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The first named author has given a classification of all separable, nuclear $C^*$-algebras $A$ that absorb the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_\infty$. (We say that $A$ absorbs $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ if $A$ is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$.) Motivated by this classification we investigate here if one can give an intrinsic characterization of $C^*$-algebras that absorb $\mathcal{O}_\infty$. This investigation leads us to three different notions of pure infiniteness of a $C^*$-algebra, all given in terms of local, algebraic conditions on the $C^*$-algebra. The strongest of the three properties, strongly purely infinite, is shown to be equivalent to absorbing $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ for separable, nuclear $C^*$-algebras that either are stable or have an approximate unit consisting of projections. In a previous paper (2000, Amer. J. Math. 122, 637–666), we studied an intermediate, and perhaps more natural, condition: purely infinite, that extends a well known property for simple $C^*$-algebras. The weakest condition of the three, weakly purely infinite, is shown to be equivalent to the absence of quasitraces in an ultrapower of the $C^*$-algebra. The three conditions
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may be equivalent for all $C^*$-algebras, and we prove this to be the case for $C^*$-algebras that are either simple, of real rank zero, or approximately divisible.
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1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that each von Neumann algebra is the direct sum of two von Neumann algebras: one of which is finite and has a separating family of traces (the type $I_n$, $n < \infty$, and type $II_1$ portions), and the other is properly infinite (the type $I_{\infty}$, $II_{\infty}$, and $III$ portions). The properly infinite summand is again a direct sum of two von Neumann algebras: one of which has an essential ideal admitting a separating family of (unbounded, densely defined) traces (the type $I_{\infty}$ and $II_{\infty}$ portions), and one which is traceless and purely infinite (the type III portion). We investigate here a $C^*$-analog of the type III von Neumann algebras. More generally, we look at the $C^*$-analog of the quotient of a general von Neumann algebra by the ideal generated by its finite projections.

Our motivation for studying purely infinite $C^*$-algebras stems primarily from the possibility of classifying these $C^*$-algebras along the lines of Elliott’s classification program as described in [10]. More specifically, the first named author has recently proved that if $A$ and $B$ are nuclear, separable $C^*$-algebras both with primitive ideal spectrum homeomorphic to some $T_0$-space $X$, then $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is isomorphic to $B \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $A$ and $B$ are $KK_X$-equivalent, where $KK_X$ is a version of $KK$-theory that respects the primitive ideal spaces. As a step towards (and also a corollary of) this result, it is shown that two nuclear, separable $C^*$-algebras $A$ and $B$ satisfy $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong B \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $A$ and $B$ have homeomorphic primitive ideal spaces.

The classification result raises some questions: Can one determine when $A$ and $B$ are $KK_X$-equivalent? Is there an intrinsic characterization of those $C^*$-algebras that absorb $\mathcal{O}_2$? We shall not address the first question here except to note that one should be looking for a version of a universal coefficient theorem (UCT) for $KK_X$. An example of such a UCT was given in
where $KK_X$-equivalence was determined by the isomorphism of six-term exact sequences in the case where $X$ consists of two points.

The first named author proved (in a paper published in [15]) that for a simple, nuclear, separable C*-algebra $A$ one has $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{c_0}$ if and only if $A$ is purely infinite (in the sense of Cuntz [6]). The most optimistic generalization of this result to non-simple C*-algebras would be as follows: For any (separable, nuclear) C*-algebra $A$ the following three conditions are equivalent:

1. $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{c_0}$,
2. $A$ is purely infinite (cf. Definition 3.4),
3. $A$ is traceless in the sense that no algebraic ideal in $A$ admits a non-zero—possibly unbounded—quasitrace.

We shall, here establish an equivalence similar to, but weaker than, this. Some of the technical difficulties are solved by inventing three different notions of being purely infinite. The strongest of the three, strongly purely infinite (defined in Section 5), is shown in Section 8 to be equivalent to (i) above for nuclear, separable C*-algebras that are either stable or have an approximate unit consisting of projections. In Section 4 we discuss weakly purely infinite C*-algebras, and it is shown that a C*-algebra $A$ is weakly purely infinite if and only if its ultrapower $A_\omega$ is traceless. The intermediate condition was treated in detail in an earlier paper [16], and a brief survey of the properties of purely infinite C*-algebras is given in Section 3.

We show in Section 4 that every weakly purely infinite C*-algebra, that is either simple, approximately divisible, or has real rank zero, is purely infinite. In Section 6 we show that every purely infinite C*-algebra of real rank zero is strongly purely infinite. In particular, each simple purely infinite C*-algebra is strongly purely infinite. We also show that each approximately divisible, purely infinite C*-algebra is strongly purely infinite.

A more detailed summary of the main results of this paper is given in Section 9. This section also contains a list of open problems related to this article.

The main result on $\mathcal{O}_{c_0}$-absorption is obtained via a local Weyl—von Neumann theorem (Theorem 7.21) which says that every approximately inner, completely positive map from a nuclear sub-C*-algebra of a strongly purely infinite C*-algebra can be approximated by 1-step inner completely positive maps. Most of Section 7 is devoted to the proof of that result.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section has two subsections containing some background material that will be used frequently throughout this paper.
Cuntz Comparison

The various notions of pure infiniteness shall be considered are defined in terms of comparison theory for positive elements in a $C^*$-algebra. This theory, invented by Cuntz in [5], generalizes the comparison theory for projections in a von Neumann algebra. The reader is referred to [2, 16, 20] for more information about Cuntz’ comparison theory.

**Definition 2.1 (Cuntz Comparison).** Let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra and let $a$, $b$ be positive elements in $A$. Write $a \preceq b$ if there is a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of elements in $A$ such that $x_k^* b x_k \to a$. Write $a \approx b$ if $a \preceq b$ and $b \preceq a$, and write $a \sim b$ if $a = x^* x$ and $b = x x^*$ for some $x$ in $A$.

More generally, if $a$ in $M_n(A)$ and $b$ in $M_m(A)$ are positive matrices, then write $a \preceq b$ if $x_k^* b x_k \to a$ for some sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of rectangular matrices in $M_{m,n}(A)$, and let $a \approx b$ and $a \sim b$ have similar meanings as above.

With $a$ in $M_n(A)$ and $b$ in $M_m(A)$ one has $a \approx b$ if $a \sim b$ (but not conversely). Let $a \oplus b$ denote the element $\text{diag}(a, b)$ in $M_{n+m}(A)$, and let $a \oplus 1_n$ denote the $n$-fold direct sum $a \oplus a \oplus \cdots \oplus a$.

To each positive element $a$ in a $C^*$-algebra $A$ and for each $\varepsilon \geq 0$ define $(a-\varepsilon)_+$ to be the positive part of the self-adjoint element $a-\varepsilon 1$ in the unitization of $A$. We remark that $(a-\varepsilon)_+$ actually belongs to $A$ and that $(a-\varepsilon)_+ = h_\varepsilon(a)$, where $h_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is the continuous function given by $h_\varepsilon(t) = \max\{t-\varepsilon, 0\}$. Note also the frequently used facts,

$$
(a-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)_+ = ((a-\varepsilon_1)_--\varepsilon_2)_+, \quad \| (a-\varepsilon)_+-a \| \leq \varepsilon,
$$

(2.1)

that hold for all $a$ in $A^+$ and all $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$.

**The Polar Decomposition.** Every element $x$ in a $C^*$-algebra $A$ has a polar decomposition $x = u(x^* x)^{1/2}$, where $u$ is a partial isometry in the enveloping von Neumann algebra $A^*$. One also writes $|x|$ for $(x^* x)^{1/2}$. One has $x = u |x| |x| u$. For all elements $y$ in the hereditary sub-$C^*$-algebra $x^* A x$, the elements $yu$, $yu^*$, and $uyu^*$ belong to $A$. The mapping $y \mapsto uyu^*$ defines an isomorphism from $x^* A x$ onto $A$. Let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra, let $a$, $b$ be positive elements in $A$, and let $\varepsilon \geq \| a-b \|$ be given. Then there is a contraction $d$ in $A$ such that $d b d^* = (a-\varepsilon)_+$. 

**Lemma 2.2.** For each $r > 1$ define $g_r : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by $g_r(t) = \min\{t, t^r\}$. Observe that $g_r(b) \to b$ as $r \to 1$. Choose $r > 1$ such that $(\varepsilon_1) \| a-g_r(b) \| < \varepsilon$ and set $b_0 = g_r(b)$. Then $b_0 \leq b$, $b_0 \leq b^r$, and $a-\varepsilon_1 \leq b_0$. Find a positive contraction $e$ in $C^*(a)$ with $e(a-\varepsilon_1) e = (a-\varepsilon)_+$. Then $(a-\varepsilon)_+ \leq eb_0 e$. Put $x = b_0^{1/2} e$ and let $x = v(x^* x)^{1/2}$ be the polar decomposition for $x$, where $v$ is
a partial isometry in $A^{**}$. As $(a-\varepsilon)_+ \leq eb_0e = x^*x$, the element $y = v(a-\varepsilon)_+^{1/2}$ belongs to $A$, $y^*y = (a-\varepsilon)_+$, and

$$yy^* = v(a-\varepsilon)_+ v^* \leq ex^*xe = x^*x = b_0^{1/2}e^{2b_0^{1/2}} \leq b_0.$$\n
As in the proof of [18, Proposition 1.4.5], put $d_n = yy^*(1 + b)^{-1/2}b^{(r-1)/2}$. Because $yy^* \leq b_0 \leq b'$, [18, Lemma 1.4.4] applies (with $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = (r-1)/r$) and shows that $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $A$. Let $d$ be the limit of this Cauchy sequence. As in the proof of [18, Proposition 1.4.5], we have $yy^* \leq b_0 \leq b$, so that $d_yd = y^*y = (a-\varepsilon)_+$. Since $yy^* \leq b_0 \leq b$, we get

$$d^*d_n \leq b^{(r-1)/2}\left(\frac{1}{n}+b'\right)^{-1/2}b\left(\frac{1}{n}+b'\right)^{-1/2}b^{(r-1)/2} \leq 1.$$\n
Hence $\|d_n\| \leq 1$ for each $n$ which entails that $d$ is a contraction. 

**Lemma 2.3** [20, Proposition 2.4]. Let $A$ be a C*-algebra and let $a$, $b$ be positive elements in $A$. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \hspace{1cm} $a \preceq b$,

(ii) \hspace{1cm} $(a-\varepsilon)_+ \leq b$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

(iii) \hspace{1cm} for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ and $x$ in $A$ such that $x^*(b-\delta)_+ x = (a-\varepsilon)_+$.

(iv) \hspace{1cm} for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $x$ in $A$ such that $x^*x = (a-\varepsilon)_+$ and $xx^*$ belongs to $bAb$.

In particular, if $a$ is a positive element in the hereditary sub-C*-algebra $bAb$, then $a \preceq b$.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $a$, $b$ be positive elements in a C*-algebra $A$ and let $\delta > 0$.

(i) \hspace{1cm} If $a = x^*(b-\delta)_+ x$ for some $x$ in $A$, then $a = y^*y$ for some $y$ in $A$ with $\|y\| \leq \delta^{-1/2} \|a\|^{1/2}$.

(ii) \hspace{1cm} If $a \preceq (b-\delta)_+$, then for each $r > 1$ there exists $y$ in $A$ with $a = y^*y$ and with $\|y\| \leq r\delta^{-1/2} \|a\|^{1/2}$.

(iii) \hspace{1cm} If $a \leq b$, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a contraction $d$ in $A$ with $d^*bd = (a-\varepsilon)_+$.

**Proof.** We shall need some functions in the proof. For $\delta > 0$ and for $\alpha$ in the interval $[0, 1/2]$ define $f_{\delta, \alpha}, g_{\delta}: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$f_{\delta, \alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{(t-\delta)^2}{t}}, & t \geq \delta \\ 0, & t < \delta \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad g_{\delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 1/t, & t \geq \delta \\ \delta^{-2}t, & t < \delta \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$
and put $f_{\delta} = f_{\delta,1/2}$. Then
\[ tf_{\delta,\varepsilon}(t)^2 = (t-\delta)^{2\varepsilon}, \quad f_\delta(t)^2 = (t-\delta)^2. \]

(i) Put $y = f_\delta(b) x$. Then
\[ y^*b y = x^*b f_\delta(b)^2 x = x^*(b-\delta)_+ x = a, \]
\[ y^*y = x^*f_\delta(b)^2 x \leq \|g_\delta(b)\| x^*(b-\delta)_+ x \leq \delta^{-1} a. \]

The latter inequality yields the desired norm estimate for $y$.

(ii) Choose $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $\delta_0 < \delta$ and $\delta_0^{1/2} \leq r\delta^{1/2}$. If $a \preceq (b-\delta)_+$, then $a = x^*(b-\delta_0)_+ x$ for some $x$ in $A$ by Lemma 2.3(iii) and (2.1). Hence $a = y^* b y$ for some $y$ in $A$ with $\|y\| \leq \delta_0^{-1/2} \|a\|^{1/2} \leq r\delta^{-1/2} \|a\|^{1/2}$ by (i).

(iii) The system \( \{ f_\delta(b) \}_{\delta > 0} \) is an approximate unit for $\overline{\mathcal{A}b}$, and so we can choose $\delta > 0$ with $\|f_\delta(b) a f_\delta(b) - a\| < \varepsilon$. Observe that
\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 1/2} \| f_{\delta,\varepsilon}(b) \| = \| f_\delta(b) \| = ((\|b\| - \delta_+)/\|b\|)^{1/2} < 1. \]

We can therefore choose $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$ such that $\|a\|^{1/2-\alpha} \|f_{\delta,\varepsilon}(b)\| \leq 1$. Put $y = f_{\delta,\varepsilon}(b)$, so that $y^* b y = (b-\delta)_+^{2\varepsilon}$. By Lemma 2.2 there is a contraction $t$ in $A$ such that $(a-\varepsilon)_+ = t^* f_\delta(b) a f_\delta(b) t$. We have
\[ f_\delta(b) a f_\delta(b) \leq f_{\delta,\varepsilon}(b) b f_{\delta,\varepsilon}(b) = (b-\delta)_+. \]

Use [18, Proposition 1.4.5] to find $u$ in $A$ with $u^*(b-\delta)_+^{2\varepsilon} u = f_\delta(b) a f_\delta(b)$ and $\|u\| \leq \|a\|^{1/2-\varepsilon}$. Put $d = y u t$. Then $\|d\| \leq \|y\| \|u\| \|t\| \leq 1$ and $d^* b d = (a-\varepsilon)_+$. \hfill \[\square\]

The proof of Lemma 2.4(iii) actually yields an element $d$ in $A$ of norm slightly less than 1 with $d^* b d = (a-\varepsilon)_+$.

Limit Algebras

A filter on a set $\Omega$ is an upwards directed collection of subsets of $\Omega$ which is closed under finite intersections. To each filter $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$ and to each C*-algebra $A$ one defines the C*-algebra $A_\omega$ to be the quotient $\ell^\omega(A) / c_\omega(A)$, where $c_\omega(A)$ is the closed two-sided ideal in $\ell^\omega(A)$ consisting of those sequences $a = \{a_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ for which $\lim_n \|a_n\| = 0$. Recall that $\lim_n x_n = x$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a subset $X$ in $\omega$ such that $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon$ for all $n$ in $X$. (One also uses the symbol $\lim_{n \to \omega} x_n$ to express the limit $\lim_n x_n$.) The
quotient mapping $\ell^\infty(A) \to A_\omega$ is denoted by $\pi_\omega$. For each (bounded) sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of real numbers, define
\[
\limsup_\omega \alpha_n = \limsup_{n \to \omega} \alpha_n \defeq \inf_{\mathcal{X} \in \omega} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \alpha_n,
\]
and recall that $\|\pi_\omega(a)\| = \limsup_\omega \|a_n\|$.

There is a canonical embedding of $A$ into $A_\omega$ given by $a \mapsto \pi_\omega(a, a, \ldots)$. We shall often view $A$ as a sub-C*-algebra of $A_\omega$ using this embedding implicitly.

A filter $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$ is called free if it contains all cofinite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, and $\omega$ is called an ultralimit if it is a maximal filter. Each filter is contained in an ultrafilter. The set of all cofinite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ is a free filter, and any ultrafilter containing this filter is a free ultrafilter. If $\omega$ contains a finite set, then $\omega$ is not free and there is a finite subset $X_0 = \{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\omega$ is the collection of all subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ containing $X_0$. In this case, $A_\omega = A \oplus A \oplus \cdots \oplus A$ (with $k$ summands), and $\pi_\omega(a_1, a_2, \ldots) = (a_{n_1}, a_{n_2}, \ldots)$. There are filters on $\mathbb{N}$ that neither are free nor contain a finite set.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $A$ be a C*-algebra and let $\omega$ be a free filter on $\mathbb{N}$. Let $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite or countably infinite family of polynomials over $A_\omega$ in two non-commuting variables (cf. the examples below). Suppose for some finite constant $C$ there is a sequence $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of elements in $A_\omega$ such that $\|d_n\| \leq C$ for all $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$ and
\[
\lim_{n \to \omega} \|p_i(d_n, d_n^*)\| = 0
\]
for all $i \in I$. Then there is $d$ in $A_\omega$ such that $\|d\| \leq C$ and $p_i(d, d^*) = 0$ for all $i \in I$.

We shall typically apply the lemma in situations where the polynomials $p_i$ are of the form
\[
p_1(d, d^*) = d^* a d - b, \quad p_2(d, d^*) = [d^* a d, b], \quad p_3(d, d^*) = [d^* a d, d^* b d]
\]
for some $a, b$ in $A$.

**Proof.** Each coefficient of each $p_i$ is an element in $A_\omega$. Upon lifting each such coefficient to an element (of the same norm) in $\ell^\infty(A)$ we obtain a sequence $\{p_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of polynomials over $A$ in two non-commuting variables such that $\{p_{i,n}(e_n, e_n^*)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence for each bounded sequence $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $A$, and such that
\[
p_i(e, e^*) = \pi_\omega(p_{i,1}(e_1, e_1^*), p_{i,2}(e_2, e_2^*), p_{i,3}(e_3, e_3^*), \ldots)
\]
when $e = \pi_\omega(e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots)$.

Observe that $\|p_i(e, e^*)\| = \limsup_{n \to \omega} \|p_{i,n}(e_n, e_n^*)\|$. 

Write $I$ as an increasing union of finite subsets $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of $I$. For each $k$ find $d_k$ in $A_w$ such that $\|d_k\| \leq C$ and $\|p_i(d_k, d_k^*)\| < 1/k$ for all $i$ in $I_k$. Write $d_k = \pi_a(d_{k,1}, d_{k,2}, d_{k,3}, \ldots)$, where each $d_{k,n}$ is an element in $A$ with $\|d_{k,n}\| \leq C$. Then

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|p_{i,n}(d_{k,n}, d_{k,n}^*)\| < 1/k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in I_k.$$ 

For each $k$ find $Y_k$ in $\omega$ such that

$$\|p_{i,n}(d_{k,n}, d_{k,n}^*)\| < 1/k, \quad n \in Y_k, \quad i \in I_k. \quad (2.3)$$

Define $X_k \in \omega$ inductively by setting $X_1 = Y_1$, and

$$X_k = Y_k \cap X_{k-1} \cap (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, \ldots, k\})$$

for $k \geq 2$. (The set $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ belongs to $\omega$ by the assumption that $\omega$ is free.) Then (2.3) holds for all $n$ in $X_k$ and for all $i$ in $I_k$, the sequence $\{X_k\}_k$ is decreasing and $\cap_k X_k = \emptyset$. We can now write $\mathbb{N}$ as a disjoint union:

$$\mathbb{N} = (\mathbb{N} \setminus X_1) \cup (X_1 \setminus X_2) \cup (X_2 \setminus X_3) \cup \cdots.$$ 

Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\ell^\infty(A)$ be given by

$$e_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X_1, \\ d_{1,n}, & \text{if } n \in X_1 \setminus X_2, \\ d_{2,n}, & \text{if } n \in X_2 \setminus X_3, \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{cases}$$

and put $d = \pi_a(e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots)$ in $A_w$. Then $\|p_{i,n}(e_n, e_n^*)\| \leq 1/k$ for all $n$ in $X_k$ and for all $i$ in $I_k$. Hence $\|p_i(d, d^*)\| \leq 1/k$ for all $i$ in $I_k$. This holds for all $k$, and so $p_i(d, d^*) = 0$ for all $i$ in $I$ as desired.

3. PURELY INFINITE C*-ALGEBRAS

We give here a brief review of some of the results on purely infinite C*-algebras from [16].

**Definition 3.1 (Properly Infinite Elements).** A positive element $a$ in a C*-algebra $A$ is said to be **properly infinite** if $a$ is non-zero and $a \not\leq a \not\subseteq a$. 
The condition \( a \oplus a \precsim a \) means by definition that there is a sequence \( \{d_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \) of elements in \( M_2(A) \) such that
\[
\left\| d_n \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right\| \to 0. \tag{3.1}
\]

We note as a side remark that if there is a sequence \( \{d_n\} \) such that (3.1) holds for all \( a \) in \( A \), and if \( A \) is separable, then \( A \) is isomorphic to \( A \otimes C_0 \) by Proposition 8.4. (See also Proposition 7.8.)

Some properties of properly infinite elements, established in [16, Proposition 3.3], include:

**Lemma 3.2.** The following conditions are equivalent when \( a \) is a non-zero positive element in a \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \):

(i) \( a \) is properly infinite.

(ii) For each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there are positive elements \( a_1, a_2 \) in \( aAa \) such that \( a_1a_2 = 0 \) and \( (a - \varepsilon) a_j \precsim a_j \) for \( j = 1, 2 \).

(iii) For each natural number \( n \) and for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there are elements \( d_1, ..., d_n \) in \( aAa \) such that \( \delta_{2n} a_{2n} = \delta(n)(a - \varepsilon)_+ \).

The property that an element in a \( C^* \)-algebra is properly infinite depends on the \( C^* \)-algebra to which the element belongs. However, as follows readily from Lemma 3.2(iii), if \( a \) is a positive element in a hereditary sub-
\( C^* \)-algebra \( B \) of a \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \), and if \( a \) is properly infinite relative to \( A \), then \( a \) is also properly infinite relative to \( B \).

In the spirit of comparison theory for projections, a positive element \( a \) is called *infinite* if \( a \oplus b \precsim a \) for some non-zero positive element \( b \) in \( A \); cf. Definition 2.1.

If \( \varphi : A \to B \) is a *-homomorphism between \( C^* \)-algebras \( A \) and \( B \), and if \( a \) is a properly infinite element in \( A \), then \( \varphi(a) \) is properly infinite if non-zero. Moreover, a positive element \( a \) is properly infinite if and only if \( \varphi(a) \) is either infinite or zero for every *-homomorphism \( \varphi \) on \( A \); cf. [16, Proposition 3.14].

If \( a \) is a properly infinite element in \( A \), then \( b \precsim a \) for each positive element \( b \) in the closed two-sided ideal, \( AaA \), generated by \( a \); cf. [16, Proposition 3.5].

The set of properly infinite positive elements in a \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \) is not always a closed subset of \( A^+ \setminus \{0\} \). For example, no finite rank projection on a Hilbert space \( H \) is properly infinite, but each positive element in \( B(H) \) can be approximated in norm by properly infinite positive elements in \( B(H) \) (if \( T \) is a positive operator on \( H \), then \( T + n^{-1}I \) is properly infinite for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \)). However, we have the following (weaker) approximation lemma:
Lemma 3.3. Let \( a \) be a positive element in a \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \) and suppose that for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a properly infinite element \( b \) in \( A \) with \( \| a - b \| < \varepsilon \) and \( b \precsim a \). Then \( a \) is properly infinite.

Proof. For each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) choose \( b_\varepsilon \) such that \( \| a - b_\varepsilon \| < \varepsilon \) and \( b_\varepsilon \precsim a \). By Lemma 2.2 we have \( (a - \varepsilon)_+ \oplus (a - \varepsilon)_- \precsim b_\varepsilon \cap b_\varepsilon \precsim b_\varepsilon \precsim a \), which by Lemma 2.3(ii) implies that \( a \) is properly infinite.

Definition 3.4 (Purely Infinite \( C^* \)-algebras). A \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \) is said to be purely infinite if \( A \) has no non-zero abelian quotients and if for each pair of positive elements \( a, b \) in \( A \) such that \( a \) belongs to \( \overline{AbA} \), the closed two-sided ideal generated by \( b \), we have \( a \precsim b \).

It is shown in [16, Theorem 4.16] that \( A \) is purely infinite if and only if each non-zero positive element in \( A \) is properly infinite. Other facts about purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebras, proved in [16], include:

Proposition 3.5 (Permanence Properties). (i) For each short exact sequence \( 0 \to I \to A \to B \to 0 \) one has that \( A \) is purely infinite if and only if \( I \) and \( B \) are purely infinite.

