



REVISTA BRASILEIRA DE ANESTESIOLOGIA

Official Publication of the Brazilian Society of Anesthesiology
www.sba.com.br



SCIENTIFIC ARTICLE

Comparison of Intravenous Morphine, Epidural Morphine With/Without Bupivacaine or Ropivacaine in Postthoracotomy Pain Management With Patient Controlled Analgesia Technique

Esra Mercanoğlu* ¹, Zekeriyya Alanoğlu ¹, Perihan Ekmekçi ², Sacide Demiralp ², Neslihan Alkış ²

1. MD, Diplomate of European Society of Anaesthesiology (DESA), Ankara University Medical Faculty Anesthesiology and ICU Department, Turkey

2. MD, Ankara University Medical Faculty Anesthesiology and ICU Department, Turkey

Received from Ankara University Medical Faculty Anesthesiology and ICU Department, Turkey.

Submitted on January 30, 2012. Approved on May 22, 2012.

Keywords:

Analgesia,
Patient Controlled;
Morphine;
Amides/ropivacaine;
Bupivacaine;
Pain Management;
Thoracotomy;
Infusions, Intravenous;
Analgesia, Epidural.

Abstract

Background and objectives: The aim of this randomized, double-blinded, prospective study was to determine the effectiveness and side effects of intravenous or epidural use of morphine, bupivacaine or ropivacaine on post-thoracotomy pain management.

Methods: Sixty patients undergoing elective thoracotomy procedure were randomly allocated into 4 groups by the sealed envelope technique. Group IVM, EM, EMB and EMR received patient controlled intravenous morphine, and epidural morphine, morphine-bupivacaine and morphine-ropivacaine, respectively. Perioperative heart rate, blood pressure and oxygen saturation and postoperative pain at rest and during cough, side effects and rescue analgesic requirements were recorded at the 30th and 60th minutes and the 2nd, 4th, 6th, 12th, 24th, 36th, 48th, and 72nd hour.

Results: Diclofenac sodium requirement during the study was lower in Group EM. Area under VAS-time curve was lower in Group EM compared to Group IVM, but similar to Group EMB and EMR. Pain scores at rest were higher at the 12, 24, 36, and 48th hour in Group IVM compared to Group EM. Pain scores at rest were higher at the 30th and 60th minutes in Group EM and Group IVM compared to Group EMB. Pain scores during cough at the 30th minute were higher in Group EM compared to Group EMB. There was no difference between Group IVM and Group EMR.

Conclusions: Morphine used at the epidural route was found more effective than the intravenous route. While Group EM was more effective in the late period of postoperative, Group EMB was more effective in the early period. We concluded that epidural morphine was the most effective and preferred one.

© 2013 Sociedade Brasileira de Anestesiologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda.

Este é um artigo Open Access sob a licença de [CC BY-NC-ND](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

*Corresponding author:

E-mail address: esramercan76@yahoo.com.

Introduction

Pain is considered a major independent factor responsible for postoperative morbidity and mortality after thoracic operations¹. Open thoracotomy is one of the most painful surgical procedures and post-thoracotomy pain markedly affects postoperative respiratory function and patient recovery².

Post-thoracotomy pain belongs to incision, damage of ribs and intercostal nerves, chest wall inflammation, cut of pleura and pulmonary parenchyma and placement of thoracotomy drainage tube³. Acute post-thoracotomy pain functionally results in lung restriction; adequate ventilation and coughing are compromised and a variety of analgesic techniques like systemic opioid, intercostal nerve blockade, intrapleural analgesia, epidural opioid with or without local analgesic, cryoanalgesia, paravertebral nerve blockage, Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) are used for post-thoracotomy pain management³. Besides these techniques, patient controlled analgesia (PCA) via systemic or epidural route has been used very commonly.

Continuous infusion with local anesthetic or opioid alone or in combination provides effective postoperative pain relief but the most effective ones alone or in combination remain controversial. Although epidural analgesia technique is the gold standard, it is not suitable for all patients and carries potential risks and limitations such as dural perforation, epidural hematoma, infection, hypotension, bradycardia and urinary retention¹.

