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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the combined effect of concave and convex nonlinearities on the number of positive solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with a sign-changing weight function. With the help of the Nehari manifold, we prove that there are at least two positive solutions for Eq. ( $E_{\lambda, f}$ ) in bounded domains.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the multiplicity results of positive solutions of the following semilinear elliptic equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=u^{p}+\lambda f(x) u^{q} \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
0 \leqslant u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, 0<q<1<p<2^{*}\left(2^{*}=\frac{N+2}{N-2}\right.$ if $N \geqslant 3,2^{*}=\infty$ if $N=2$ ), $\lambda>0$ and $f: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function which change sign in $\bar{\Omega}$. Associated with Eq. $\left(E_{\lambda, f}\right)$, we consider the energy functional $J_{\lambda}$, for each $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$,
$$
J_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x
$$

It is well known that the solutions of Eq. $\left(E_{\lambda, f}\right)$ are the critical points of the energy functional $J_{\lambda}$ (see Rabinowitz [12]).

The fact that the number of positive solutions of Eq. ( $E_{\lambda, f}$ ) is affected by the concave and convex nonlinearities has been the focus of a great deal of research in recent years. If the weight function $f(x) \equiv 1$, the authors Ambrosetti et al. [2] have investigated Eq. $\left(E_{\lambda, 1}\right)$. They found that there exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that Eq. $\left(E_{\lambda, 1}\right)$ admits at least two positive solution for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$, has a positive solution for $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ and no positive solution exists for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$. Actually, Adimurthy et al. [1], Damascelli et al. [7], Ouyang and Shi [11], and Tang [16] proved that there exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that Eq. ( $E_{\lambda, 1}$ ) in the unit ball $B^{N}(0 ; 1)$ has exactly two positive solution for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$, has exactly one positive solution for $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ and no positive solution exists for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$.

The purpose of this paper is to consider the multiplicity of positive solution of Eq. ( $E_{\lambda, f}$ ) for a changing sign potential function $f(x)$. We prove that Eq. ( $E_{\lambda, f}$ ) has at least two positive solutions for $\lambda$ is sufficiently small.

Theorem 1. There exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$, Eq. $\left(E_{\lambda, f}\right)$ has at least two positive solutions.

Among the other interesting problems which are similar of Eq. ( $E_{\lambda, f}$ ) for $q=0$, Bahri [3], Bahri and Berestycki [4], and Struwe [13] have investigated the following equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=|u|^{p-1} u+f(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{f}\\
u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. They found that Eq. ( $E_{f}$ ) possesses infinitely many solutions. Furthermore, Cîrstea and Rădulescu [5], Cao and Zhou [6], and Ghergu and Rădulescu [10] have been investigated the analogue Eq. $\left(E_{f}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some notations and preliminaries. In Section 3, we prove that Eq. ( $E_{\lambda, f}$ ) has at least two positive solutions for $\lambda$ sufficiently small.

## 2. Notations and preliminaries

Throughout this section, we denote by $S$ the best Sobolev constant for the embedding of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ in $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$. Now, we consider the Nehari minimization problem: for $\lambda>0$,

$$
\alpha_{\lambda}(\Omega)=\inf \left\{J_{\lambda}(u) \mid u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

where $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\} \mid\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\}$. Define

$$
\psi_{\lambda}(u)=\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x .
$$

Then for $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$,

$$
\left\langle\psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=2\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-(p+1) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-(q+1) \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x
$$

Similarly to the method used in Tarantello [14], we split $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ into three parts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega) \mid\left\langle\psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle>0\right\}, \\
& \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{0}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega) \mid\left\langle\psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\}, \\
& \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega) \mid\left\langle\psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle<0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have the following results.
Lemma 2. There exists $\lambda_{1}>0$ such that for each $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$ we have $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{0}(\Omega)=\emptyset$.
Proof. We consider the following two cases.
Case (I). $\quad u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ and $\int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x=0$. We have

$$
\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x=0
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\langle\psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=2\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-(p+1) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x=(1-p)\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}<0
$$

