

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 11 (1977) 45–51.  
 © North-Holland Publishing Company

## ON THE GLOBAL DIMENSION OF THE FUNCTOR CATEGORY $(\text{mod } R, \text{Ab})$

C.U. JENSEN

*University of Copenhagen, Denmark*

Communicated by P. Freyd  
 Received 13 September 1976

In various questions in ring theory the following category turns out to be useful (cf. [3–5]). For an arbitrary ring  $R$  let  $\text{mod } R$  be the category of finitely presented right  $R$ -modules (viewed as a full subcategory of the category of all right  $R$ -modules) and  $D(R) = (\text{mod } R, \text{Ab})$  the category of all additive functors from  $\text{mod } R$  to the category  $\text{Ab}$  of abelian groups.  $D(R)$  is a Grothendieck category with a generator and hence has a well-defined global (cohomological) dimension  $\text{gl.dim } D(R)$ .

For a left  $R$ -module  $M$  the functor  $-\otimes_R M$  belongs to  $D(R)$  and its injective dimension in  $D(R)$  is called  $L\text{-dim } M$  and further investigated in [3, 5]. The global  $L$ -dimension of  $R$ ,  $\text{gl.}L\text{-dim } R$ , is naturally defined as  $\sup\{L\text{-dim } M\}$ ,  $M$  running through all left  $R$ -modules.  $\text{gl.}L\text{-dim } R$  coincides with the left pure global dimension of  $R$ .

**Proposition 1.** *For any ring  $R$  the following inequalities hold :*

$$\text{gl.}L\text{-dim } R \leq \text{gl.dim } D(R) \leq 2 + \text{gl.}L\text{-dim } R.$$

**Proof.** The first inequality is trivial. To prove the second inequality consider an arbitrary functor  $T \in D(R)$  and let

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence where  $I_0$  and  $I_1$  are injectives in  $D(R)$ . The injective objects in  $D(R)$  are the functors  $-\otimes_R M$ ,  $M$  running through the algebraically compact (= pure-injective) left  $R$ -modules [3, 8]. By a diagram chasing diagram  $C_1$  is seen to be a right exact functor and hence of the form  $-\otimes_R A$  for some left  $R$ -module  $A$ . Since the injective dimension of  $-\otimes_R A$  is  $L\text{-dim } A \leq \text{gl.}L\text{-dim } R$  it follows that  $\text{inj.dim}_{D(R)} T \leq 2 + \text{gl.}L\text{-dim } R$  and hence  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) \leq 2 + \text{gl.}L\text{-dim } R$ .

In general, examples show that the above inequalities cannot be improved. By results in [3, 7] Proposition 1 implies that  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) \leq n + 3$  if  $R$  is a ring of cardinality  $\aleph_n$ .

If  $R$  is von Neumann regular any exact sequence is pure and any functor

$\in D(R)$  has the form  $-\otimes_R M$  for some left module  $M$ ; hence  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) = \text{gl.L-dim } R = \text{l.gl.dim } R$ .

We can now easily exhibit the rings  $R$  of small  $\text{gl.dim } D(R)$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $R$  be an arbitrary ring. Then  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) = 0$  if and only if  $R$  is semi-simple Artinian, and  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) = 1$  if and only if  $R$  is a left hereditary von Neumann regular ring which is not Artinian.*

**Proof.** In view of the preceding remarks it suffices to show that  $R$  is von Neumann regular if  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) \leq 1$ . In that case for any  $T \in D(R)$  there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0$$

with injective (and hence right exact)  $I_0$  and  $I_1$ . Thus  $T$  is right exact. Applying this to the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(N, -)$  where  $N = R/aR$ ,  $a \in R$ , we conclude that the sequence  $R \rightarrow R/aR$  splits for any  $a \in R$  and consequently  $R$  is von Neumann regular.