Serious adverse effects like respiratory depression, urinary retention, hypotension, pruritus etc. may occur with the high doses of opioids and local anesthetics. Epidural or systemic analgesia is safer and can be controlled by patients with PCA⁴.

The most commonly used opioid is morphine (systemic or epidural) and the most commonly used local anesthetic is bupivacaine (epidural). In an attempt to reduce bupivacaine-induced side effects and toxicity, ropivacaine was developed and has been used for the last few years⁵. Ropivacaine is a long-acting amide local anesthetic and has reportedly lower central nervous system and cardiovascular toxicity and less motor block than equivalent doses of bupivacaine^{5,6}. Bupivacaine has the longest duration of all local anesthetics. It is the preferred local anesthetic in this group because it provides excellent sensorial anesthesia⁷. In epidural analgesia the combination of opioid and local anesthetics may provide synergic effects and lower side effects with lower doses and concentrations.

The aim of this randomized, double-blinded, prospective study was to determine the effectiveness and side effects of intravenous morphine or epidural use of morphine with or without bupivacaine or ropivacaine on post-thoracotomy pain management with the patient controlled analgesia technique.

Methods

After obtaining the local Ethics Committee's approval and patients' written informed consent, we randomly allocated into four equal groups by sealed envelop technique 60 patients with ASA I-II physical status and ages between 18-80, undergoing elective thoracotomy procedure for lobectomy, bilobectomy, pneumonectomy or wedge resection without pleural resection. The groups were intravenous morphine, thoracic epidural morphine, thoracic epidural morphine+bupivacaine, thoracic epidural morphine +ropivacaine and named as Group IVM,

Group EM, Group EMB and Group EMR respectively. We excluded from the study the patients who were allergic to the study drugs, had renal failure, were morbidly obese, pregnant, had chronic pain, were under pain control for the last 24 hours, had any contraindication to the placement of an epidural catheter, were not able to use PCA (Patient Controlled Analgesia), refused including to partake into the study, whose ASA physical status were more than two, had neuropathies.

Before the operation was started, we had recorded patients' demographic data, medical history, ASA physical status, allergies, smoking and alcohol history, the type of the procedure (pneumonectomy/lobectomy) and the side of thoracotomy and also in epidural groups the level of the catheter (T_{4-7}).

Prior to taking the patients to the operating rooms, we premedicated them with 25 mg meperidine and 0.5 mg of atropine via intramuscular route. The forearm opposite to the surgical side was used for intravenous line and 0.9% NaCl infusion was given during the perioperative period. A double lumen endobronchial tube was placed after the patient was induced with 3-6 mg.kg⁻¹ of thyopenthal and 0.6-1.1 mg.kg⁻¹ rocuronium for general anesthesia. The patient's lungs were ventilated using 50% oxygen mixed with air. We administered remifentanyl as an opioid whenever needed. We maintained general anesthesia with sevoflurane. After the induction of anesthesia we monitored by means of an arterial line ia blood pressure and supplied arterial blood gases. After giving a lateral decubitus position, the operation began.

The patients' systolic-diastolic-mean arterial pressures were recorded before, during and after the induction at the 5th minute, from the 10th to the 180th minute once every 10 minutes and on the 210th, 240th, 270th and 300th minute.

After the operation finished, we extubated patients in Group IVM but patients in epidural groups were extubated after an epidural catheter had been placed at the level of T_{4-7} under general anesthesia.