(ii) If \( A \) and \( B \) are stably isomorphic and if \( A \) is purely infinite, then so is \( B \).

(iii) Each hereditary sub-\( C^* \)-algebra of a purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra is purely infinite.

(iv) If \( A \) is a purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra and if \( \omega \) is a free filter on \( \mathbb{N} \), then \( A_\omega \) is purely infinite.

(v) Any inductive limit of a system of purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebras is purely infinite.

(vi) \( A \otimes O_\omega \) is purely infinite for every \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \).

A simple \( C^* \)-algebra is purely infinite if and only if each of its non-zero hereditary sub-\( C^* \)-algebras contains an infinite projection, in agreement with Cuntz' original definition in [6].

4. WEAKLY PURELY INFINITE \( C^* \)-ALGEBRAS

One motivation for introducing the notion of weakly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebras is found in [16, Theorem 5.9] which says that an approximately divisible \( C^* \)-algebra is purely infinite if and only if it is traceless. (The notions of being approximately divisible and traceless are defined below.) We shall here characterize tracelessness in terms of being weakly purely infinite (without assuming approximate divisibility).
Definition 4.1 (Approximate Divisibility). A \( C^\ast \)-algebra \( A \) is said to be \emph{approximately divisible} if for every natural number \( n \), for every finite subset \( F \) of \( A \), and for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a unital \( * \)-homomorphism \( \varphi: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{M}(A) \), where \( \mathcal{M}(A) \) denotes the multiplier algebra of \( A \), such that
\[
\| \varphi(x) a - a \varphi(x) \| \leq \varepsilon \|x\|
\]
for all \( a \) in \( F \) and all \( x \) in \( M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \).

As remarked in [16, Lemma 5.6], if \( A \) is approximately divisible and if \( B \) is any \( C^\ast \)-algebra, then \( A \otimes B \) is approximately divisible (where \( \otimes \) is any tensor product). As \( C_\infty \) is approximately divisible (a consequence of [15], see also Corollary 8.3) we find that \( A \otimes C_\infty \) is approximately divisible for every \( C^\ast \)-algebra \( A \).

Definition 4.2 (Traceless \( C^\ast \)-Algebras). A \( C^\ast \)-algebra \( A \) will be called \emph{traceless} if no algebraic ideal of \( A \) admits a non-zero quasitrace.\(^4\)

There is a one-to-one correspondence between quasitraces and lower semi-continuous dimension functions (established by Blackadar and Handelman in [3]), and so being traceless is the same as having no non-zero lower semi-continuous dimension functions; cf. [16, Theorem 5.9].

The \( C^\ast \)-algebra \( B(H) \) of all bounded operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space \( H \) is not traceless, since it has a non-zero trace defined on the trace class operators on \( H \). We do not require our traces (or quasitraces) to be bounded.

Definition 4.3 (Weakly Purely Infinite \( C^\ast \)-Algebras). A \( C^\ast \)-algebra \( A \) will be said to have property pi-n if the \( n \)-fold direct sum \( a \oplus a \oplus \cdots \oplus a = a \otimes 1_n \) is properly infinite (cf. Definition 3.1) for every non-zero positive element \( a \) in \( A \). If \( A \) is pi-n for some \( n \), then we shall call \( A \) \emph{weakly purely infinite}.

By [16, Theorem 4.16], a \( C^\ast \)-algebra is pi-1 if and only if it is purely infinite. By definition, \( a \otimes 1_n \) is properly infinite if and only if \( a \otimes 1_n \lessgtr a \otimes 1_n \).

\(^4\) By a quasitrace we shall always mean a lower semi-continuous, positive 2-quasitrace. A 2-quasitrace on a \( C^\ast \)-algebra \( A \) is a quasitrace that extends (not necessarily in the obvious way) to a quasitrace on \( M_2(A) \). Haagerup proved in [11] that each quasitrace on a unital, exact \( C^\ast \)-algebra extends to a trace (and the first named author has extended this result to non-unital, exact \( C^\ast \)-algebras in [14]). Thus an exact \( C^\ast \)-algebra \( A \) is traceless if and only if no algebraic ideal of \( A \) admits a non-zero trace.
Lemma 4.4. Let \( a \) be a non-zero positive element in a \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \) and let \( n \) be a natural number. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \( a \otimes 1_n \) is properly infinite,
(ii) \( a \otimes 1_m \preceq a \otimes 1_n \) for all natural numbers \( m \),
(iii) \( a \otimes 1_m \preceq a \otimes 1_n \) for all natural numbers \( r, m \) with \( r \geq n \),
(iv) \( a \otimes 1_{n+1} \preceq a \otimes 1_n \),
(v) for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and for each \( m \) in \( \mathbb{N} \) there is \( x \) in \( M_{m,n}(A) \) such that \( x^*x \) belongs to \( M_{m,n}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{A}) \) and \( xx^* = (a - \varepsilon) \otimes 1_m \).

Proof. The implications (iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (iv) are trivial, and (ii) and (v) are equivalent by Lemma 2.3. Assume that (iv) holds. Then

\[
 a \otimes 1_{k+1} = (a \otimes 1_{k+1}) \oplus (a \otimes 1_{k-1}) \preceq (a \otimes 1_n) \oplus (a \otimes 1_{k-n}) = a \otimes 1_k
\]

for all \( k \geq n \). By transitivity of the relation \( \preceq \) and by induction we obtain (iii).

Proposition 4.5 (Permanence Properties). (i) If \( A \) is pi-\( n \), then \( A \) is pi-\( m \) for every \( m \geq n \).

(ii) Every hereditary sub-\( C^* \)-algebra of a pi-\( n \) \( C^* \)-algebra is pi-\( n \).

(iii) Every non-zero quotient of a pi-\( n \) \( C^* \)-algebra is again pi-\( n \).

(iv) Let \( 0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0 \) be a short exact sequence. If \( I \) is pi-\( n \) and \( B \) is pi-\( m \), then \( A \) is pi-(\( n+m \)).

(v) If \( A \) is an inductive limit of a system of \( C^* \)-algebras, each of which is pi-\( n \) for the same \( n \), then \( A \) is pi-\( n \).

(vi) If \( A \) and \( B \) are stably isomorphic \( C^* \)-algebras and if \( A \) is pi-\( n \), then \( B \) is pi-\( n^2 \).

By (iv), an extension of two weakly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebras is again weakly purely infinite. The given estimate on the degree of pure infiniteness is not optimal (cf. Proposition 3.5(i)), but it suffices for our purposes.

Proof. (i) This follows from Lemma 4.4.

(ii) This follows from the remark below Lemma 3.2 that being properly infinite is preserved when passing to hereditary sub-\( C^* \)-algebras.

(iii) This follows from the fact, remarked below Lemma 3.2, that a non-zero image under a \( * \)-homomorphism of a properly infinite element is again properly infinite.

(iv) Let \( a \) be a non-zero positive element in \( A \) and let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) be given. View \( I \) as an ideal of \( A \) and let \( \pi \) denote the quotient mapping \( A \rightarrow B \). If \( a \) belongs to \( I \), then \( a \otimes 1_n \) is properly infinite because \( I \) is pi-\( n \).
Assume now that \( \pi(a) \) is non-zero. Then \( \pi(a) \otimes 1_m \) is properly infinite in \( M_n(B) \). By Lemma 3.2 we can find positive elements \( b_1, b_2 \) in \( M_n(\pi(a) B \pi(a)) \) such that \( b_1 b_2 = 0 \) and \( \pi((a - e/3)_+) \otimes 1_m \preceq b_j \) for \( j = 1, 2. \) Since \( \pi((a - e/3)_+) \otimes 1_m \) is properly infinite we also have
\[
\pi((a - e/3)_+) \otimes 1_{m+n} \preceq b_j, \quad j = 1, 2.
\]

Lift \( b_1, b_2 \) to mutually orthogonal, positive elements \( a_1, a_2 \) in \( M_n(\overline{aAa}) \).

There are elements \( c_1, c_2 \) in \( M_{m+n}(aAla) \) such that \( (a - e/2)_+ \otimes 1_{m+n} \preceq a_j \otimes c'_j \) for \( j = 1, 2; \) cf. [16, Lemma 4.12]. There is \( \delta > 0 \) such that
\[
(a - e)_+ \otimes 1_{m+n} \preceq (a_j - \delta)_+ \otimes (c'_j - \delta)_+ \preceq a_j \otimes (c'_j - \delta)_+, \quad j = 1, 2;
\]
(4.1)

cf. Lemma 2.3. We show next that there are positive elements \( c_1, c_2 \) in \( M_{m+n}(aAla) \) such that \( c_1 c_2 = 0 \) and \( (c'_j - \delta)_+ \preceq c_j \). Find a positive element \( c_0 \) in \( aAla \) and \( \eta > 0 \) such that \( (c'_j - \delta)_+ \) and \( (c'_j - \delta)_+ \) belong to \( M_{m+n}(I(c_0 - \eta)_+) \). Since \( I \) is pi-n, \( (c_0 - \eta)_+ \otimes 1_n \) is properly infinite, and so \( (c'_j - \delta)_+ \preceq (c_0 - \eta)_+ \otimes 1_n \). Because \( c_0 \otimes 1_n \) also is properly infinite we can use Lemma 3.2 to find \( c_1, c_2 \) in the hereditary sub-\( C^* \)-algebra of \( M_n(I) \) generated by \( c_0 \otimes 1_n \) such that \( c_1 c_2 = 0 \) and \( (c_0 - \eta)_+ \otimes 1_n \preceq c_j \) for \( j = 1, 2. \) Notice that \( c_1, c_2 \) belong to \( M_n(\overline{aAa}) \). Hence \( d_1 = \text{diag}(a_1, c_1) \) belongs to \( M_{m+n}(aAla) \), \( d_1 d_2 = 0 \), and
\[
(a - e)_+ \otimes 1_{m+n} \preceq a_j \otimes (c'_j - \delta)_+ \preceq a_j \otimes c_j = d_j.
\]

It now follows from Lemma 3.2 that \( a \otimes 1_{m+n} \) is properly infinite, and this proves (iv).

(v) Assume that \( A \) is the inductive limit of a system \( \{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \) of \( C^* \)-algebras each of which is pi-n. By (iii) we can assume that each map \( A_i \rightarrow A \) is an inclusion mapping (so that \( A \) is the closure of the directed union of the algebras \( A_i \)). Let \( a \) be a non-zero positive element in \( A \) and let \( e > 0 \) be given. Use [16, Lemma 2.5] to find \( \mathbb{I} \) in \( \mathbb{I} \) and a non-zero positive element \( b \) in \( A \), such that \( (a - e)_+ \preceq b \preceq a. \) Then
\[
(a - e)_+ \otimes 1_{m+1} \preceq b \otimes 1_{m+1} \preceq b \otimes 1_n \preceq a \otimes 1_n.
\]

Since this holds for all \( \epsilon > 0 \), we get \( a \otimes 1_{m+1} \preceq a \otimes 1_n \), and so \( A \) is pi-n.

(vi) By (ii) and (v) it suffices to show that if \( A \) is pi-n, then \( M_k(A) \) is pi-n\(^2\) for all natural numbers \( k. \)

We show first that \( b \otimes 1_{2^i} \) is properly infinite for every non-zero positive element \( b \) in \( M_n(A) \). Indeed, let \( b_0 \in A \) be the matrix entries of \( b. \) Then \( b_0 \otimes 1_n \preceq b_0 \otimes 1_{n+1} \preceq \cdots \otimes b_{m_n} \) for \( i = 1, ..., n \) (see Lemma 5.3 below) and by Lemma 4.4 this implies
\[
b \otimes 1_{m+1} \preceq (b_0 \otimes 1_{m+i} \preceq (b_{i+1} \otimes b_{m+n}) \otimes 1_n \preceq b \otimes 1_{2^i}.
\]
Take now a non-zero positive matrix $a$ in $M_k(A)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that if $\|a-c\| < \epsilon_1$, then $\|(a-\epsilon/2)_+ - (c-\epsilon/2)_+\| < \epsilon/2$ and $\|a-c\| < \epsilon/2$. Hence, if $\|a-c\| < \epsilon_1$, then $(a-\epsilon)_+ \preceq (c-\epsilon/2)_+ \preceq a$ by Lemma 2.2. Find a positive contraction $e$ in $A$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|a-a^{1/2}(e - \delta_+) \otimes 1_k\| < \epsilon_1.$$ 

Since $e \otimes 1_k$ is properly infinite there is an element $d$ in $M_{n,k}(A)$ such that $d^*(e \otimes 1_k) d = (e-\delta)_+ \otimes 1_k$. With $t = (e^{1/2} \otimes 1_k) d a^{1/2}$ in $M_{n,k}(A)$ we have $\|a-t\| < \epsilon_2$ and $tt^* (= b)$ is an element in $M_n(A)^*$. Now $(tt^* - \epsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_k$, and hence $(tt^* - \epsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_k$, are properly infinite by the first part of the proof. By the choice of $\epsilon_1$ we therefore get

$$(a-\epsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{k+1} \preceq (tt^* - \epsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_{k+1} \preceq (tt^* - \epsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_k \preceq a \otimes 1_k.$$ 

As $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this proves that $a \otimes 1_k$ is properly infinite.

**Proposition 4.6.** The following conditions are equivalent for every $C^*$-algebra $A$:

(i) $A$ is pi-$n$.

(ii) $\ell^n(A)$ is pi-$n$.

(iii) $A_{\omega}$ is pi-$n$ for every filter $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$.

(iv) $A_{\omega}$ is pi-$n$ for some filter $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots)$ be a non-zero positive element in $\ell^n(A)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We show that $a \otimes 1_n$ is properly infinite. It is no loss of generality to assume that $\|a\| = 1$. Since $A$ is pi-$n$, $(a_k - \epsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1} \preceq (a_k - \epsilon)_+ \otimes 1_n$, and hence $(a_k - \epsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1} = x_k^*(a_k \otimes 1_n) x_k$ for some $x_k$ in $M_{n,n+1}(A)$ with $\|x_k\| \leq 2^{n+1/2}$; cf. Lemma 2.4(ii). It follows that $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ belongs to $\ell^n(A)$ and that $(a-\epsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1} = x^*(a \otimes 1_n) x$. Since this holds for all $\epsilon > 0$ we get $a \otimes 1_{n+1} \preceq a \otimes 1_n$. Hence $a \otimes 1_n$ is properly infinite, and (ii) must hold.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). This follows from Proposition 4.5(iii); and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) is trivial.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let $a$ be a non-zero positive element in $A$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $A_{\omega}$ is pi-$n$ there is $x = \pi_{\omega}(x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ in $M_{n,n+1}(A_{\omega})$ such that $x^*(a \otimes 1_n) x = (a-\epsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1}$. Each $x_k$ belongs to $M_{n,n+1}(A)$ and

$$\limsup_{\omega} \|x_k^*(a \otimes 1_n) x_k - (a-\epsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1}\| = 0.$$ 

Hence $\|x_k^*(a \otimes 1_n) x_k - (a-\epsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1}\| < \epsilon/2$ for some $k$. By Lemma 2.2 this entails that $(a-\epsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1} \preceq a \otimes 1_n$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we conclude that $a \otimes 1_{n+1} \preceq a \otimes 1_n$, and so $A$ is pi-$n$. $\blacksquare$
Lemma 4.7. Let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra which is pi-n. Then for each pair of positive elements $a, b$ in $A$, where $a$ belongs to $\overline{\text{Ab}}A$, and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there are elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ in $A$ such that
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^* b x_j = (a - \varepsilon)_+. \tag{4.2}
\]

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By [16, Proposition 2.7(v)] there is a natural number $k$ such that $(a - \varepsilon/2)_+ \leq b \otimes 1_k$. By Lemma 4.4, $b \otimes 1_k \leq b \otimes 1_n$, and so $(a - \varepsilon/2)_+ \leq b \otimes 1_n$. It follows that $(a - \varepsilon)_+ = x^*(b \otimes 1_n) x$ for some $x$ in $M_{n,1}(A)$. With $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ being the entries of $x$, we obtain the desired identity. \hfill \Box

Theorem 4.8. Let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra.

(i) For each free filter $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$ the following three conditions are equivalent:

(a) $A_\omega$ is traceless;
(b) $A_\omega$ is weakly purely infinite;
(c) $A$ is weakly purely infinite.

(ii) If $A$ is weakly purely infinite, then $A$ is traceless.

Proof. (ii) If $A$ is weakly purely infinite, then $A$ is pi-n for some $n$. Arguing as in the proof of [16, Proposition 5.1] we see that $A$ admits no non-zero dimension function, and hence no quasitrace: Indeed, if $d$ were a dimension function with domain $I$ (an algebraic ideal of $A$) and if $a$ is a non-zero positive element in $I$, then $a \otimes 1_n$ is properly infinite, and hence $a \otimes 1_{n+1} \not\leq a \otimes 1_n$. This implies $(n+1) d(a) \leq nd(a)$, and so $d(a) = 0$.

(i) (c) $\Rightarrow$ (b) follows from Proposition 4.6, and (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) follows from (ii). We proceed to prove (a) $\Rightarrow$ (c). We do so indirectly by assuming that $A$ is not weakly purely infinite. We construct below a positive element $a$ in $A_\omega$ which satisfies
\[
((a-1/4)_+ \oplus (a-1/4)_+ ) \otimes 1_k \not\leq a \otimes 1_k \tag{4.3}
\]
for all natural numbers $k$. It then follows from [16, Proposition 5.7] that $A_\omega$ admits a non-zero lower semi-continuous dimension functions, and hence that $A$ is not traceless.

For each natural number $k$ there is a positive contraction $a_k$ in $A$ such that
\[
((a_k-1/2)_+ \oplus (a_k-1/2)_+ ) \otimes 1_m \not\leq a_k \otimes 1_m, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, k. \tag{4.3}
\]
To see this, find for each \( k \) a non-zero positive element \( b_k \) in \( A \) such that \( b_k \otimes 1_k \) is not properly infinite. Then \( b_k \otimes 1_m \) is not properly infinite for \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, k \); cf. Lemma 4.4. Hence \( b_k \otimes 1_m \not\lesssim b_k \otimes 1_m \) for \( m \leq k \). By Lemma 2.3, \( (b_k - e_m) \otimes 1_m \not\lesssim b_k \otimes 1_m \) for some \( e_m > 0 \). Taking \( \varepsilon \) to be the minimum of \( e_1, \ldots, e_k \) we can assume that \( e_m = \varepsilon \) for each \( m \). Define \( h: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) to be \( h(t) = \min\{t/(2\varepsilon), 1\} \), and set \( a_k = h(b_k) \). Then \( a_k \) is a positive contraction in \( A \), \( (b_k - \varepsilon) \approx (a_k - 1/2)_+ \), and \( a_k \approx b_k \) (see Definition 2.1). This shows that (4.3) holds.

Set \( \alpha = \pi_\omega(a_1, a_2, \ldots) \), where \( \pi_\omega: \ell^\infty(A) \to A_\omega \) is the quotient mapping. We proceed to show that (4.2) holds. Assume the contrary. Then

\[
(a - 1/4)_+ \otimes 1_{2k} \not\lesssim a \otimes 1_k
\]

for some natural number \( k \), and so

\[
\|x^*(a \otimes 1_k) x - (a - 1/4)_+ \otimes 1_{2k}\| < 1/4
\]

for some \( x \) in \( M_{2k}(A_\omega) \). Write \( x = \pi_\omega(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \). Then

\[
\limsup_{n \to \omega} \|x^*(a_n \otimes 1_k) x_n - (a_n - 1/4)_+ \otimes 1_{2k}\| < 1/4.
\]

Because \( \omega \) is a free filter there is an infinite subset \( X \) of \( \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
\|x^*(a_n \otimes 1_k) x_n - (a_n - 1/4)_+ \otimes 1_{2k}\| < 1/4, \quad n \in X.
\]

Use Lemma 2.2 to deduce that \( (a_n - 1/2)_+ \otimes 1_{2k} \not\lesssim a_n \otimes 1_k \) for all \( n \) in \( X \). Because \( X \) is infinite it contains an element \( n \geq k \). But this contradicts (4.3).

It is not known if the sum of two properly infinite elements is again properly infinite. We do however have the following weaker result.

**Lemma 4.9.** Let \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) be properly infinite elements in a \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \), and put \( a = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n \). Then:

(i) \( a \otimes 1_k \) is properly infinite,

(ii) and if \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) are mutually orthogonal, then \( a \) is properly infinite.

**Proof.** (i) This follows from the relations

\[
a \otimes 1_{2n} \not\lesssim (a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_n) \otimes 1_{2n} \not\lesssim (a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_n) \not\lesssim a \otimes 1_n.
\]

(ii) This follows from [16, Lemma 3.9].

The next lemma is used in the proof of Proposition 4.11 which says that the multiplier algebra of a weakly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra is weakly purely infinite. The proof of the lemma uses a technique of Elliott from [9].
**Lemma 4.10.** Let $A$ be a $\sigma$-unital weakly purely infinite $C^*$-algebra, let $T$ be a positive element in $\mathcal{M}(A)$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is an increasing, countable, approximate unit $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for $A$ consisting of positive contractions satisfying $e_{n+1}e_n = e_n$ and $e_0 = 0$, such that

$$T = a + \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n^{1/2}T f_n^{1/2} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n^{1/2}T f_n^{1/2}, \quad f_n = e_n - e_{n-1},$$

(4.4)

where $a$ is a (not necessarily positive) element in $A$ with $\|a\| \leq \varepsilon$.

We have $f_n \perp f_m$ whenever $|n-m| \geq 2$, so the summands in the two (strictly convergent) sums in (4.4) are mutually orthogonal elements in $A$.

**Proof.** Take a countable approximate unit $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for $A$ consisting of positive contractions satisfying $e_{n+1}e_n = e_n$ and $\|e_nT - Te_n\| \to 0$; cf. [18, Theorem 3.12.14]. Upon passing to a subsequence of $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ we may assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \| (e_n - e_{n-1})^{1/2} T - (e_n - e_{n-1})^{1/2} \| < \varepsilon$$

(4.5)

(where $e_0 = 0$.) Put $f_n = e_n - e_{n-1}$, so that $1 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n$ (the sum is strictly convergent). Then

$$a = T - \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n^{1/2}T f_n^{1/2} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty T f_n - \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n^{1/2}T f_n^{1/2} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty (T f_n^{1/2} - f_n^{1/2}T) f_n^{1/2}.$$ 

By (4.5) we have $\|a\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \| T f_n^{1/2} - f_n^{1/2}T \| < \varepsilon$, and because $a$ is a norm convergent sum of elements from $A$ we conclude that $a$ belongs to $A$.

**Proposition 4.11.** Let $A$ be a $\sigma$-unital, weakly purely infinite $C^*$-algebra. Then its multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is weakly purely infinite.

**Proof.** Assume that $A$ is pi-r.

We show first that if $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an approximate unit as in Lemma 4.10 and if $R$ is non-zero and given by $R = \sum_{k=1}^r a_k$, where $a_1, a_2, \ldots$ is a bounded sequence of positive, mutually orthogonal elements of $A$ such that $a_k \perp e_{k-1}$ for all $k$, then $R \otimes 1$, is properly infinite. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $A$ is pi-r there are elements $x_k$ in $M_{r,2}(A)$ such that $x_k^* x_k = (a_k - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_2$ and $x_k x_k^* \in M_r(\bar{a}_k A \bar{a}_k)$; cf. Lemma 4.4. Now, $\|x_k\| = \|(a_k - \varepsilon)_+\|^{1/2}$ which shows that the sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is norm-bounded. The $(i,j)$th entry, $x_k(i,j)$, of $x_k$ belongs to $\bar{a}_k A \bar{a}_k$, and so $x_k(i,j) \perp e_{k-1}$. It follows that $X(i,j) = \sum_{k=1}^r x_k(i,j)$ is strictly convergent in $\mathcal{M}(A)$. The resulting matrix $X$ in $M_{r,2}(\mathcal{M}(A))$, whose $(i,j)$th entry is $X(i,j)$, satisfies $X^*X = (R - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_2$ and $XX^* \in M_r(R \mathcal{M}(A) R)$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $R \otimes 1_2 \precsim R \otimes 1_2$; cf. Lemma 2.3.
The argument above and Lemma 4.10 show that each positive element $T$ in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ can be written as $T = a + T'_1 + T'_2$, where $T'_1$, $T'_2$ are positive elements in $\mathcal{M}(A)$, $T'_1 \otimes 1$, and $T'_2 \otimes 1$, are properly infinite, and $a$ belongs to $A$. With $\pi: \mathcal{M}(A) \to \mathcal{M}(A)/A$ being the quotient mapping we get $\pi(T) = \pi(T'_1) + \pi(T'_2)$, and $\pi(T'_i) \otimes 1$, is properly infinite (cf. the remarks below Lemma 3.2). Hence $\pi(T) \otimes 1$ is properly infinite by Lemma 4.9(i). This proves that $\mathcal{M}(A)/A$ is $\text{pi-2r}$. By Proposition 4.5 we conclude that $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is $\text{pi-3r}$ and hence weakly purely infinite.