If  $R$  is a ring not of the type described in Proposition 2  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) \geq 2$ . If  $R$  is right Artinian it follows from [5] that  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) = 2 + \text{gl.L-dim } R$  and hence  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) = 0$  when  $R$  is semi-simple and  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) = 2$  exactly when  $R$  is a non-semi-simple ring for which any left  $R$ -module is a direct sum of finitely presented modules. In the general situation it is an open problem to classify the rings for which  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) = 2$ .

In this paper we shall prove that a commutative Noetherian ring  $R$  is an Artinian principal ideal ring if  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) \leq 2$ . (The converse is obvious since any module over a commutative Artinian principal ideal ring is a direct sum of finitely presented modules.)

We first bring some results concerning algebraically compact modules in a slightly more general setting which might be of some independent interest.

Let  $R$  be a commutative local Noetherian ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $M$  any (not necessarily finitely generated)  $R$ -module. Call  $M$  cotorsion if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(P, M) = 0$  for any flat  $R$ -module  $P$ . If  $M$  is algebraically compact (= pure-injective) any short exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow A \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$$

with flat  $P$  splits. Hence any algebraically compact module is cotorsion. By an argument from [6, §8] it is easily seen that the canonical mapping  $M \rightarrow \tilde{M} = \varprojlim M/\mathfrak{m}^i M$  is surjective for any cotorsion module. Thus we have the following hierarchy:  $M$  algebraically compact  $\implies M$  cotorsion  $\implies M \rightarrow \varprojlim M/\mathfrak{m}^i M$  is surjective. Under certain additional assumptions the arrow can be reversed.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative local Noetherian ring with maximal ideal*

$m$  and  $M$  an  $R$ -module which is separated in the  $m$ -adic topology (i.e.  $\bigcap_n m^n M = 0$ ). Then  $M$  is cotorsion if and only if  $M$  is complete in the  $m$ -adic topology.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that  $M$  complete implies  $M$  is cotorsion. We have to prove that any exact sequence

$$(\dagger) \quad 0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} A \xrightarrow{g} P \rightarrow 0$$

splits whenever  $P$  is flat. Since  $P$  is flat, for any  $t \geq 0$  the sequence (with the obvious mappings)

$$(\dagger\dagger) \quad 0 \rightarrow M/m^t M \xrightarrow{f_t} A/m^t A \xrightarrow{g_t} P/m^t P \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.  $P/m^t P$  is a flat  $(R/m^t)$ -module and hence  $(R/m^t)$ -projective,  $R/m^t$  being Artinian. Thus  $(\dagger\dagger)$  splits for all  $t$  and it is readily checked that there exist right inverses  $h_t$  of  $g_t$ , commuting with the canonical mappings  $P/m^{t+1} P \rightarrow P/m^t P$  and  $A/m^{t+1} A \rightarrow A/m^t A$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Therefore the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{P} \rightarrow 0$$

is split exact (where generally  $\tilde{N}$  denotes the completion  $\varprojlim N/m^n N$ ). Since  $M \cong \tilde{M}$  this implies that  $(\dagger)$  splits.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $R$  be a commutative local Noetherian ring with maximal ideal  $m$  and  $P$  a flat  $R$ -module. The  $m$ -adic completion  $\tilde{P}$  is a direct summand of a direct product of copies of the  $m$ -adic completion  $\tilde{R}$  of  $R$  and hence an algebraically compact flat  $R$ -module. In particular, a flat module which is separated in the  $m$ -adic topology is algebraically compact if and only if it is complete in this topology.

**Proof.** For  $t \geq 0$ ,  $P/m^t P$  is a free  $(R/m^t)$ -module. By Nakayama's lemma it is easy to see that there exists a basis  $e_{\alpha,t}$ ,  $\alpha \in I$ , for  $P/m^t P$  such that  $e_{\alpha,t} = \kappa_{t+1} e_{\alpha,t+1}$ ,  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , where  $\kappa_{t+1}$  denotes the canonical mapping  $P/m^{t+1} P \rightarrow P/m^t P$ .

