Evolution of Developmental Control Mechanisms

Wnt signaling is required for organization of the lens fiber cell cytoskeleton and development of lens three-dimensional architecture
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A B S T R A C T

How an organ develops its characteristic shape is a major issue. This is particularly critical for the eye lens as its function depends on having appropriately ordered three-dimensional cellular architecture. Recent in vitro studies indicate that Wnt signaling plays key roles in regulating morphological events in FGF-induced fiber cell differentiation in the mammalian lens. To further investigate this the Wnt signaling antagonist, secreted frizzled-related protein 2 (Sfrp2), was overexpressed in lens fiber cells of transgenic mice. In these mice fiber cell elongation was attenuated and individual fibers exhibited irregular shapes and consequently did not align or pack regularly; microtubules, microfilaments and intermediate filaments were clearly disordered in these fibers. Furthermore, a striking feature of transgenic lenses was that fibers did not develop the convex curvature typically seen in normal lenses. This appears to be related to a lack of protrusive processes that are required for directed migratory activity at their apical and basal tips as well as for the formation of interlocking processes along their lateral margins. Components of the Wnt/Planar Cell Polarity (PCP) pathway were downregulated or inhibited. Taken together this supports a role for Wnt/PCP signaling in orchestrating the complex organization and dynamics of the fiber cell cytoskeleton.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

How the eye lens develops its characteristic shape and three-dimensional cellular architecture is a major developmental question. Lens tissue differentiates from ectoderm situated next to the optic vesicle. By thickening and invaginating, the ectoderm forms the lens vesicle. Subsequently, posterior vesicle cells elongate to form the primary fibers, whereas anterior vesicle cells differentiate into epithelial cells. The divergent fates of these embryonic cells give the lens its distinctive polarity. Once formed, the lens grows rapidly by cell division and differentiation. Cell proliferation occurs in the epithelial layer just above the lens equator known as the germinative zone (McAvoy, 1978). Progeny of divisions migrate below the equator where they elongate and differentiate into secondary fiber cells. In this way, the lens grows throughout life and maintains its polarity.

Growth factors are key regulators of cell fates and behaviors and much attention has focused on identifying the factors that govern proliferation, migration and differentiation of lens cells. Initial studies with a mammalian lens epithelial explant system identified members of the FGF growth factor family as key regulators of lens fiber differentiation. Moreover, detailed analyses showed that FGFs could induce dose-dependent responses. Specifically, a low dose of FGF induced lens cell proliferation, whereas sequentially higher doses were required to induce epithelial cell migration and fiber cell differentiation (McAvoy and Chamberlain, 1989). This finding, together with the fact that FGF bioavailability differs throughout the eye (e.g. more FGF can be recovered from the vitreous than aqueous; Schulz et al., 1993), led to the hypothesis that the distinct polarity of the lens in the eye is determined by a gradient of FGF signaling. Subsequent genetic manipulations with the murine lens, where FGFs were overexpressed or FGF receptors were knocked out, have now provided compelling evidence for this hypothesis (Lovicu and McAvoy, 2005; Robinson, 2006; Zhao et al., 2008). However, it has become increasingly clear from both in vitro and in vivo studies that mammalian fiber differentiation also depends on a FGF-initiated cascade of growth factor signaling involving other growth factor-dependent pathways (de Jongh et al., 2001; Faber et al., 2002; Belecky-Adams et al., 2002; Lovicu and McAvoy, 2005; Boswell et al., 2008). Most recently, there is evidence that members of the Wnt family play key roles in regulating events in fiber differentiation in the mammalian lens (Lyu and Joo, 2004; Chen et al., 2006).

Wnt signaling is initiated when a Wnt ligand complexes with a frizzled (Fz) receptor and a LDL-related protein (Lrp) co-receptor. Once this complex is formed, dishevelled (Dvl) is activated and axin is
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recruited to the cell membrane. In the absence of Wnt, axin is part of a module containing glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK3β) that phosphorylates β-catenin and targets it for destruction (Cong et al., 2004; Logan and Nusse, 2004). Thus ligand/receptor interaction leads to an increase in stabilized (unphosphorylated) β-catenin. In this form, β-catenin can then associate with the DNA binding transcription factors TCF or Lef, and in the nucleus regulate expression of target genes. Other, non-canonical Wnt signaling pathways have also been identified, and these include the Wnt/Ca++ and the Wnt/planar cell polarity (PCP) pathways (Karnes et al., 2006; Jones and Chen, 2007).

Although not as well understood as canonical Wnt signaling, there is a coordinated cellular shape changes and rearrangements that are driven by Wnt/PCP signaling (Green and Davidson, 2007). Wnt signaling through the PCP pathway involves activation of Dvl, although through a different domain(s) than for canonical signaling, and generally involves activation of the small Rho GTPases and results in the activation of JNK (Logan and Nusse, 2004; Wallingford and Habas, 2005; Karnes et al., 2006; Jones and Chen, 2007).

Wnts, their Fz receptors and Lrp5/6 co-receptors are expressed in the mammalian lens during development (Stump et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2004 Ang et al., 2004). In previous studies we showed that mouse embryos homozygous for a mutation in the lrp6 gene that have impaired Wnt/β-catenin signaling did not form a normal lens (Stump et al., 2003). This defect was evident at E13.5 when cells of the anterior region of the lens vesicle did not normally differentiate into a polarized sheet of adherent epithelial cells, but instead accumulated the fiber-specific marker, β-crystallin, and extruded into the corneal stroma. Results from TCF/LeLFα-LacZ reporter mice also show a period of Wnt/β-catenin reporter activity in the lens epithelium at this time (between E11.5-14.5: Liu et al., 2003, 2006, 2007). Taken together this indicates a requirement for Wnt/β-catenin signaling between E11.5-14.5 for the lens epithelium to differentiate from the anterior cells of the lens vesicle.

For lens fibers, explant studies indicate a role for Wnt signaling in mediating FGF-triggered fiber differentiation (Lyu and Joo, 2004). Fiber differentiation in vivo is unlikely to involve canonical Wnt signaling as no TCF/LeLFα-LacZ reporter activity is detected in any part of the lens beyond E14.5 (Liu et al., 2003, 2006, 2007). However, given that Wnt/PCP core signaling components Prickle and Van Gogh-like (Bekman and Henrique, 2002; Tissir and Goffinet, 2006) as well as Fzs and Dvl5 (Stump et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2004; Ang et al., 2004; Lyu and Joo, 2004) are prominent in elongating mammalian fiber cells, this effect may be mediated through the PCP pathway. In particular, Wnt/PCP signaling may have a role in mediating the effects of FGF in promoting the complex cytoskeletal reorganization required for the transition of epithelial cells into elongated, highly ordered fiber cells (Chen et al., 2006). A role for Wnt/PCP signaling in this process is consistent with studies that show impairment of the fiber cell cytoskeleton is a consequence of inhibiting the small Rho GTPases (Maddala et al., 2001, 2003, 2004; Rao et al., 2002). However, whilst these studies have identified roles for these GTPases in fiber cell elongation, it is not clear in this context if their activity is related to Wnt/PCP signaling.

The aim of the current study was to assess the role of the Wnt/PCP pathway in lens fiber differentiation by blocking Wnt signaling in the lens. To achieve this we overexpressed (using a lens crystallin promoter) the Wnt signaling antagonist, secreted frizzled-related protein 2 (Sfrp2), in the lenses of transgenic mice. Sfrps antagonize Wnt cascades by binding to Wnts or Fz receptors (Kawano and Kypta, 2003), and have the potential to modulate Wnt signaling activity in cells through all Wnt signaling pathways (Jones and Jomary, 2002).

Although all five Sfrp genes are expressed during lens development (Chen et al., 2004), Sfrp2 was selected for this transgenic study because, unlike the other Sfrp genes, it has a distinctive expression pattern early in lens morphogenesis that coincides with regions where inactivation of Wnt signaling is required for normal lens development (Smith et al., 2005). Evidence that Sfrp2 can inhibit Wnt signaling also comes from studies in various other systems (Lescher et al., 1998; Ladher et al., 2000; Deb et al., 2007) and recently a plethora of studies have linked Sfrp2 inhibition of Wnt signaling with an important tumor suppressor function (Nojima et al., 2007).