We do not know if the multiplier algebra of a purely infinite $C^*$-algebra is again purely infinite.

We end this section by discussing a sufficient condition under which one can deduce pure infiniteness from weak pure infiniteness.

It is a consequence of a lemma by Glimm that if $n$ is a natural number and if $A$ is a $C^*$-algebra that admits an irreducible representation on a Hilbert space of dimension at least $n$, then there is a non-zero $^*$-homomorphism from $M_n(C_0((0, 1]))$ into $A$ (see [16, Proposition 4.10]).

**Definition 4.12 (The Global Glimm Property).** A $C^*$-algebra $A$ is said to have the global Glimm property if for each natural number $n$, for each positive element $a$ in $A$, and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $^*$-homomorphism $\varphi: M_n(C_0((0, 1])) \to \mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}a$ such that $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ belongs to the closed two-sided ideal of $A$ generated by the image of $\varphi$.

Equivalently, $A$ has the global Glimm property if for each positive element $a$ in $A$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, and for each natural number $n$ there are mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent positive elements $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ in $a\mathcal{A}a$ such that $t_1 \sim t_2 \sim \cdots \sim t_n$ (cf. Definition 2.1) and such that $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ belongs to the closed two-sided ideal generated by $t = t_1 + \cdots + t_n$.

**Lemma 4.13.** Let $a$ be a positive element in a $C^*$-algebra $A$ and suppose that there is a full $^*$-homomorphism $\varphi: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}a\mathcal{A})$. Then there are mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent positive elements $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ in $a\mathcal{A}a$ such that $a$ belongs to the closed two-sided ideal generated by $t = t_1 + \cdots + t_n$.

**Proof.** Let $\{e_{ij}\}$ denote the matrix units of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Put $x_i = \varphi(e_{ii})a$, note that $x_i^*x_i = \varphi(e_{ii})a$, and put $t_i = x_i^*x_i$. Then $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ are mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent positive elements in $a\mathcal{A}a$. The element $\varphi(e_{11})a$ is full in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}a\mathcal{A})$ (because $\varphi(e_{11})$ is full in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}a\mathcal{A})$) and therefore $a$ belongs to the ideal generated by $t = t_1 + \cdots + t_n$. $\square$

---

A $^*$-homomorphism $A \to B$ is called full if its image is not contained in a proper closed two-sided ideal in $B$. 

---
LEMMA 4.14. Let $A$ be a C*-algebra such that no non-zero hereditary sub-C*-algebra of $A$ has a finite dimensional representation. Then $A$ has the global Glimm property if $A$ is either simple, approximately divisible, or purely infinite.

Proof. The local version of Glimm’s lemma (see [16, Proposition 4.10]) implies the global Glimm property when $A$ is simple.

Assume next that $A$ is approximately divisible. Let $a$ be a positive element in $A$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $B$ denote the algebra $M_s(C) \otimes M_{s+1}(C)$ and find a sequence of unital *-homomorphisms $\varphi_k : B \to \mathcal{M}(A)$ such that $\varphi_k(a) = a + o(\varepsilon/2)$ for all $a \in B$ and all $a \in A$. Let $\mu$ denote the Haar measure on the compact unitary group of $B$ and define a conditional expectation $E_k : A \to A \cap \varphi_k(B)'$ by

$$E_k(a) = \int_{U(B)} \varphi_k(u) a \varphi_k(u)^* d\mu(u).$$

Then $\|a - E_k(a)\| \to 0$. Choose $k$ large enough so that $\|a - E_k(a)\| < \varepsilon/2$ and put $a_0 = (E_k(a) - \varepsilon/2)_+$. Then $a_0$ is a positive element in $A \cap \varphi_k(B)'$ and $\|a - a_0\| < \varepsilon$. By Lemma 2.2 there are elements $d$, $e$ in $A$ such that $a_0 = d^*ad$ and $(a-e)_+ = e^*a_0e$. Put $a_1 = a^{1/2}d^*a^{1/2}$. There is an isomorphism

$$\alpha : \overline{a_0Aa_0} \to \overline{a_1Aa_1} \leq \overline{AA}$$

such that $\alpha(a_0) = a_1$ (see [16, Lemma 2.4]). Being equivalent, $a_0$ and $a_1$ generate the same closed two-sided ideal of $A$, and $(a-e)_+$ belongs to this ideal.

The canonical isomorphism $\beta : B \otimes C(\text{sp}(a_0)) \to C^*(\varphi_k(B), a_0)$ restricts to a *-homomorphism

$$\beta_0 : B \otimes C_0(\text{sp}(a_0) \setminus \{0\}) \to \overline{a_0Aa_0},$$

and $a_0 = \beta_0(1 \otimes i)$, where $i$ in $C_0(\text{sp}(a_0) \setminus \{0\})$ is given by $i(t) = t$. Take a full *-homomorphism $M_s(C) \to B$, a surjective *-homomorphism $C_0((0, 1)) \to C_0(\text{sp}(a_0) \setminus \{0\})$, and obtain in this way a full *-homomorphism

$$M_n(C_0((0, 1])) \otimes M_s(C) \to B \otimes C_0(\text{sp}(a_0) \setminus \{0\}) \to \overline{a_0Aa_0},$$

the image of which generates an ideal of $A$ that contains $a_0$ and therefore $(a-e)_+$.

Suppose finally that $A$ is purely infinite. Let $a$ be a positive element in $A$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$ be given. By Lemma 2.3 there is $d$ in $M_{1,n}(A)$ such that $d^*ad = (a-e)_+ \otimes 1_n$. Write $d = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$. Then $d_j^*ad_i = \delta_{ij}(a-e)_+$ for all $i$, $j$. Put $t_j = a^{1/2}d_jd_j^*a^{1/2}$. Then $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ are mutually orthogonal elements in $\overline{AA}$ each equivalent to $(a-e)_+$, and this shows that $A$ has the global Glimm property. 

PROPOSITION 4.15. A weakly purely infinite C*-algebra is purely infinite if and only if it has the global Glimm property.
Proof. The "only if" part follows from Proposition 4.14. Assume next that \( A \) is weakly purely infinite, say \( \pi-n \), with the global Glimm property. Let \( a \) be a non-zero positive element in \( A \) and let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) be given. Then \( aAa \) contains pairwise orthogonal and equivalent positive elements \( t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \) such that \( (a-\varepsilon)_+ \) belongs to the closed two-sided ideal generated by \( t = t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_n \). Now,

\[
t_1 \oplus 1_n \sim t_1 \oplus t_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus t_n \sim t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_n = t.
\]

Hence \( t \) is properly infinite. Since \( (a-\varepsilon)_+ \) belongs to the ideal generated by \( t \) we conclude that \( (a-\varepsilon)_+ \lesssim t \). Conversely, \( t \lesssim a \) because \( t \) belongs to \( aAa \) (see below Lemma 2.3). Using again that \( t \) is properly infinite, we get

\[
(a-\varepsilon)_+ \oplus (a-\varepsilon)_+ \lesssim t \oplus t \lesssim t \lesssim a.
\]

Since this holds for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \) we conclude that \( a \) is properly infinite. This shows that \( A \) is purely infinite because \( a \) was arbitrary.

Every hereditary sub-\( C^* \)-algebra and every quotient of a weakly purely infinite is again weakly purely infinite, and no weakly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra can be finite dimensional (e.g., by Theorem 4.8). Hence no hereditary sub-\( C^* \)-algebra of a weakly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra admits a finite dimensional representation. Together with Proposition 4.14 and Lemma 4.15 this proves:

**Corollary 4.16.** Any weakly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra, which is either simple or approximately divisible, is purely infinite.

A \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \) is said to have property (SP) ("small projections") if every non-zero hereditary sub-\( C^* \)-algebra of \( A \) contains a non-zero projection.

**Proposition 4.17.** Every non-zero projection in a weakly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra with property (SP) is infinite.

**Proof.** Let \( A \) be a weakly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra with property (SP) and let \( p \) be a non-zero projection in \( A \). Upon replacing \( A \) with \( pAp \) (which again is weakly purely infinite by Proposition 4.5(ii) and which has property (SP)), it suffices to show that the unit is infinite in a unital, weakly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \) with property (SP).
Since $A$ is weakly purely infinite it admits no finite dimensional representations. Hence Glimm’s lemma applies (cf. [16, Proposition 4.10]), and there is a non-zero *-homomorphism from $M_n(C_0((0,1]))$ into $A$. It follows that $A$ contains mutually orthogonal positive elements $t_1, t_2, ..., t_n$ and elements $x_1, ..., x_n$ such that $x_j x_j^* = t_j$ and $x_j^* x_j = t_1$. Find a non-zero projection $p_i$ in $i_i M_n$. Let $x_j = v_j |x_j|$ be the polar decomposition for $x_j$ where $v_j$ is a partial isometry in $A^**$. Then $u_i = v_j p_i$ belongs to $A$, $u_i^* u_i = p_i$, and $p_j = u_j u_i^*$ belongs to $i_i M_n$. In particular, $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$ are mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent projections. Consequently,

\[ p = p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n \sim p_1 \oplus p_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus p_n \sim p_i \oplus 1_n \]

is properly infinite. As $A$ contains a non-zero properly infinite projection, the unit of $A$ must be infinite. 

**Proposition 4.18.** Every weakly purely infinite C*-algebra of real rank zero is purely infinite.

**Proof.** We check that if $B$ is a non-zero hereditary sub-C*-algebra of a quotient of a weakly purely infinite C*-algebra $A$, then $B$ contains an infinite projection. By [16, Proposition 4.7], this will ensure that $A$ is purely infinite. By Proposition 4.5(ii), $B$ is weakly purely infinite. Any C*-algebra of real rank zero has property (SP). Proposition 4.17 therefore yields that every non-zero projection in $B$ is infinite.

One can relax the real rank zero condition in Proposition 4.18 to the weaker condition that every quotient of the C*-algebra has property (SP).

We conclude this section with some re-formulations of the open problem, if weak pure infiniteness implies pure infiniteness:

**Proposition 4.19.** The following six conditions are equivalent:

(i) All weakly purely infinite C*-algebras are properly infinite.

(ii) All non-zero projections in any weakly purely infinite C*-algebra are properly infinite.

(iii) All non-zero projections in any weakly purely infinite C*-algebra are infinite.

(iv) Every unital weakly purely infinite C*-algebra is infinite.

(v) Every unital weakly purely infinite C*-algebra is properly infinite.

(vi) The multiplier algebra of any σ-unital weakly purely infinite C*-algebra is properly infinite.

**Proof.** The implications (ii) \(\Rightarrow\) (iii) \(\Rightarrow\) (iv) are trivial, and (v) \(\Rightarrow\) (vi) follows from Proposition 4.11.
(iv) ⇒ (v). Let \( A \) be a unital weakly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra. If \( A \) is not properly infinite, then \( A \) has a non-zero finite quotient \( A/I \) (by [16, Corollary 3.15]). But \( A/I \) is weakly purely infinite by Proposition 4.5(iii), thus contradicting (iv).

(i) ⇒ (ii). All non-zero projections in a purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra are properly infinite by [16, Theorem 4.16].

(vi) ⇒ (i). Let \( A \) be a weakly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra. To show that \( A \) is properly infinite it suffices to show that all non-zero positive elements \( a \) in \( A \) are properly infinite, and this will be the case if \( \overline{aAa} \) is purely infinite.

Recall from Lemma 4.5(ii) that \( \overline{aAa} \) is weakly purely infinite. If (vi) holds, then \( M(\overline{aAa}) \) is properly infinite, and so there is a full (possibly non-unital) embedding of \( M_n(\mathbb{C}) \) into \( M(\overline{aAa}) \) for every natural number \( n \). Lemma 4.13 therefore implies that \( \overline{aAa} \) has the global Glimm property, and by Proposition 4.15 we conclude that \( \overline{aAa} \) is purely infinite as desired.

5. STRONGLY PURELY INFINITE \( C^* \)-ALGEBRAS

Our third notion of pure infiniteness is perhaps not very intuitive, but the definition is still local and algebraic like the definitions of being purely infinite and weakly purely infinite. It turns out that this notion is precisely what is required to obtain an \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \)-absorption theorem (Theorem 8.6) and a Weyl–von Neumann type result such as Theorem 7.21.

**Definition 5.1.** A \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \) is said to be **strongly purely infinite** if for every

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
a & x^* \\
x & b
\end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)^+
\]

and for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exist \( d_1, d_2 \) in \( A \) such that

\[
\left\| \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\
x & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \varepsilon.
\]

The matrix diagonalization appearing in this definition can be rephrased in a number of ways (see also Remark 5.10 below):

**Lemma 5.2.** For each element \( \begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \) in \( M_2(A)^+ \), the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) For each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exist \( d_1, d_2 \) in \( M(A) \) such that \( \|d_1^* ad_1 - a\| \leq \varepsilon, \|d_2^* bd_2 - b\| \leq \varepsilon, \) and \( \|d_1^* xd_1\| \leq \varepsilon. \)
For each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exist \( d_1, d_2 \) in \( A \) such that
\[
\left\| \begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \varepsilon.
\]

(ii) For each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( \delta > 0 \) there exist \( d_1 \) in \( aAa \) and \( d_2 \) in \( bAb \) such that
\[
d_1 > (a - \varepsilon), \quad d_2 > (b - \varepsilon), \quad \text{and} \quad \|d_2xld_1\| \leq \delta.
\]

Proof. The implications (iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i) are obvious.

Assume that (i) holds. Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( \delta > 0 \) be given and find \( e_1, e_2 \) in \( \mathcal{A}(A) \) such that \( \|e_1^*ae_1 - a\| \leq \varepsilon/2, \|e_2^*be_2 - b\| \leq \varepsilon/2, \) and \( \|e_1^*xe_1\| \leq \delta/2. \)

Set \( f_j = g_j^{1/2}e_jg_j^{1/2}, \ j = 1, 2, \) where \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) are positive contractions (approximate units) in \( aAa \), respectively in \( bAb \), chosen such that
\[
\|f_1^*af_1 - a\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|f_2^*bf_2 - b\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|f_2^*xf_1\| \leq \delta.
\]

By Lemma 2.2 there are contractions \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) in \( aAa \), respectively in \( bAb \), such that \( h_1^*f_1^*af_1h_1 = (a - \varepsilon) \), and \( h_2^*f_2^*bf_2h_2 = (b - \varepsilon). \) We can therefore take \( d_i \) to be \( f_i^*h_i, i = 1, 2. \)

In a strongly purely infinite (or in a purely infinite) \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \), if \( a = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \) is a positive element in \( M_2(A) \), then \( \text{diag}(a_{11}, a_{22}) \ll a. \) The converse holds in any \( C^* \)-algebra:

Lemma 5.3. Let \( A \) be any \( C^* \)-algebra, let \( n \) be a positive integer, and let \( a = (a_{ij}) \) be a positive element in \( M_n(A) \). Then \( a \ll \text{diag}(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{nn}). \)

Proof. This follows from the fact that \( a \) belongs to the hereditary sub-\( C^* \)-algebra generated by \( \text{diag}(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{nn}) \) (and from the comment below Lemma 2.3). Alternatively, the lemma can be obtained from the inequality \( a \ll n \cdot \text{diag}(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{nn}). \)

Proposition 5.4. Every strongly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra is purely infinite.

Proof. If \( A \) is strongly purely infinite and if \( a \) is a positive element in \( A \), then
\[
a \oplus a = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \ll \begin{pmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{pmatrix} = rr^* \sim r^*r = 2a \approx a
\]
(see Definition 2.1), when \( r \) is the column matrix in \( M_{2,1}(A) \) with both entries equal to \( a^{1/2}. \)

The following definition is convenient for the formulation of some of our next lemmas.

Definition 5.5 (The Matrix Diagonalization Property). An \( n \)-tuple \((a_1, \ldots, a_n)\) in a \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \) is said to have the matrix diagonalization
property if for every positive matrix \( a = (a_{ij}) \) in \( M_n(A) \) with \( a_{ij} = a_i \), and for every \( \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n > 0 \) and every \( \delta > 0 \) there are elements \( d_1, \ldots, d_n \) in \( A \) with
\[
d_i^* a_{ij} d_j = (a_{ij} - \varepsilon_i)_+ , \quad \|d_i^* a_{ij} d_j\| \leq \delta \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq j. \tag{5.1}
\]
The norm estimate on the elements \( d_i \) in the next lemma will be improved later in Corollary 7.22, where it is shown that we can take \( d_1, \ldots, d_n \) to be contractions if \( A \) is strongly purely infinite.

**Lemma 5.6.** Let \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) be positive elements in a \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \), let \( \varepsilon_1 > 0, \ldots, \varepsilon_n > 0 \), and suppose that the \( n \)-tuple \( ((a_1 - r\varepsilon_1)_+, \ldots, (a_n - r\varepsilon_n)_+) \) has the matrix diagonalization property for some \( r \) in \((0, 1)\). Then for each positive matrix \( a = (a_{ij}) \) in \( M_n(A) \), with \( a_{ij} = a_i \), and for each \( \delta > 0 \) there are elements \( d_1, \ldots, d_n \) in \( A \) such that (5.1) holds and such that \( \|d_i\|^2 \leq (r\varepsilon_i)^{-1} \|a_i\| \).

**Proof.** Let \( f_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0, 1] \) be the continuous function given by
\[
f_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{(t-r\varepsilon)/t} , & t \geq r\varepsilon, \\ 0 , & t < r\varepsilon. \end{cases}
\]
Then
\[
(a-r\varepsilon)_+ = f_\varepsilon(a)^2 a \geq r\varepsilon f_\varepsilon(a)^2
\]
for all positive \( a \) in \( A \). Put \( b_{ij} = f_\varepsilon(a_{ij}) a_{ij} f_\varepsilon(a_{ij}) \). Then \( b = (b_{ij}) \) is a positive matrix in \( M_n(A) \) and \( b_{ij} = (a_j - r\varepsilon)_+ \). By assumption, and because \( r\varepsilon_j < \varepsilon_j \), there are elements \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \) in \( A \) such that \( e_j^* b_{ij} e_j = (a_j - \varepsilon_j)_+ \) and \( \|e_j^* b_{ij} e_j\| \leq \delta \) for \( i \neq j \). Put \( d_j = f_\varepsilon(a_{ij}) e_j \), so that \( d_i^* a_{ij} d_j = e_j^* b_{ij} e_j \). Then (5.1) holds, and
\[
\|d_i\|^2 = \|e_j^* f_\varepsilon(a)^2 e_j\| \leq \frac{1}{r\varepsilon_j} \|e_j^* f_\varepsilon(a)^2 a_i f_\varepsilon(a)^2 e_j\| = \frac{1}{r\varepsilon_j} \|(a_i - \varepsilon_i)_+\| \leq \frac{1}{r\varepsilon_j} \|a_i\|
\]
as desired. \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.7.** Let \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) be positive elements in a \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \), and suppose that each pair \( ((a_i - \eta_i)_+, (a_j - \eta_j)_+) \), \( i \neq j \), has the matrix diagonalization property for every choice of \( \eta_i > 0 \). Then the \( n \)-tuple \( (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \) has the matrix diagonalization property.

**Proof.** The lemma is proved by induction on \( n \). For \( n = 2 \) there is nothing to prove. Suppose that \( n \geq 3 \) and that the lemma has been verified for \( n-1 \). Let \( a = (a_{ij}) \) be a positive matrix in \( M_n(A) \) with \( a_{ij} = a_j \), and let \( \varepsilon_1 > 0 \) and \( \delta > 0 \) be given. We find \( d_1, \ldots, d_n \) in \( A \) with \( d_i^* a_{ij} d_j = (a_{ij} - \varepsilon_j)_+ \) and...
$\|d_i^*a_jd_j\| \leq \delta$ for $i \neq j$. The proof has three steps: First we diagonalize the lower $n-1$ by $n-1$ sub-matrix of $a$, then we diagonalize the resulting upper $n-1$ by $n-1$ sub-matrix, and at the end we take care of the entries at the positions $(1,n)$ and $(n,1)$.

Take $\delta_0 > 0$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ (to be determined later). By the induction hypothesis there are elements $f_2, \ldots, f_n$ in $A$ such that $\|f_i^*a_jf_j\| \leq \delta_0$ and $f_i^*a_jf_j = (a_j-e_j/2)_+$ for $i \neq j$. Set $f_1 = 1$ and put $b_i = f_i^*a_i$, making $b = (b_1)$ a positive matrix in $M_n(A)$. Use the induction hypothesis and Lemma 5.6 (with $r = 1/2$) to find elements $g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}$ in $A$ such that

$$\|g_j\| \leq 2e_j^{-1}\|a_j\|, \quad g_j^*b_jg_j = (b_j-e_j/2)_+, \quad \|g_j^*b_jg_j\| \leq \delta_1 \quad \text{for} \ i \neq j.$$

Set $g_n = 1$ and set $c_{ij} = g_i^*b_jg_j$. Then

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} (a_j-e_j/2)_+, & j = 1, \quad j = n, \\ (a_j-e_j)_+, & 2 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ \delta_1, & i \neq j, \quad 1 \leq i, \quad j \leq n-1, \end{cases}$$

$$\|c_{ij}\| \leq \begin{cases} \|g_j\| \delta_0, & i = n, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ \|g_j\| \delta_0, & j = n, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

Use again the induction assumption and Lemma 5.6 (with $r = 1/2$) to find $h_j$ and $h_n$ in $A$ with $\|h_j\| \leq 2e_j^{-1}\|a_j\|$ and

$$\|h_j^*c_{ij}h_n - h_n^*c_{ij}h_j\| \leq \delta,$$

$$h_n^*c_{ij}h_j = (c_{ij} - e_j/2)_+ = (a_j - e_j)_+ \quad \text{for} \ j = 1, n,$$

and put $h_j = 1$ for $j = 2, \ldots, n$. Then $d_j = f_jg_jh_j$ satisfies $d_i^*a_jd_j = (a_j-e_j)_+$, and if $\delta_0$ and $\delta_1$ have been chosen small enough, then also $\|d_i^*a_jd_j\| \leq \delta$ whenever $i \neq j$.

As each pair of positive elements in a strongly purely infinite has the matrix diagonalization property, Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.6 imply:

**Lemma 5.8.** Let $A$ be a strongly purely infinite $C^*$-algebra. Then for each positive matrix $a = (a_{ij})$ in $M_n(A)$, for each choice of $e_j > 0$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, and for each $\delta > 0$ there are elements $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ in $A$ such that

$$d_i^*a_jd_j = (a_j-e_j)_+, \quad \|d_i^*a_jd_j\| \leq \delta \quad \text{for} \ i \neq j, \quad \|d_i^2\| \leq 2e_j^{-1}\|a_j\|.$$

(5.2)

**Lemma 5.9.** Let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra, and let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ and $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m$ be two families of positive elements in $A$ such that the $(n+m)$-tuple $(a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ has the matrix diagonalization property. Then the pair $(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i, \sum_{j=1}^m b_j)$ has the matrix diagonalization property.
Proof. We may assume that \( m = n \) (otherwise take, for example, \( b_{m+1} = \cdots = b_n = 0 \)). Put \( a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \) and \( b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \), and let \( x \) in \( A \) be such that \((x^* x)\) is a positive matrix in \( M_2(A) \). Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) be given. Put
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 a_1^{1/2} \\
 \vdots \\
 a_n^{1/2}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}
 b_1^{1/2} \\
 \vdots \\
 b_n^{1/2}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Then \( s^* s = a \) and \( t^* t = b \). Write \( s = u a^{1/2} \) and \( t = v b^{1/2} \) for some partial isometries \( u, v \) in \( M_{n,1}(A^*) \). Recall that \( uc \), respectively, \( vc \), belongs to \( M_{n,1}(A) \) for every \( c \) in \( a A a \), respectively, in \( b A b \). Also,
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 a_1 & \cdots & a_n^{1/2} \\
 \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 a_n^{1/2} & \cdots & a_n
\end{pmatrix}
\]
and that the diagonal entries of this matrix are \((a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n)\), and this \( 2n \)-tuple has the matrix diagonalization property by assumption. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 5.11(iii) we find matrices \( f_1, f_2 \) in \( M_n(A) \) such that
\[
\|f_1^* u a^* f_1 - u a^*\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|f_2^* v b^* f_2 - v b^*\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|f_2^* v x u f_1\| < \varepsilon.
\]
Replacing \( f_j \) by \( e_j f_j e_j \), where \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) are suitable approximate units in the hereditary sub-\( C^* \)-algebras generated by \( u a^* \), respectively, \( v b^* \), we can assume that \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) belong to these respective hereditary sub-\( C^* \)-algebras. This will ensure that \( d_1 = u^* f_1 u \) and \( d_2 = v^* f_2 v \) belong to \( A \). Finally,
\[
\|d_1^* ad_1 - a\| = \|u a^* d_1^* u - u a^*\| = \|f_1^* u a^* f_1 - u a^*\| < \varepsilon,
\]
\[
\|d_2^* bd_2 - b\| = \|v d_2^* b d_2 - v b^*\| = \|f_2^* v b^* f_2 - v b^*\| < \varepsilon,
\]
\[
\|d_2^* xd_1\| = \|v d_2^* x d_1^* u\| = \|f_2^* v x u f_1\| < \varepsilon,
\]
as desired. \( \Box \)
Remark 5.10 (Matrix Diagonalization Revisited). A \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \) is strongly purely infinite if and only if for each pair of positive element \( a, b \) in \( A \) and for each \( \epsilon > 0 \) there are elements \( d_1, d_2 \) in \( A \) such that
\[
\|d_1^{*}ad_1 - a\| \leq \epsilon, \quad \|d_2^{*}bd_2 - b\| \leq \epsilon, \quad \|d_2^{*}b^{1/2}a^{1/2}d_1\| \leq \epsilon. \tag{5.3}
\]

To see this, note first that
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
a & a^{1/2}b^{1/2} \\
b^{1/2}a^{1/2} & b
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
a^{1/2} & 0 \\
0 & b^{1/2}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
a^{1/2} & 0 \\
0 & b^{1/2}
\end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)^{+}.
\]

The “only if” part of the claim now follows immediately from Definition 5.1.