and so $u \notin \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{0}(\Omega)$.
Case (II). $\quad u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ and $\int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x \neq 0$.
Suppose that $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{0}(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda>0$. If $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{0}(\Omega)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left\langle\psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=2\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-(p+1) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-(q+1) \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x \\
& =(1-q)\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-(p-q) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}=\frac{p-q}{1-q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x=\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x=\frac{p-1}{1-q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{p-1}{p-q}\right)\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2} & =\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x=\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x \\
& \leqslant \lambda\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}}\|u\|_{L^{p+1}}^{q+1} \leqslant \lambda\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}} S^{q+1}\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{q+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p^{*}=\frac{p+1}{p-q}$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{H^{1}} \leqslant\left[\lambda\left(\frac{p-q}{p-1}\right)\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}} S^{q+1}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $I_{\lambda}: \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$
I_{\lambda}(u)=K(p, q)\left(\frac{|u|_{H^{1}}^{2 p}}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x
$$

where $K(p, q)=\left(\frac{1-q}{p-q}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left(\frac{p-1}{1-q}\right)$. Then $I_{\lambda}(u)=0$ for all $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{0}(\Omega)$. Indeed, from (1) and (2) it follows that for $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{0}(\Omega)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\lambda}(u)= & K(p, q)\left(\frac{\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2 p}}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x \\
= & \left(\frac{1-q}{p-q}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left(\frac{p-1}{1-q}\right)\left(\frac{\left(\frac{p-q}{1-q}\right)^{p}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x\right)^{p}}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\
& -\frac{p-1}{1-q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x \\
= & 0 . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

However, by (3), the Hölder and Sobolev inequality, for $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{0}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(u) & \geqslant K(p, q)\left(\frac{|u|_{H^{1}}^{2 p}}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}-\lambda\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}}\|u\|_{L^{p+1}}^{q+1} \\
& \geqslant\|u\|_{L^{p+1}}^{q+1}\left(K(p, q)\left(\frac{\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2 p}}{S^{q(p-1)+2 p}\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{q(p-1)+2 p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}-\lambda\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}}\right) \\
& =\|u\|_{L^{p+1}}^{q+1}\left(K(p, q)\left(\frac{1}{S^{q(p-1)+2 p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{1}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{q}}-\lambda\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\geqslant & \|u\|_{L^{p+1}}^{q+1}\left\{K(p, q)\left(\frac{1}{S^{q(p-1)+2 p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \lambda^{\frac{-q}{1-q}}\left[\left(\frac{p-q}{p-1}\right)|f|_{L^{p^{*}}} S^{q+1}\right]^{\frac{-q}{1-q}}\right. \\
& \left.-\lambda\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that for $\lambda$ sufficiently small we have $I_{\lambda}(u)>0$ for all $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{0}(\Omega)$, this contradicts (4). Thus, we can conclude that there exists $\lambda_{1}>0$ such that for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$, we have $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{0}(\Omega)=\emptyset$.

Lemma 3. If $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)$, then $\int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x>0$.
Proof. We have

$$
\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x=0
$$

and

$$
\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}>\frac{p-q}{1-q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x
$$

Thus,

$$
\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x=\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x>\frac{p-1}{1-q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x>0 .
$$

This completes the proof.
By Lemma 2, for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$ we write $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)=\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega) \cup \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$ and define

$$
\alpha_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)=\inf _{u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)} J_{\lambda}(u), \quad \alpha_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)=\inf _{u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)} J_{\lambda}(u) .
$$

The following lemma shows that the minimizers on $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ are "usually" critical points for $J_{\lambda}$.

Lemma 4. For $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$. If $u_{0}$ is a local minimizer for $J_{\lambda}$ on $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$, then $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$.

Proof. If $u_{0}$ is a local minimizer for $J_{\lambda}$ on $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$, then $u_{0}$ is a solution of the optimization problem

$$
\text { minimize } \quad J_{\lambda}(u) \text { subject to } \quad \psi_{\lambda}(u)=0 .
$$

Hence, by the theory of Lagrange multipliers, there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=\theta \psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right) \quad \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega)
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), u_{0}\right\rangle_{H^{1}}=\theta\left\langle\psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), u_{0}\right\rangle_{H^{1}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{0} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$, we have $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p+1} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|u_{0}\right|^{q+1} d x=0$. Hence,

$$
\left\langle\psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), u_{0}\right\rangle_{H^{1}}=(1-q)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-(p-q) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p+1} d x
$$

Moreover, $\left\langle\psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), u_{0}\right\rangle_{H^{1}} \neq 0$ and so by (5) $\theta=0$. This completes the proof.
For each $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$, we write

$$
t_{\max }=\left(\frac{(1-q)\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}}{(p-q) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}>0
$$