Results from the current study have shown that overexpression of Sfrp2 in fiber cells of transgenic mice led to the development of severe cataracts. Lens fiber elongation was attenuated and at their anterior and posterior tips they did not migrate towards the lens poles to form sutures. As a result fibers did not develop the characteristic convex curvature that is central to the development of normal three-dimensional lens architecture. Microtubules, microfilaments and intermediate filaments were disorganized in the incipient fiber cells of these transgenic mice. In addition, components of the PCP pathway, including Dvl, Cdc42, Rac1, RhoA and JNK were disturbed and, in the case of Dvl and the small GTPases, were downregulated/inhibited. Taken together this supports a role for Wnt/PCP signaling in regulating complex organization of the cytoskeleton that is required for normal lens fiber differentiation and maturation.

Materials and methods

Animals

The use of animals in this study conformed to the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO) Statement for the use of animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. Mutant mice deficient for Sfrp2 were generated as previously described (Satoh et al., 2006).

Construction of the plasmid with the Sfrp2 full-length gene

Full length Sfrp2 cDNA (U85867 in GenBank) was sub-cloned into the α-crystallin promoter plasmid (provided by Dr. Reneker, University of Missouri, USA) that consisted of a modified mouse α-crystallin promoter, the chick 61-crystallin enhancer element and the human growth hormone polyadenylation (polyA) region (Fig. 1H; Reneker et al., 2004). Generation of transgenic mice and genotyping

The Sfrp2 transgene was injected into pronuclei of one-cell-stage BCF1 (C57/BL6xCBA) mouse embryos by the Transgenic Animal Service of Queensland (Queensland, Australia). Transgenic mice were genotyped by PCR using primers specific for the Sfrp2 transgene. PCR amplification using genomic DNA as a control showed the presence of Sfrp2 transgene (forward: 5′tgctagttccagatgcgc3′; reverse: 5′actgggaacagttgcac3′) or the 61-crystallin enhancer (forward: 5′tgctgacaaaattcctga3′; reverse: 5′caggaatatataccttgctc3′). Total RNA was extracted from 5-day-old postnatal lenses (P5) of Sfrp2 overexpressing transgenic mice or WT littermates as described previously (Chen et al., 2004). Briefly, 2 μg of RNA treated with DNase I was reverse transcribed, with or without reverse transcriptase, for PCR amplification using specific primer pairs to amplify Sfrp2. To genotype littermates from heterozygotic Sfrp2 matings, PCR amplification using genomic DNA from crude tail digests was performed using DirectPCR (Viagen Biotech CA, USA) as described above.

Tissue collection and histology

Embryos at embryonic day 12.5 (E12.5), E14.5, E16.5 or eyeballs at P1 and P21 of Sfrp2 transgenic mice and WT littermates were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (NBF) overnight, or with HistoChoice
Dehydrated through a graded ethanol series, cleared in xylene and embedded in paraffin wax. All tissues were sectioned at 5 μm and stained with haematoxylin and eosin.

**Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)**

The lenses from transgenic mouse line Sfrp2-m2 and WT littermates at P1 were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4, for 3 h at room temperature. After washing and post fixation with 1% osmium tetroxide in phosphate buffer, the lenses were stained in 2% uranyl acetate and embedded in Epon-Araldite resin. Sections were stained and viewed on a 7100FA transmission electron microscope (Hitachi Koki, Tokyo, Japan).

**In situ hybridization**

In situ hybridization was conducted with a dioxygenin (DIG)-labeled Sfrp2 riboprobe as described previously (Chen et al., 2004).
brief, DIG-labeled complementary antisense and sense RNA probes were transcribed from the linearized plasmid templates containing the Sfrp2 gene using SP6 or T7 RNA polymerases, respectively, and DIG-labeled nucleotides using a labeling kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). The expression patterns of the Sfrp2 gene were examined by in situ hybridization on paraffin sections of E12.5 WT lens and embryonic and postnatal Sfrp2 transgenic lenses.

Immunohistochemistry

Sections of E14.5, P1 and P21 lenses from Sfrp2 transgenic and WT mice were deparaffinized in xylene and hydrated through a graded ethanol series to PBS. The slides were blocked with rabbit or goat serum for half an hour and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. The primary antibodies used were specific for α-tubulin and acetylated α-tubulin (Sigma, MO, USA), Sfrp2, Cdc42, Rac1, RhoA, phospho-JNK (pJNK), (all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA) and filensin (provided by Roy Quinlan, University of Durham, UK). For controls, non-immune serum was substituted for the primary antibody. The sections were incubated with the secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa 488 (Invitrogen Australia Pty, Ltd) was used to localize F-actin. In some cases, the sections were counterstained with propidium iodide (PI, Molecular Probes, CA, USA).

Western blotting

Whole lenses were dissected from Sfrp2 transgenic mice or WT littermates at P5. For the extraction of soluble proteins (SP) and insoluble proteins (ISP), the lenses were lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer CSK (0.5% Triton, 50 mM NaCl, 300 mM sucrose, 10 mM PIPES, 3 mM MgCl2, 1 mM Na3VO4, 10 mM Na2P2O7, 50 mM NaF) supplemented with protease inhibitor (Complete Mini, Roche). The homogenized mixture was then centrifuged at 16,000 ×g for 20 min at 4 °C to separate the soluble protein from insoluble protein. The supernatant containing the soluble extract was collected as SP. The remaining pellet was then treated with SDS buffer (10 mM Tris HCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 1 mM Na3VO4, 0.1 mM Octyglucopyranoside) supplemented with protease inhibitor. The mixture was vortexed and then centrifuged at 16,000 ×g for 20 min. The supernatant containing the soluble extract was collected as ISP. Total proteins for immunoprecipitation were extracted as above. For the extraction of soluble proteins (SP) and insoluble proteins (ISP), the lenses were lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer CSK (0.5% Triton, 50 mM NaCl, 300 mM sucrose, 10 mM PIPES, 3 mM MgCl2, 1 mM Na3VO4, 10 mM Na2P2O7, 50 mM NaF) supplemented with protease inhibitor (Complete Mini, Roche). The homogenized mixture was then centrifuged at 16,000 ×g for 20 min at 4 °C to separate the soluble protein from insoluble protein. The supernatant containing the soluble extract was collected as SP. The remaining pellet was then treated with SDS buffer (10 mM Tris HCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 1 mM Na3VO4, 0.1 mM Octyglucopyranoside) supplemented with protease inhibitor. The mixture was vortexed and then centrifuged at 16,000 ×g for 20 min. The supernatant containing the soluble extract was collected as ISP. Total proteins for immunoprecipitation were extracted as above. For the extraction of soluble proteins (SP) and insoluble proteins (ISP), the lenses were lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer CSK (0.5% Triton, 50 mM NaCl, 300 mM sucrose, 10 mM PIPES, 3 mM MgCl2, 1 mM Na3VO4, 10 mM Na2P2O7, 50 mM NaF) supplemented with protease inhibitor (Complete Mini, Roche).

In Situ Hybridization Studies showed that Sfrp2 mRNA was expressed weakly in the presumptive lens epithelium in WT lenses at E12.5, but was absent from the primary fibers. Expression was also evident in the optic cup but tended to be reduced or absent at the margins (Fig. 2A). This is the pattern of expression that is characteristic of endogenous Sfrp2 at this stage of development (Chen et al., 2004). At E14.5, strong immunoreactivity for Sfrp2 was evident in the elongating cells at the lens equator and in the cortical lens (Fig. 2B). The Sfrp2-m2 lens showed a similar pattern of expression at this stage (not shown). At P21, Sfrp2 mRNA was still very strongly expressed in elongating cells at the lens equator and in cortical fiber cells of the Sfrp2-m1 lens (Fig. 2C). Sfrp2 transcripts were only weakly, if at all, expressed in the epithelial cells above the lens equator in these lenses (Fig. 2C, arrow). The Sfrp2-m2 lenses, at P21, showed a similar pattern of expression except that expression appeared to be stronger than in Sfrp2-m1 lenses (Fig. 1D).