To prove the “if” part it suffices to show that \((a-\eta)_+, (b-\eta)_+\) has the matrix diagonalization property for every pair of positive elements \( a, b \) in \( A \) and for every \( \eta > 0 \); cf. Lemma 5.6. Let \( x \) in \( A \) be such that \((a-\eta)_+ + x^* x (b-\eta)_+\) is positive. Put \( c = a + b \). It follows from (5.3), as in the proof of Proposition 5.4, that \( A \) must be purely infinite. Hence, for some \( \delta > 0 \),
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
(a-\eta)_+ & x^* \\
x & (b-\eta)_+
\end{pmatrix} \preceq_0 \begin{pmatrix}
(a-\eta/2)_+ & 0 \\
0 & (b-\eta/2)_+
\end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
\preceq_0 \begin{pmatrix}
(c-\delta)_+ & 0 \\
0 & (c-\delta)_+
\end{pmatrix} \preceq_0 c,
\]
where \( e \preceq_0 f \) means that \( e = z^* f z \) for some \( z \) in (a rectangular matrix over) \( A \). We need therefore only show that each positive matrix in \( M_2(A) \) of the form \( y^* c y \), where \( y \) belongs to \( M_{1,2}(A) \) and \( c \) is a positive element in \( A \), can be approximately matrix diagonalized.

Let \((y^* c y)_+\) be given and write \( y = (y_1, y_2) \). Then \( a = y_1^* c y_1 \), \( b = y_2^* c y_2 \), and \( x = y^* c y_1 \). Consider the polar decompositions \( c^{1/2} y_1 = u a^{1/2} \) and \( c^{1/2} y_2 = v b^{1/2} \), where \( u, v \) are partial isometries in \( A^{**} \), and put \( a_0 = u a u^* \) and \( b_0 = v b v^* \). Then \( a_0 \) and \( b_0 \) belong to \( A \), and
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
u^* & 0 \\
0 & v^*
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
a_0 & a_0^{1/2} b_0^{1/2} \\
b_0^{1/2} a_0^{1/2} & b_0
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
u & 0 \\
0 & v
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
a & x^* \\
x & b
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Find \( e_1, e_2 \) in \( A \) such that
\[
\|e_1^* a_0 e_1 - a_0\| < \epsilon, \quad \|e_2^* b_0 e_2 - b_0\| < \epsilon, \quad \|e_2^* b_0^{1/2} a_0^{1/2} e_1\| < \epsilon.
\]
Upon replacing $e_1$ by $ge_1g$ for a suitable positive contraction in the hereditary sub-$C^*$-algebra $a_0 A a_0$ we may assume that $e_1$ belongs to this sub-$C^*$-algebra. Similarly, we may assume that $e_2$ belongs to $b_0 A b_0$. Then $d_1 = u e_1 u$ and $d_2 = v e_2 v$ belong to $A$, and

$$
\|d_1^*a_1 - a\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|d_2^*b_2 - b\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|d_2^*x_1\| < \varepsilon.
$$

**Proposition 5.11 (Permanence Properties).** (i) If $A$ is strongly purely infinite, then so is every non-zero quotient of $A$.

(ii) If $A$ is strongly purely infinite, then so are all its non-zero hereditary sub-$C^*$-algebras.

(iii) If $A$ and $B$ are stably isomorphic and if $A$ is strongly purely infinite, then so is $B$.

(iv) Any inductive limit of a system of strongly purely infinite $C^*$-algebras is again strongly purely infinite.

**Proof.** (i) We must show that $A/I$ is strongly purely infinite whenever $I$ is a closed two-sided ideal in $A$. To see this take a positive element $b$ in $M_2(A/I)$. Lift $b$ to a positive element $a$ in $M_2(A)$. Find $d_1, d_2$ in $A$ that approximately matrix diagonalize $a$ as in Definition 5.1. The images under the quotient mapping $A \to A/I$ of $d_1, d_2$ will then approximately matrix diagonalize $b$.

(ii) This follows from Lemma 5.2.

(iv) In the light of (i) it suffices to show that if $A$ is a $C^*$-algebra with a directed family $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ of strongly purely infinite sub-$C^*$-algebras $A_i$ such that $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ is dense in $A$, then $A$ is strongly purely infinite.

We must for each positive matrix $(a, b, c, d)$ in $M_2(A)$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ show that there are $d_1, d_2$ in $A$ such that $\|d_1^*a_1 - a\| < \varepsilon$, $\|d_2^*b_2 - b\| < \varepsilon$, and $\|d_2^*x_1\| < \varepsilon$. It is no loss of generality to assume that the given positive matrix is a contraction.

Choose $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $\delta_2 < \varepsilon/2$ and $(2\delta_2^{-1} + 1) \delta_1 < \varepsilon/2$. Find $i$ in $I$ and a positive element $(a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0)$ in $M_2(A_i)$ such that

$$
\|a - a_0\| < \delta_1, \quad \|b - b_0\| < \delta_1, \quad \|x - x_0\| < \delta_1.
$$

Find next $d_1, d_2$ in $A_i$ with $\|d_i\| < 2\delta_2^{-1}$ and

$$
d_1^*a_0 d_1 = (a_0 - \delta_2)_+, \quad d_2^*b_0 d_2 = (b_0 - \delta_2)_+, \quad \|d_2^*x_0 d_1\| < \delta_2;
$$

cf. Lemma 5.6. Then

$$
\|d_1^*a_1 - a\| \leq \|d_i\|^2 \|a - a_0\| + \|a - a_0\| + \delta_2 \leq (2\delta_2^{-1} + 1) \delta_1 + \delta_2 < \varepsilon,
$$
and, similarly, \( \left\| d_2^* b_d - b \right\| < \varepsilon \). Also,

\[
\left\| d_1^* x d_1 \right\| \leq \left\| d_1 \right\| \left\| d_2 \right\| \left\| x - x_0 \right\| + \left\| d_2^* x_0 d_1 \right\| \leq 2 \delta_2^{-1} \delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq \varepsilon.
\]

This shows that \( A \) is strongly purely infinite.

(iii) By (ii) it suffices to show that \( A \otimes \mathcal{K} \) is strongly purely infinite when \( A \) is strongly purely infinite, and using (iv) it suffices to show that \( M_n(A) \) is strongly purely infinite for all natural numbers \( n \), when \( A \) is strongly purely infinite. Let \((\alpha, \beta)\) be a positive element in \( M_n(M_n(A)) \) and let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then \( a \) and \( b \) are positive elements of \( M_n(A) \). Denote by \( \hat{a} \) and \( \hat{b} \) the diagonal parts of \( a \) and \( b \); i.e., \( \hat{a} \) is the diagonal matrix in \( M_n(A) \) whose diagonal entries are equal to the diagonal entries of \( a \), and similarly for \( \hat{b} \).

Then \( \text{diag}(a, b) \) is the diagonal part of \((\alpha, \beta)\). As remarked in Lemma 5.3, \( a \preceq \hat{a} \) and \( b \preceq \hat{b} \). Hence there is \( \delta > 0 \) and \( e_1, e_2 \) in \( M_n(A) \) such that \( e_1^* (a - \delta) e_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+ \) and \( e_2^* (b - \delta) e_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+ \).

According to Lemma 5.8 we can find (diagonal) matrices \( f_1, f_2 \) in \( M_n(A) \) such that

\[
f_1^* a f_1 = (\hat{a} - \delta)_+, \quad f_2^* b f_2 = (\hat{b} - \delta)_+, \quad \left\| f_2^* x f_1 \right\| \leq \left\| e_1 \right\|^{-1} \left\| e_2 \right\|^{-1} \varepsilon.
\]

Put \( d_1 = f_1 e_1 \) and \( d_2 = f_2 e_2 \). Then \( d_1^* a d_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+, \ d_2^* b d_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+, \) and \( \left\| d_1^* x d_1 \right\| \leq \left\| e_1 \right\| \left\| e_2 \right\| \left\| f_2^* x f_1 \right\| \leq \varepsilon \). This shows that \( M_n(A) \) is strongly purely infinite.

Proposition 5.12. The following conditions are equivalent for every \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \):

(i) \( A \) is strongly purely infinite.

(ii) \( \ell^\omega(A) \) is strongly purely infinite.

(iii) \( A_\omega \) is strongly purely infinite for every filter \( \omega \) on \( \mathbb{N} \).

(iv) \( A_\omega \) is strongly purely infinite for some filter \( \omega \) on \( \mathbb{N} \).

Proof. (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii). Assume that \( A \) is strongly purely infinite. To show that \( \ell^\omega(A) \) is strongly purely infinite take a positive matrix \((\alpha, \beta)\) in \( \ell^\omega(A) \).

Upon scaling this matrix, we can assume that \( a \) and \( b \) are contractions. Write

\[
a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots), \quad b = (b_1, b_2, \ldots), \quad x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots).
\]
Then \{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, and \{x_n\} are sequences of contractions in A and \((a_n, b_n, x_n)\) is positive in \(M_2(A)\) for each \(n\). Let \(\varepsilon > 0\) be given. Use Lemma 5.6 to find elements \(d_{1,n}, d_{2,n}\) in A with

\[
d^*_1 a_d_1 = (a_n - \varepsilon)_+, \quad d^*_n b_d_2 = (b_n - \varepsilon)_+, \quad \|d^*_n x d_1\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \|d^*_n\|^2 \leq 2\varepsilon^{-1}.
\]

Then \(d_j = (d_{j,1}, d_{j,2}, \ldots)\) belongs to \(\ell^\omega(A)\) for \(j = 1, 2\), and

\[
d^*_j a d_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+, \quad d^*_j b d_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+, \quad \|d^*_j x d_1\| \leq \varepsilon.
\]

This shows that \(\ell^\omega(A)\) is strongly purely infinite.

(ii) \(\Rightarrow\) (iii). This follows from Proposition 5.11(i).

(iii) \(\Rightarrow\) (iv). Trivial!

(iv) \(\Rightarrow\) (i). Assume that \(A_\omega\) is strongly purely infinite for some filter \(\omega\) on \(\mathbb{N}\). Let \((a, b)\) be a positive element in \(M_2(A)\) and let \(\varepsilon > 0\) be given. Let \(\pi_\omega:\ \ell^\omega(A) \to A_\omega\) denote the quotient mapping. Identify \(x\) in \(A\) with \(\pi_\omega(x, x, \ldots)\) (thus viewing \(A\) as a sub-C*-algebra of \(A_\omega\)). Then \((a, b)\) is a positive element in \(M_2(A_\omega)\). We can therefore find \(d_1, d_2\) in \(A_\omega\) such that \(\|d_1 a d_1 - a\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|d_2 b d_2 - b\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|d_2 x d_1\| < \varepsilon\). Write \(d_i = \pi_\omega(d_{i,1}, d_{i,2}, \ldots)\). Then

\[
\lim_{\omega} \sup \|d^*_i a d_1 - a\| < \varepsilon, \quad \lim_{\omega} \sup \|d^*_2 b d_2 - b\| < \varepsilon, \quad \lim_{\omega} \sup \|d^*_2 x d_1\| < \varepsilon.
\]

Hence \(\|d_1 a d_1 - a\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|d_2 b d_2 - b\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|d_2 x d_1\| < \varepsilon\) for each \(n\) in some subset belonging to \(\omega\), and hence for at least one \(n\). This completes the proof.

Combining Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 2.5 we get the following sharpening of Lemma 5.8 for limit algebras:

**Lemma 5.13.** Let \(\omega\) be a free filter on \(\mathbb{N}\) and let \(A\) be a strongly purely infinite C*-algebra. Then for each positive matrix \(a = (a_{ij})\) in \(M_n(A_\omega)\) and for each choice of \(\varepsilon_i > 0, j = 1, \ldots, n\), there are elements \(d_1, \ldots, d_n\) in \(A_\omega\) such that

\[
d^*_i a_d_j = (a_{ij} - \varepsilon_j)_+, \quad \|d^*_i a_d_j\| = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq j, \quad \|d_j\|^2 \leq 2\varepsilon_j^{-1} \|a_{jj}\|.
\]

**Proposition 5.14.** Every approximately divisible, purely infinite C*-algebra is strongly purely infinite.

**Proof.** Let \(A\) be an approximately divisible, purely infinite C*-algebra, and let \(\omega\) be a free filter on \(\mathbb{N}\). We show that \(A_\omega\) is strongly purely infinite, and it will then follow from Proposition 5.12 that \(A\) is strongly purely infinite.
Let \( T = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \eta & \zeta \end{smallmatrix} \right) \) be a positive matrix in \( M_2(A_w) \). Lift \( T \) to a positive matrix \( (T_1, T_2, \ldots) \) in \( M_2(\mathcal{L}(A)) \) and write \( T_n = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \alpha_n & \beta_n \\ \eta_n & \zeta_n \end{smallmatrix} \right) \). We can find unital \(*\)-homomorphisms \( \varphi_n : E \to \mathcal{M}(A) \) such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| \varphi_n(e) \ a_n - a_n \varphi_n(e) \| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \| \varphi_n(e) \ b_n - b_n \varphi_n(e) \|
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \| \varphi_n(e) \ x_n - x_n \varphi_n(e) \| = 0
\]

for all \( e \in E \).

With \( \pi_w : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}(A)) \to \mathcal{M}(A)_w \) the quotient mapping, define

\[ \varphi : E \to \mathcal{M}(A)_w \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A)_w \text{ by } \varphi(e) = \pi_w(\varphi_1(e), \varphi_2(e), \ldots). \]

Then \( a, b, x \) commute with the image of \( \varphi \).

Choose a full (non-unital) \(*\)-homomorphism \( \iota : M_2(\mathbb{C}) \to E \), let \( \{ e_{ij} \} \) be the matrix units for \( M_2(\mathbb{C}) \), and put \( f_{ij} = \iota(e_{ij}) \). There are elements \( e_1, e_2, e_3 \) in \( E \) such that \( 1 = \sum_{j=1}^3 e_j^* f_{11} e_j \). Hence

\[
a = \sum_{j=1}^3 \varphi(e_j^*) \varphi(f_{11}) \ a \varphi(e_j)
\]

and so \( a \) belongs to the ideal generated by \( \varphi(f_{11}) a \) \((= \varphi(f_{11}) a \varphi(f_{11})). \)

Similarly, \( b \) belongs to the ideal generated by \( \varphi(f_{22}) b \varphi(f_{22}). \) Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) be given. Since \( A_w \) is purely infinite (by Proposition 3.5) there are elements \( c_1, c_2 \) in \( A_w \) such that

\[
c_1^* \varphi(f_{11}) a \varphi(f_{11}) c_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+, \quad c_2^* \varphi(f_{22}) b \varphi(f_{22}) c_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+.
\]

Put \( d_1 = \varphi(f_{11}) c_1 \) and \( d_2 = \varphi(f_{22}) c_2 \). Then \( d_1^* x d_1 = 0 \) because \( x \) commutes with the image of \( \varphi \), \( d_1^* a d_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+ \), and \( d_2^* d_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+ \). Hence \( T \) can be matrix diagonalized, and this proves that \( A_w \) is strongly purely infinite.

6. PURELY INFINITE \( C^\ast \)-ALGEBRAS OF REAL RANK ZERO

It is shown in this section that every purely infinite \( C^\ast \)-algebra of real rank zero is strongly purely infinite.

Call an element \( a \) in a \( C^\ast \)-algebra \( A \) **locally central** if it belongs to the center of the hereditary sub-\( C^\ast \)-algebra \( a \mathcal{A} a \). Every projection and every multiple of a projection are locally central. If \( a \) is locally central, then so is \( (a - \rho)_+ \) for every \( \rho \geq 0 \).
Definition 6.1. A $C^*$-algebra $A$ is said to have the **locally central decomposition property** if for every $a$ in $A^+$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist locally central elements $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ in $A^+$ such that

(i) $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ belong to $AA$,
(ii) $(a-\varepsilon)_+$ belongs to $A(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j)A$.

Remark 6.2. If a purely infinite $C^*$-algebra $A$ satisfies the locally central decomposition property then it has the following stronger property.

For every $a$ in $A^+$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $e, f$ in $A$ and locally central elements $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ in $A^+$ such that

(i) $e^*ae = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$,
(ii) $f^*(e^*ae) f = (a-\varepsilon)_+$.

To see that the locally central decomposition property implies conditions (i) and (ii) above, take $a$ in $A^+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Applying conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 6.1 to $(a-\varepsilon/3)_+$ and to $\varepsilon/3$, and using the assumption that $A$ is purely infinite, we find locally central elements $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ in $A^+$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \preceq (a-\varepsilon/3)_+, \quad (a-2\varepsilon)_+ \preceq \sum_{j=1}^n a_j.$$ 

We can now use Lemmas 2.4 and 2.3 to find $e, f$ in $A$ such that (i) and (ii) above hold.

Proposition 6.3. Every purely infinite $C^*$-algebra of real rank zero has the locally central decomposition property.

Proof. Let $a$ be a non-zero positive element in a purely infinite $C^*$-algebra $A$ of real rank zero. Since $aAa$ has an approximate unit consisting of projections, it contains for each $\varepsilon > 0$ a projection $p$ such that $(a-\varepsilon)_+$ belongs to the ideal generated by $p$. Hence the two conditions of Definition 6.1 are satisfied (with $n = 1$ and $a_1 = p$).

It is shown in [4] that continuous field $C^*$-algebras, whose fibers are purely infinite and simple, have the locally central decomposition property.

Lemma 6.4. Let $A$ be a purely infinite $C^*$-algebra. Let $\delta > 0$, let $x, f$ in $A$, and $e$ in $x^*Ax$ be given such that $x$ is a contraction and

$$\|f^*xe\| \leq \delta^2, \quad (|x| - \delta)_+ \leq |e|.$$ 

Let $D$ be a hereditary sub-$C^*$-algebra of $A$ which contains $x^*x$. Then for each positive element $b$ in $D$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $d$ in $D$ with $d^*d = (b-\varepsilon)_+$ and

$$\|f^*xd\| \leq (2 \|b\| \|f\| (1 + 5 \|f\|))^{1/2} \delta^{1/2}.$$
Proof. We may assume that $\delta < 1$. Put $b_0 = (b - \varepsilon)_+ +$ and find positive contractions $u_1, u_2$ in $D$ such that $u_1 u_2 u_1 = u_2$ and $u_2 b_0 u_1 = b_0 u_2 = b_0$.

Let $J$ be the closed two-sided ideal in $A$ generated by $(|e^*| - \delta)_+$ and let $\pi_j: A \to A/J$ be the quotient mapping. Then $\|\pi_j(e)\| \leq \delta$, and hence $\|\pi_j(|x|)\| \leq 2\delta$. Accordingly, we can find a positive contraction $g$ in $J$ such that $\|xu_1^{1/2}(1-g)\| \leq \sqrt{5}\delta$.

Put $a = u_1^{1/2}(1-g)^2 u_1^{1/2} + |e^*|$. Then $a$ belongs to $D$ and $(a - \delta)_+$ belongs to the closed two-sided ideal $I$ in $A$ generated by $(a - \delta)_+$. To see the latter, observe first that $\|\pi_j(|e^*|)\| \leq \|\pi_j(a)\| \leq \delta$, which shows that $(|e^*| - \delta)_+$ belongs to $I$. This entails that $I$ contains $J$. Hence

$$\pi_J(u_1) = \pi_J(u_1^{1/2}(1-g)^2 u_1^{1/2}) \leq \pi_J(a) \leq \delta,$$

whence $(u_1 - \delta)_+$ belongs to $I$.

We have $u_2 \lesssim (u_1 - \delta)_+$ (in $A$ and hence in $D$), the second relation is because $(a - \delta)_+$ is properly infinite. By Lemma 2.4(ii) we can find $y$ in $D$ such that $\|y\|^2 \leq 2/\delta$ and $y^*ay = u_2$. Put $d = a^{1/2} y b_0^{1/2}$. Then $d$ belongs to $D$, $d'^*d = b_0$, and

$$\|f^*xd\|^2 = \|f^*xd^*x^*f\| \leq \|b_0\| \|y\|^2 \|f^*xax^*f\|$$

$$\leq 2\delta^{-1} \|b\| (\|f^*xu_1^{1/2}(1-g)^2 u_1^{1/2}x^*f\| + \|f^*x|e^*| x^*f\|)$$

$$\leq 2\delta^{-1} \|b\| (\|f\|^2 \|xu_1^{1/2}(1-g)\|^2 + \|f^*x|e^*| x^*\| \|f\|)$$

$$\leq 2\delta^{-1} \|b\| (5\delta^2 \|f\|^2 + \|f\|^2)$$

$$= 2\delta \|b\| \|f\| (5 \|f\| + 1).$$

**Proposition 6.5.** Let $A$ be a purely infinite $C^*$-algebra, let $D_1$ and $D_2$ be hereditary sub-$C^*$-algebras of $A$, and let $x$ be an element in $A$ such that $x^*x \in D_1$ and $xx^* \in D_2$. Let $a$ be a positive element in $D_1$, let $b$ be a positive element in $D_2$, and let $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ be given. It follows that for each $\delta > 0$ there exist $d_1 \in D_1$ and $d_2 \in D_2$ such that $d_1^*d_1 = (a - \varepsilon_1)_+, d_2^*d_2 = (b - \varepsilon_2)_+$, and $\|d_1^*xd_2\| < \delta$.

