{d+1}-1\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{Y^{M}\left(x_{d+1}=0\right), \ldots, Y^{M}\left(x_{d+1}=n_{d+1}-1\right)\right\}$. Each member of these partitions is obtained from $X$ or $Y$ by a permutation of the symbols, an operation that does not affect orthogonality. Hence $X^{L}\left(x_{d+1}=k\right)$ is orthogonal to $Y^{M}\left(x_{d+1}=k\right)$ since $X$ is orthogonal to $Y$. Therefore $X^{L}$ is orthogonal to $Y^{M}$.

Assume $X=Y$. Then $L$ is orthogonal to $M$. Let $(L, M)$ denote the F-hyperrectangle obtained by superimposing $L$ and $M$.

If the ordered pair $(\alpha, \beta)$ appears in the position $(i, j)$ of $(L, M)$, then $(\alpha, \beta)$ appears in $\left(X^{L}, X^{M}\right)\left(x_{d+1}=i\right), \prod_{k=1}^{d} n_{k} / m$ times by the fact that element $j$ appears $\prod_{k=1}^{d} n_{k} / m$ times in $X$.

Since $L$ and $M$ are orthogonal F-hyperrectangles, we see that each ordered pair occurs exactly $\left(n_{d+1} \times m / m^{2}\right)=n_{d+1} / m$ times in $(L, M)$. Hence each pair occurs exactly $\prod_{k=1}^{d+1} n_{k} / m^{2}$ times in $\left(X^{L}, X^{M}\right)$.

The following theorem follows from Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.
Theorem 4.1. Given $a$ set of $h \operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t ; m\right)$, which consists of $h_{1}$ $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t+1 ; m\right)$, and a set of $l \operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{d+1}, m ; 1 ; m\right)$, there exists a set of $h l+h_{1} \operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}, n_{d+1} ; t+1 ; m\right)$.

We note that Theorem 4.1 provides a generalization of Theorem 3.6 of [2].
Given a complete set $\Phi$ of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t ; m\right)$, where $0 \leqslant t \leqslant d-2$, if the subset of $\Phi$, consisting of all type $(t+1)$ F-hyperrectangles, is also complete, then we call the set $\Phi$ strongly complete.

Corollary 4.2. (1) Given a complete set of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; d-1 ; m\right)$ and a complete set of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{d+1}, m ; 1 ; m\right)$, then the above recursive algorithm gives a complete set of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}, n_{d+1} ; d ; m\right)$.
(2) Given a strongly complete set of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t ; m\right)$, where $0 \leqslant t \leqslant d-2$, and a complete set of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{d+1}, m ; 1 ; m\right)$, then the above recursive algorithm gives a complete set of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}, n_{d+1} ; t+1 ; m\right)$.

Proof. (1) If

$$
h=\frac{1}{m-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} n_{i}-\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \in P_{k}(\underline{d})} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(n_{i_{j}}-1\right)-1\right)=\frac{1}{m-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(n_{i}-1\right)\right),
$$

$h_{1}=0$ and $l=n_{d+1}-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
h l+h_{1} & =\frac{1}{m-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d+1}\left(n_{i}-1\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{m-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d+1} n_{i}-\sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \in P_{k}(\underline{d+1})} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(n_{i_{j}}-1\right)-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) If

$$
h=\frac{1}{m-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} n_{i}-\sum_{k=1}^{t} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \in P_{k}(\underline{d})} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(n_{i_{j}}-1\right)-1\right),
$$

$$
h_{1}=\frac{1}{m-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} n_{i}-\sum_{k=1}^{t+1} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \in P_{k}(\underline{d})} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(n_{i_{j}}-1\right)-1\right)
$$

and $l=n_{d+1}-1$, then

$$
h l+h_{1}=\frac{1}{m-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d+1} n_{i}-\sum_{k=1}^{t+1} \sum_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \in P_{k}(\underline{d+1})} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(n_{i_{j}}-1\right)-1\right)
$$

It is easily seen that the complete set of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(q^{s_{1}}, \ldots, q^{s_{d}} ; t ; m\right)$ constructed by Theorem 2.1 is strongly complete and so this construction (Theorem 2.1) gives a strongly complete set of MOFHR of prime power order.

We conclude this paper with some conjectures.
Conjecture 1. If there exists a complete (or strongly complete) set of
$\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t ; m\right)$, then $m$ is a prime power.
Conjecture 2. A complete (or strongly complete) set of $\operatorname{MOFHR}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; t ; m\right)$ exists if and only if $m$ is a prime power and $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}$ are all powers of $m$.
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