PCA doses were prepared as shown below:

GROUP IVM: IV morphine group

Concentration: 1-2 mg.mL⁻¹
 Total Dose: 100-200 mg.100 mL⁻¹
 Loading dose: 2 mg
 Bolus: 1 mg
 Infusion: 1 mg.h⁻¹
 Locking time: 15 min
 4 hours limit: 18 mg

GROUP EM: Epidural morphine group

Concentration: 0.2-0.4 mg.mL⁻¹
 Total Dose: 20-40 mg.100 mL⁻¹
 Loading dose: 2 mg
 Bolus Dose: 1 mg
 Infusion: 1 mg.h⁻¹
 Locking time: 15 min
 4 hours limit: 18 mg

GROUP EMB: Epidural morphine+bupivacaine group

Concentration: 0.1 % bupivacaine + 0.05 mg.mL⁻¹ morphine
 Total dose: (100 mg bupivacaine + 5 mg morphine).100 mL⁻¹
 Loading dose: 10 mL (10 mg bupivacaine + 0.5 mg morphine)

Bolus Dose: 3 mL (3 mg bupivacaine + 0.15 mg morphine)
Infusion: 5 mL.h⁻¹ (5 mg bupivacaine + 0.25 mg morphine)
Locking time: 30 min
4 hours limit: 74 mL

GROUP EMR: Epidural morphine+ropivacaine group

Concentration: 0.1 % ropivacaine + 0.05 mg.mL⁻¹ morphine
Total dose: (100 mg ropivacaine + 5 mg morphine).100 mL⁻¹
Loading dose: 10 mL (10 mg ropivacaine + 0.5 mg)
Bolus Dose: 3 mL (3 mg ropivacaine + 0.15 mg morphine)
Infusion: 5 mL.h⁻¹ (5 mg ropivacaine + 0.25 mg morphine)
Locking time: 30 min
4 hours limit: 74 mL

Postoperative period

Postoperatively, at the 30th minute, the patients whose modified Aldrete-Kroulik recovery score was more than 10 were included into the study. During 72 hours at postoperative period, we used the Wilson sedation scale for assessing patient sedation.

We started PCA in Group IVM.

In epidural groups, 5 minutes after operation, we administered the test dose (3 mL 2% lidocaine) via the epidural catheter; the patients who did not have any motor blockade according to the Modified Bromage Scale¹ were included into the study. During 72 hours of the postoperative period the same scale was used to assess motor block.

During infusion, if hypotension was observed we interrupted infusion and administered ephedrine 5 mg intravenously. After blood pressure normalized, the infusion continued.

We administered antihistamines as soon as we observed any allergic signs.

We assessed the degree of pain by using a 10 cm visual analogue scale (VAS), where zero represented no pain and 10 the worst imaginable pain and verbal rating scale (VRS), where: 0: no pain 1: little pain 2: moderate pain 3: severe pain 4: intolerable pain.

We administered a rescue analgesic (diclofenac sodium 75 mg IM) when VAS scores were more than 50%.

Verifying data

Postoperatively at the 30th min, 1st, 2nd, 4th, 6th, 12th, 24th, 36th, 48th and 72nd hours we recorded blood pressure, heart rate, respiratory rate, peripheral oxygen saturation, VAS and VRS at rest and during cough, rescue analgesic dose, sedation score (Ramsay), motor block and side effects (nausea, vomit, pruritus, urinary retention, respiratory failure, dizziness, headache, backache). At the end of 72 hours we removed the epidural catheter. At the end of the total rescue analgesic dose, we also recorded demand (dem) and delivery (del) of drugs on PCA.

Statistics

Analysis of data

For the aim of analyzing data, we used an IBM computer and Windows SPSS 13.0 (Statistical Program for Social Sciences, Chicago, IL, ABD); we used ANOVA, Kruskal Wallis One-Way

ANOVA and Chi-Square tests and $p < 0.05$ was considered significant. Values are expressed as median and interquartile range.

Continuous variables were given by median [Inter quartile range] or mean \pm standard deviation as appropriate. Categorical variables were presented by frequencies and percentages. Differences between the groups according to the demographic data were evaluated by one-way ANOVA and chi square test. Hemodynamic parameters were compared by repeated measures ANOVA. Kruskal-Wallis test was used for the distributed variables on ranks. Pairwise comparisons were determined by Mann Whitney U test. Categorical variables were compared by Chi-square test. Comparisons within groups were done by Wilcoxon test. Area under curves (AUC) was calculated for postoperative pain scores. Difference between the AUC of groups were verified by Kruskal-Wallis test. Significance value was set as $p < 0.05$.