Proof. Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, we may assume that $a$, $b$, and $x$ are contractions. Choose $\eta > 0$ such that $12\eta^2 \leq \delta^2$. Using that $A$ is purely infinite we can find $h_1, h_2$ in $\tilde{x}^*Ax$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} (|x| - \eta)^2 + & 0 \\ 0 & (|x|^{1/2} - \eta)^2 + \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & h_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |x| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & h_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

or, equivalently, such that

$$h_1^* |x| h_1 = (|x| - \eta)^2 +, \quad h_2^* |x| h_2 = (|x|^{1/2} - \eta)^2 +, \quad h_1^* |x| h_2 = 0.$$
Let $x = v |x| = |x^*| v$ be the polar decomposition for $x$, where $v$ is a partial isometry in $A^*$. Put $x_1 = v |x|^{1/2}$, $f_1 = x_1 h_1 v^*$, and $e_1 = h_2$. Then $e_1$ belongs to $x^* A x = x^* A x_1$, $f_1^* x_1 f_1 = v h_1^* x_1 h_2 = v h_1^* |x| h_2 = 0$

$$|e_1| = (h_2^* h_2)^{1/2} = (h_2^* |x| h_2)^{1/2} = (|x|^{1/2} - \eta)_+ = (|x_1| - \eta)_+,$$

and $f_1$ is a contraction. It follows from Lemma 6.4 that there exists $d_1$ in $D_1$ with $d_1^* d_1 = (a - e_1)_+$ and $\|f_1^* x_1 d_1\| \leq (12\eta)^{1/2} = \eta_1)$. Put $x_2 = x^* e_1$, $f_2 = d_1$, and $e_2 = v h_1 v^*$. Then

$$f_1^* x_1 d_1 = v h_1^* x_1^* f_2 = v h_1^* |x| f_2 = (f_2^* |x| h_1 v^*) = (f_2^* x_2 e_2)^*,$$

and so $\|f_2^* x_2 e_2\| \leq \eta_1$. Moreover, $e_2$ belongs to $v(x^* A x) v^* = \Gamma A \Gamma = \Gamma x_2 A x_2$, $x_2^* x_2 = xx^*$ belongs to $D_2$, and

$$|e_2| = (h_2^* h_2)^{1/2} v^* = v h_2^* |x| h_2^{1/2} v^* = v (|x| - \eta)_+ v^* = (|x^*| - \eta)_+ = (|x_2| - \eta)_+ \geq (|x_2| - \eta_1)_+.$$

Apply Lemma 6.4 once more to get an element $d_2$ in $D_2$ satisfying $d_2^* d_2 = (b - e_2)_+$, and

$$\|f_2^* x_2 d_2\| \leq (12\eta_1 \|b\|)^{1/2} \leq (12\eta_1)^{1/2} \leq (12(2\eta)^{1/2}) \leq \delta.$$

This completes the proof because $\|d_2^* x d_2\| \leq \|d_2^* x^* d_2\| = \|f_2^* x_2 d_2\|$. □

Remark 6.6 (Pure infiniteness versus strong pure infiniteness). At a first glance it would seem that Proposition 6.5 proves that every purely infinite $C^*$-algebra is strongly purely infinite. Here is what actually follows from this proposition: If $a$, $b$ are positive elements in a purely infinite $C^*$-algebra $A$ and if $x = b^{1/2} x_0 a^{1/2}$, where $x_0$ belongs to $(b - \rho)_+ A (a - \rho)_+$ for some $\rho > 0$, then for each $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ there are elements $d_1$, $d_2$ in $A$ such that $d_1^* a d_1 = (a - \epsilon)_+$, $d_2^* b d_2 = (b - \epsilon)_+$, and $\|d_1^* x d_1\| \leq \delta$.

Indeed, assume as we may that $\rho < \epsilon$, and put

$a_0 = (a - \rho)_+$, $b_0 = (b - \rho)_+$, $D_1 = a_0 A a_0$, $D_2 = b_0 A b_0$,

so that $x_0^* x_0$ belongs to $D_1$, $x_0^* x_0$ belongs to $D_2$, $(a - \epsilon)_+ = (a_0 - (\epsilon - \rho))$, and $(b - \epsilon)_+ = (b_0 - (\epsilon - \rho))$. We can apply Proposition 6.5 to get $e_1$ in $D_1$ and $e_2$ in $D_2$ satisfying $e_1^* e_1 (a - \epsilon)_+ = (b - \epsilon)_+$, and $\|e_2^* x_0 e_2\| \leq \delta$. Now, find $d_1$, $d_2$ in $A$ such that $e_1 = a^{1/2} d_1$ and $e_2 = b^{1/2} d_2$. Then $d_1^* a d_1 = (a - \epsilon)_+$, $d_2^* b d_2 = (b - \epsilon)_+$, and

$$\|d_1^* x d_1\| = \|d_1^* b^{1/2} x_0 a^{1/2} d_2\| = \|e_2^* x_0 e_2\| \leq \delta.$$

In general we cannot take $x$ to be of the form $b^{1/2} x_0 a^{1/2}$, with $x_0$ as above, although, by Remark 5.10, to prove that $A$ is strongly purely infinite, it would suffice to find $d_1$, $d_2$ as above for $x = b^{1/2} a^{1/2}$.
The two next lemmas concern the matrix diagonalization property of \( n \)-tuples as in Definition 5.5 and locally central elements (defined above Definition 6.1).

**Lemma 6.7.** Each \( n \)-tuple \((a_1, \ldots, a_n)\) of locally central positive elements in a purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra has the matrix diagonalization property.

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.7 it suffices to prove the lemma for \( n = 2 \). Recall that \((a_j - \eta)\) is locally central for each \( \eta \geq 0 \).

Let \( x \) in \( A \) be such that

\[
\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & x^* \\ x & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)^+.
\]

Choose a continuous function \( f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to [0, 1] \) satisfying that \( f(t) = 0 \) when \( t \leq \delta/2 \) and \( |f(t)t - t| < \delta \) for all \( t \geq 0 \). Put \( D_j = a_j A a_j, j = 1, 2 \), so that \( x^*x \) belongs to \( D_1 \) and \( xx^* \) belongs to \( D_2 \). It follows from Proposition 6.5 that there exist \( d_j \) in \( D_j \), \( j = 1, 2 \), satisfying \( d_j^* d_j = f(a_j) \) and \( ||d_j^* x d_j|| < \delta \). Notice that \( ||d_j||^2 = ||f(a_j)|| \leq 1 \). Because \( a_j \) is a central element in \( D_j \) we get \( d_j^* a_j d_j = f(a_j) a_j \). Hence \( ||d_j^* a_j d_j - a_j|| \leq \delta \) and \( ||d_j^* x d_j|| \leq \delta \), and this shows that \((a_1, a_2)\) has the matrix diagonalization property; cf. Lemma 5.2.

**Theorem 6.8.** Every purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra with the locally central decomposition property is strongly purely infinite.

**Proof.** Let \( A \) be a \( C^* \)-algebra with the locally central decomposition property, and let

\[
\begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)^+
\]

and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) be given. By the assumption that \( A \) satisfies the locally central decomposition property and by Remark 6.2 and Lemmas 6.7 and 5.9 there are elements \( e_1, f_1, e_2, f_2 \) in \( A \) such that \((e_1^* a e_1, e_2^* b e_2)\) satisfies the matrix diagonalization property, and such that

\[
||f_1^* (e_1^* a e_1) f_1 - a|| \leq \varepsilon/2, \quad ||f_2^* (e_2^* b e_2) f_2 - b|| \leq \varepsilon/2.
\]

Now,

\[
\begin{pmatrix} e_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & e_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1^* a e_2 & x_1^* \\ x_1 & e_2^* b e_2 \end{pmatrix},
\]
where $x_1 = e_i^* xe_1$. Find $g_1, g_2$ in $A$ such that

$$
\| g_j^*(e_i^* a e_1) g_1 - e_i^* a e_1 \| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \| f_j \| ^2}, \quad \| g_j^*(e_i^* b e_2) g_2 - e_i^* b e_2 \| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \| f_j \| ^2},
$$

$$
g_j^* x_1 g_i \| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\| f_i \| \| f_j \|}.
$$

Put $d_j = e_j g_j f_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then

$$
\| d_j^* a d_j - a \| = \| f_j^* g_j^* (e_i^* a e_1) g_1 f_j - a \|
\leq \| f_i \| ^2 \| g_j^* (e_i^* a e_1) g_1 - e_i^* a e_1 \| + \| f_j^* (e_i^* a e_1) f_j - a \| \leq \varepsilon,
$$

and similarly, $\| d_j^* b d_j - b \| \leq \varepsilon$. Finally,

$$
\| d_j^* x_1 d_j \| = \| f_j^* g_j^* e_i^* xe_1 g_1 f_j \| \leq \| f_j \| \| f_j \| \| g_j^* x_1 g_j \| \leq \varepsilon,
$$

as desired. □

Combining Theorem 6.8 with Proposition 6.3 yields:

**Corollary 6.9.** Every purely infinite $C^*$-algebra of real rank zero is strongly purely infinite.

### 7. APPROXIMATELY INNER COMPLETELY POSITIVE CONTRACTION ON STRONGLY PURELY INFINITE $C^*$-ALGEBRAS

The main result of this section is a local variation of the Weyl–von Neumann theorem. It says that any approximately inner, completely positive contraction from a nuclear sub-$C^*$-algebra of a strongly purely infinite $C^*$-algebra $A$ into $A$ is approximately 1-step inner. This result will be used in Section 8 to show that $A$ is isomorphic to $A \otimes C_\infty$ if $A$ is nuclear, strongly purely infinite, stable, and separable.

The section is divided into three parts, the last of which contains a refinement of matrix diagonalization in strongly purely infinite $C^*$-algebras that will not be used in the rest of the paper.

**Some Preliminary Results**

We begin by defining what it means for a completely positive mapping to be (approximately) inner:
**Definition 7.1 (Inner and Approximately Inner Maps).** Let $A$ and $B$ be $C^*$-algebras both contained in a $C^*$-algebra $E$, and let $T: B \to A$ be a completely positive map. The map $T$ is said to be \textit{n-step inner} (relatively to $E$) if there are elements $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ in $E$ such that

$$T(b) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j^* b e_j$$

for all $b$ in $B$. We say that $T$ is \textit{inner} if $T$ is $n$-step inner for some natural number $n$.

If for each finite subset $F$ of $B$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $n$-step inner, respectively, an inner completely positive map $S: B \to A$ such that $\|T(b) - S(b)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $b \in F$, then $T$ is called \textit{approximately $n$-step inner}, respectively, \textit{approximately inner}.

The $C^*$-algebra $E$ in the definition above will usually be either $A$, the multiplier algebra of $A$, or a limit algebra $A_\omega$ for some free filter $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$. If $E = A$ or if it is clear from the context which ambient $C^*$-algebra we are considering, then we may omit the reference “relatively to $E$.” Lemma 7.3 below says that approximate innerness is independent of the ambient $C^*$-algebra. First we need a lemma:

**Lemma 7.2.** Let $T: B \to A$ be a completely positive contraction that is \textit{approximately $n$-step inner} relatively to a $C^*$-algebra $E$ containing both $A$ and $B$. Then for each finite subset $F$ of $B$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there are elements $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ in $E$ such that

$$\left\| T(b) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j^* b e_j \right\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad b \in F,$$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j^* e_j \right\| \leq 1.$$

**Proof.** Let $\delta = \varepsilon / (2 + \max \{ \| b \| : b \in F \})$. Find a positive contraction $f$ in $B$ such that $\| f b f - b \| \leq \delta$ for all $b \in F$.

Take an $n$-step inner completely positive map $S$ with $\| T(b) - S(b) \| < \delta$ for all $b$ in $f F f \cup \{ f^2 \}$. Write $S(b) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j^* b d_j$, where the $d_j$ belong to $E$. Put $e_j = (1 + \delta)^{-1/2} f d_j$. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j^* e_j \right\| = (1 + \delta)^{-1/2} \| S(f^2) \| \leq (1 + \delta)^{-1} (\| T(f^2) \| + \delta) \leq 1.$$

We also have

$$(1 + \delta) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j^* b e_j - T(b) \right) = (S(f b f) - T(f b f)) - (f b f - T(f b f)) - \delta T(b),$$

for $b \in B$, from which the lemma follows. \qed
**Lemma 7.3.** Let $A$ and $B$ be $C^*$-algebras with $B$ separable, and let $T : B \to A$ be a completely positive contraction.

(i) Suppose that $B$ is a sub-$C^*$-algebra of $A_\omega$ for some free filter $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$ and that $T$ is approximately $n$-step inner relatively to $A_\omega$. Then $T$ is (exactly) $n$-step inner relatively to $A_\omega$ and $T$ is approximately $n$-step inner relatively to $A$.

(ii) Suppose that $B$ is a sub-$C^*$-algebra of $\mathcal{M}(A)$ and that $T$ is approximately $n$-step inner relatively to $\mathcal{M}(A)$. Then $T$ is approximately $n$-step inner relatively to $A$.

**Proof.** (i) Assume that $T$ is approximately $n$-step inner relatively to $A_\omega$. Then for each natural number $k$ there are contractions $d_{1,k}, \ldots, d_{n,k}$ in $A_\omega$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{j,k}^* b d_{j,k} = T(b)$$

for all $b$ in $B$. By the obvious generalization of Lemma 2.5 to polynomials in $2n$ noncommuting variables we find contractions $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ in $A$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j^* b d_j = T(b)$ for all $b$ in $B$.

Write $d_j = \pi_\omega(d^{(1)}_j, d^{(2)}_j, \ldots)$ where each $d^{(i)}_j$ is a contraction in $A$. Then

$$\limsup_{\omega} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} (d^{(i)}_j)^* b d^{(i)}_j - T(b) \right\| = 0, \quad b \in B.$$

For each finite subset $F$ of $B$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we can therefore find a natural number $k$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} (d^{(i)}_j)^* b d^{(i)}_j - T(b) \right\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad b \in F.$$

Hence $T$ is approximately $n$-step inner relatively to $A$.

(ii) Assume that $T$ is approximately $n$-step inner relatively to $\mathcal{M}(A)$. Take a finite subset $F$ of $B$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Find an $n$-step inner completely positive contraction $S : B \to \mathcal{M}(A)$ such that $\|T(b) - S(b)\| \leq \varepsilon/2$ for all $b$ in $F$. Write $S(b) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j^* b d_j$ for suitable $d_j$ in $\mathcal{M}(A)$. Find a positive contraction $f$ in $A$ with $\|f^{1/2}T(b) - f^{1/2} - T(b)\| \leq \varepsilon/2$ for all $b$ in $F$, and put $\varepsilon_j = d_j f^{1/2}$. Then each $\varepsilon_j$ belongs to $A$, and

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j^* b \varepsilon_j - T(b) \right\| \leq \|f^{1/2}(S(b) - T(b)) f^{1/2}\| + \|f^{1/2}T(b) f^{1/2} - T(b)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $b$ in $F$.
Part (i) of our next preliminary result describes (approximately) 1-step inner maps, and part (ii) is a related result that will be used in Section 8.

**Lemma 7.4.** Let $A$ be a stable $C^*$-algebra.

(i) Let $B$ be a separable sub-$C^*$-algebra of $A$, and let $V : B \to A$ be an approximately 1-step inner completely positive contraction. Then there is a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of isometries in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ such that $\|t_n^* V(b) - V(b)\| \to 0$ for all $b \in B$.

(ii) If there is a sequence $\{d_n\}$ of elements in $\mathcal{M}_2(A)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} d_n - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 0 \quad (7.1)$$

for all $a$ in $A$, then there are isometries $u_n, v_n$ in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ such that $u_n^* u_n + v_n^* v_n \leq 1$ and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} u_n^* & 0 \\ 0 & v_n^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 0 \quad (7.2)$$

for all $a$ in $A$.

**Proof.** Because $A$ is stable we can write $A = A_0 \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the compact operators on a separable Hilbert space $H$. We can then view $1 \otimes B(H)$ as a sub-$C^*$-algebra of $\mathcal{M}(A)$. Choose an increasing approximate unit $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for $\mathcal{K}$ consisting of projections. Then $\|a(1 - 1 \otimes e_n)\| \to 0$ for every $a$ in $A$. Take isometries $s_{n,1}, s_{n,2}$ in $1 \otimes B(H)$ satisfying $s_{n,1} s_{n,1}^* + s_{n,2} s_{n,2}^* = 1$ and $s_{n,2} s_{n,2}^* \leq 1 - 1 \otimes e_n$. Then $\|a s_{n,2} s_{n,2}^*\| \to 0$ for all $a$ in $A$.

(i) Use Lemma 7.2 to find a sequence $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of contractions in $A$ such that $d_n^* bd_n \to V(b)$ for all $b$ in $B$, and put

$$t_n = s_{n,1} s_{n,1}^* d_n + s_{n,2} (1 - d_n^* s_{n,1} s_{n,1}^* d_n)^{1/2}. \quad (7.3)$$

Then each $t_n$ is an isometry and $t_n^* V(b) \to V(b)$ for $b \in B$.

(ii) Observe first that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}_2(A)) = \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{M}(A))$. Put $e = \text{diag}(1, 0) \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{M}(A))$. By Lemma 7.2 we can assume that each $d_n$ is a contraction. Upon replacing $d_n$ by $e d_n$ we may also assume that $e d_n = d_n$. Let $s_{n,j} \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ be as above, and set

$$\tilde{s}_{n,1} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{n,1} & s_{n,2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{s}_{n,2} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{n,2} & s_{n,1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $t_n$ in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{M}(A))$ be given by (7.3) where $s_{n,1}, s_{n,2}$ are replaced by $\tilde{s}_{n,1}, \tilde{s}_{n,2}$. Then $t_n$ is an isometry satisfying (7.1) (with $t_n$ in the place of $d_n$), $t_n = e t_n$, and hence

$$t_n = \begin{pmatrix} u_n & v_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
for some $u_n, v_n$ in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ that necessarily are isometries with $u_n u_n^*$ orthogonal to $v_n v_n^*$ because $t_n$ is an isometry.

A Local Weyl–von Neumann Theorem

The main result of this subsection is Theorem 7.21 which will be proved in a series of lemmas. Two of these lemmas (Lemma 7.7 and Lemma 7.12) will use the following:

Remark 7.5 (A construction with commuting elements). Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ be commuting positive contractions in a strongly purely infinite C*-algebra $A$, and let $\eta_1 > 0$, $\eta_2 > 0$, and $\eta_3 > 0$ be given, where $\eta_1 < 1/2$. We underline that $\eta_2$ and $\eta_3$ can be chosen independent from $\eta_1$, and that we allow $\eta_3 = 0$ only if $A$ is the ultrapower of some other strongly purely infinite C*-algebra.

Let $X$ denote the primitive ideal spectrum of $D = C^*(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$, so that $D$ is isomorphic to $C_0(X)$. For each $x$ in $X$ let $\rho_x : D \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the corresponding character on $D$. Identifying $X$ with the image of the (injective) map

$$x \mapsto (\rho_x(a_1), \rho_x(a_2), \ldots, \rho_x(a_n)),$$

$X$ becomes a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Put

$$\Omega = \{x \in X : \max\{a_1(x), \ldots, a_n(x)\} \geq \eta_2\},$$

so that $\Omega$ is a compact subset of $X$. It is a standard fact from dimension theory that every open cover of $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ has an open sub-cover such that each point in $\mathbb{R}^n$ belongs to at most $n+1$ of the open sets in the sub-cover. Use this to find open sets $U_1, \ldots, U_r$, $V_1, \ldots, V_r$ such that

(i) $\Omega \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_r \subseteq U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \cdots \cup U_r$, and $\overline{V_j} \subseteq U_j$;

(ii) $|\rho_x(a_k) - \rho_{x'}(a_k)| \leq \eta_2$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, for all $x, x'$ in $U_j$, and for all $k$;

(iii) each $x$ in $X$ is contained in at most $n+1$ of the open sets $U_1, \ldots, U_r$.

Choose $x_j$ in $V_j$ for each $j$. Let $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ be positive contractions in $D$ such that $g_1 + \cdots + g_r \leq 1$, $\rho_x(g_1 + \cdots + g_r) = 1$ for all $x$ in $\Omega$, and $\rho_x(g_j) = 0$ when $x \notin V_j$. Choose next positive contractions $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ in $D$ such that $f_j g_j = g_j$ and $\rho_x(f_j) = 0$ when $x \notin U_j$. Then

$$\|a_k - \sum_{j=1}^r \rho_x(a_k) g_j\| \leq \eta_2, \quad \|c a_k c - \rho_x(a_k) c^2\| \leq \eta_2$$

(7.4)
for all contractions $c$ in $f_j D f_j$, for $k = 1, \ldots, n$, and for $j = 1, \ldots, r$. Put

$$f = (f_{1/2}^1 \cdots f_{1/2}^r) \in M_{1,n}(A), \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{1/2}^1 \\ \vdots \\ g_{1/2}^r \end{pmatrix} \in M_{r,1}(A).$$

For each $\delta \geq 0$ define $S_\delta : D \to M_r(D)$ by

$$S_\delta(c) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{x_1}(c)(f_1 - \delta)_+ \\ \vdots \\ \rho_{x_r}(c)(f_r - \delta)_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad c \in D.$$

Applying Lemma 5.8 (with $e_j = g_{1/2}^1$ and $\delta$ small enough) to the positive matrix

$$f^* f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & \cdots & f_{1/2}^1 f_{1/2}^r \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{1/2}^r & \cdots & f_r \end{pmatrix}$$

we obtain elements $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r$ in $A$ such that $d_j^* f_j d_j = (f_j - g_{1/2}^1)_+$, $\|d_j\|_2 \leq 2/n_1$, and

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} d_1^* & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & d_r^* \end{pmatrix} f^* f \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & d_r \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} (f_1 - g_{1/2}^1)_+ & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & (f_r - g_{1/2}^r)_+ \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \eta_3. \quad (7.5)$$

(We can take $\eta_3 = 0$ if $A$ is a limit algebra. cf. Lemma 5.13, otherwise we must require $\eta_3 > 0$.) Set $h_j = f_{1/2}^1 d_j$, and set $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_r)$ in $M_{1,n}(A)$, so that $h^* h_j = d_j^* f_j d_j = (f_j - g_{1/2}^1)_+.$

**Lemma 7.6.** In the notation of Remark 7.5, $\|h^* a_k h - S_{\eta_1}(a_k)\| \leq 2(n+1) \eta_2 \eta_1^{-1} + \eta_3, \quad \|g^* S_{\eta_1}(a_k) g - a_k\| \leq 2 \eta_1 + \eta_2$, $g$ is a contraction, and $\|f\|_2 \leq n+1$.

**Proof.** Since $f^* = \sum_{j=1}^r f_j \leq n+1$ by condition (iii) in Remark 7.5, we have $\|f\|_2 \leq n+1$. 

Foreach \( j = 1, \ldots, r \) let \( p_j \) in \( A^{**} \) so that \( f_j p_j = f_j \) and \( \| p_j a_k - \rho_j(a_k) p_j \| \leq \eta_2 \); cf. the second estimate in (7.4). Then, using this in the third inequality and (7.5) to see the first inequality below, we get

\[
\| h^*a_k h - S^{(m)}(a_k) \| \\
\leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix}
    d_1 & \cdots & 0 \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    0 & \cdots & d_r
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
f^*a_k f - f^* f \\
0 & \cdots & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\rho_j(a_k) & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \rho_j(a_k)
\end{pmatrix} \right\| + \eta_3
\leq 2\eta_1^{-1} \left\| f^* f \begin{pmatrix}
    a_k & \cdots & 0 \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    0 & \cdots & a_k
\end{pmatrix} - f^* f \begin{pmatrix}
    \rho_j(a_k) & \cdots & 0 \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    0 & \cdots & \rho_j(a_k)
\end{pmatrix} \right\| + \eta_3
= 2\eta_1^{-1} \left\| f^* f \begin{pmatrix}
p_1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & p_r
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\rho_j(a_k) & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \rho_j(a_k)
\end{pmatrix} \right\| + \eta_3
\leq 2\eta_1^{-1} \| f^* f \| \| \eta_2 + \eta_3 \leq 2(n+1) \eta_2 \eta_1^{-1} + \eta_3.
\]

Next, \( g^* g = \sum_{j=1}^r g_j \leq 1 \), so \( g \) is a contraction. We have \( g_j(f_j - 2\eta_1) = (1 - 2\eta_1) g_j \) because \( f_j g_j = g_j \) and \( \eta_1 \leq 1/2 \) by the assumptions in Remark 7.5. Hence,

\[
g^* S^{(m)}(a_k) g = \sum_{j=1}^r \rho_j(a_k) g_j^{1/2} (f_j - 2\eta_1)^+ g_j^{1/2} = (1 - 2\eta_1) \sum_{j=1}^r \rho_j(a_k) g_j.
\]

This and the first estimate in (7.4) yield \( \| g^* S^{(m)}(a_k) g - a_k \| \leq 2\eta_1 + \eta_2. \)

**Lemma 7.7.** Let \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \) be commuting positive elements in a strongly purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \). Then for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and for each \( m \) in \( \mathbb{N} \) there exists \( b \) in \( M_m(A) \) such that

\[
\left\| b^* \begin{pmatrix}
a_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{pmatrix} b - \begin{pmatrix}
a_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & a_k & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a_k
\end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \varepsilon,
\]

for \( k = 1, \ldots, n \) (where \( a_k \) is repeated \( m \) times in the second matrix).
Proof. Upon replacing \( \varepsilon \) and the elements \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) with \( \lambda \varepsilon \) and \( \lambda a_1, \ldots, \lambda a_n \) for some positive real number \( \lambda \) we may assume that each \( a_k \) is a contraction and that \( \varepsilon < 1 \).