Protein expression

At E14.5, strong immunoreactivity for Sfrp2 was evident in the fiber cells of Sfrp2 lenses and was weak or undetectable in epithelial cells (Fig. 2E). Similarly at P21, Sfrp2 protein was strongly localized in the elongating cells at the lens equator and in the cortical fiber cells of Sfrp2 lenses (Fig. 2F). Western blotting showed that Sfrp2 protein (33 kDa) was present predominantly in the insoluble fraction from Sfrp2-m1 lenses at P5. By this method Sfrp2 protein was undetectable in postnatal WT lenses (Fig. 2G). The insoluble protein mainly

Results

Gross morphology of Sfrp2 transgenic lenses

With the overall aim of identifying roles for Wnt signaling in lens development, transgenic mice were generated that overexpressed the Wnt signaling antagonist, Sfrp2, in the eye. Two transgenic lines of mice were generated that overexpressed the Wnt signaling antagonist, Sfrp2, in the eye. Both lines exhibited ocular phenotypes, with severe bilateral cataracts in Sfrp2-m1 (Fig. 1B) and microphthalmia in Sfrp2-m2 (Fig. 1C). Removal of lenses at P5 showed that, compared with WT littermates, lenses from Sfrp2 transgenic mice were opaque (Figs. 1D–F). Transgenic lenses were smaller than WT lenses (Figs. 1E and F). Lenses from the Sfrp2-m2 line were often abnormal in shape and had a ruptured posterior capsule.

RT-PCR was employed to check transgene expression in the lenses. Total RNA was extracted from lenses of P5 Sfrp2 transgenic and WT littermates. RT-PCR showed that the Sfrp2 transgene (910 bp) was expressed in the lenses of both Sfrp2-m1 and Sfrp2-m2 mice, but not in WT lenses (Fig. 1G). The presence of the chick 61-crystallin enhancer gene (565 bp) in genomic DNA was used to identify transgenic mices in litters from heterozygotic Sfrp2 matings (Fig. 1G).

Patterns of Sfrp2 expression in transgenic lenses

mRNA expression

In situ hybridization studies showed that Sfrp2 mRNA was expressed weakly in the presumptive lens epithelium in WT lenses at E12.5, but was absent from the primary fibers. Expression was also evident in the optic cup but tended to be reduced or absent at the margins (Fig. 2A). This is the pattern of expression that is characteristic of endogenous Sfrp2 at this stage of development (Chen et al., 2004). In the Sfrp2-m1 lens at E12.5, in addition to weak expression in the lens epithelium, Sfrp2 mRNA was prominently expressed in the lens primary fibers (Fig. 2B). The Sfrp2-m2 lens showed a similar pattern of expression at this stage (not shown). At P21, Sfrp2 mRNA was still very strongly expressed in elongating cells at the lens equator and in cortical fiber cells of the Sfrp2-m1 lens (Fig. 2C). Sfrp2 transcripts were only weakly, if at all, expressed in the epithelial cells above the lens equator in these lenses (Fig. 2C, arrow). The Sfrp2-m2 lenses, at P21, showed a similar pattern of expression except that expression appeared to be stronger than in Sfrp2-m1 lenses (Fig. 1D).

Protein expression

At E14.5, strong immunoreactivity for Sfrp2 was evident in the fiber cells of Sfrp2 lenses and was weak or undetectable in epithelial cells (Fig. 2E). Similarly at P21, Sfrp2 protein was strongly localized in the elongating cells at the lens equator and in the cortical fiber cells of Sfrp2 lenses (Fig. 2F). Western blotting showed that Sfrp2 protein (33 kDa) was present predominantly in the insoluble fraction from Sfrp2-m1 lenses at P5. By this method Sfrp2 protein was undetectable in postnatal WT lenses (Fig. 2G). The insoluble protein mainly

Immunoprecipitation

Total proteins for immunoprecipitation were extracted as above. For pre-clearing the lysates, 1000–1200 μg total proteins from WT or Sfrp2-m2 lens lysates was incubated with 0.25 μg rabbit IgG (Zymed Laboratories, CA, USA) for 1 h at 4 °C on a shaking table. Then 20 μl of protein A/G agarose beads (Santa Cruz) was added into the mixture and incubated for 30 min at 4 °C on a shaking table. Agarose beads were centrifuged at 12,000×g for 10 s at 4 °C to pellet the beads. The supernatant was collected in a new tube on ice for immunoprecipitation. The primary antibody was then added (0.2–2 μg anti-Sfrp2) along with 20 μl of agarsse beads into the pre-cleared lysates and incubated at 4 °C overnight on a shaking table. The agarose beads were then collected by spinning at 1000×g for 30 s at 4 °C. The beads were washed 2–4 times in RIPA buffer. The centrifugation and washing steps were then repeated after each wash. The agarose beads were then resuspended in 20 μl of 2× sample buffer, boiled for 2–3 min to denature proteins and stored on ice prior to loading on SDS-PAGE gel for western blotting using antibodies for Sfrp2 and Wnt7b (Santa Cruz).
represents membrane and nuclear proteins. The observation that Sfrp2 was substantially greater in the insoluble, compared with the soluble, fraction is consistent with Sfrp localization to plasma membranes and/or the extracellular matrix when synthesized by cultured cells (Kawano and Kypta, 2003). Taken together with the in situ results this shows that Sfrp2 protein remained localized to the cells that produced it.

**Sfrp2 protein co-precipitates with Wnt7b**

As the Sfrps are extracellular antagonists that modulate Wnt signaling pathways by ligand-receptor interactions, we wanted to determine if the overexpressed Sfrp2 associated with any Wnt ligands. As Wnt7b is prominently expressed in the lens (see Stump et al., 2003; Ang et al., 2004; Lyu and Joo, 2004) and antibodies for Wnt7b were available, we immunoprecipitated Sfrp2 from homogenates of WT and

---

**Fig. 2. Sfrp2 expression patterns in transgenic lenses.** Sfrp2 gene expression was detected by in situ hybridization using a DIG-labeled Sfrp2 riboprobe (A–D). (A) In the WT lens, at E12.5, Sfrp2 mRNA was expressed in the presumptive lens epithelium, but not in the primary fibers. It was expressed throughout most of the optic cup but reduced or absent at its margin (arrowhead in panel A). (B) In the Sfrp2-m1 lens at E12.5, compared to the WT lens, in addition to weak expression in the lens epithelium, the Sfrp2 mRNA was also prominently expressed in the lens primary fibers. (C) At P21 in the Sfrp2-m1 lens, Sfrp2 mRNA was still strongly expressed in elongating cells at the lens equator and in cortical fiber cells, but not in the degenerated fibers which had lost cell structure (asterisks in panel C). In the epithelial cells above the lens equator (arrow in panel C), Sfrp2 expression was generally undetectable. (D) At P21 in the Sfrp2-m2 line, Sfrp2 transcripts were also very strongly detected in the lens fibers, but weak or undetectable in the epithelial cells. (E, F) Sfrp2 protein localization in Sfrp2 lenses was detected by immunohistochemistry using an anti-Sfrp2 antibody. (E) In Sfrp2-m1 lenses at E14.5, Sfrp2 immunoreactivity was strongly detected in the lens fiber cells, but weak or undetectable in the lens epithelial cells. (F) In Sfrp2-m2 lenses at P21, Sfrp2 protein was strongly localized in the elongating cells at the lens equator and in the cortical fiber cells. (G) Western blotting showed that Sfrp2 protein (33 kDa) was present prominently in the insoluble fraction from Sfrp2-m1 lenses at P5. It was also weakly present in the soluble fraction. No Sfrp2 protein was detected in WT lenses at P5. Abbreviations: epi, epithelium; ISP, insoluble protein; SP, soluble protein. Scale bar: 100 μm in panels A, B, E; 40 μm in panels C, D, F.
transgenic lenses, followed by SDS-PAGE immunoblotting for Wnt7b. A strong band for Sfrp2 was detected in the immunoprecipitate from transgenic lenses and immunoblotting revealed that this contained abundant Wnt7b (Fig. 3). In contrast, only traces of Sfrp2 and Wnt7b were detected in the immunoprecipitate from WT lenses.