Results

With the purpose of comparing post-thoracotomy pain management, we included 60 patients that had undergone elective thoracotomy procedures into the study in Ankara University Medical Faculty Hospital. All patients completed the follow-up.

We administered IV morphine in the 1st group, epidural morphine in the 2nd group, epidural morphine + bupivacaine in the 3rd group and epidural morphine + ropivacaine in the 4th group.

Patients' characteristics and demographic data were similar among groups (Table 1).

In all groups, patients' procedure types, intraoperative and postoperative heart rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturation were similar.

In Group IVM at 30th min and 1st hour, VAS scores during cough were significantly higher than in Group EMB ($p < 0.05$) (Table 2). Also in Group IVM at 12th, 24th, 36th, 48th and 72nd hours VAS scores at rest were significantly higher than in Group EM ($p < 0.05$) (Table 3). In Group EM at 30th min and 1st hour VAS scores at rest were significantly higher than in Group EMB ($p < 0.05$). VRS scores at rest and during cough were all similar between all groups. In Group EM at 30th min VAS score during cough was significantly higher than in Group EMB ($p < 0.05$). According to the pain scores there was no significant difference between Group IVM and group EMR. Area under VAS-time curve was lower in Group EM 335 cm² (305-375) compared to Group IVM 445 cm² (355-462) ($p = 0.028$) but similar with Group EMB 447 cm² (290-520) and Group EMR 395 cm² (270-512) (Table 4).

After 72 hours, total PCA, DEM and DEL were similar among groups.

During postoperative 72 hours, total rescue analgesic requirement doses were lower in Group EM 75 mg (0-150) compared with Group IVM 225 mg (75-225) ($p = 0.039$), Group EMB 225 mg (75-300) ($p = 0.006$) and Group EMR 225 mg (150-375) ($p = 0.02$).

Side effects were all similar among groups. Wilson sedation scale was similar in all groups and less than 3. Respiratory failure was not observed in any groups. Motor block scale was similar among epidural groups.

Table 1 Demographic data.

	Age (year)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	Duration of Operation (min)	ASA		GENDER	
					ASA I(%)	ASA II(%)	Male(%)	Female(%)
Group IVM (n = 15)	52 (35-63)	170 (170-180)	72 (60-80)	215 (180-300)	15 (100%)	0 (0%)	11 (73%)	4 (26%)
Group EM (n = 15)	51 (35-58)	168 (163-170)	75 (70-78)	200 (160-240)	15 (100%)	0 (0%)	9 (60%)	6 (40%)
Group EMB (n = 15)	50 (40-62)	170 (160-175)	63 (60-75)	200 (160-260)	15 (100%)	0 (0%)	10 (66%)	5 (33%)
Group EMR (n = 15)	38 (27-50)	175 (165-178)	72 (65-80)	210 (160-255)	15 (100%)	0 (0%)	9 (60%)	6 (40%)

Data are given as median and IQR (%25-75) and patients' numbers and percentages.

GROUP IVM: IV morphine; GROUP EM: Epidural morphine; GROUP EMB: Epidural morphine + bupivacaine; GROUP EMR: Epidural morphine + ropivacaine.

Table 2 Comparing between groups' postoperative VAS during cough.

	30 th min	1 st hr	2 nd hr	4 th hr	6 th hr	12 th hr	24 th hr	36 th hr	48 th hr	72 nd hr
Group IVM (n = 15)	95 (83-100)	90 (80-100)	82 (62-90)	80 (60-90)	60 (50-75)	50 (25-65)	40 (25-50)	40 (20-50)	30 (20-40)	15 (0-30)
Group EM (n = 15)	90 (90-100)*	90 (82-96)	90 (80-97)	80 (48-98)	40 (3-95)	7 (0-65)	20 (0-30)	25 (10-40)	20 (0-35)	0 (0-20)
Group BEM (n = 15)	90 (78-91)	85 (80-91)	80 (70-87)	70 (52-80)	60 (47-76)	40 (20-65)	40 (10-60)	45 (0-60)	10 (0-45)	0 (0-30)
Group EMR (n = 15)	90 (72-97)	90 (72-97)	75 (66-90)	60 (40-80)	50 (30-75)	35 (3-58)	30 (10-50)	30 (0-40)	30 (0-40)	0 (0-21)