Then, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 5. Let $p^{*}=\frac{p+1}{p-q}$ and $\lambda_{2}=\left(\frac{p-1}{p-q}\right)\left(\frac{1-q}{p-q}\right)^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}} S^{\frac{2(q-p)}{p-1}}\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}}^{-1}$. Then for each $u \in$ $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$ and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{2}\right)$, we have
(i) there is a unique $t^{-}=t^{-}(u)>t_{\max }>0$ such that $t^{-} u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$ and $J_{\lambda}\left(t^{-} u\right)=$ $\max _{t \geqslant t_{\text {max }}} J_{\lambda}(t u)$;
(ii) $t^{-}(u)$ is a continuous function for nonzero $u$;
(iii) $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}} t^{-}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}\right)=1\right.\right\}$;
(iv) if $\int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x>0$, then there is a unique $0<t^{+}=t^{+}(u)<t_{\max }$ such that $t^{+} u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)$ and $J_{\lambda}\left(t^{+} u\right)=\min _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant t^{-}} J_{\lambda}(t u)$.

Proof. (i) Fix $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$. Let

$$
s(t)=t^{1-q}\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-t^{p-q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x \quad \text { for } t \geqslant 0
$$

We have $s(0)=0, s(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty, s(t)$ is concave and achieves its maximum at $t_{\text {max }}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s\left(t_{\max }\right) \\
&=\left(\frac{(1-q)\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}}{(p-q) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x}\right)^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}}\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \\
&-\left(\frac{(1-q)\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}}{(p-q) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-1}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x \\
&=\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{q+1}\left[\left(\frac{(1-q)\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{p+1}}{(p-q) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x}\right)^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}}-\left(\frac{(1-q)\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{\frac{(p+1)(1-q)}{p-q}}}{(p-q)\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x\right)^{\frac{1-q}{p-q}}}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{q+1}\left[\left(\frac{1-q}{p-q}\right)^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}}-\left(\frac{1-q}{p-q}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-1}}\right]\left(\frac{\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{p+1}}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x}\right)^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}} \\
& \geqslant\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{q+1}\left(\frac{p-1}{p-q}\right)\left(\frac{1-q}{p-q}\right)^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}}\left(\frac{1}{S^{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(t_{\max }\right) \geqslant\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{q+1}\left(\frac{p-1}{p-q}\right)\left(\frac{1-q}{p-q}\right)^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}}\left(\frac{1}{S^{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (I). $\quad \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x \leqslant 0$.
There is a unique $t^{-}>t_{\text {max }}$ such that $s\left(t^{-}\right)=\int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x$ and $s^{\prime}\left(t^{-}\right)<0$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-q)\left\|t^{-} u\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-(p-q) \int_{\Omega}\left|t^{-} u\right|^{p+1} d x \\
& \quad=\left(t^{-}\right)^{2+q}\left[(1-q)\left(t^{-}\right)^{-q}\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-(p-q)\left(t^{-}\right)^{p-q-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|t^{-} u\right|^{p+1} d x\right] \\
& \quad=\left(t^{-}\right)^{2+q} s^{\prime}\left(t^{-}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(t^{-} u\right), t^{-} u\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\left(t^{-}\right)^{2}\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\left(t^{-}\right)^{p+1} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-\left(t^{-}\right)^{q+1} \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x \\
& \quad=\left(t^{-}\right)^{q+1}\left[s\left(t^{-}\right)-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $t^{-} u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$. Since for $t>t_{\text {max }}$, we have

$$
(1-q)\|t u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-(p-q) \int_{\Omega}|t u|^{p+1} d x<0, \quad \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} J_{\lambda}(t u)<0
$$

and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} J_{\lambda}(t u)=t\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-t^{p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-t^{q} \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x=0 \quad \text { for } t=t^{-}
$$