**Histological analysis of the Sfrp2 transgenic lenses**

To investigate the structural changes in transgenic lenses, heterozygous transgenic mice were mated to generate homozygous, heterozygous and WT littermates. Eyeballs from P21 Sfrp1-m1, Sfrp2-m2 and WT littermates were sectioned and stained with H&E. In WT lenses, the elongating and maturing fibers showed orderly alignment along the fiber axis in the transitional zone (Figs. 4A and A2). In contrast, the lenses of Sfrp2-m1 mice had severely disrupted cellular architecture (Figs. 4B and B2). The lenses in Sfrp2-m1 mice were smaller in size than the lenses of WT controls (compare Figs. 4A and B). The epithelial cells appeared to be normally arranged but tended to have larger nuclei (Fig. 4B1) compared to epithelial cells in WT lenses (Fig. 4A1). In lenses of Sfrp2-m1 mice the fibers in the transitional zone initially exhibited normal elongation, but, as differentiation progressed, they became disorganized. In the lens cortex, where fiber cell maturation occurs, fiber cells often appeared very disorganized and large vacuoles, or inter-cellular spaces, were abundant (Fig. 4B2). In the lens nucleus, the fibers had lost their characteristic structure and were often completely broken down (Fig. 4B, asterisk). Degeneration of fibers was usually accompanied with rupture of the posterior capsule (data not shown).

Consistent with their smaller size, the lenses from Sfrp2-m2 mice showed a more pronounced disruption to the fiber mass than in lenses of Sfrp2-m1 mice (Fig. 4C). In the Sfrp2-m2 lens, fiber differentiation was clearly inhibited and in the center of the lens a large lumen underneath the epithelium was evident (Figs. 4C and C2, asterisk). One of the most striking features of Sfrp2-m2 lenses at P21 was that, although fiber elongation was initiated at the transitional zone, the differentiating fibers did not elongate sufficiently to contact or maintain contact with the epithelial layer (Fig. 4C2). In Sfrp2-m2 lenses, the epithelium was generally reduced in size. The phenotype of the epithelial cells varied between lenses. In most cases cells were vacuolated and occasionally some epithelial cells were seen to have detached and migrated into the fiber mass (Fig. 4C1).

Because of the severe disruption to the fibers in the postnatal Sfrp2 lenses further analysis of the phenotype was extended to include early developmental stages in order to identify the earliest point of divergence from normal development. For this, the Sfrp2-m2 line was studied because it exhibited the most severe phenotype.
Lenses were collected from E14.5, E16.5 and P1 Sfrp2-m2 and WT mice. Histological analysis showed that, at E14.5, the lenses in Sfrp2-m2 mice had essentially normal features in the epithelial and fiber cell compartments and could not be distinguished from the WT lens (compare Figs. 5B and A). At E16.5 the Sfrp2-m2 lenses were slightly smaller than lenses from WT littermates. The fibers in the Sfrp2-m2 lenses appeared to be less tightly packed than in the lenses of WT littermates (compare Figs. 5D and C). Also, fibers did not curve towards the developing sutures as they did in WT lenses (Fig. 5; black lines are included to emphasize curvature of fiber cells). Note that in both Sfrp2-m2 and WT lenses at the lens equator the elongating fibers in the transitional zone had a concave curvature i.e. relative to the

Fig. 5. Histological analysis of embryonic and neonatal Sfrp2 transgenic lenses. (A–F) Lens sections from E14.5, E16.5 and P1 Sfrp2-m2 transgenic mice and WT littermates were stained with haematoxylin and eosin. (A, B) At E14.5, compared to the WT lens, the lenses in Sfrp2-m2 mice showed essentially normal features in the epithelial and fiber cell compartments. (C, D) At E16.5 the Sfrp2-m2 lenses were slightly smaller than lenses from WT littermates. The fibers in the Sfrp2-m2 lenses appeared to be less closely packed. Moreover, fibers did not curve towards the developing sutures as they did in WT lenses (black lines indicate the curvature of fiber cells in all figures). In WT lenses, the elongating fibers had a concave curvature in the transitional zone but as they moved centrally they progressively developed a convex curvature (arrowheads in panel C). When fibers met up with equivalent fibers from an opposing segment of the lens they formed rudimentary sutures (asterisks in panel C). In the Sfrp2-m2 lenses, the fibers retained a concave curvature and no sutures were evident. (E, F) At P1 in the transitional zone just below the lens equator (small arrow in panel E) the elongating fibers had a concave curvature but took on a convex curvature as they migrated at their anterior and posterior tips (large arrows) towards the anterior and posterior poles of the lens, respectively. In the Sfrp2-m2 lenses, the concave curvature of the fibers was more pronounced than at earlier stages. The elongating fibers in the Sfrp2-m2 lenses remained predominantly at right angles to the posterior capsule and to the epithelium (arrowheads in panel F). The insets in panels E and F show more detail of the alignment of the fibers (arrows) and the capsule (red) in WT and Sfrp2-m2 lenses. No sutures formed in the Sfrp2-m2 lenses. Abbreviations: tz, transitional zone. Scale bar: 40 μm in panels A, B; 50 μm in panels C–F; 30 μm in insets.
Ultrastructural analysis of the morphology of the elongating fibers in the Sfrp2-m2 lenses was undertaken using transmission electron microscopy. Lenses from Sfrp2-m2 and WT littermates were collected at P1 and processed for electron microscopy. In WT lenses, the elongating lens fibers in the cortex were characteristically aligned and arranged in orderly rows along the anterior-posterior lens axis (Fig. 6A). In contrast, the fibers in the Sfrp2-m2 lenses were shorter and because of their more irregular shapes appeared less regularly aligned than fibers in WT lenses (Fig. 6B). Transverse sections of the lens fibers also showed that, whilst Sfrp2-m2 fibers were tightly packed they had reduced numbers of interlocking processes, such as ball-and-socket joints, that are abundant in normal fiber cells (Fig. 6, insets in A and B). In addition, small electron dense granules, mostly contained within vesicles, were abundant in some regions of the fibers of Sfrp2-m2 lenses but were not present in WT fibers (inset in panel A). Scale bar: 4.0 μm.

Ultrastructural changes in the cells of Sfrp2 transgenic lenses

In light of the histological findings reported above, further investigation of the morphology of fibers from Sfrp2-m2 and WT mice was undertaken using transmission electron microscopy. Lenses from Sfrp2-m2 and WT littermates were collected at P1 and processed for electron microscopy. In WT lenses, the elongating lens fibers in the cortex were characteristically aligned and arranged in orderly rows along the anterior-posterior lens axis (Fig. 6A). In contrast, the fibers in the Sfrp2-m2 lenses were shorter and because of their more irregular shapes appeared less regularly aligned than fibers in WT lenses (Fig. 6B). Transverse sections of the lens fibers also showed that, whilst Sfrp2-m2 fibers were tightly packed they had reduced numbers of interlocking processes, such as ball-and-socket joints, that are abundant in normal fiber cells (Fig. 6, insets in A and B). In addition, small electron dense granules, mostly contained within vesicles, were abundant in some regions of the fibers of Sfrp2-m2 lenses but were not present in WT fibers (Figs. 6A and B, insets).