Data are given as median and IQR (%25-75). GROUP IVM: IV morphine; GROUP EM: Epidural morphine; GROUP EMB: Epidural morphine + bupivacaine; GROUP EMR: Epidural morphine + ropivacaine. *(found to be significantly higher than group EMB $p < 0.05$).

Table 3 Comparing between groups' postoperative VAS at rest.

	30 th min	1 st hr	2 nd hr	4 th hr	6 th hr	12 th hr	24 th hr	36 th hr	48 th hr	72 nd hr
Group IVM (n = 15)	90 (80-90)**	90 (80-90)**	80 (70-90)	70 (50-80)	60 (40-70)	40 (20-60)*	25 (20-40)*	25 (10-40)*	20 (0-30)*	0 (0-20)
Group EM (n = 15)	90 (90- 100)+	90 (80-90)+	80 (60-85)	60 (50-85)	40 (10-60)	0 (0-20)	0 (0-20)	0 (0-20)	0 (0-15)	0 (0-10)
Group EMB (n = 15)	80 (70-90)	75 (70-80)	70 (65-80)	65 (50-70)	50 (30-70)	40 (0-60)	30 (0-50)	30 (0-40)	0 (0-30)	0 (0-22)
Group EMR (n = 15)	90 (80- 100)	80 (70-95)	65 (60-90)	65 (40-70)	50 (30-70)	40 (0-60)	20 (0-40)	0 (0-30)	10 (0-20)	0 (0-10)

Data are given as median and IQR (%25-75). GROUP IVM: IV morphine; GROUP EM: Epidural morphine; GROUP EMB: Epidural morphine + bupivacaine; GROUP EMR: Epidural morphine + ropivacaine. *(Found to be significantly higher than group EM $p < 0.05$); ** (found to be significantly higher than group EMB $p < 0.05$); + (found to be significantly higher than group EMB $p < 0.05$).

Table 4 Area under curve (pain parameters x time) of groups.

	AUC VAS	AUC VRS	AUC VAS Cough	AUC VRS Cough
Group IVM (n = 15)	*445 (355-462)	31 (27-36)	525 (437-586)	36 (31-42)
Group EM (n = 15)	335 (305-315)	31 (24-36)	625 (255-780)	43 (27-53)
Group EMB (n = 15)	447 (290-520)	30 (20-37)	562 (441-615)	40 (34-45)
Group EMR (n = 15)	395 (270-512)	31 (28-38)	460 (248-571)	36 (26-41)

GROUP IVM: IV morphine; GROUP EM: Epidural morphine; GROUP EMB: Epidural morphine + bupivacaine; GROUP EMR: Epidural morphine + ropivacaine. *(was found to be significantly higher than group EM p < 0.05).

Discussion

Seventy percent of post-thoracotomy patients have too much pain in the early postoperative period. The pain that is caused by stretching costovertebral, costotransverse ligaments and posterior spinal muscles, causes many complications such as weak coughing, decrease in tidal volume and atelectasia, hypoxemia, postoperative pulmonary infection and dyspnea. These complications increase according to age, smoking, obesity and other illnesses^{8,9}.

Post-thoracotomy pain is one of the most painful procedures and various methods have been used and new studies are being done for better methods. The effective methods for post-thoracotomy pain management and lowering systemic opioid dose are continuous intercostal block, paravertebral block, epidural opioid and/or local anesthetic techniques^{3,10}.

Epidural analgesia technique is actually considered the gold standard in this patient population².

In acute post-thoracotomy pain management, the thoracic epidural analgesia technique is currently the most widely preferred one. With this technique, local anesthetics and opioids are used alone or in combination¹¹.