Let  $i_t$  be the natural injection of  $P/m^t P = \sum_{\alpha} (R/m^t) e_{\alpha,t}$  into the product  $(R/m^t)^I$ . Since the corresponding cokernel is projective,  $i_t$  splits, and, as above, there exist left inverses commuting with  $\{\kappa_t\}$  and the canonical homomorphisms  $(R/m^{t+1})^I \rightarrow (R/m^t)^I$ . Hence the injection

$$\tilde{P} = \varprojlim P/m^t P \rightarrow \varprojlim (R/m^t R)^I = \tilde{R}^I$$

splits and  $\tilde{P}$  is a direct summand of  $\tilde{R}^I$ .

**Note.** If  $R$  moreover is assumed to be one-dimensional it can be proved that a flat  $R$ -module  $P$  is algebraically compact if and only if the canonical mapping  $P \rightarrow \varprojlim P/m^n P$  is surjective.

We are now able to prove

**Theorem.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian ring. Then  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) \leq 2$  if and only if  $R$  is an Artinian principal ideal ring.*

**Proof.** In view of the earlier remarks it suffices to prove the “only if” part. Assume  $R$  were not Artinian. We shall then obtain a contradiction by constructing an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules.

$$(*) \quad A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are algebraically compact, but  $C$  is not algebraically compact. In fact,  $(*)$  gives rise to an exact sequence of functors in  $D(R)$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f \otimes -) \rightarrow (A \otimes -) \rightarrow (B \otimes -) \rightarrow (C \otimes -) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $(A \otimes -)$  and  $(B \otimes -)$  are injective objects in  $D(R)$  while  $(C \otimes -)$  is not, we get  $\text{inj.dim}_{D(R)} \text{Ker}(f \otimes -) > 2$  and hence  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) > 2$ .

To construct the sequence  $(*)$  we observe that for any ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $R$  any  $(R/\mathfrak{A})$ -module is algebraically compact if and only if it is algebraically compact qua  $R$ -module. Similarly, if  $S$  is a multiplicatively closed system of a domain  $R$ , an  $R_S$ -module is algebraically compact if and only if it is algebraically compact as an  $R$ -module.

By our assumption  $R$  is a non-Artinian Noetherian ring. Let  $P$  be a submaximal prime ideal of  $R$ . By replacing  $R$  by  $R/P$  and passing to a suitable ring of fractions we may assume that  $R$  is a one-dimensional local domain with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , say. Let  $\pi$  be a non-invertible element of  $R$  and let  $\tilde{R}$  be the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $R$ .

We consider the submodule  $A$  of  $\tilde{R}^N$  consisting of all sequences tending to zero in the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology.  $A$  is the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $R^{(N)}$  and hence by Proposition 4 a flat algebraically compact module. The mapping  $\varphi$

$$\varphi(\bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2, \bar{r}_3, \dots) = \left( \pi \bar{r}_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} r_i, -\bar{r}_2 \pi, -\bar{r}_3 \pi^2, \dots \right)$$

is a well-defined monomorphism of  $A$  into  $A$ . From the exact sequence

$$(**) \quad 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} A \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

where  $C = \text{Coker } \varphi$  we derive the exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}(Q, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Q, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(Q, A),$$

$Q$  denoting the quotient field of  $R$ . Obviously  $\text{Hom}(Q, A) = 0$  and since  $A$  in particular is a cotorsion module we get  $\text{Ext}^1(Q, A) = 0$ , and hence  $\text{Hom}(Q, C) = 0$ . Thus  $C$  contains no non-zero divisible submodule.

On the other hand  $C$  contains a non-zero element  $c$  represented by  $(1, 0, 0, \dots)$  which is divisible by any power of  $\pi$ . If  $C$  were algebraically compact the equations

$$c = \pi x_1$$

$$x_n = \pi x_{n+1}; \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

would have a solution in  $C$  and the submodule generated by  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  would be a non-zero divisible submodule of  $C$ . (Here we use that  $Q = R[1/\pi]$ ,  $R$  being one-dimensional.) Therefore  $C$  is not algebraically compact and (\*\*\*) yields an exact sequence of the desired form.