As noted above, the fibers in Sfrp2-m2 lenses showed no evidence of migration anteriorly or posteriorly, along the overlying epithelium or posterior capsule, respectively. Consistent with this the ultrastructural analysis revealed no evidence of protrusive processes at the anterior or posterior tips of the fibers in Sfrp2-m2 lenses (Figs. 7C and D). In WT lenses, protrusive processes were common at the anterior

Ultrastructural analysis of fiber cell migration in Sfrp2-m2 lenses. (A, B) At P1 in WT lenses, protrusive processes were common at the anterior and posterior tips of the elongating fibers in the lens cortex. Short fiber cell processes extended along the anterior face of the epithelium (arrowheads in panel A). Interlocking processes between fibers were also abundant in this region (arrows in panel A). Long filopodia-like processes extended along the posterior capsule (arrows in panel B). (C, D) In Sfrp2-m2 lenses at P1, fiber elongation was inhibited and in many cases the anterior tips of the fibers did not make contact with the epithelium. This often left a lumen between the epithelium and the fiber mass (asterisk in panel C). The ultrastructural analysis revealed no evidence of protrusive processes at the anterior or posterior tips of the fibers (arrows in panels C, D). Scale bar: 5.0 μm.
Fig. 8. Disruption of cytoskeletal architecture in Sfrp2-m2 lenses. (A–H) Immunohistochemistry was carried out to localize α-tubulin, acetylated α-tubulin, F-actin and filensin in P1 lenses. (A) In the WT lens, strong α-tubulin reactivity was evident in the central epithelial cells and outer cortical fibers. It was slightly weaker in the peripheral epithelial cells and the inner cortical and primary fibers. (A1) Shows the boxed region in panel A; some alignment of MTs was evident along the elongating fiber axis. (B) In the Sfrp2-m2 lens, α-tubulin reactivity was very weak and patchy in the epithelial cells and fibers. (B1) Shows the boxed region in panel B; no MT structure was evident in the disorganized fibers. Similar to WT lenses, Sfrp2-m2 lenses revealed strong α-tubulin reactivity in the neural retina/ciliary body and this served as a useful positive control. (C) In the WT lens, strong reactivity for acetylated α-tubulin was evident in the outer cortical fibers. Acetylated α-tubulin was weakly detected in the peripheral region of the epithelium but increased during fiber cell elongation in the transitional zone. (D) In lenses of Sfrp2-m2 mice, reactivity for acetylated α-tubulin was very weak and present only in scattered patches. (E) In WT lenses, F-actin was strongly localized in both epithelial cells and fiber cells. Actin filaments were evident along the lateral margins of the cells in the lens cortex, at the epithelial-fiber interface (large arrow) and along the base of the elongating fibers (small arrow). Undulations of the lateral margins of the fibers in the inner cortical region were clearly defined by actin fluorescence (inset in panel E). (F) In Sfrp2-m2 lenses, fluorescence for F-actin was similar to WT lenses with respect to the strong localization at the epithelial-fiber interface (large arrow) and along the base of the elongating fibers (small arrow). Fluorescence was also prominent along the fiber cell margins but, unlike WT lenses, there were no undulations of the lateral membranes in the Sfrp2 lenses (inset in panel F). In panels E and F, cell nuclei were also stained red with propidium iodide. (G, H) Reactivity for filensin was evident at the lens equator in the transitional zone in WT lenses (arrow in panel G). In the fibers, filensin reactivity was present in prominent tramline-like arrangements that ran along their length (inset in panel G). In contrast, in the incipient fibers of Sfrp2 lenses, filensin reactivity was present in clumps and showed little tramline-like alignment (inset in panel H). Abbreviations: epi, epithelium; cb, ciliary body; nr, neural retina; tz, transitional zone. Scale bar: 133 μm in panels A, B; 25 μm in panels A1, B1, C–H; 5 μm in insets.
and posterior tips of the elongating fibers in the lens cortex. Anteriorly, short processes extended along the anterior face of the epithelium. Interlocking processes between fibers were also abundant in this region (Fig. 7A). Posteriorly, long filopodia-like processes extended along the posterior capsule (Fig. 7B).

Disruption of cytoskeletal architecture in Sfrp2 transgenic lenses

Overexpression of Sfrp2 in the lens of transgenic mice resulted in severe disruptions to the organization and morphology of lens fiber cells. To gain insights into the status of the cytoskeleton in these lenses, immunohistochemistry for α-tubulin, F-actin and filensin was used to localize components of microtubules (MTs), microfilaments (MFs) and beaded filaments (BFs), respectively.

Alpha-tubulin

Overexpression of Sfrp2 in the lens of transgenic mice resulted in severe disruptions to the organization and morphology of lens fiber cells. To gain insights into the status of the cytoskeleton in these lenses, immunohistochemistry for α-tubulin, F-actin and filensin was used to localize components of microtubules (MTs), microfilaments (MFs) and beaded filaments (BFs), respectively.

In WT lenses at P1, α-tubulin was localized throughout the lens (Fig. 8A). At higher magnification, alignment of α-tubulin-labeled MTs could be discerned extending along the elongating fiber axis (Fig. 8A1). In contrast to WT lenses, α-tubulin reactivity in Sfrp2-m2 lenses was patchy and generally weaker. In addition, aligned MTs were not evident as in WT lenses (Figs. 8B and B1). Note that, as a positive control, α-tubulin was strongly reactive in the neural retina of Sfrp2-m2 mice.

Fig. 9. Changes in Wnt/PCP signaling components in Sfrp2-m2 lenses. (A–F) Immunohistochemistry was carried out to localize Cdc42, Rac1 and RhoA in P1 lenses. Propidium iodide was also used to stain nuclei red. (A) In WT lenses, Cdc42 was strongly localized in lens epithelial cells and cortical fiber cells. Reactivity was particularly strong at the basal ends of the elongating fibers in the transitional zone at the lens equator (arrows in panel A). In the more mature fibers in the lens nucleus, Cdc42 reactivity was weaker. (B) In Sfrp2-m2 lenses, Cdc42 reactivity was patchy and was barely detectable at the basal ends of the elongating fibers at the lens equator (arrows in panel B). (C) In WT lenses, Rac1 was strongly localized in the basal regions of elongating fibers at the lens equator (arrows in panel E). (D) In Sfrp2-m2 lenses, Rac1 reactivity was generally weaker throughout the lens compared with the WT. (E) In WT lenses RhoA reactivity was particularly prominent in the basal regions of elongating fibers at the lens equator (arrows in panel E). (F) In Sfrp2-m2 lenses RhoA immunoreactivity was generally weaker throughout the lens compared with the WT. Abbreviations: tz, transitional zone. Scale bar: 25 μm.
In MTs, α-tubulin undergoes several post-translational modifications. The modified tubulin usually accumulates in the stable pool of MTs (Palazzo et al., 2003). Acetylation is one of these modifications and acetylated α-tubulin is often used as an indicator of stabilized MTs. In WT lenses at P1, acetylated α-tubulin was strongly detected in the cortical fibers (Fig. 8C). Reactivity for acetylated α-tubulin was weak in the peripheral region of the epithelium but increased during fiber cell elongation in the transitional zone (Fig. 8C). In lenses of Sfrp2-m2 mice, reactivity for acetylated α-tubulin was weak and predominantly present in scattered patches (Fig. 8D). Similar to WT lenses, Sfrp2-m2 lenses revealed strong acetylated α-tubulin reactivity in the retina/ciliary body and this served as a useful positive control.

**F-actin**

Fluorescent-tagged phalloidin was used to localize F-actin. In both WT and Sfrp2-m2 lenses, there was strong localization of F-actin in both epithelial cells and fiber cells. Actin filaments were evident particularly along the margins of the cells in the lens cortex. Strong fluorescence was also evident at the epithelial-fiber interface and, to a lesser extent, along the base of the elongating fibers (Figs. 8E and F). Whilst the strength of fluorescence for F-actin was generally similar in WT and Sfrp2-m2 mice, a major difference was that in Sfrp2-m2 mice no undulations or interlocking processes were evident along their fibers. These were prominent in the inner cortical fibers of WT lenses (insets in Figs. 8E and F).