Although the thoracic epidural catheter is commonly inserted at T₅₋₆ level, T₁₀ level it may be a good method with a high dose of bupivacaine. In our study, we usually inserted the catheters at T₄₋₅ level.

Patients can control their analgesic doses by the PCA technique¹². In our study we also used PCA technique and found that all patients were satisfied.

There is always a higher risk of oversedation and respiratory depression with the infusion technique than PCA technique^{12,13}. In our study, we administered a low dose basal infusion with PCA and saw no complication that caused interruption of infusion.

A previous study reported an incidence of nausea and vomiting after epidural opioids of approximately 30%, compared with 87% during PCA¹⁴. In our study, PCA was used in every group so it couldn't be compared with the other one.

PCA technique can be used via routes like IV, IM, epidural and subcutaneous¹². In our study, IV and epidural routes and basal infusion + bolus doses were used. Although there are different techniques, there are still attempts to find an optimal drug or drug combinations, dose and route for

effective pain management¹². Furthermore, the IV route is the most commonly used because it is the cheapest, easiest and most comfortable one for the patients¹².

It is suggested to give the loading dose with PCA to reach optimal plasma concentration and to give infusion to avoid further subanalgesic concentration period¹⁵. As suggested, we started infusion after the loading dose in all our study groups.

In postoperative pain studies, the thoracic epidural catheter route has been found effective with local anesthetics³.

Since the local anesthetics technique causes urinary retention, hypotension, weakness and paresthesia of upper limbs, opioids are starting to be used via the thoracic epidural catheter route. Opioid via epidural route was found more effective with lower dose than systemic opioid¹⁵. In our study, although systemic and epidural opioid doses were equal, side effects did not increase in the epidural technique.

For reducing epidural opioids' side effects and increasing analgesic effects, local anesthetics have been added to opioids. For this purpose, we had our 3rd and 4th groups, but we found that our 2nd group was the most effective one, probably because of their relatively high dose. After adding bupivacaine to morphine (3rd group) the effect of analgesia became higher at the 30th min and 1st hour. Rescue analgesic was also the lowest in the epidural morphine group.

Ready et al.¹⁶ found out similar respiratory depression in both parenteral and epidural route. Our results were not different from Ready's.

There are some reports showing that the reason behind bradypnea is to provide good analgesia, and not because of respiratory depression of opioids¹⁷. Contrary to those reports, we saw no respiratory depression in our study, despite good analgesia being performed. Rawal¹⁸ reported that the risk of life-threatening respiratory depression in patients with IV PCA is approximately 0.9%. Any life threatening respiratory depression was not observed in our study because of very low incidence.

Veering and Cousins¹⁹ did not observe any cardiovascular depression and hypotension due to local anesthetic-induced negative inotropic effects in the thoracic epidural analgesia technique in their study and the two groups did not differ in terms of systolic and diastolic blood pressure, or heart rate.

Wu et al.²⁰ compared effectiveness of systemic opioid, epidural opioid and epidural opioid+local anesthetic in a meta-analysis of postoperative pain. For all pain types they

found the effectiveness of epidural opioid superior to systemic opioid. But this was not valid for hydrophilic opioids. In their studies, continuous epidural infusion was found to be more effective in pain at rest and movement than PCA. However, nausea, vomit and motor block were observed more often. They showed that the epidural analgesia technique decreased perioperative morbidity and mortality. They also mentioned that epidural opioid administration with local anesthetic was the best in pain relief.

Continuous epidural analgesia and PCA via intravenous route techniques are being used very commonly and studies show that both techniques are good options for postoperative pain management in major operations. There are some reasons for the ideal one to remain unknown. The first is lack of a standard method for epidural and systemic opioid, the second is the combination of epidural opioid and local anesthetic and the third reason is the reports from some studies that morbidity is decreased by epidural analgesia technique. Nonetheless, Azad et al.²¹ studied 50 patients that had undergone elective thoracotomy procedures to compare epidural and systemic analgesia effects, pulmonary functions, side effects and complications and they concluded that the epidural group presented better analgesia and lower sedation and nausea but pulmonary complications and hospital stay were similar among groups. In our study, side effects were similar among four groups and analgesia was more effective in epidural groups.