Apply Remark 7.5 and Lemma 7.6 with

\[
\eta_1 = \varepsilon/4, \quad \eta_2 = \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon^3}{320(n+1)^2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right\}, \quad \eta_3 = \varepsilon^2/40.
\]

Then by Lemma 7.6,

\[
\| h^* a_k h - S_{\varepsilon/4} (a_k) \| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{20}, \quad \| g^* S_{\varepsilon/2} (a_k) g^{-1} a_k \| \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

(7.6)

Because \( A \) is purely infinite we have \( (f_j - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_m \precsim (f_j - \varepsilon/2)_+ \), so by Lemma 2.4(ii) and (2.1) there are \( t_1, \ldots, t_r \) in \( M_{1,m}(A) \) with

\[
t_j^* (f_j - \varepsilon/4)_+ t_j = (f_j - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_m, \quad \|t_j\|^2 \leq 5/\varepsilon.
\]

Put

\[
t = \begin{pmatrix}
  t_1 & \cdots & 0_{1,m} \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  0_{1,m} & \cdots & t_r
\end{pmatrix} \in M_{r,m}(A).
\]

Then \( \|t\|^2 \leq 5/\varepsilon \) and

\[
t^* \begin{pmatrix}
  \alpha_1 (f_1 - \varepsilon/4)_+ & \cdots & 0 \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  0 & \cdots & \alpha_r (f_r - \varepsilon/4)_+
\end{pmatrix} t
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
  \alpha_1 (f_1 - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_m & \cdots & 0 \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  0 & \cdots & \alpha_r (f_r - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_m
\end{pmatrix}
\]
for all complex numbers $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$. Taking $u$ in $M_m(C)$ to be the permutation unitary which implements the natural isomorphism from $M_r(M_m) \cong M_m \otimes M_r$ onto $M_r(M_r) \cong M_r \otimes M_m$ we get

$$u^*t^* \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(f_1 - \varepsilon/4) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \alpha_r(f_r - \varepsilon/4) \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1_m,$$

and in particular, $u^*t^*S_{r/2}(c) tu = S_{r/2}(c) \otimes 1_m$ for all $c$ in $D$, which by the first inequality in (7.6) implies that

$$\|u^*t^*S_{r/2}(c) tu - S_{r/2}(c) \otimes 1_m\| \leq \varepsilon/4 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \ldots, n. \quad (7.7)$$

Put $b_0 = htu(g \otimes 1_m) \in M_{1,m}(A)$. By (7.7) and the second inequality in (7.6) we get the estimate,

$$\|b_0^*a_kb_0 - a_k \otimes 1_m\| \leq \|(g^* \otimes 1_m)(u^*t^*a_khtu - (S_{r/2}(a_k) \otimes 1_m))(g \otimes 1_m)\| + \|(g^* \otimes 1_m)(S_{r/2}(a_k) \otimes 1_m)(g \otimes 1_m) - a_k \otimes 1_m\|$$

$$\leq \|u^*t^*a_khtu - S_{r/2}(a_k) \otimes 1_m\| + \|g^*S_{r/2}(a_k) g - a_k\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Taking $b$ in $M_m(A)$ to be the matrix whose first row is $b_0$ and whose other rows are zero, the lemma is proved. 

**Proposition 7.8.** Let $A$ be a strongly purely infinite $C^*$-algebra.

(i) Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ be commuting positive elements in $A$. For each natural number $m$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a contraction $b$ in $M_m(A)$ such that

$$\left\| b^* \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} b - \begin{pmatrix} a_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_k \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for $k = 1, \ldots, n$ (where $a_k$ is repeated $m$ times in the second matrix).

(ii) Let $\tau$ be a free filter on $\mathbb{N}$. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ be commuting, positive elements in $A_m$, and let $m$ be a natural number. Then there exists a contraction $b$ in $M_m(A_m)$ such that
for \(k = 1, \ldots, n\) (where \(a_k\) is repeated \(m\) times in the second matrix).

Proof. (i) Lemma 7.7 says that the completely positive contraction 
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
a_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a_k
\end{pmatrix}
\] 
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
a_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & a_k & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & a_k
\end{pmatrix}
\] 

for \(k = 1, \ldots, n\) (where \(a_k\) is repeated \(m\) times in the second matrix).

Proof. (i) Lemma 7.7 says that the completely positive contraction 
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\text{diag}(a_1, \ldots, 0) \\
\text{diag}(a, \ldots, a)
\end{pmatrix}
\] 
where \(a\) belongs to the abelian \(C^\ast\)-algebra generated by \(a_1, \ldots, a_n\), is approximately 1-step inner relatively to \(M_m(A)\). It therefore follows from Lemma 7.2 that we can approximate this completely positive contraction by 1-step inner maps implemented by contractions \(b\).

(ii) This follows from (i), Proposition 5.12, and Lemma 2.5.

For any positive element \(a\) in a purely infinite \(C^\ast\)-algebra \(A\), for any \(m\) in \(\mathbb{N}\), and for every \(\varepsilon > 0\), one can find \(d\) in \(M_{1, m}(A)\) such that \(\|d^* ad - a \otimes 1_m\| \leq \varepsilon\). It is, however, not known to us if one always can choose \(d\) to be a contraction. One of the offsprings of Proposition 7.8 above is that \(d\) can be chosen to be a contraction in a strongly purely infinite \(C^\ast\)-algebra.

**Lemma 7.9.** Let \(D\) be a \(C^\ast\)-algebra, let \(a\) be a positive element in \(D\), and let \(d\) be a contraction in \(D\). Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i) \(d\) commutes with \(a\), and \(d^* da = a\),

(ii) \(d^* ad = a\) and \(d^* a^2 d = a^2\).

Proof. (ii) \(\Rightarrow\) (i). Set \(x = ad - da\). Then
\[
x^* x = d^* a^2 d - d^* ada - ad^* ad + ad^* da = ad^* da - a^2 \leq 0
\]
(because \(d\) is a contraction). This shows that \(x\) must be zero. Hence \(d\) commutes with \(a\), and \(d^* da = d^* ad = a\). (i) \(\Rightarrow\) (ii) is trivial.

**Lemma 7.10.** Suppose that \(A\) is a strongly purely infinite \(C^\ast\)-algebra. Let \(\omega\) be a free filter on \(\mathbb{N}\), and let \(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\) be a set of commuting normal elements in \(A_\omega\). Then for each natural number \(m\) there are contractions \(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m\) in \(A_\omega \cap \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}^\prime\) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
r_i^* r_j & = \delta_{ij} r_i^* r_1, \\
r_i^* r_1 a_k & = a_k, \quad \text{for all } i, j, k.
\end{align*}
\]
Proof. We can without loss of generality assume that each $a_j$ is positive. Use Lemma 2.5 to find a positive contraction $e$ in $A_w$ such that $ea_k = a_ke = a_k$. Given $m$, take a contraction $b$ in $M_m(A_w)$ as in Proposition 7.8(ii) with respect to the set

$$\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, a_1^2, a_2^2, \ldots, a_n^2, e, e^2\}.$$ 

We can assume that $b$ is a row matrix, i.e., that

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_m \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Then each $b_j$ is a contraction, $b_j^*a_jb_j = \delta_{ij}a_k$, and $b_j^*a_k^2b_j = \delta_{ij}a_k^2$. Each $b_j$ belongs to $A_w \cap \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ and $b_j^*b_ja_k = \delta_{ij}a_k$ by Lemma 7.9. Similarly we find that each $b_j$ commutes with $e$, that $b_j^*be = e$, and that $b_j^*eb_j = \delta_{ij}e$. Set $r_j = b_je^{1/2}$. Then each $r_j$ is a contraction in $A_w \cap \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ and $r_j^*r_j = \delta_{ij}e$. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 7.11. Let $D$ and $E$ be $C^*$-algebras, and let $S: D \to E$ and $T: E \to D$ be completely positive contractions.

(i) If $a$ is a positive element in $D$ such that $\|S(a^2) - S(a)^2\| \leq e$, then $\|S(ab) - S(a)S(b)\| \leq e^{1/2}$ and $\|S(ba) - S(b)S(a)\| \leq e^{1/2}$ for all contractions $b$ in $D$.

(ii) If $a$ is a positive element in $E$ such that $\|S(T(a)) - a\| \leq e$ and $\|S(T(a^2)) - a^2\| \leq e$; then $\|S(T(a)^2) - S(T(a))^2\| \leq (1 + 2\|a\|)e$.

Proof. (i) By Stinespring’s theorem we can find a representation of $E$ on a Hilbert space $H$, a $^*$-homomorphism $\pi: D \to B(H)$, and a projection $p$ on $H$ such that $S(d) = \pi(p(d))p$, when viewing $E$ as a sub-$C^*$-algebra of $B(H)$. Define $G(x) = (1 - p)\pi(x)p$ for $x$ in $D$. Then $S(y^*x) - S(y)^*S(x) = G(y)^*G(x)$ for all $x, y \in D$ and $\|G(b)\| \leq \|b\| \leq 1$. Hence

$$\|S(ab) - S(a)S(b)\|^2 \leq \|G(a)\|^2 \|G(b)\|^2$$

$$\leq \|G(a)^*G(a)\| \leq \|S(a^2) - S(a)^2\| \leq e.$$ 

The second inequality follows by replacing $b$ by $b^*$.

(ii) Any completely positive contraction $V$ between two $C^*$-algebras satisfies the inequality $V(x)^*V(x) \leq V(x^*x)$. (This can, for example, be...
proved using Stinespring’s theorem as in the proof of (i) above. Using this fact twice together with the estimate \[\|S(T(a)^2) - a^2\| \leq 2 \|a\| \varepsilon\] yields
\[
0 \leq S(T(a)^2) - S(T(a))^2 \leq S(T(a^2)) - S(T(a))^2 \\
\leq (a^2 + \varepsilon \cdot 1) - (a^2 - 2 \|a\| \varepsilon \cdot 1) = (1 + 2 \|a\|) \varepsilon.
\]
This proves (ii).

**Lemma 7.12.** Suppose that \(A\) is a strongly purely infinite \(C^*\)-algebra. Let \(\omega\) be a free filter on \(\mathbb{N}\), let \(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\) be a set of commuting normal elements in \(A_\omega\), and let \(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m\) be elements in \(A_\omega\). Then there exists a contraction \(d\) in \(A_\omega\) with
\[
d^*a_k = a_k, \quad [d, a_k] = 0, \quad [d^*b, a_k] = 0, \quad [d^*b^*d, b^*b_d] = 0
\]
for all \(i, j,\) and \(k\).

**Proof.** It is no loss of generality to assume that all \(a_k\) and all \(b_j\) are positive contractions. Next, it suffices to prove the lemma in the case \(m = 1\). Indeed, for \(m \geq 2\) use the case \(m = 1\) to find a contraction \(d_1\) satisfying
\[
d_1^*a_k = a_k, \quad [d_1, a_k] = 0, \quad [d_1^*b_1 d_1, a_k] = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.
\]
Recall that \(d_1^*a_1 d_1 = a_k\) (by Lemma 7.9). Then repeat the process on the \(n + 1\) commuting elements \(a_1, \ldots, a_n, a_{n+1} = d_1^*b_1 d_1\) and on \(b_1 = d_1^*b_2 d_1\) to obtain a contraction \(d_2\) satisfying
\[
d_2^*a_k = a_k, \quad [d_2, a_k] = 0, \quad [d_2^*b_1 d_2, a_k] = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n + 1.
\]
After \(m\) such steps we have found contractions \(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m\), and \(d = d_1 d_2 \cdots d_m\) will then be as desired.

Assume accordingly that \(m = 1\) and that \(b = b_1\) is a positive contraction. By Lemma 7.9 it suffices to find a contraction \(d\) in \(A_\omega\) such that \(d^*a_k d = a_k\), \(d^*a_k^2 d = a_k^2\), and \([d^*bd, a_k] = 0\) for all \(k\). By Lemma 2.5 it suffices to show that for each \(\varepsilon > 0\) there is a contraction \(d\) in \(A_\omega\) such that
\[
\|d^*a_k d - a_k\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \|[d^*bd, a_k]\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n, \quad v = 1, 2. \ (7.8)
\]
We may assume that \(\varepsilon \leq 1/2\).

We apply Remark 7.5 and Lemma 7.6 with \(A_\omega\) in the place of \(A\), with \(\eta_1 = \varepsilon/4, \eta_3 = 0\), with \(\eta_2 > 0\) chosen such that
\[
2\sqrt{3\eta_2 + 2\eta_2} \leq \varepsilon, \quad 64(2n + 1) \varepsilon^{-2} \eta_2^{1/2} + \varepsilon/2 + \eta_2 \leq \varepsilon,
\]
and with \{a_1, ..., a_n, a_1^2, ..., a_n^2\} in the place of the set \{a_1, ..., a_n\}. Hence \(n\) (from Remark 7.5) is replaced with \(2n\). In Remark 7.5 we chose positive contractions \(f_1, ..., f_r, g_1, ..., g_r\) in the abelian \(C^*\)-algebra \(D\) generated by \(a_1, ..., a_n\). By making a new choice of these positive contractions (if necessary) we can find a third set of positive contractions \(k_1, ..., k_r\) in \(D\) with \(f^*k_j = k_j\) and \(k_jg_j = g_j\) for \(i = 1, ..., r\).

With \(h_j\) as in Remark 7.5 we have \(h^*_j h_j = \delta_j(f_j - \varepsilon/4)_+\) by (7.5) because \(\eta_1 = 0\). In particular, \(h^*_j b h_j \leq h^*_j h_j = (f_j - \varepsilon/4)_+\) because \(b\) is a contraction. Apply Lemma 5.13 to the positive matrix
\[
h^* b h + \begin{pmatrix}
(f_1 - \varepsilon/4)_+ - h^*_j h_j & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & (f_r - \varepsilon/4)_+ - h^*_j h_j
\end{pmatrix}
\]
to obtain positive elements \(e_1, ..., e_r\) in \(A_w\) such that
\[
e_j (f_j - \varepsilon/4)_+ e_j = (f_j - \varepsilon/2)_+,
\]
and so \(d\) is a contraction. We proceed to verify (7.8).

Let \(g \in M_r(A_w)\) and \(h \in M_1(A_w)\) be as in Remark 7.5 and define \(R\): \(M_r(A_w) \to A_w\) and \(T: A_w \to M_r(A_w)\) by
\[
R(x) = g^* x g, \quad T(y) = \begin{pmatrix}
k_1 e^*_1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & k_r e^*_r
\end{pmatrix} h^* y h \begin{pmatrix}
e_1 k_1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & e_r k_r
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The \((ij)\)th entry of \(T(b)\) is given by
\[
(T(b))_{ij} = k_i e^*_i h^*_j b h_j e_j k_j = \begin{cases}
k_i e^*_i h^*_j b h_j e_j k_j, & \text{if } i = j \\
0, & \text{if } i \neq j.
\end{cases}
\]
We conclude that

\[ R(T(b)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^r g_{ij}^{1/2} (T(b))_{ij} g_{ij}^{1/2} = \sum_{j=1}^r g_{jj}^{1/2} e_j^* h_j^* e_j g_{jj}^{1/2} = d^* bd, \]

because \( g_{jj}^{1/2} k_j = g_{jj}^{1/2} \).

For each \( \delta \geq 0 \) consider the map \( S_\delta : D \to M_r(D) \) from Remark 7.5. The map \( S = S_0 \) is given by

\[ S(c) = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \rho_{x_1}(c) f_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \rho_{x_r}(c) f_r \end{array} \right), \quad c \in D. \]

Use this, the expression for \( (T(b))_{ij} \), and that \( f_j k_j = k_j \) to see that \( S(c) T(b) = T(b) S(c) \) for all \( c \) in \( D \). Because \( g_{jj}^{1/2} f_j g_{jj}^{1/2} = g_j \) we get \( R(S(c)) = \sum_{j=1}^r \rho_{x_j}(c) g_j \) for all \( c \) in \( D \). Hence \( \|R(S(a_k^2)) - a_k^2\| \leq \eta_2 \) for all \( k \) and for \( v = 1, 2 \) by (7.4). Lemma 7.11(ii) now yields

\[ \|R(S(a_k^2) - R(S(a_k)))\| \leq (1 + 2\|a_k\|) \eta_2 \leq 3\eta_2, \]

whence

\[ \|R(S(a_k) T(b)) - R(S(a_k)) R(T(b))\| \leq \sqrt{3\eta_2}, \]
\[ \|R(T(b) S(a_k)) - R(T(b)) R(S(a_k))\| \leq \sqrt{3\eta_2}, \]

by Lemma 7.11(i). Recalling that \( S(a_k) \) commutes with \( T(b) \) and that \( R(T(b)) = d^* bd \), this leads to the estimate \( \|R(S(a_k)) d^* bd - d^* bd R(S(a_k))\| \leq 2 \sqrt{3\eta_2} \). Since \( \|R(S(a_k)) - a_k\| \leq \eta_2 \) we get

\[ \|[d^* bd, a_k]\| \leq 2 \sqrt{3\eta_2} + 2\eta_2 \leq e, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n, \]

by the choice of \( \eta_2 \). We have

\[ d^* cd = g^* \left( \begin{array}{cccc} e_1^* & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_r^* \end{array} \right) h^* ch \left( \begin{array}{cccc} e_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_r \end{array} \right) g, \]

g^* S(a_k^2) g = g^* \left( \begin{array}{cccc} e_1^* & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_r^* \end{array} \right) S(a_k^2) \left( \begin{array}{cccc} e_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_r \end{array} \right) g,
for all $c$ in $D$. From Lemma 7.6 we know that
\[ \| h^*S_{i/4}(a'_i) - a'_i \| < 8e^{-1}(2n+1) \eta_2, \quad \| g^*S_{i/3}(a'_i) - a'_i \| < \eta_2 \]
for $k = 1, \ldots, n$ and for $n = 1, 2$. By the choice of $\eta_2$ this shows that
\[ \| d^*a'_i d - a'_i \| < \| d^*a'_i d - g^*S_{i/3}(a'_i) g \| + \| g^*S_{i/3}(a'_i) g - a'_i \| 
\leq 8e^{-1} \| h^*S_{i/4}(a'_i) - a'_i \| + \eta_2 \]
\[ \leq 64(2n+1) e^{-2} \eta_2 + \eta_2 \leq e, \]
for $k = 1, \ldots, n$ and $n = 1, 2$. We have now established (7.8). 

**Proposition 7.13** (Extension). Let $A$ be a strongly purely infinite $C^*$-algebra, let $\omega$ be a free filter on $\mathbb{N}$, let $B$ be a separable sub-$C^*$-algebra of $A_\omega$, and let $C$ be an abelian sub-$C^*$-algebra of $B$. It follows that there is a $1$-step inner completely positive contraction $T: B \to A_\omega$ such that the image of $T$ is contained in an abelian sub-$C^*$-algebra of $A_\omega$ and such that $T(c) = c$ for all $c$ in $C$.

**Proof.** Choose countable subsets $\{b_1, b_2, \ldots\}$ and $\{c_1, c_2, \ldots\}$ of positive contractions in $B$, respectively, $C$, which span dense subspaces of $B$ and $C$, respectively.

By Lemma 7.12 there is for each natural number $n$ a contraction $d_n$ in $A_\omega$ such that
\[ d^*_n c_i d_n = c_i, \quad [d^*_n b_j d_n, c_k] = 0, \quad [d^*_n b_j d_n, d^*_n b_k d_n] = 0 \]
for all $i, j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. (7.9)

Lemma 2.5 then shows that there is a contraction $d$ in $A_\omega$ such that (7.9) holds for all $i, j, k$ in $\mathbb{N}$. Now, the completely positive contraction given by $T(b) = d^*bd$ has the desired properties. 

**Proposition 7.14.** Let $A$ be a strongly purely infinite $C^*$-algebra.

(i) Let $\omega$ be a free filter on $\mathbb{N}$, let $B$ be a separable sub-$C^*$-algebra of $A_\omega$, and let $V: B \to A_\omega$ be an approximately inner, completely positive contraction whose image is contained in an abelian sub-$C^*$-algebra of $A_\omega$. It follows that $V$ is $1$-step inner relatively to $A_\omega$.

(ii) Let $B$ be a separable sub-$C^*$-algebra of $\mathcal{M}(A)$, the multiplier algebra of $A$, and let $V: B \to A$ be an approximately inner completely positive contraction whose image is contained in an abelian sub-$C^*$-algebra of $A$. Then $V$ is approximately $1$-step inner relatively to $A$. 

244 KIRCHBERG AND RØRDAM
Proof. We prove (i) and (ii) simultaneously. It suffices to show that if $B$ is either a separable subalgebra of $A_w$ or of $\mathcal{M}(A)$, if $V: B \to A_w$ is approximately inner (relatively to $A_w$), then $V$ is 1-step inner relatively to $A_w$. Because if $V: B \to A$ is 1-step inner relatively to $A_w$, then $V$ is approximately 1-step inner relatively to $A$ by Lemma 7.3(i).

Choose a set $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots\}$ of positive elements that span a dense subset of $B$. By Lemma 2.5 it suffices, for each $n$ and for each $\epsilon > 0$, to find a contraction $f$ in $A_w$ such that $\|V(b_k) - f^* b_k f\| \leq \epsilon$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. By assumption there is an $m$-step inner completely positive contraction $W: B \to A_w$ such that $\|V(b_k) - W(b_k)\| \leq \epsilon$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Find $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m$ in $A_w$ such that $W(b) = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i b d_i$.

By Lemma 7.12 there is a contraction $d$ in $A_w$ such that each pair of elements in the finite set $\{d^* d_i b_d d_i : i = 1, \ldots, m, k = 1, \ldots, n\}$ commute, and such that $d^* V(b_k) d = V(b_k)$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$; cf. Lemma 7.9.

In particular, each $d^* d_i b_d d_i$ is normal. Use Lemma 7.10 to find contractions $r_1, \ldots, r_m$ in $A_w$ commuting with each $d^* d_i b_d d_i$, and such that $r_j^* d^* d_i b_d d_i r_j = \delta_{ij} d^* d_i b_d d_i$ (cf. Lemma 7.9). Put $f = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j d_j$. Then $f^* b_k f = \sum_{i,j=1}^m r_j^* d^* d_i b_d d_i r_j = \sum_{j=1}^m d^* d_i b_d d_i d = d^* W(b_k) d, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.$

As $\|W(b_k) - V(b_k)\| \leq \epsilon$, and $d$ is a contraction satisfying $d^* V(b_k) d = V(b_k)$, we conclude that $\|V(b_k) - f^* b_k f\| \leq \epsilon$. 

Definition 7.15. For each pair of $C^*$-algebras $A$ and $B$ such that $B \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A)$, define $\mathcal{C}_0(B, A)$ to be the set of all approximately inner completely positive maps from $B$ to $A$ whose image is contained in an abelian sub-$C^*$-algebra of $A$. Define $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$ to be the set of all completely positive maps $T: B \to A$ such that

$$T(b) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_j^* T_0(c_i^* b c_i) a_i, \quad b \in B \tag{7.10}$$

for some natural number $n$, for some $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ in $\mathcal{M}(A)$, for some $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ in $\mathcal{M}(B)$, and for some $T_0$ in $\mathcal{C}_0(B, A)$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ denote the pointwise-norm closure of $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$.

Let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra such that its multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(A)$ contains a unital copy of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$. Each stable $C^*$-algebra has this property, and if $A$ is stable and $\omega$ is any filter on $\mathbb{N}$, then $A_\omega$ has this property. Indeed, there is a unital embedding of $B(H)$, where $H$ is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space, into $\mathcal{M}(A)$ and there is a unital embedding...
of \( C_2 \) into \( B(H) \). Also, there is a (canonical) unital embedding of \( \mathcal{M}(A) \) into \( \mathcal{M}(A_u) \).

By assumption there are isometries \( s_1, s_2 \) in \( \mathcal{M}(A) \) satisfying the Cuntz relation: \( s_1s_1^* + s_2s_2^* = 1 \). Fixing two such isometries \( s_1, s_2 \) we can for each \( C^* \)-algebra \( B \) define the Cuntz sum of two maps \( T_1, T_2: B \to A \) by

\[
(T_1 \oplus T_2)(b) = s_1T_1(b) + s_2T_2(b), \quad b \in B. \tag{7.11}
\]

The operation \( \oplus \) depends on the choice of \( s_1, s_2 \), but only up to unitary equivalence; \( \oplus \) is not associative, but \( (T_1 \oplus T_2) \oplus T_3 = T_1 \oplus (T_2 \oplus T_3) \). With this in mind, define inductively \( T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_n \) to be \( (T_1 \oplus T_2) \oplus T_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_n \). Then

\[
(T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_n)(b) = s_{1,n}T_1(b) + \cdots + s_{n,n}T_n(b). \tag{7.12}
\]

for some isometries \( s_{1,n}, \ldots, s_{n,n} \) in \( A_u \) satisfying \( 1 = s_{1,n}s_{1,n}^* + \cdots + s_{n,n}s_{n,n}^* \).

**Lemma 7.16.** Let \( A \) and \( B \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A) \) be \( C^* \)-algebras and assume that there is a unital embedding of \( C_2 \) into \( \mathcal{M}(A) \). Then the following holds:

(i) If \( T_1, T_2 \) belong to \( \mathcal{E}(B, A) \), then so does their Cuntz sum \( T_1 \oplus T_2 \).

(ii) If \( T_1, \ldots, T_n \) belong to \( \mathcal{E}(B, A) \), if \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) are elements in \( \mathcal{M}(A) \), then \( T: B \to A \) given by \( T(b) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^* T_j(b) a_j \) belongs to \( \mathcal{E}(B, A) \). In particular, \( \mathcal{E}(B, A) \) is a cone.