**Filensin**

In WT lenses reactivity for filensin was evident at the lens equator in the transitional zone where fiber cell elongation commences (Fig. 8G, arrow). In the fibers, filensin reactivity was present in prominent tramline-like arrangements that ran along their length (Fig. 8G, inset). In contrast, in the incipient fibers of Sfrp2-m2 lenses, filensin reactivity tended to be present in disorganized clumps and showed little tramline-like alignment (Fig. 8H, inset).

**Changes in Wnt/PCP signaling components in Sfrp2 transgenic lenses**

As noted in the Introduction, Wnt/PCP signaling through small Rho GTPases and activation of the JNK cascade is frequently a critical factor in cytoskeletal reorganization in many cellular contexts (Fenteany et al., 2000; Habas et al., 2003; Hall, 2005). Given the severe disruption of the cytoskeleton in Sfrp2-m2 lenses described above, the components of this pathway were investigated to determine if their expression and/or activity were also disturbed.

**Cdc42 localization**

In WT lenses, Cdc42 was similarly strongly localized in lens epithelial cells and cortical fiber cells (Fig. 9A). Immunoreactivity for Cdc42 was particularly strong at the basal ends of the elongating fibers at the lens equator (arrows). In the more mature fibers in the lens nucleus, reactivity tended to be weaker. In Sfrp2-m2 lenses, Cdc42 reactivity was substantially weaker than in WT lenses (Fig. 9B). In the fibers, only weak patches of Cdc42 reactivity were evident and at the lens equator, in contrast with the WT lenses, Cdc42 was barely detectable (Fig. 9B, arrows).

**Rac1 localization**

In WT lenses, Rac1 was strongly localized in the lens epithelial cells and fiber cells (Fig. 9C). Immunoreactivity was generally strong throughout all the fiber cells. Rac1 reactivity was also present in some
of the fiber cell nuclei. In Sfrp2-m2 lenses, Rac1 reactivity was present throughout the lens but was generally weaker, particularly in the elongating cells at the lens equator (Fig. 9D, asterisk).

**RhoA localization**

In WT lenses RhoA was strongly localized in the lens epithelial and fiber cells. Immunoreactivity was particularly strong in the basal regions of elongating fibers at the lens equator (Fig. 9E, arrows). In Sfrp2-m2 lenses immunoreactivity was generally weaker throughout the lens compared with the WT (Fig. 9F).

**pJNK localization**

An antibody specific for the activated form of JNK (phosphorylated on Thr-183 and Tyr-185 residues) showed strong pJNK immunoreactivity localized to the cytoplasm and the nuclei of both lens epithelial and fiber cells in WT lenses (Fig. 10A). Although present in the nuclei of all lens cells, the nuclear reactivity increased in the cells of the equatorial region during fiber elongation and differentiation. Reactivity also increased in this zone in the basolateral region; although strongest in the basal region of these cells, reactivity often extended along the length of these elongating fiber cells (Fig. 10A, small arrows). Reactivity for pJNK was also evident at the junction of the epithelium and fibers and at the basal region of epithelial cells (Fig. 10A, inset). Compared to WT lenses, the lenses of Sfrp2-m2 littermates exhibited generally weaker pJNK reactivity (Fig. 10B). The only places where reactivity for pJNK seemed to be comparable in intensity to the WT lenses, was in the narrow band where the fibers and epithelial cells were in contact and in the fiber cell nuclei (Fig. 10B). Propidium iodide nuclear stain highlighted the presence of abundant retained nuclei throughout the Sfrp2-m2 lenses (Fig. 10D). In comparison, WT lens fiber cell nuclei were characteristically lost in the lens cortex and were absent from the lens nucleus (Fig. 10C).

Clearly the localization patterns of components of the Wnt/PCP pathway, the small Rho GTPases and pJNK, were altered in Sfrp2-m2 lenses compared with WT lenses. Essentially, compared with WT, there was reduced expression of all three GTPases and pJNK in the Sfrp2-m2 lenses and, in particular, in most cases distinctly weaker reactivity was evident in the elongating cells at the lens equator. In order to further examine this difference, levels of expression of the small GTPases and pJNK in Sfrp2-m2 and WT lenses were assessed by western blotting.

---

**Fig. 11. Western blot analysis of proteins in WT and Sfrp2-m2 lenses.** Western blots were conducted on lysates of P5 lenses. (A) The levels of Cdc42, Rac1 and RhoA were reduced in Sfrp2-m2 lenses compared with WT lenses. Levels of pJNK were similar in WT and Sfrp2-m2 lenses. GAPDH was used as a protein loading control. (B) Band densities were measured for the various proteins in WT and Sfrp2-m2 lenses and standardized with the GAPDH loading control. Data from three separate runs showed that these differences were significant with \( p = 0.013 \), \( p = 0.014 \), \( p = 0.017 \) for Cdc42, Rac1 and RhoA, respectively (asterisks). However, levels of pJNK were not significantly different between Sfrp2-m2 and WT lenses. (C) The level of the GTPase effector, phospho-PAK (pPAK), in Sfrp2-m2 lenses was distinctly reduced compared to WT lenses, whereas total PAK (tPAK) was similar. Both Dvl2 and Dvl3 showed two bands (arrows) with the upper being the phosphorylated (active) form and the lower being the unphosphorylated (inactive) form. In Sfrp2-m2 lenses for both Dvl2 and Dvl3 the active band was reduced and for Dvl2 the inactive band was increased, compared with WTs. Fz3 appeared similar in Sfrp2-m2 and WT lenses. A GAPDH loading control was included for each comparison. (D) Band densities were measured in at least three separate runs for Dvl2, Dvl3 and Fz3 in WT and Sfrp2-m2 lenses and each measurement was standardized with its GAPDH loading control. Significant differences were detected in active (\( p = 0.035 \)) and inactive (\( p = 0.031 \)) Dvl2 between WT and Sfrp2-m2 lenses. No significant difference was detected in total (active and inactive combined) Dvl2 (\( p = 0.363 \)) between WT and Sfrp2 lenses. There was a significant difference in active Dvl3 (\( p = 0.011 \)) between WT and Sfrp2-m2 lenses. For Fz3, no significant difference was detected (\( p = 0.052 \)) between WT and Sfrp2-m2 lenses.
Western analysis of GTPases and JNK

Total proteins were extracted from postnatal lenses of Sfrp2-m2 and WT littermates and equal amounts of protein were loaded onto gels for immunoblotting analysis. Levels of Cdc42, Rac1 and RhoA were reduced in Sfrp2-m2 lens preparations compared with WTs (Figs. 11A and B). These differences were significant for Cdc42, Rac1 and RhoA, respectively. However, pJNK did not show a significant difference in the blots of Sfrp2-m2 and WT lenses. This appears to contradict the immunolocalization study that showed reduced cytoplasmic reactivity in the cells of the transitional zone and cortex of Sfrp2-m2 lenses compared with WT lenses (Figs. 10A and B). The apparent discrepancy between western and immunolocalization results for pJNK may be accounted for by the abundance of retained nuclei in the Sfrp2-m2 lenses that were also strongly reactive for pJNK (see Fig. 10D).

Western analysis of the GTPase effector, PAK

In order to determine if the reduced levels of Cdc42, Rac1 and RhoA in the lenses of Sfrp2-m2 transgenic mice resulted in their reduced activity, we assessed levels of members of the PAK family that are well known effectors of these GTPases (see Arias-Romero and Chernoff, 2008). In contrast to total PAK, phospho-PAK (the activated form) was much reduced in lenses of Sfrp2-m2 transgenic mice compared with WTs. This is consistent with reduced GTPase activity accompanying their reduced levels in the Sfrp2-m2 lens (Fig. 11C).