When local anesthetics are administered due to continuous epidural infusion, agents like fentanyl should be added for providing better postoperative analgesia²².

Macias et al.²³ performed a double-blinded randomized study with 80 patients under elective thoracotomy procedure and, as a result, found epidural ropivacaine-fentanyl and epidural bupivacaine-fentanyl groups to be similar. We added morphine to bupivacaine and ropivacaine in our study as well and observed no significant difference.

Kavanagh et al.³ have made a meta-analysis of current techniques for post-thoracotomy pain management. They compared the use of opioids, NSAIDs, ketamine and regional analgesia. They concluded that the combination of thoracic epidural local anesthetics and opioids could essentially abolish post-thoracotomy pain but possible complications and cost-benefit issues should be considered.

Cassady et al.²⁴ compared continuous thoracic epidural analgesia with bupivacaine-fentanyl combination and PCA with morphine in adolescents undergoing posterior spinal fusion and found the two groups comparable in terms of effectiveness and safety.

Bloch et al.¹⁰ compared an IV infusion of tramadol with epidural morphine in post-thoracotomy pain management in adults and concluded that thoracic epidural analgesia may lead to faster recovery of the respiratory function, but the procedure was not free of risk. Their study suggests that postoperative infusion of tramadol is at least as effective as thoracic epidural morphine.

As mentioned above, there are several techniques for postoperative pain management and the choice depends on several factors such as anesthetist's experience, preference, duration of local and systemic pain management, contraindications of some analgesic drugs and techniques and patient's

preference²⁵. For this reason, many studies are under way to find the ideal postoperative pain management technique. This present study is one of them.

In our study, we compared the effectiveness and side effects of intravenous morphine, epidural morphine, epidural morphine + bupivacaine and epidural morphine + ropivacaine in post-thoracotomy pain management. We found VAS scores at rest in the postoperative 12th, 24th, 36th and 48th hours to be higher in Group IVM than in Group EM ($p < 0.05$). VAS scores at rest during the postoperative 30th min and 1st hour in Group IVM was higher than in Group EMB ($p < 0.05$). VAS scores at rest in the postoperative 30th min and 1st hour and during cough in the postoperative 30th min were higher in Group EM than group EMB ($p < 0.05$). Postoperative analgesia effects of group IVM and Group EMR were similar. There was no difference according to side effects. Epidural groups were found to provide more effective analgesia and rescue analgesic was the lowest in Group EM. While Group EM was more effective at the late postoperative period, Group EMB was more effective in the earlier postoperative period.

As a summary, epidural morphine was found to be more effective than systemic morphine. While Group EM was significantly more effective than Group IVM at late postoperative period, Group EMB was more effective than Groups IVM and EM at early postoperative period. Group EMR was not more effective than the other groups. Rescue analgesic demand was the least in Group EM.

As a conclusion, this study found that epidural morphine 2 mg loading and 1 mg.hr⁻¹ infusion doses with PCEA technique is the most effective and all techniques in this study can be used safely because of similar side effects.