Therefore, $J_{\lambda}\left(t^{-} u\right)=\max _{t \geqslant t_{\text {max }}} J_{\lambda}(t u)$.
Case (II). $\quad \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x>0$.
By (6) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
s(0) & =0<\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x \leqslant \lambda\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}} S^{q+1}\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{q+1} \\
& <\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{q+1}\left(\frac{p-1}{p-q}\right)\left(\frac{1-q}{p-q}\right)^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}}\left(\frac{1}{S^{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}} \leqslant s\left(t_{\max }\right) \quad \text { for } \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

there are unique $t^{+}$and $t^{-}$such that $0<t^{+}<t_{\text {max }}<t^{-}$,

$$
s\left(t^{+}\right)=\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x=s\left(t^{-}\right)
$$

and

$$
s^{\prime}\left(t^{+}\right)>0>s^{\prime}\left(t^{-}\right)
$$

We have $t^{+} u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega), t^{-} u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$, and $J_{\lambda}\left(t^{-} u\right) \geqslant J_{\lambda}(t u) \geqslant J_{\lambda}\left(t^{+} u\right)$ for each $t \in$ $\left[t^{+}, t^{-}\right]$and $J_{\lambda}\left(t^{+} u\right) \leqslant J_{\lambda}(t u)$ for each $t \in\left[0, t^{+}\right]$. Thus,

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(t^{-} u\right)=\max _{t \geqslant t_{\max }} J_{\lambda}(t u), \quad J_{\lambda}\left(t^{+} u\right)=\min _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant t^{-}} J_{\lambda}(t u)
$$

(ii) By the uniqueness of $t^{-}(u)$ and the external property of $t^{-}(u)$, we have that $t^{-}(u)$ is a continuous function of $u \neq 0$.
(iii) For $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$, let $v=\frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}$. By part (i), there is a unique $t^{-}(v)>0$ such that $t^{-}(v) v \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$, that is $t^{-}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}\right) \frac{1}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}} u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$. Since $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$, we have $t^{-}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}\right) \frac{1}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}=1$, which implies

$$
\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega) \subset\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}} t^{-}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}\right)=1\right.\right\} .
$$

Conversely, let $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\frac{1}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}} t^{-}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}\right)=1$. Then

$$
t^{-}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}\right) \frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)
$$

Thus,

$$
\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}} t^{-}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}\right)=1\right.\right\} .
$$

(iv) By Case (II) of part (i).

By $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function which change sign in $\Omega$, we have $\Theta=$ $\{x \in \Omega \mid f(x)>0\}$ is a open set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\Theta$ is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Consider the following elliptic equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=u^{p} \quad \text { in } \Theta,  \tag{7}\\
0 \leqslant u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Theta)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Associated with Eq. (7), we consider the energy functional

$$
K(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Theta}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Theta}|u|^{p+1} d x
$$

and the minimization problem

$$
\beta_{\lambda}(\Theta)=\inf \left\{K_{\lambda}(u) \mid u \in \mathbf{N}_{\lambda}(\Theta)\right\}
$$

where $\mathbf{N}_{\lambda}(\Theta)=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Theta) \backslash\{0\} \mid\left\langle K^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\}$. It is known that Eq. (7) has a positive solution $w_{0}$ such that $K\left(w_{0}\right)=\beta_{\lambda}(\Theta)>0$. Then we have the following results.

## Lemma 6.

(i) There exists $t_{\lambda}>0$ such that

$$
\alpha_{\lambda}(\Omega) \leqslant \alpha_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)<-\frac{1-q}{q+1} t_{\lambda}^{2} \beta_{\lambda}(\Theta)<0
$$

(ii) $J_{\lambda}$ is coercive and bounded below on $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \frac{p-1}{p-q}\right]$.

Proof. (i) Let $w_{0}$ be a positive solution of Eq. (7) such that $K\left(w_{0}\right)=\beta_{\lambda}(\Theta)$. Then

$$
\int_{\Omega} f(x) w_{0}^{q+1} d x=\int_{\Theta} f(x) w_{0}^{q+1} d x>0
$$

Set $t_{\lambda}=t^{+}\left(w_{0}\right)$ as defined by Lemma 5(iv). Hence $t_{\lambda} w_{0} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}\left(t_{\lambda} w_{0}\right) & =\frac{t_{\lambda}^{2}}{2}\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\frac{t_{\lambda}^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}\left|w_{0}\right|^{p+1} d x-\frac{\lambda t_{\lambda}^{q+1}}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|w_{0}\right|^{q+1} d x \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q+1}\right) \frac{t_{\lambda}^{2}}{2}\left\|w_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{q+1}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) t_{\lambda}^{p+1} \int_{\Omega}\left|w_{0}\right|^{p+1} d x \\
& <-\frac{1-q}{q+1} t_{\lambda}^{2} \beta_{\lambda}(\Theta)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields

$$
\alpha_{\lambda}(\Omega) \leqslant \alpha_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)<-\frac{1-q}{q+1} t_{\lambda}^{2} \beta_{\lambda}(\Theta)<0
$$