Western analysis of Dvl

To determine if the inhibition of Rho GTPases and JNK was a result of Sfrp2 inhibiting the Wnt/PCP pathway we compared the status of Dvl in lenses from Sfrp2-m2 and WT mice. Dvl characteristically shows up as two bands in western blots, the upper band being the phosphorylated (activated) form and the lower band being the unphosphorylated (inactive) form. The results showed that significantly more activated Dvl2 was present in WT than in Sfrp2-m2 lenses (Figs. 11C and D). In addition, the inactive form of Dvl2 was significantly increased in Sfrp2-m2 lenses in comparison with WT lenses (Figs. 11C and D). Whilst the total amount of Dvl2 (combined active and inactive) did not change significantly between Sfrp2-m2 and WT lenses, the relative amounts of active and inactive forms were substantially different; ratios of active to inactive Dvl2 in WT and Sfrp2-m2 lenses being approximately 11:1 and 2:1, respectively. This Dvl ‘band shift’ is a characteristic feature of inhibition of Wnt/fZ signaling (e.g. see Schlessinger et al., 2007). For Dvl3, in WT lenses the active form was prominent and was significantly reduced in Sfrp2-m2 lenses. The inactive form of Dvl3 was barely detectable in WTs and was undetectable in Sfrp2-m2 lenses. Western blots for Fz3 showed no significant difference in levels between WT and Sfrp2-m2 lenses; further evidence that reduced activation of Dvl in Sfrp2-m2 lenses could not be attributed to a general down turn in expression of Wnt signaling components.

Sfrp2 mutant lenses

This study clearly shows that overexpression of Sfrp2 in the lens brings about major disruptions to the fiber cell compartment. With this in mind it was important to determine if the absence of a functional Sfrp2 gene would have any effect on lens development. Analysis of eye development of Sfrp2 mutant mice revealed no differences from WT mice at any stage of development. Even at mature age there was no sign of cataract or any histological abnormalities in lenses from Sfrp2 mutants (Fig. 12). As all five Sfrp genes are expressed in the lens there may be some redundancy in function. This appears to be particularly relevant for the closely related Sfrp1 and Sfrp2 genes as functional redundancy in their ability to regulate anteroposterior axis elongation has recently been reported (Satoh et al., 2006).

Discussion

This study has shown that mice overexpressing the Wnt signaling antagonist, Sfrp2, in lens fiber cells, undergo impaired lens development and subsequently develop cataracts. Histological analysis showed that in the Sfrp2 transgenics the elongation of secondary fiber cells was attenuated such that many failed to make contact with the overlying epithelium. Fiber cells also exhibited irregular shapes and consequently did not align or pack regularly as in the normal lens. Analysis of the major cytoskeletal components showed that microtubules, microfilaments and intermediate filaments did not show the high level of organization that is characteristic of the normal lens. In the fiber cells of Sfrp2 transgenic mice there was little evidence of the protrusive processes that are required for migratory activity at their apical and basal tips as well as for the formation of interlocking processes between them. In addition, components of the PCP pathway, including Dvl, Cdc42, Rac1, RhoA and JNK were disturbed and, in the case of Dvl and the small GTPases, were downregulated/inhibited. Taken together this supports a role for Wnt/PCP signaling in regulating the complex organization and dynamics of the cytoskeleton that is required for normal lens fiber differentiation and maturation.

The defect in the fiber cell compartment of the lens was the main feature of the phenotype of Sfrp2 overexpressing mice. Also importantly it was the earliest defect to be detected in these mice; first becoming evident at E16.5 by the lack of development of
appropriate curvature of the lens fibers. At these early stages all other parts of the lens, including the epithelium, appeared normal. It was only at postnatal stages that epithelial defects were detected. This is consistent with overexpression of Sfrp2 mRNA being restricted to the lens fibers. In addition, the localization of Sfrp2 protein was largely restricted to the fibers at all embryonic and postnatal stages examined (see Figs. 2E and F). Therefore it appears that the cells that were primarily affected by the Sfrp2 transgene were the cells that were actively expressing it. Other studies have shown that Sfrps bind strongly to the cell surface (Finch et al., 1997; Uren et al., 2000; Kawano and Kypta, 2003). The current study also showed in western blots that most of the Sfrp2 was in the insoluble fraction of the lens lysate and this is consistent with it being predominantly present in the membrane fraction (see Fig. 2G). Based on these considerations, particularly on the observation that fibers show the earliest defects, it appears likely that later defects such as those in the epithelial compartment of lenses of Sfrp2 overexpressing postnatal mice are a secondary effect of the disruption of the fiber cell mass rather than a direct effect of exposure to Sfrp2.

A role for Wnt/PCP signaling in fiber differentiation

Up to now the canonical Wnt signaling pathway has been the focus of most attention in lens development studies. This has been facilitated by the availability of three reporter lines of mice and these have been used to monitor canonical Wnt signaling in studies of various aspects of eye development. Significantly, analysis of reporter activity in TOP-gal (Miller et al., 2006), BAT-gal (Kreslova et al., 2007) and TCF/LEF-LacZ (Liu et al., 2006) mice have shown that canonical Wnt signaling is absent during lens induction and early stages of lens morphogenesis. This is consistent with evidence that canonical Wnt signaling is incompatible with the processes of early lens development (Smith et al., 2005; Kreslova et al., 2007). However, once the lens vesicle has formed the reporter studies indicate a short phase of anterior Wnt signaling that is restricted to the anterior cells of the lens vesicle from E11.5 to E14.5 (Liu et al., 2003, 2006, 2007). This phase of activity appears to be important for the formation of the lens epithelium from the anterior cells of the lens vesicle as Lp6 mutant mice with impaired canonical Wnt signaling do not form a normal epithelial sheet (Stump et al., 2003). Beyond E14.5, as lens development proceeds, there is no evidence of reporter activity in any part of the lens indicating that canonical Wnt signaling plays no further part in the differentiation or maintenance of lens epithelial or fiber cells. On the other hand in vitro studies with lens epithelial explants (Lyu and Joo, 2004) and explanted whole lenses (Liu et al., 2006) have shown that given appropriate stimuli, such as exposure to lithium, canonical signaling can be detected in lens cells. In this context it is noteworthy that, in the case of the whole lens explant study, there was no evidence of canonical Wnt signaling in the absence of such stimuli (Liu et al., 2006). This indicates, as do all the reporter studies, that canonical Wnt signaling is not a feature of the normal process of fiber differentiation in vivo. Alternatively, it is possible that a low level or short phase of canonical signaling is involved in fiber differentiation and that enhancement of this process by exogenous stimuli, such as in the above studies, is needed for activity to reach detectable levels. Further studies may help to resolve this issue.

Unlike the case for the canonical pathway there is now growing evidence for a role for non-canonical Wnt signaling in regulating events in fiber differentiation, particularly the morphological processes involved in migration and elongation. Lens epithelial explant studies have identified a role for non-canonical Wnt signaling in promoting FGF-induced fiber cell elongation (Lyu and Joo, 2004). Similar studies in our laboratory raised the possibility that FGF-induced reorganization of the cytoskeleton during the transition of epithelial cells in highly elongated fibers is mediated by the Wnt/PCP pathway (Chen et al., 2006). Furthermore, core components of Wnt/PCP pathway including Prickle and Van Gogh-like have been localized in elongating mammalian fiber cells (Bekman et al., 2002; Tissir and Goffinet, 2006). The current study now provides the first evidence for a functional link between Wnt/PCP signaling and the organization of the lens fiber cytoskeleton in vivo. This is primarily shown by the inhibition of Dvl in Sfrp2-m2 lenses. For both Dvl2 and Dvl3, their phosphorylated (activated) forms were substantially reduced in the lenses of Sfrp2-m2 mice compared with lenses from WTs. Also, at least, for Dvl2 the unphosphorylated (inactive) form was clearly more abundant in Sfrp2-m2 lenses than in WTs. Consistent with being downstream of Dvl, the Rho GTPases were downregulated and their effector PAK was clearly less active in Sfrp2-m2 lenses than in WTs. Moreover, phosphorylated (activated) JNK was reduced in the cytoplasm of the differentiating fiber cells. These results fit with the growing number of studies that have implicated Dvl in GTPase regulation; for example, Wnt/fz signaling mediated by Dvl activates Rho GTPases and through this regulates the dynamics and organization of actin and microtubules in dendrite development (Rosso et al., 2005). Also in scratch-induced migration, Dvl is required for the activation of a complex containing Cdc42 and this is required for organization of the microtubule cytoskeleton (Schlessinger et al., 2007). Interestingly, in a number of systems Dvl has been shown to co-localize with microtubules and actin (Krylova et al., 2000; Torres and Nelson, 2000; Capelluto et al., 2002). In the lens it will be important to determine if Dvl similarly co-localizes with cytoskeletal components and particularly important to determine if there is any accumulation/activation at sites where Rho GTPases and JNK are present and/or activated.