References

1. Andreas M, Zollinger A, De Lorenzi D et al. - Prospective, randomized comparison of extrapleural versus epidural analgesia for postthoracotomy pain. *Ann Thorac Surg*, 1998;66:367-372.
2. Casati A, Alessandrini P, Nuzzi M et al. - A prospective randomized blinded comparison between continuous thoracic paravertebral and epidural infusion of 0.2% ropivacaine after lung resection surgery. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*, 2006;23(12):999-1004.
3. Kavanagh BP, Katz J, Sandler AN - Pain control after thoracic surgery. *Anesthesiology*, 1994;81:737-759.
4. Smith AJ, Haynes TK, Roberts DE, Harmer M - A comparison of opioid solutions for patient-controlled epidural analgesia. *Anesthesia*, 2008;51(11):1013-1017.
5. Macias A - A randomized double-blinded comparison of thoracic epidural ropivacaine, ropivacaine/fentanyl, or bupivacaine/fentanyl for postthoracotomy analgesia. *Anesth Analg*, 2002;95:1344-1350.
6. Owen MD, D'Angelo R, Gerancher JC et al - 0.125 % ropivacaine is similar to 0.125 % bupivacaine for labor analgesia using patient-controlled epidural infusion. *Anesth Analg*, 1998;86:527-531.
7. Cousini MJ, Bernadette TV - Epidural blockade, In: Cousini MJ, Bridenbaugh PO. *Neural blockade*. Lippincott: Raven. 1998;243-322.
8. Soto RG, Fu ES - Acute pain management for patients undergoing thoracotomy. *Ann Thorac Surg*, 2003;75(4):1349-1357.
9. Takamori S, Yoshida S, Hayashi A, Matsuo T, Mitsuoka M, Shirouzu K - Intraoperative intercostal nerve blockade for postthoracotomy pain. *Ann Thorac Surg*, 2002;74:338-341.

10. Bloch MB, Dyer RA, Heijke SA, James MF - Tramadol infusion for postthoracotomy pain relief: a placebo-controlled comparison with epidural morphine. *Anesth Analg*, 2002;94:523-528.
11. Sentürk M - Acute and chronic pain after thoracotomies. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*, 2005;18:1-4.
12. Glass PSA, Estok P, Ginsberg B, Goldberg JS, Sladen RN - Use of patient - controlled analgesia to compare the efficacy of epidural to intravenous fentanyl administration. *Anesth Analg*, 1992;74:345-351.
13. Ferrante FM, Timothy RV - Opioids and PCA, In "Postoperative Pain Management". Churchill Livingstone inc, 1993;107-277.
14. Bozkurt P - The analgesic efficacy and neuroendocrine response in pediatric patients treated with two analgesic techniques: using morphine-epidural and patient-controlled analgesia. *Paediatr Anaesth*, 2002;12:248-254.
15. White PF - Use of patient-controlled analgesia: an update on its use in the treatment in the treatment of postoperative pain. *Anesth Clin N Am*, 1989;7:63-78.
16. Chaney MA - Side effects of intrathecal and epidural opioids. *Can J Anaesth*, 1995;42(10): 891-904.
17. Lee CR, McTavish D, Sorkin EM - Tramadol a preliminary review of its pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic potential in acute and chronic pain states. *Drugs*, 1993;46(2):313-340.
18. Rawal N - Epidural and spinal agents for postoperative analgesia. *Surg Clin North Am*, 1999;79:313-344.
19. Veering BT, Cousins MJ - Cardiovascular and pulmonary effects of epidural anaesthesia. *Anaesth Intens Care*, 2000;28:620-635.
20. Wu CL, Cohen SR, Richman JM et al. - Efficacy of postoperative patient-controlled and continuous infusion epidural analgesia versus intravenous patient-controlled analgesia with opioids: a meta-analysis. *Anesth*, 2005;103(5):1079-1088.
21. Azad SC, Groh J, Beyer A et al. - Continuous peridural analgesia vs. patient-controlled intravenous analgesia for pain therapy after thoracotomy. *Anaesthesist*, 2000;49(1):9-17.
22. Scott DA - Ropivacaine for postoperative epidural analgesia. *Techniques in Regional Anesthesia Pain Management*, 2001; 5(2):64-69.
23. Macias A, Monedero P, Adame P - A randomized, double-blinded comparison of thoracic epidural ropivacaine, ropivacain-fentanyl or bupivacaine-fentanyl for post-thoracotomy analgesia. *Anesth Analg*, 2002;95:1344-1350.
24. Cassady JF, Lederhaas G, Cancel DD, Cummings RJ, Loveless EA - A randomized comparison of the effects of continuous thoracic epidural analgesia and intravenous patient-controlled analgesia after posterior spinal fusion in adolescents. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*, 2000;25:3246-3253.
25. Savage C, McQuitty C, Wang D et al - Postthoracotomy pain management. *Chest Surg Clin N Am*, 2002;12(2):251-263.