(ii) For $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$, we have $\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x+\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x$. Then by the Hölder and Young inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(u)= & \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\lambda\left(\frac{p-q}{(p+1)(q+1)}\right) \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x \\
\geqslant & \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\lambda\left(\frac{p-q}{(p+1)(q+1)}\right)\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}} S^{q+1}\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{q+1} \\
\geqslant & {\left[\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}-\lambda\left(\frac{p-q}{2(p+1)}\right)\right]\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2} } \\
& -\lambda\left(\frac{(p-q)(1-q)}{2(p+1)(q+1)}\right)\left(\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}} S^{q+1}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{1}{2(p+1)}[(p-1)-\lambda(p-q)]\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \\
& -\lambda\left(\frac{(p-q)(1-q)}{2(p+1)(q+1)}\right)\left(\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}} S^{q+1}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $J_{\lambda}$ is coercive on $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ and

$$
J_{\lambda}(u) \geqslant-\lambda\left(\frac{(p-q)(1-q)}{2(p+1)(q+1)}\right)\left(\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}} S^{q+1}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-q}}
$$

for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \frac{p-1}{p-q}\right]$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

First, we will use the idea of Tarantello [14] to get the following results.
Lemma 7. For each $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$, there exist $\epsilon>0$ and a differentiable function $\xi: B(0 ; \epsilon) \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $\xi(0)=1$, the function $\xi(v)(u-v) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\xi^{\prime}(0), v\right\rangle=\frac{2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x-(p+1) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-1} u v d x-(q+1) \lambda \int_{\Omega} f|u|^{q-1} u v d x}{(1-q) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-(p-q) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
Proof. For $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$, define a function $F: \mathbb{R} \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{u}(\xi, w)= & \left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(\xi(u-w)), \xi(u-w)\right\rangle \\
= & \xi^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla(u-w)|^{2} d x-\xi^{p+1} \int_{\Omega}|u-w|^{p+1} d x \\
& -\xi^{q+1} \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u-w|^{q+1} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $F_{u}(1,0)=\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} F_{u}(1,0) & =2 \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-(p+1) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x-(q+1) \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{q+1} d x \\
& =(1-q) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-(p-q) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the implicit function theorem, there exist $\epsilon>0$ and a differentiable function $\xi: B(0 ; \epsilon) \subset H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\xi(0)=1$,

$$
\left\langle\xi^{\prime}(0), v\right\rangle=\frac{2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x-(p+1) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-1} u v d x-(q+1) \lambda \int_{\Omega} f|u|^{q-1} u v d x}{(1-q) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-(p-q) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x}
$$

and

$$
F_{u}(\xi(v), v)=0 \quad \text { for all } v \in B(0 ; \epsilon),
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(\xi(v)(u-v)), \xi(v)(u-v)\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { for all } v \in B(0 ; \epsilon)
$$

that is $\xi(v)(u-v) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$.
Lemma 8. For each $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$, there exist $\epsilon>0$ and a differentiable function $\xi^{-}: B(0 ; \epsilon) \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $\xi^{-}(0)=1$, the function $\xi^{-}(v)(u-v) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\left(\xi^{-}\right)^{\prime}(0), v\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\frac{2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x-(p+1) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p-1} u v d x-(q+1) \lambda \int_{\Omega} f|u|^{q-1} u v d x}{(1-q) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-(p-q) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.
Proof. Similar to the argument in Lemma 7, there exist $\epsilon>0$ and a differentiable function $\xi^{-}: B(0 ; \epsilon) \subset H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\xi^{-}(0)=1$ and $\xi^{-}(v)(u-v) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ for all $v \in$ $B(0 ; \epsilon)$. Since

$$
\left\langle\psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=(1-q)\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-(p-q) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d x<0 .
$$