(iii) If \( T \) belongs to \( \mathcal{E}(B, A) \), if \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) belong to \( \mathcal{M}(A) \), and \( c_1, \ldots, c_n \) belong to \( \mathcal{M}(B) \), then the mapping \( S: B \to A \), given by \( S(b) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i^* T(c_i^* b c_j) a_j \), belongs to \( \mathcal{E}(B, A) \).

Straightforward continuity considerations show that Lemma 7.16 holds with \( \mathcal{E}(B, A) \) replaced by \( \mathcal{E}(A, B) \).

**Proof.** (i) Suppose first that \( T_1, T_2 \) belong to \( \mathcal{E}_0(B, A) \). The image of \( T_j \) is then contained in an abelian sub-\( C^* \)-algebra \( D_j \) of \( A \). Now, \( D = s_1D_1s_1^* + s_2D_2s_2^* \) is an abelian sub-\( C^* \)-algebra of \( A \) which contains the image of \( T_1 \oplus T_2 \). It is easy to check that \( T_1 \oplus T_2 \) is approximately inner. Hence \( T_1 \oplus T_2 \) belongs to \( \mathcal{E}_0(B, A) \). Assume next that \( T_1, T_2 \) belong to \( \mathcal{E}(B, A) \). Then

\[
T_k(b) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{j,k}^* S_k(c_{j,k}^* b c_{i,k}) a_{i,k}
\]

for suitable \( a_{j,k} \) in \( \mathcal{M}(A) \), \( c_{j,k} \) in \( \mathcal{M}(B) \), and with \( S_1, S_2 \) in \( \mathcal{E}_0(B, A) \). We saw above that \( S = S_1 \oplus S_2 \) belongs to \( \mathcal{E}_0(B, A) \). The Cuntz relation for the isometries \( s_1, s_2 \) implies that \( s_1^* S(b) s_1 = \delta_{k,k} S(b) \). Hence
\[(T_1 \oplus T_2)(b) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{s_k} s_k a^*_{k,i} S_k(c^*_{k,b} b c_{k,i}) a_{i,k}^* \]
\[= \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{s_k} s_k a^*_{k,i} S_k(c^*_{k,b} b c_{k,i}) s_j a_{i,k}^* r_j^*, \]
and it follows from the latter expression that \(T_1 \oplus T_2\) belongs to \(\mathcal{C}(B,A)\).

(ii) In the notation of (ii) and (7.12), put \(a = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} s_j a_j \in \mathcal{M}(A)\).

Then \(T(b) = a^* (T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_n)(b) a\).

From (i) we know that \(T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_n\) belongs to \(\mathcal{C}(B,A)\). It is now clear from (7.10) that \(T\) belongs to \(\mathcal{C}(B,A)\).

(iii) Let \(S, T\) be as stipulated. Find \(R\) in \(\mathcal{C}(B,A)\), elements \(e_1, \ldots, e_m\) in \(\mathcal{M}(A)\), and elements \(f_1, \ldots, f_m\) in \(\mathcal{M}(B)\) such that
\[T(b) = \sum_{k,l=1}^{m} e_k^* R(f_k^* b f_l) e_l, \quad b \in B.\]

Then
\[S(b) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_j^* T(c^*_{b} b c_{i}) a_i = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \sum_{k,l=1}^{m} a_j^* e_k^* R(f_k^* c^*_{b} b c_{i}) e_l a_i.\]

This expression conforms with (7.10), and so \(S\) belongs to \(\mathcal{C}(B,A)\). \(\blacksquare\)

The two following (well known) facts, formulated as a lemma, are used in the proof of Lemma 7.18 below. If \(\rho\) is a positive functional on a \(C^*\)-algebra \(D\) and if \(d\) is an element in \(D\), then \(d^* \rho d\) denotes the positive functional on \(D\) given by \((d^* \rho d)(x) = \rho(d^* x d)\).

**Lemma 7.17.** Let \(D\) be a \(C^*\)-algebra.

(i) Let \(f_1, \ldots, f_n\) be elements in the dual of \(D\). Then there is a cyclic representation \(\pi\) of \(D\) on some Hilbert space \(H\), a cyclic vector \(\xi\) in \(H\), and elements \(c_1, \ldots, c_n\) in \(\pi(D)' \cap B(H)\) such that \(f_j(d) = \langle \pi(d) \xi, c_j^* \xi \rangle\) for all \(d\) in \(D\).

(ii) Suppose that \(\mathcal{K}\) is a weak-* closed sub-cone of the cone of all positive linear functionals on \(D\), and suppose that \(d^* \rho d\) belongs to \(\mathcal{K}\) for every \(d\) in \(D\) and for every \(\rho\) in \(\mathcal{K}\). Let \(J\) be the set of all \(d\) in \(D\) such that \(\rho(d^* d) = 0\) for all \(\rho\) in \(\mathcal{K}\). Then \(J\) is a closed two-sided ideal in \(D\); and if \(\rho_0\) is a positive functional on \(D\) such that \(\rho_0(d^* d) = 0\) for all \(d\) in \(J\), then \(\rho_0\) belongs to \(\mathcal{K}\).
Proof. (i) Since each element in the dual of $D$ is a linear combination of positive functionals on $D$ it suffices to consider the case where each $f_j$ is a positive. Put $f = f_1 + \cdots + f_n$, and let $(\pi, H, \xi)$ be the GNS-representation with respect to $f$, so that $f(d) = \langle \pi(d) \xi, \xi \rangle$ for all $d \in D$. By (a linear version of) Sakai’s Radon–Nikodym theorem (see [12, Proposition 7.3.5]) there are elements $c_j$ in $B(H) \cap \pi(D)'$ such that $f_j(d) = \langle c_j \pi(d) \xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \pi(d) \xi, c_j^* \xi \rangle$ for all $d \in D$.

(ii) The set $J$ is a closed left-ideal of $D$ (because the left-kernel of each $\rho$ in $\mathcal{K}$ is a closed left-ideal). Also, for each $z$ in $J$, for each $d$ in $D$, and for each $\rho$ in $\mathcal{K}$ we have $\rho((zd)^*) (zd) = (d^* \rho d)(z^* z) = 0$ because $d^* \rho d$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}$. This proves that $zd$ belongs to $J$, and hence that $J$ is a closed two-sided ideal in $D$.

To prove the second part of (ii) we may assume that $J = 0$. (Otherwise just replace $A$ by $A/J$ and view $\rho_0$ and each functional in $\mathcal{K}$ as a functional on $A/J$.) Suppose that $\rho_0$ does not belong to $\mathcal{K}$. Then, by the Hahn–Banach theorem and the characterization of weak-* continuous linear mappings on the dual space of $A$ as being evaluation maps $\rho \mapsto \rho(a)$ for some $a$ in $A$, there is an element $a$ in $A$ such that $\rho_0(a) < 0$ and $\rho(a) \geq 0$ for all $\rho$ in $\mathcal{K}$. Upon replacing $a$ by $(a + a^*)/2$ we may assume that $a$ is self-adjoint. Write $a = a_+ - a_-$ where $a_+$ and $a_-$ are the positive and negative parts of $a$. Let $\{e_n\}$ be an approximate unit consisting of positive contractions for the $C^*$-algebra generated by $a_-$. Then $e_n a e_n \to -a_-$ and $e_n a e_n \leq 0$ for all $n$. For each $\rho$ in $\mathcal{K}$, $e_n^* \rho e_n$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}$, and hence

$$0 \leq (e_n^* \rho e_n)(a) = \rho(e_n a e_n) \leq 0,$$

so that $\rho(-e_n a e_n) = 0$ for $\rho$ in $\mathcal{K}$ and for all $n$. Since $-e_n a e_n$ is positive, this entails that $e_n a e_n = 0$ for all $n$, and hence that $a_- = 0$. But this contradicts the fact that $\rho_0(a) < 0$. \[\]

Lemma 7.18. Let $B$ be a separable nuclear sub-$C^*$-algebra of a stable $C^*$-algebra $A$ and suppose that for each positive $b$ in $B$ there is $V$ in $\mathcal{K}(B, A)$ such that $V(b) = b$. Then the inclusion mapping $\iota: B \to A$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}(B, A)$.

Proof. For each finite set $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ in $B$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we must find $V$ in $\mathcal{K}(B, A)$ such that $\|V(b_j) - b_j\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $j$. Equivalently, we must show that

$$(b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in \{(V(b_1), \ldots, V(b_n)): V \in \mathcal{K}(B, A)\}. \quad (7.13)$$

By a Hahn–Banach separation argument, and because the set displayed above is convex (cf. Lemma 7.16(ii)) it will suffice to show the following: For each finite set $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ in $B$, for each set $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ in $A^*$, and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $V$ in $\mathcal{K}(B, A)$ such that $|f_j(b_i) - f_j(V(b_i))| \leq \varepsilon$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. \[\]
Choose a cyclic representation \( \pi: A \rightarrow B(H) \), a cyclic vector \( \xi \) in \( H \), and elements \( c_1, \ldots, c_n \) in \( \pi(A)' \cap B(H) \) such that \( f_j(b) = \langle \pi(b) \xi, c_j^* \xi \rangle \) for all \( b \) in \( B \) and for all \( j \); cf. Lemma 7.17(i).

Let \( C \) be the sub-C*-algebra of \( B(H) \cap \pi(A)' \) generated by \( c_1, \ldots, c_n \). Keeping \( \pi \) and \( \xi \) fixed, let \( \varphi_V \) be the positive functional on \( B \otimes C \) defined by

\[
\varphi_V(b \otimes c) = \langle \pi(V(b)) \xi, c^* \xi \rangle, \quad b \in B, \ c \in C.
\]  

(A priori, \( \varphi_V \) defines a functional on the maximal tensor product \( B \otimes_{\text{max}} C \), but the maximal and the minimal tensor products on \( B \otimes C \) coincide because \( B \) is nuclear.) Let \( \mathcal{K} \) be the weak-* closure of the cone \( \{ \varphi_V : V \in \mathcal{C}(B, A) \} \).

Hence it will suffice to show that \( \varphi_i \) belongs to \( \mathcal{K} \).

We proceed to check that \( d^* \varphi_i d \) belongs to \( \mathcal{K} \) for all \( \rho \) in \( \mathcal{K} \) and for all \( d \) in \( B \otimes C \). By continuity of the maps \( \rho \mapsto d^* \rho d \) and \( d \mapsto d^* \rho d \) (with \( d \), respectively, \( \rho \) fixed) it suffices to show that \( d^* \varphi_i d \) belongs to \( \mathcal{K} \) for \( d = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \otimes y_j \) in the algebraic tensor product \( B \otimes C \) and for \( V \) in \( \mathcal{C}(B, A) \). But

\[
(d^* \varphi_i d)(b \otimes c) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varphi_i(x_i^* b x_j \otimes y_j^* c y_i)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \pi(V(x_i^* b x_j)) \xi, (y_j^* c y_i)^* \xi \rangle
\]

\[
= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \pi(V(x_i^* b x_j)) \ y_j \xi, c^* y_i \xi \rangle.
\]

The vectors \( y_j \xi \) can be approximated arbitrarily well by vectors of the form \( \pi(a_j) \xi \) for suitable elements \( a_j \) in \( A \). Let \( W: B \rightarrow A \) be given by \( W(b) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i^* V(x_i^* b x_j) \ a_j \). Then \( W \) belongs to \( \mathcal{C}(B, A) \) by Lemma 7.16(iii), and

\[
\varphi_W(b \otimes c) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \pi(V(x_i^* b x_j)) \pi(a_j) \xi, c^* \pi(a_i) \xi \rangle.
\]

We conclude that \( d^* \varphi_i d \) can be approximated in the weak-* topology by elements of the form \( \varphi_W \) with \( W \) in \( \mathcal{C}(B, A) \).

As in Lemma 7.17(ii), let \( J \) be the closed two-sided ideal in \( B \otimes C \) consisting of those elements \( z \) such that \( \varphi_V(z^* z) = 0 \) for all \( V \) in \( \mathcal{C}(B, A) \). By
Lemma 7.17(ii) it now suffices to show that \(\varphi_i(z^* x) = 0\) for all \(z\) in \(J\). It is a consequence of a theorem of Blackadar [1, Theorem 3.3], see also [13, Proposition 2.13], that \(J\) is the closed linear span of the set of elementary tensors \(x \otimes y\) in \(J\) because \(B\) is nuclear. The left kernel \(L\) of \(\varphi_i\), consisting of all \(z\) in \(B \otimes C\) such that \(\varphi_i(z^* x) = 0\), is a closed linear subspace of \(B \otimes C\), and so it suffices to show that \(\varphi_i(x^* x \otimes y^* y) = 0\) whenever \(x \in B\) and \(y \in C\) are such that \(x \otimes y\) belongs to \(J\). By assumption there is \(V_\varepsilon\) in \(\mathcal{B}(B, A)\) such that \(V_\varepsilon(x^* x) = x^* x\) for each \(x\) in \(B\). It follows that

\[
\varphi_i(x^* x \otimes y^* y) = \langle \pi(x^* x) \xi, y^* y \xi \rangle = \varphi_{V_\varepsilon}(x^* x \otimes y^* y) = 0
\]
as desired.

**Lemma 7.19.** Let \(B\) be a sub-C*-algebra of a C*-algebra \(A\) such that \(\mathcal{C}_2\) admits a unital embedding into \(\mathcal{M}(A)\) and assume that the inclusion mapping \(B \hookrightarrow A\) belongs to \(\mathcal{B}(B, A)\). Then \(\mathcal{B}(B, A)\) contains every approximately inner completely positive map from \(B\) to \(A\).

**Proof.** Because \(\mathcal{B}(B, A)\) is closed in the pointwise-norm topology, it suffices to show that each inner completely positive map \(T : B \rightarrow A\) belongs to \(\mathcal{B}(B, A)\). Let \(i : B \rightarrow A\) denote the inclusion mapping (that belongs to \(\mathcal{B}(B, A)\)), and find \(d_1, \ldots, d_n\) in \(A\) such that

\[
T(b) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j^* b d_j = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j^* i(b) d_j.
\]

It now follows from Lemma 7.16(ii) (and the remark below Lemma 7.16) that \(T\) belongs to \(\mathcal{B}(B, A)\).

**Lemma 7.20.** Let \(A\) be a C*-algebra, let \(B\) be a sub-C*-algebra of \(\mathcal{M}(A)\), and assume that every map in \(\mathcal{C}_0(B, A)\) is approximately 1-step inner. Then every map in \(\mathcal{B}(B, A)\) is approximately 1-step inner.

**Proof.** By the definition of being approximately 1-step inner, it suffices to show that every map in \(\mathcal{B}(B, A)\) is approximately 1-step inner. Take (a non-zero) \(T\) in \(\mathcal{B}(B, A)\) and find \(T_0\) in \(\mathcal{C}_0(B, A)\), \(a_1, \ldots, a_n\) in \(\mathcal{M}(A)\) and \(c_1, \ldots, c_n\) in \(\mathcal{M}(B)\) such that (7.10) holds. Put

\[
C = \max\{\|a_1\|, \|a_2\|, \ldots, \|a_n\|\}.
\]

Let \(F\) be a finite subset of \(B\) and let \(\varepsilon > 0\). Choose a positive contraction \(f\) in \(B\) such that \(\|f b f - b\| \leq \varepsilon / 2\|T\|\) for all \(b\) in \(F\). By the assumption that \(T_0\) is approximately 1-step inner, there is \(d\) in \(\mathcal{M}(A)\) such that

\[
\|T_0(c_j^* f b f c_i) - d^* c_j^* f b f c_i d\| \leq \varepsilon / (2C^2 n^2), \quad b \in F, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n.
\]
Put $e = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f c_i, d a_i \in \mathcal{M}(A)$. Then, for all $b$ in $B$,

$$\|T(b) - e^*be\| \leq \|T(b) - T(fb f)\| + \|T(fb f) - e^*be\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon / 2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \|a^*_j T_0(c^*_j f b f c_i) a_i - a^*_j d^* e^*_j f b f c_i d a_i\|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon / 2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \|a_i\| \|a\| (2C^2n^{-1} - 1) \leq \varepsilon.$$

This shows that $T$ is approximately 1-step inner. □

**Theorem 7.21.** Let $A$ be a strongly purely infinite $C^*$-algebra and let $B$ be a nuclear, separable sub-$C^*$-algebra of $A$. Then each approximately inner, completely positive map from $B$ to $A$ is approximately 1-step inner.

**Proof.** It suffices to prove the theorem in the case where $A$ is stable. Indeed, $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is strongly purely infinite by Proposition 5.11 and we may view any $C^*$-algebra $A$ as being a sub-$C^*$-algebra of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. If $V : B \to A$ is approximately inner, then clearly so is $V : B \to A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Next, if $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\omega$ is a sequence of elements in $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ satisfying $d_n^* b d_n \to V(b)$, then we will also have $g_n^* b g_n \to V(b)$, when $g_n = e_n d_n e_n \in A$ for a suitable approximate unit $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\omega$ for $A$.

Let $\omega$ be a free filter on $\mathbb{N}$ and view $A$ as a sub-$C^*$-algebra of the limit algebra $A_\omega$. By Lemma 7.3(i) it suffices to show that each approximately inner completely positive map from $B$ to $A_\omega$ is approximately 1-step inner. The inclusion mapping $1 : B \to A_\omega$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}(B, A_\omega)$ by Lemma 7.18 and Proposition 7.13, and $\mathcal{K}(B, A_\omega)$ therefore contains all approximately inner completely positive maps by Lemma 7.19. Proposition 7.14(i) says that each map in $\mathcal{K}(B, A_\omega)$ is 1-step inner. Lemma 7.20 then implies that each map in $\mathcal{K}(B, A_\omega)$—and hence each approximately inner, completely positive map from $B$ to $A_\omega$—is approximately 1-step inner. □

**Other Applications**

In the definition of strong pure infiniteness there are no assumptions made on the norm of the elements $d_1, d_2$. A norm estimate for $d_1$ and $d_2$ was proved in Lemma 5.6. Now we can show that $d_1$ and $d_2$ can be chosen to be contractions:

**Corollary 7.22.** Let $A$ be a strongly purely infinite $C^*$-algebra. For each

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)^+$$


and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there are contractions $d_1, d_2$ in $A$ such that
\[
\left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \varepsilon.
\]

If, moreover, $A = E_\omega$ for some $C^*$-algebra $E$ and for some free filter $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$, then there are contractions $d_1, d_2$ in $A$ such that
\[
\left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}.
\]

**Proof.** The second statement (concerning the case $A = E_\omega$) follows from the first statement and from Lemma 2.5. We proceed to prove the first statement of the corollary. Let $(\alpha, \beta)$ in $M_2(A)^+$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose positive contractions $e$ in $C^*(a)$ and $f$ in $C^*(b)$ such that $\|a - eae\| < \varepsilon / 2$ and $\|b - fbf\| < \varepsilon / 2$. Put $B_0 = C^*(a, b, x)$, put $B = M_2(B_0)$, and put
\[
C = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} : c_1 \in C^*(a), c_2 \in C^*(b) \right\}.
\]

Then $C$ is an abelian sub-$C^*$-algebra of the separable $C^*$-algebra $B$. Let $\omega$ be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Apply Proposition 7.13 (and its proof) to find a contraction $d$ in $B_\omega$ and an abelian sub-$C^*$-algebra $D$ of $B_\omega$ such that $d^*bd$ belongs to $D$ for all $b$ in $B$ and $d^*cd = c$ for all $c$ in $C$. Write
\[
d = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in B_\omega = M_2((B_0)_\omega).
\]

For each $c_1$ in $C^*(a)$ and for each $c_2$ in $C^*(b)$ we have
\[
\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = d^* \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = d^* \begin{pmatrix} d_{11}c_1d_{11} & d_{12}c_1d_{12} \\ d_{12}c_1d_{11} & d_{11}c_1d_{12} \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} = d^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = d^* \begin{pmatrix} d_{21}c_2d_{21} & d_{22}c_2d_{22} \\ d_{22}c_2d_{21} & d_{21}c_2d_{22} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We conclude that
\[
e^{\varepsilon/2}d_{12} = 0, \quad f^{\varepsilon/2}d_{21} = 0, \quad d^*c_1d_{11} = c_1, \quad d^*c_2d_{22} = c_2, \quad (7.15)
\]
for all $c_1 \in \mathcal{C}^*(a)$ and all $c_2 \in \mathcal{C}^*(b)$. Using this, we get
\[
d^* \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\
 f^{1/2}xe^{1/2} & 0 \end{array} \right) d = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\
 d^*_2 f^{1/2}xe^{1/2}d_{11} & 0 \end{array} \right),
\]
and this element commutes with $\text{diag}(e^{1/2}, 0)$ and with $\text{diag}(0, f^{1/2})$. Hence
\[
0 = d^*_2 f^{1/2}xe^{1/2}d_{11}e^{1/2} = f^{1/2}d^*_2 f^{1/2}xe^{1/2}d_{11}.
\]
(7.16)

Put $s = e^{1/2}d_{11}e^{1/2}$ and $t = f^{1/2}d_{22}f^{1/2}$. Then $s$ and $t$ are contractions, $t^*xs = 0$ by (7.16), and
\[
s^*as = e^{1/2}d_{11}(e^{1/2}ae^{1/2}) d_{11}e^{1/2} = eae,
\]
\[
t^*bt = f^{1/2}d^*_2 f^{1/2}(f^{1/2}bf^{1/2}) d_{22}f^{1/2} = fbf
\]
by (7.15). Write
\[
 s = \pi_n(s_1, s_2, \ldots), \quad t = \pi_n(t_1, t_2, \ldots),
\]
where $s_n$ and $t_n$ are contractions in $B_0 \subseteq A$. Then
\[
\limsup_{\omega} \|t^*_nxs_n\| = 0, \quad \limsup_{\omega} \|s^*_n as_n - a\| < \varepsilon/2,
\]
\[
\limsup_{\omega} \|t^*_nbt_n - b\| < \varepsilon/2.
\]
We can therefore find $n$ such that $\|t^*_nxs_n\| \leq \varepsilon/2; \|s^*_n as_n - a\| \leq \varepsilon/2$, and $\|t^*_nbt_n - b\| \leq \varepsilon/2$, and we can take $d_1 = s_n$ and $d_2 = t_n$. \lozenge

8. TENSOR PRODUCTS WITH $\mathcal{O}_\infty$.

Corollary 8.3 below gives a McDuff type description of $\mathcal{C}^*$-algebras $A$ that satisfy $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$. This corollary is proved in [13] by the first named author. For the convenience of the reader, we give here the proof in a version taken almost verbatim from [19, Chap. 7] (a work in progress at the time when this was written).

**Proposition 8.1 (An Approximate Intertwining).** Let $A$ and $B$ be separable $\mathcal{C}^*$-algebras and let $\varphi: A \to B$ be an injective *-homomorphism. Suppose that there is a sequence $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of unitaries in $\mathcal{M}(B)$ such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|v_n \varphi(a) - \varphi(a) v_n\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \text{dist}(v^*_n bv_n, \varphi(A)) = 0,
\]
for all $a$ in $A$ and all $b$ in $B$. Then $A$ and $B$ are isomorphic, and there is an isomorphism $\psi: A \to B$ which is approximately unitarily equivalent to $\varphi$. 
Proof. Let \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots\} and \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots\} be (countable) dense subsets of \(A\), respectively, of \(B\). Passing to a subsequence of \(\{v_n\}\) we can inductively select unitaries \(v_n\) in \(M(B)\) and elements \(a_n, j\) in \(A\) such that
\[
\|v_n^* (v_{n-1}^* \cdots v_1^* b_j v_1 \cdots v_{n-1}) v_n - \varphi(a_n)\| \leq 1/n,
\]
\[
|\varphi(a_n) - \varphi(a_n)| v_n| \leq 2^{-n},
\]
for \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\) and \(m = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\). Being a limit of a Cauchy sequence, \[
\psi(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n \varphi(a) v_n^* \cdots v_1^*
\]
exists for all \(a\) in \(\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots\}\), and hence for all \(a\) in \(A\); and \(\psi : A \to B\) is a *-homomorphism. Clearly \(\psi\) is injective, because \(\|\psi(a_j)\| = \|a_j\|\) for all \(j\), and \(\psi\) is approximately unitarily equivalent to \(\varphi\). Observe that
\[
\|\psi(a_j) - v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n \varphi(a_n) v_n^* \cdots v_1^*\| < 2^{-n},
\]
and use this to deduce
\[
\|b_j - \psi(a_j)\| \leq 2^{-n} + \|v_n^* v_{n-1}^* \cdots v_1^* b_j v_1 \cdots v_{n-1} v_n - \varphi(a_n)\| \leq 2^{-n} + 1/n.
\]
Since \(\psi(A)\) is closed and \(\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots\}\) is dense in \(B\) we conclude that \(\psi(A) = B\).