Consequently  $R$  must be Artinian and hence a finite product of local rings. To finish the proof of the theorem we show that a local Artinian ring  $R$  is a principal ideal ring ("uniserial") if  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) \leq 2$ .

For any  $R$ -module  $M$  there is an exact sequence

$$F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  are free modules. By Proposition 4  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  are algebraically compact. By reversing the argument at the beginning of the theorem it follows that the (arbitrary)  $R$ -module  $M$  is algebraically compact. It is well known that this implies that  $R$  is a principal ideal ring.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $R$  be an arbitrary Dedekind domain which is not a field. Then  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) = 3$ .*

**Proof.** We only have to prove that  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) \leq 3$ . By an obvious generalization of Kulikov's theorem [1, theorem 18.1] to Dedekind domains any submodule of a direct sum of finitely generated  $R$ -modules is again a direct sum of finitely generated  $R$ -modules. This implies that any  $R$ -module has a pure projective resolution of length 1, hence the pure global dimension ( $= \text{gl.L-dim } R$ )  $\leq 1$  and consequently  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) \leq 2 + \text{gl.L-dim } R \leq 3$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $R$  be an arbitrary countable commutative Noetherian ring which is not an Artinian principal ideal ring. Then  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) = 3$ .*

**Proof.** By [3]  $\text{L-dim } M \leq 1$  for any  $R$ -module  $M$ , hence  $\text{gl.L-dim } R \leq 1$  and thus  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) \leq 3$ . The inverse inequality follows from the theorem.

**Remark.** A modification of the proof of the theorem yields for a commutative Noetherian ring  $R$  that  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) \leq 2$  if and only if any linearly compact  $R$ -module is a direct product of finitely generated  $R$ -modules.

Apart from the above cases it seems rather difficult to determine  $\text{gl.dim } D(R)$  even for commutative Noetherian domains. By taking polynomial rings over "big" fields one can obtain Noetherian domains of arbitrarily large  $\text{gl.dim } D(R)$ . However, the bounds one gets there are probably not precise.

We conclude with some remarks concerning the case  $R = K[X, Y]$  where  $K$  is a field. When  $K$  is finite or countable  $\text{gl.dim } D(R) = 3$ ; otherwise we can only say

$\text{gl.dim } D(R) \geq 3$ , and it is not even known whether  $\text{gl.dim } D(R)$  will be finite. However, we can compute the injective dimension ( $= L\text{-dim } R$ ) of the identity functor in  $D(R)$  (or, strictly speaking the forgetful functor from  $R$ -modules to abelian groups). If  $|K| \leq \aleph_0$  we have  $L\text{-dim } R = 1$ ; if  $|K| > \aleph_0$  we have  $L\text{-dim } R = 2$ . By [3, 5]  $L\text{-dim } R \leq 2$ , so it remains to be shown that  $L\text{-dim } R > 1$  in the uncountable case. This follows from the slightly more general

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian ring containing an uncountable field  $K$ . Then  $L\text{-dim } A[X, Y] \geq 2$ .*

**Proof.**  $R = A[X, Y]$  is Noetherian and its pure injective envelope is  $\prod_m \tilde{R}_m$  where  $m$  runs through the maximal ideals of  $R$  and  $\tilde{R}_m$  denotes the  $m$ -adic completion of  $R$ . In the pure exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{i} \prod_m \tilde{R}_m \xrightarrow{\kappa} C = \left( \prod_m \tilde{R}_m \right) / R \rightarrow 0$$

(where  $i$  is the diagonal map) we thus have to prove that  $C$  is not algebraically compact.