Polarized protrusive activity is an important feature of fiber differentiation

Clearly the normal differentiation of the relatively small (approximately 10 μm in height) epithelial cells into millimeter length fiber cells involves major reorganization of the lens cell cytoskeleton. At the lens equator, cells intercalate with one another to form highly ordered rows of elongating fibers, known as meridional rows. During fiber elongation, at least initially, there is little change in cell volume because the cells undergo narrowing and develop a ribbon-like morphology (Bassnett, 2005). Such changes are characteristic of convergent extension cellular movements that are integral to gastrulation, neurulation and tissue sculpting and that have been shown to be dependent on Wnt/PCP signaling (Green and Davidson, 2007). During fiber cell elongation, anterior and posterior fiber tips show protrusive activity as they migrate along the overlying epithelium and posterior capsule, respectively (see Fig. 7). Three-dimensional reconstructions of green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing cells have also shown that, consistent with protrusive activity, the fibers flatten out at their tips and filopodia-like processes are present basally (Bassnett, 2005; Shi and Bassnett, 2007). In this regard there is also a similarity with convergent extension movements as polarized protrusive activity is a key feature of cells involved in this process (Goto et al., 2005; Green and Davidson, 2007). Thus, as lens fiber cells continue to elongate and migrate, anterior and posterior tips of fibers from opposing segments of the lens eventually meet to form sutures. During this process the fibers change from concave to convex as they extend and bend around to meet fibers from the opposing hemisphere of the lens. A major finding in this study was that this process was inhibited in Sfrp2 overexpressing mice. The fibers in the Sfrp2 transgenic lenses, unlike their WT littermates, retained their concave curvature and exhibited no protrusive processes at their tips or any other evidence of migratory activity.

The importance of cytoskeletal dynamics in regulating protrusive activity associated with directed migration of elongating lens fiber cells has also been clearly shown by studies of Abi2 null mice (Grove et
The Abl-interactor (Abi) family of adaptor proteins localizes to sites of actin polymerization and protrusive membrane structures and regulates actin dynamics. Abi2 is normally expressed at the tips of elongating fiber cells, particularly at the transitional zone where newly differentiating fibers begin their migration along the anterior surface of the epithelium. In the absence of Abi2, the fibers show no directed migration, retain a concave curvature and do not form sutures (Grove et al., 2004). This phenotype is first detected, as in our Sfrp2 transgenic mice, at E16.5 and similarly during postnatal development culminates in rupture of the posterior capsule and loss of the primary fibers. As with our Sfrp2 transgenic mice no epithelial defects are detected at these early stages. The striking similarities in the lens phenotypes of the Abi2 null and Sfrp2 transgenic mice are compelling and are strongly indicative of a common origin of the fiber cell defects. Likely targets of Abi2 are the Rho GTPases as the regulation of actin dynamics by the Abi family kinases has been shown to be mediated by these molecules (Zandy et al., 2007). Further support for this comes from the studies of Rao and colleagues who have used various strategies to inhibit Rho GTPases in the lens and shown that this leads to major disruption of the lens fiber cytoskeleton (Maddala et al., 2004; Rao and Maddala, 2006), with similarities to that described for the Abi2 null and Sfrp2 overexpressing mice. Taken together these studies have clearly shown involvement of the Rho GTPases in the organization of the cytoskeleton during fiber differentiation. The current study now, for the first time, identifies a functional link between Wnt signaling and the Rho GTPases and JNK in their regulation of cytoskeletal dynamics and organization in lens fiber cells.

In addition, the current study has identified a link between Wnt signaling and the formation of the many interlocking processes that form along the lateral margins of elongated fibers and that are critical for maintaining their adhesion and alignment. Lack of interlocking processes in the Sfrp2 overexpressing mice fits with deficiencies in cytoskeletal dynamics and actin branching as described above. Earlier electron microscopy studies have shown that the abundant interlocking processes that are prominent along the lateral margins of the fibers show evidence of actin branching similar to that generally shown during the formation of protrusive processes associated with migration (Zhou and Lo, 2003). Therefore the relative absence of these interlocking processes in Sfrp2 mice is consistent with a general inhibition of formation of protrusive processes in the lens fiber cells both at their tips and along their lateral margins. Mediolateral protrusive activity is also a feature of cells undergoing convergent extension movements (Goto et al., 2005; Green and Davidson, 2007) and once again highlights the similarity between this process that is known to be driven by Wnt/PCP signaling and the elongation, narrowing and intercalation of differentiating lens fiber cells.

**Relationship between FGF and Wnt/PCP signaling**

Whilst a number of growth factor signaling pathways have now been implicated in regulating aspects of the fiber differentiation process, all the evidence points to FGF signaling being necessary for initiation of this process (Lovicu and McAvoy, 2005; Robinson, 2006, Zhao et al., 2008). This raises the question of how Wnt/PCP signaling is activated by FGF. Studies in several other systems have shown links between the FGF and Wnt signaling pathways in general (Oliviera-Martinez and Storey, 2007; Wahl et al., 2007) and the PCP pathway in particular (Voiculescu et al., 2007). One study has also shown that the establishment of a hindbrain-inducing centre depends on the activation of FGF/MAP-kinase signaling which then modulates the PCP pathway (Aamar and Frank, 2004). This fits with the situation in the lens as FGF-activated MAP kinases, ERK1/2, have been shown to be critical for progress of the morphological features of fiber cell differentiation (Lovicu and McAvoy, 2001). A number of other studies have also identified various links between Wnt and ERK pathways (Yun et al., 2005; Almeida et al., 2005; Kim and Choi, 2007). Therefore, in future studies it will be important to investigate the involvement of ERK in interactions between FGF and Wnt/PCP signaling in the lens.

**Lenses of Sfrp2 overexpressing mice do not develop appropriate three-dimensional architecture**

In addition to linking the Wnt/PCP signaling pathway with the many important events in organizing the cytoskeletal elements of the differentiating fiber cells, this study also serves to highlight the importance of the cytoskeleton in generating fibers with appropriate adhesive properties, length and curvature and how this relates to important properties of the lens. In relation to adhesion it was noted that the fiber cells in Sfrp2-m2 lenses readily came apart when the lens capsule was torn (data not shown). This is very unlike the situation with WT littermates that needed much tearing and tearing to even partially dissect out clumps of fibers. This is consistent with WT lenses having abundant interlocking processes that are important for providing stable associations between neighbouring fibers (Zhou and Lo, 2003). In relation to fiber cell length and curvature, it was noted that as a general feature, lenses of Sfrp2 transgenic mice tend to be a different shape than WT littermates. The most common characteristic is that the anterior surface of the lens tends to lack the curvature that is typical of the normal lens and that is critical for its ability to focus light. This ‘flat top’ feature varied considerably between lenses but can be readily appreciated by comparing Fig. 5F with E and Fig. 8F with E. Currently little is known about the mechanisms that determine and modulate lens shape, particularly its curvature, during eye formation and growth. As the Wnt/PCP pathway is known to play key roles in coordinating cellular shape, movements and behavior during the morphogenesis and sculpting of many tissues, further studies on this pathway in the lens may yield insights into at least some of the mechanisms involved in processes that are critical for the acquisition and maintenance of normal three-dimensional lens architecture.
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