**Theorem 8.2** [13, Corollary 10.8]. Let \(A\) be a separable C*-algebra and let \(B\) be a unital and separable C*-algebra. Then \(A\) is isomorphic to \(A \otimes B\) if

(i) there is a sequence \(\{\varphi\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\) of unital injective *-homomorphisms from \(B\) into \(\mathcal{M}(A)\) satisfying \(\|\varphi_n(x) a - a \varphi_n(x)\| \to 0\) for all \(a\) in \(A\) and all \(b\) in \(B\), and

(ii) the two *-homomorphisms \(\alpha, \beta : B \to B \otimes B\) given by \(\alpha(b) = b \otimes 1\) and \(\beta(b) = 1 \otimes b, b \in B\), are approximately unitarily equivalent.

It is shown in [15], based on ideas from the paper [8] by Effros, that a C*-algebra \(B\) that satisfies (ii) must necessarily be simple and nuclear.

**Proof.** We show that the conditions of Proposition 8.1 are satisfied with respect to the injective *-homomorphism \(\varphi : A \to A \otimes B\) given by \(a \mapsto a \otimes 1_g\). More specifically we shall for each finite subset \(F\) of \(A\), for each finite subset \(G\) of \(B\), and for each \(\varepsilon > 0\) find a unitary \(v\) in \(\mathcal{M}(A \otimes B)\) such that
\[
\|\varphi(a) - \varphi(a)\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{dist}(\varphi(a) \otimes b, a, \varphi(A)) < \varepsilon, \quad a \in F, \quad b \in G.
\]

(8.1)
Let \( \omega \) be a free filter on \( \mathbb{N} \). Let \( \alpha_n: B \to \mathcal{M}(A \otimes B) \) be given by 
\[
\alpha_n(b) = \varphi_n(b) \otimes 1,
\]
and define \( \alpha: B \to \mathcal{M}(A \otimes B) \) to be \( \alpha(b) = \pi_\omega(\alpha_1(b), \alpha_2(b), \ldots) \). By the assumption on the \(*\)-homomorphisms \( \varphi_n \), the image of \( \alpha \) commutes with the image of \( \varphi \) (when viewing \( \mathcal{M}(A \otimes B) \) as a sub-
\( C^\ast \)-algebra of \( \mathcal{M}(A \otimes B) \)).

Let \( \beta: B \to \mathcal{M}(A \otimes B) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A \otimes B) \) be given by 
\[
\beta(b) = 1 \otimes b.
\]
The images of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) commute with each other and with the image of \( \varphi \). The \( C^\ast \)-algebra generated by \( \alpha(B) \) and \( \beta(B) \) is isomorphic to \( B \otimes B \) (because \( B \) is nuclear and simple). By (ii) there is a unitary \( w \) in \( C^\ast(\alpha(B), \beta(B)) \) with 
\[
\|w \ast \beta(b)(w - \alpha(b))\| \leq \varepsilon/(2C)
\]
for all \( b \) in \( G \), where 
\[
C = \max\{|a|: a \in F\}.
\]
Since \( w \) commutes with the image of \( \varphi \) we have 
\[
w \ast (a \otimes b) = \varphi(a) \ast \alpha(b) = \varphi(a) \ast \alpha(b) = \varphi(a) \ast \alpha(b) = \varphi(a) \ast \alpha(b) = \varphi(a) \ast \alpha(b)
\]
for all \( a \) in \( A \) and all \( b \) in \( B \). It follows that

\[
\|w_n \varphi(a) - \varphi(a) w_n\| = 0,
\]
\[
\|w_n \ast (a \otimes b) w_n - \varphi(a) \ast \alpha(b) w_n\| = 0,
\]
\[
\|w_n \ast \alpha(b) w_n - \varphi(a) \ast \alpha(b)\| = \|w \ast \beta(b)(w - \alpha(b))\| \leq \varepsilon/(2C),
\]
for all \( a \) in \( A \) and all \( b \) in \( B \). It follows that 
\[
\|w_n \varphi(a) - \varphi(a) w_n\| \leq \varepsilon,
\]
\[
\|w_n \ast (a \otimes b) w_n - \varphi(a) \ast \alpha(b)\| \leq \varepsilon,
\]
for all \( n \in X \) for some \( X \in \omega \), and hence for at least one \( n \). The element \( \varphi(a) \ast \alpha(b) \) belongs to \( A \otimes 1 = \varphi(A) \) and (8.1) is therefore satisfied with \( v = w_n \).

**Corollary 8.3.** Let \( A \) be a separable \( C^\ast \)-algebra. Then \( A \) is isomorphic to \( A \otimes 1 \) if and only if there is a sequence of unital \(*\)-homomorphisms \( \varphi_n: \mathcal{C}_\omega \to \mathcal{M}(A) \) such that \( \|\varphi_n(x) a - a \varphi_n(x)\| \to 0 \) for all \( x \) in \( \mathcal{C}_\omega \) and for all \( a \) in \( A \).

**Proof.** The “if” part follows immediately from Theorem 8.2 together with the theorem of Lin and Phillips [17], that any pair of unital \(*\)-homomorphisms \( \varphi_n: \mathcal{C}_\omega \to \mathcal{M}(A) \) are approximately unitarily equivalent.

It is shown in Lin and Phillips’ paper [17] that \( \mathcal{C}_\omega \) is isomorphic to \( \bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{C}_\omega \). As a consequence of this isomorphism we get a sequence \( \varphi_n: \mathcal{C}_\omega \to \mathcal{C}_\omega \) of unital \(*\)-homomorphisms satisfying \( \|\psi_n(x) y - y \psi_n(x)\| \to 0 \)
for all \(x, y \in \mathcal{O}_\infty\). Let \(A\) be any \(C^*\)-algebra. The \(C^*\)-algebra \(\mathcal{M}(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty\) is a unital sub-\(C^*\)-algebra of \(\mathcal{M}(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty\), and so \(\varphi_A(x) = 1 \otimes \psi_A(x)\) defines a sequence of unital *-homomorphisms from \(\mathcal{O}_\infty\) into \(\mathcal{M}(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty\) which satisfies \(\|\varphi_A(x) a - a \varphi_A(x)\| \to 0\) for all \(x \in \mathcal{O}_\infty\) and for all \(a \in A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty\). 

It follows easily from Corollary 8.3 that any \(C^*\)-algebra that absorbs \(\mathcal{O}_\infty\) is approximately divisible.

**Proposition 8.4.** Let \(A\) be a separable \(C^*\)-algebra which is either unital or stable. Then the following conditions are equivalent

(i) \(A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty\).

(ii) for each natural number \(m\), every approximately inner, completely positive contraction from a sub-\(C^*\)-algebra \(B\) of \(M_m(A)\) into \(M_m(A)\) is approximately 1-step inner,

(iii) there is sequence \(\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty\) in \(M_2(A)\) such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| d^*_n \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} d_n - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 0 \tag{8.2}
\]

for all \(a \in A\).

**Proof.** (i) \(\Rightarrow\) (ii). If \(A\) satisfies (i), then so does \(M_m(A)\) for all natural numbers \(m\). We need therefore only consider the case \(m = 1\). Let \(B\) be a sub-\(C^*\)-algebra of \(A\). It suffices to show that each \(n\)-step inner completely positive contraction \(V: B \to A\) is approximately 1-step inner. Find \(d_1, \ldots, d_n\) in \(\mathcal{M}(A)\) with \(V(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^* b d_i\). By Corollary 8.3 we can find sequences of isometries \(\{t_{j,k}\}_{j=1}^n\) in \(\mathcal{M}(A)\) for \(1, \ldots, n\) satisfying

\[
t_{1,k} t_{1,k}^* + \cdots + t_{n,k} t_{n,k}^* \leq 1, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} \|t_{j,k} a - a t_{j,k}\| = 0,
\]

for all \(a \in A\) and all \(j\). Put \(f_k = \sum_{j=1}^n t_{j,k} d_j\). Then \(f_k^* a f_k \to V(a)\), and this shows that \(V\) is approximately 1-step inner.

(ii) \(\Rightarrow\) (iii). Let \(B\) be the sub-\(C^*\)-algebra of \(M_2(A)\) consisting of all elements of the form \(\text{diag}(a, 0)\) with \(a \in A\). Let \(V: B \to M_2(A)\) be given by

\[
V \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The map \(V\) is approximately 2-step inner, and hence \(V\) is approximately 1-step inner if (ii) holds.

(iii) \(\Rightarrow\) (i). If \(A\) is stable, then by Lemma 7.4(ii) we can take \(d_n\) in \(M_2(\mathcal{M}(A))\) satisfying (8.2) to be isometries of the form

\[
d_n = \begin{pmatrix} u_n & v_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8.3}
\]
Notice that (8.3) and (8.2) imply that $u_n$ and $v_n$ are isometries in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ with orthogonal range projections, that $u_n^*a u_n \to a$ and $v_n^* a v_n \to a$ for all $a$ in $A$, and hence (by Lemma 7.9) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n a - a u_n\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|v_n a - a v_n\| = 0 \quad (8.4)$$

for all $a$ in $A$.

Let $\ell_2$ be the universal $C^*$-algebra generated by two isometries with orthogonal range projections. The two isometries $u_n, v_n$ have orthogonal range projections (for each fixed $n$), and so there is a unital $^*$-homomorphism $\psi_n: \ell_2 \to \mathcal{M}(A)$ mapping the two canonical generators of $\ell_2$ onto $u_n$ and $v_n$. By (8.4) we see that $\psi_n(x) a - a \psi_n(x) \to 0$ for all $x$ in $\ell_2$ and all $a$ in $A$. The $C^*$-algebra $\ell_2$ has a unital sub-$C^*$-algebra isomorphic to $O_2$.

Taking $j_n: O_2 \to \mathcal{M}(A)$ to be the restriction of $\psi_n$, an application of Corollary 8.3 yields that $A$ is isomorphic to $A \otimes O_2$. The rest of the proof now follows the proof for the stable case.

Recall that a $C^*$-algebra $A$ is called $O_2$-absorbing if $A \otimes O_2$ is isomorphic to $A$.

**Proposition 8.5 (Permanence Properties).** (i) If $A$ is an $O_2$-absorbing $C^*$-algebra, then so is every closed two-sided ideal in $A$.

(ii) If $A$ is an $O_2$-absorbing $C^*$-algebra, then so is every quotient of $A$.

(iii) If $A$ is a separable $O_2$-absorbing $C^*$-algebra, and if $B$ is a hereditary sub-$C^*$-algebra of $A$ admitting an approximate unit consisting of projections, then $B$ is $O_2$-absorbing.

(iv) If $A$ is an inductive limit of a sequence $A_1 \to A_2 \to A_3 \to \cdots$ of separable $C^*$-algebras $A_n$, each of which absorbs $O_2$, and if each connecting map $A_n \to A_{n+1}$ is non-degenerate, then $A$ absorbs $O_2$.

**Proof.** (i) and (ii). Write $A = A_0 \otimes O_2$ for some $C^*$-algebra $A_0$. Suppose that $I$ is an ideal in $A$. Because $O_2$ is exact and simple, $I = I_0 \otimes O_2$ for some ideal $I_0$ in $I$; cf. [1, Theorem 3.3; 13, Proposition 2.13]. Hence $I$ is $O_2$-absorbing, and $A/I \cong (A_0/I_0) \otimes O_2$ because $O_2$ is exact.

A $^*$-homomorphism $\varphi: D \to E$ is said to be non-degenerate if $\varphi(D) E \varphi(D)$ is dense in $E$. 

\[\text{\textcopyright 2023 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS} \]
Let \( \{ p_k \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) be an increasing approximate unit for \( B \) where each \( p_k \) is a projection. Observing that there are unital embeddings of \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \) into \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \) and vice versa, we may use Corollary 8.3—with \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \) in the place of \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \)—to find an asymptotically central sequence of unital \( * \)-homomorphisms \( \phi_n; \mathcal{O}_\infty \to \mathcal{M}(A) \). Let \( s, t \) be the two canonical generators of \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \); i.e., \( s, t \) are isometries with \( ss^* \perp tt^* \). Put \( p_0 = 0 \) and put \( q_k = p_k - p_{k-1} \) for all \( k \) in \( \mathbb{N} \). Then \( l = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_j \) in \( \mathcal{M}(B) \) (the sum is strictly convergent). Also, \[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| \phi_n(s) q_k - q_k \phi_n(s) \| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \| \phi_n(t) q_k - q_k \phi_n(t) \| = 0.
\]
The relations satisfied by \( s, t \) are stable, and we can therefore for each \( k \) in \( \mathbb{N} \) find sequences \( \{ s_{n,k} \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \{ t_{n,k} \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) of isometries in \( q_k A q_k = q_k B q_k \) such that \( s_{n,k} s_{n,k}^* \perp t_{n,k} t_{n,k}^* \), and such that \[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \| q_k \phi_n(s) q_k - s_{n,k} \| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \| q_k \phi_n(t) q_k - t_{n,k} \| = 0.
\]

For each \( n \) there is a (unique) unital \( * \)-homomorphism \( \psi_n; \mathcal{O}_\infty \to \mathcal{M}(B) \) that satisfies \[
\psi_n(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_{n,k}, \quad \psi_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{n,k}
\]
( the sums are strictly convergent). We have \( \| \psi_n(x) b - b \psi_n(x) \| \to 0 \) for each \( x \) in \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \) and for each \( b \) in \( B \). (To see this, consider first \( b \) in \( p_k B p_k \) for some \( k \).) Hence the conditions of Corollary 8.3 are satisfied, and so \( B \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \).

Let \( \mu_n; A_n \to A \) be the inductive limit map. Then \( \mu_n \) is non-degenerate for each \( n \) and it therefore extends to a unital \( * \)-homomorphism \( \hat{\mu}_n; \mathcal{M}(A_n) \to \mathcal{M}(A) \). Use Corollary 8.3 to find a sequence of unital \( * \)-homomorphisms \( \phi_{k,n}; \mathcal{O}_\infty \to \mathcal{M}(A_n) \) such that \[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \| \phi_{k,n}(x) a - a \phi_{k,n}(x) \| = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{O}_\infty, \quad a \in A_n.
\]
Let \( \psi_{k,n}; \mathcal{O}_\infty \to \mathcal{M}(A) \) be the composition mapping \( \hat{\mu}_n \circ \phi_{k,n} \). Then \[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \| \psi_{k,n}(x) a - a \psi_{k,n}(x) \| = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{O}_\infty, \quad a \in A.
\]

Corollary 8.3 now shows that \( A \) absorbs \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \).  

Theorem 8.6. Let \( A \) be a separable \( C^* \)-algebra. If \( A \) is strongly purely infinite and nuclear, and if \( A \) is either stable or has an approximate unit consisting of projections, then \( A \) is isomorphic to \( A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \). Conversely, for any \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \), if \( A \) is isomorphic to \( A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \), then \( A \) is strongly purely infinite.
Proof. Assume first that $A$ is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathfrak{O}_\omega$. Then, by Corollary 8.3, there are sequences \( \{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \) and \( \{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \) of isometries in \( \mathcal{M}(A) \) such that $u_n u_n^* \perp v_n v_n^*$ and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n a - au_n\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|v_n a - av_n\| = 0
\]

for all $a$ in $A$. Hence

\[
\begin{pmatrix} u_n^* & 0 \\ 0 & v_n^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_n & 0 \\ 0 & v_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}
\]

for all $a, b, x$ in $A$. We can now take $d_1 = eu_n$ and $d_2 = ev_n$ for an appropriate approximate unit $e$ for $A$ and for $n$ large enough to show that $A$ is strongly purely infinite; cf. Lemma 5.2.

Suppose next that $A$ is strongly purely infinite, nuclear, and that $A$ either has an approximate unit consisting of projections or is stable. In the former case, if $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ absorbs $\mathfrak{O}_\omega$, then so does $A$ by Proposition 8.5(iii), and $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is strongly purely infinite by Proposition 5.11(iii). It therefore suffices to consider the case where $A$ is stable.

Let $B$ and $V : B \to M_2(A)$ be as in the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) of Proposition 8.4. Being isomorphic to $A$, $B$ is nuclear, and $V$ is approximately 2-step inner. Thus $V$ is approximately 1-step inner by Theorem 7.21, and so $A$ is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathfrak{O}_\omega$ by Proposition 8.4.

9. SUMMARY AND OPEN PROBLEMS

The main results of this paper are contained in Theorem 7.21 and in the theorem below:

**Theorem 9.1.** Consider the following six properties of a separable $C^*$-algebra $A$:

(i) $A \cong A \otimes \mathfrak{O}_\omega$.
(ii) $A$ is strongly purely infinite.$^7$
(iii) $A$ is purely infinite.$^8$
(iv) $A$ is weakly purely infinite.$^9$
(v) $A_\omega$ is traceless$^{10}$ for some free filter $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$.
(vi) $A$ is traceless.

$^7$ A definition of being strongly purely infinite is given in Definition 5.1.
$^8$ A definition of being purely infinite is given in Definition 3.4.
$^9$ A definition of being weakly purely infinite is given in Definition 4.3.
$^{10}$ Traceless $C^*$-algebras are defined in Definition 4.2.
Then

\begin{align*}
(i) &\Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \iff (v) \Rightarrow (vi), \\
\text{and} \\
(ii) &\Rightarrow (i) \text{ if } A \text{ is nuclear, and either stable or with an approximate unit consisting of projections;} \\
(iii) &\Rightarrow (ii) \text{ if } A \text{ is either simple, of real rank zero, or approximately divisible}^{11}; \\
(iv) &\Rightarrow (iii) \text{ if and only if } A \text{ has the global Glimm property,}^{12} \text{ and in particular if } A \text{ is either simple, of real rank zero, or approximately divisible;} \\
(vi) &\Rightarrow (v) \text{ if } A \text{ is approximately divisible.}
\end{align*}

\textbf{Proof.} The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (ii) \Rightarrow (i) are treated in Theorem 8.6.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is proved in Proposition 5.4. It is shown in Corollary 6.9 that (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) if \( A \) is of real rank zero, and hence in particular if \( A \) is simple, because all simple, purely infinite \( C^* \)-algebras are of real rank zero. Proposition 5.14 shows that (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) for approximately divisible \( C^* \)-algebras.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) follows from [16, Theorem 4.16]; cf. the remark below Definition 4.3. The implication (iv) \Rightarrow (iii) is treated in Proposition 4.15, Corollary 4.16, and Proposition 4.18.

The equivalence (iv) \Rightarrow (v) is proved in Theorem 4.8(i), and by Theorem 4.8(ii) we have (iv) \Rightarrow (vi). Finally, the implication (vi) \Rightarrow (iv) is proved to hold for approximately divisible \( C^* \)-algebras in [16, Theorem 5.9].

The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) does not hold in general. There is in [7, Theorem 1.4] an example of a simple, unital, purely infinite, separable \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \) which is not approximately divisible. Hence \( A \) is not isomorphic to \( A \otimes C_\infty \) (as noted below Corollary 8.3). We do not have counterexamples to any other implication of Theorem 9.1.\(^{13}\)

We summarize the situation when \( A \) is either simple, approximately divisible, or of real rank zero. The conclusions of Corollary 9.2 below were obtained in 1994 by the first named author (and were published in [15]).

\(^{11}\) A definition of being approximately divisible can be found in Definition 4.1.

\(^{12}\) A definition of the global Glimm property can be found in Definition 4.12.

\(^{13}\) Added to proof: It has recently been shown by the second named author that the implication (vi) \Rightarrow (v) fails: there is a non-nuclear simple counterexample.
**Corollary 9.2.** Let $A$ be a simple, separable, nuclear $C^*$-algebra. Then $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\omega$ if and only if $A$ is purely infinite.

*Proof.* If $A$ is simple and purely infinite, then $A$ is either stable or unital by [22]. Hence conditions (i)–(v) in Theorem 9.1 are equivalent for $A$.

**Corollary 9.3.** Let $A$ be a separable, nuclear $C^*$-algebra that is either stable or admits an approximate unit consisting of projections. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\omega$,

(ii) $A$ is approximately divisible and purely infinite,

(iii) $A$ is approximately divisible and traceless.

*Proof.* The implications (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follow from Theorem 9.1. If (i) holds then $A$ is approximately divisible (this is easy to see from Corollary 8.3), and $A$ is traceless (by Theorem 9.1).

It is a consequence of the next corollary that all non-degenerate (simple and non-simple) Cuntz–Krieger algebras absorb $\mathcal{O}_\omega$. A Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_\delta$ is non-degenerate if its corresponding matrix has no irreducible component which is a permutation matrix. Non-degenerate Cuntz–Krieger algebras have real rank zero and all their non-zero projections are properly infinite.

**Corollary 9.4.** Let $A$ be a separable, nuclear $C^*$-algebra of real rank zero. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\omega$,

(ii) $A$ is strongly purely infinite,

(iii) $A$ is purely infinite,

(iv) $A$ is weakly purely infinite,

(v) $A_\omega$ is traceless for all free filters $\omega$ on $\mathbb{N}$,

(vi) all non-zero projections in $A$ are properly infinite.

*Proof.* Each $C^*$-algebra of real rank zero admits an approximate unit consisting of projections, and so conditions (i)–(v) of Theorem 9.1 (and hence of the present corollary) are equivalent for separable, nuclear
$C^*$-algebras of real rank zero. The implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) follows from [16, Theorem 4.16] (saying that all non-zero positive elements in a purely infinite $C^*$-algebra are properly infinite), and (vi) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) follows from [16, Proposition 4.7] (since every hereditary sub-$C^*$-algebra of a quotient of a $C^*$-algebra of real rank zero again is of real rank zero).

There are still several unanswered questions regarding the structure of infinite $C^*$-algebras. We list some of the more intriguing of these open problems below:

**Question 9.5 (Three Kinds of Pure Infiniteness).** Do we have

A strongly purely infinite $\iff$ A purely infinite $\iff$ A weakly purely infinite

for all $C^*$-algebras $A$?

The two right-implications $\Rightarrow$ in Question 9.5 are true and easy to prove (see Theorem 9.1). All weakly purely infinite $C^*$-algebras are purely infinite if and only if all weakly purely infinite $C^*$-algebras have the global Glimm property (see Proposition 4.15), or, equivalently if and only if all non-zero projections in a weakly purely infinite $C^*$-algebra are infinite (see Proposition 4.19).

We do not know if the multiplier algebra of a purely infinite $C^*$-algebra is purely infinite. We do not even know if its unit is infinite. Following the proof of Proposition 4.11, this would follow if we have an affirmative answer to the following:

**Question 9.6 (Sums of Properly Infinite Elements).** Let $a$ and $b$ be positive elements in a $C^*$-algebra $A$ such that, for some $\delta > 0$, the elements $(a-e)_+$ and $(b-e)_+$ are properly infinite for all $e \in [0, \delta]$. Does it follow that their sum $a+b$ is properly infinite?

A partial answer to this question can be found in Lemma 4.9. Note that our assumption on $a$ and $b$ is slightly stronger than just asking these two elements to be properly infinite. For example, any strictly positive element $a$ in the $C^*$-algebra $K$ of compact operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space is properly infinite (see [16, Proposition 3.7]), but $(a-e)_+$ is not properly infinite for $e > 0$.

There are strongly purely infinite $C^*$-algebras that are neither stable nor have an approximate unit consisting of projections. Take, for example, $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_r$ (which by the way clearly is $\mathbb{C}_r$-absorbing). The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) of Theorem 9.1 therefore does not apply to all separable, nuclear $C^*$-algebras. Nonetheless, we have no (nuclear, separable) counter example to this implication. We therefore ask:
Question 9.7 (Morita Equivalence of $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$-Absorption). Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are stably isomorphic $C^*$-algebras and that $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$. Does it follow that $B \cong B \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$?

One can answer Question 9.7 in the affirmative if one can prove that the inductive limit of any sequence $A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \cdots$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$-absorbing, separable $C^*$-algebras, not necessarily with non-degenerate connecting mappings (see Proposition 8.5(iii)) is $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$-absorbing.

We know that extensions of weakly purely infinite and of purely infinite $C^*$-algebras again are weakly purely infinite, respectively, purely infinite. What is the situation for strongly purely infinite $C^*$-algebras?

Question 9.8 (Extensions of $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$-absorbing $C^*$-algebras). Given an extension

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

of $C^*$-algebras. Suppose that $I$ and $B$ are strongly purely infinite. Does it follow that $A$ is strongly purely infinite? Can one conclude that $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ if we know that $I \cong I \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ and $B \cong B \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$?

It is shown in Proposition 8.5 that $I$ and $B$ are $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$-absorbing if $A$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$-absorbing.

REFERENCES