Let  $m'$  be some fixed maximal ideal of  $R$  containing  $X$  and  $Y$ . Then  $\tilde{R}_{m'} = \tilde{A}_{m' \cap A}[[X, Y]]$  where  $\tilde{A}_{m' \cap A}$  is the  $(m' \cap A)$ -adic completion of  $A$ . In particular  $\tilde{R}_{m'} \geq K[[X, Y]]$ . If  $\alpha_j, 1 \leq j \leq t < \infty$  are elements in  $K$  and  $f_j, 1 \leq j \leq t$  are power series in  $K[[Y]]$ , a straightforward computation shows that the following system of linear equations

$$\Xi = (X - \alpha_j Y)Z_j + \kappa \bar{f}_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq t,$$

has a solution  $\Xi, Z_j (1 \leq j \leq t)$  in  $C$ . Here  $\bar{f}_j$  denotes the element in  $\prod_m \tilde{R}_m$  all of whose components are zero except the  $m'$ -component which is  $f_j$ .

Consider two power series  $f(Y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n Y^n$  and  $g(Y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n Y^n$  in  $K[[Y]]$  such that  $a_n \neq b_n$  for infinitely many  $n$ .

Further let  $I = \{\alpha\}$  and  $J = \{\beta\}$  be two uncountable disjoint subsets of  $K$ . By the preceding remark any finite subset of the following system of linear equations

$$\Xi = (X - \alpha Y)Z_\alpha + \kappa \bar{f}, \quad \alpha \in I, \quad (***)$$

$$\Xi = (X - \beta Y)Z_\beta + \kappa \bar{g}, \quad \beta \in J,$$

has a solution in  $C$ .

Assume the total system (\*\*\*) had a solution in  $C$ . Let  $\xi$  be the  $m'$ -component of a representative for  $\Xi$  in  $\prod_m \tilde{R}_m$ .  $\xi$  can be written in the form  $\xi = \xi(X, Y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n(X, Y)$ , where  $h_n(X, Y) = \sum_{\mu + \nu = n} c_{\mu\nu} X^\mu Y^\nu \in \tilde{A}_{m' \cap R}[X, Y]$ . For any  $\alpha \in I$  (\*\*\*) implies that

$$\xi(\alpha Y, Y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n(\alpha Y, Y) = f(Y) + r_\alpha(Y)$$

where  $r_\alpha(Y)$  is a polynomial in  $A[Y]$ , depending on  $\alpha$ . Since  $I$  is uncountable there exists an integer  $n_1$  such that the degree of  $r_\alpha(Y)$  is  $\leq n_1$  for infinitely many  $\alpha \in I$ . Therefore  $h_n(\alpha, 1) = a_n$ ,  $n > n_1$  for infinitely many  $\alpha \in I$ . Similarly, there is an integer  $n_2$  such that  $h_n(\beta, 1) = b_n$ ,  $n > n_2$ , for infinitely many  $\beta \in J$ . From this we infer that  $a_n = b_n$  for  $n \max(n_1, n_2)$  contradicting our assumption about  $f(Y)$  and  $g(Y)$ . Hence  $(**)$  is not solvable in  $C$  and  $C$  is not algebraically compact.

**Remark.** In [2] a corresponding local result is proved. However, the two results have no direct connection since, in general, the  $L$ -dimension may increase strictly by localization!

## References

- [1] L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups* (Academic Press, New York, 1970).
- [2] L. Gruson, Dimension homologique des modules plats sur un anneau commutatif noetherien, *Symposia Mathematica XI* (1973) 243–254.
- [3] L. Gruson and C.U. Jensen, Modules algebriquement compacts et foncteurs  $\varprojlim^{(n)}$ , *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A–B* 276 (1973) 1651–1653.
- [4] L. Gruson and C.U. Jensen, Deux applications de la notion de  $L$ -dimension, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A–B* 282 (1976) 23–24.
- [5] L. Gruson and C.U. Jensen,  $L$ -dimension of rings and modules (in preparation).
- [6] C.U. Jensen, *Les Foncteurs Derives de  $\varprojlim$  et leurs Applications en Theorie des Modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 254 (Springer, 1972).
- [7] D. Simson, On pure global dimension of locally finitely presented Grothendieck categories (to appear).
- [8] R.B. Warfield, Purity and algebraic compactness for modules, *Pac. J. Math.* 28 (1969) 699–719.