Thus, by the continuity of the functions $\psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ and $\xi^{-}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\xi^{-}(v)(u-v)\right), \xi^{-}(v)(u-v)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=(1-q)\left\|\xi^{-}(v)(u-v)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-(p-q) \int_{\Omega}\left|\xi^{-}(v)(u-v)\right|^{p+1} d x<0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, this implies that $\xi^{-}(v)(u-v) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$.
Proposition 9. Let $\lambda_{0}=\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \frac{p-1}{p-q}\right\}$, then for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$,
(i) there exists a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}(\Omega)+o(1) \\
& J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=o(1) \quad \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) there exists a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)+o(1) \\
& J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=o(1) \quad \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. (i) By Lemma 6(ii) and the Ekeland variational principle [9], there exists a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)<\alpha_{\lambda}(\Omega)+\frac{1}{n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)<J_{\lambda}(w)+\frac{1}{n}\left\|w-u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}} \quad \text { for each } w \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $n$ large, from Lemma 6(i), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) & =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{q+1}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} d x \\
& <\alpha_{\lambda}(\Omega)+\frac{1}{n}<-\frac{1-q}{q+1} t_{\lambda}^{2} \beta_{\lambda}(\Theta) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}} S^{q+1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{q+1} \geqslant \int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} d x>\frac{(p+1)(1-q)}{\lambda(p-q)} t_{\lambda}^{2} \beta_{\lambda}(\Omega)>0 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently $u_{n} \neq 0$ and putting together (12), (13) and the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}>\left[\frac{(p+1)(1-q)}{\lambda(p-q)} t_{\lambda}^{2} \beta_{\lambda}(\Theta) S^{-(q+1)}\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}}^{-1}\right]^{\frac{1}{q+1}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}<\left[\frac{2(p-q)}{(p-1)(q+1)}\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}} S^{q+1}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we will show that

$$
\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{H^{-1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Applying Lemma 7 with $u_{n}$ to obtain the functions $\xi_{n}: B\left(0 ; \epsilon_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$for some $\epsilon_{n}>0$, such that $\xi_{n}(w)\left(u_{n}-w\right) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$. Choose $0<\rho<\epsilon_{n}$. Let $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $u \not \equiv 0$ and let $w_{\rho}=\frac{\rho u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}$. We set $\eta_{\rho}=\xi_{n}\left(w_{\rho}\right)\left(u_{n}-w_{\rho}\right)$. Since $\eta_{\rho} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$, we deduce from (11) that

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(\eta_{\rho}\right)-J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \geqslant-\frac{1}{n}\left\|\eta_{\rho}-u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}
$$

and by the mean value theorem, we have

$$
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \eta_{\rho}-u_{n}\right\rangle+o\left(\left\|\eta_{\rho}-u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}\right) \geqslant-\frac{1}{n}\left\|\eta_{\rho}-u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right),-w_{\rho}\right\rangle+\left(\xi_{n}\left(w_{\rho}\right)-1\right)\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right),\left(u_{n}-w_{\rho}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad \geqslant-\frac{1}{n}\left\|\eta_{\rho}-u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}+o\left(\left\|\eta_{\rho}-u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

From $\xi_{n}\left(w_{\rho}\right)\left(u_{n}-w_{\rho}\right) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ and (16) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\rho\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}\right\rangle+\left(\xi_{n}\left(w_{\rho}\right)-1\right)\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{\rho}\right),\left(u_{n}-w_{\rho}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad \geqslant-\frac{1}{n}\left\|\eta_{\rho}-u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}+o\left(\left\|\eta_{\rho}-u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}\right\rangle \leqslant & \frac{\left\|\eta_{\rho}-u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}}{n \rho}+\frac{o\left(\left\|\eta_{\rho}-u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}\right)}{\rho} \\
& +\frac{\left(\xi_{n}\left(w_{\rho}\right)-1\right)}{\rho}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{\rho}\right),\left(u_{n}-w_{\rho}\right)\right\rangle . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\left\|\eta_{\rho}-u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}} \leqslant \rho\left|\xi_{n}\left(w_{\rho}\right)\right|+\left|\xi_{n}\left(w_{\rho}\right)-1\right|\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|\xi_{n}\left(w_{\rho}\right)-1\right|}{\rho} \leqslant\left\|\xi_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right\|
$$

If we let $\rho \rightarrow 0$ in (17) for a fixed $n$, then by (15) we can find a constant $C>0$, independent of $\rho$, such that

$$
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}\right\rangle \leqslant \frac{C}{n}\left(1+\left\|\xi_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right\|\right) .
$$

We are done once we show that $\left\|\xi_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right\|$ is uniformly bounded in $n$. By (8), (15) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\left\langle\xi_{n}^{\prime}(0), v\right\rangle \leqslant \frac{b\|v\|_{H^{1}}}{\left.\left|(1-q) \int_{\Omega}\right| \nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x-(p-q) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x \mid} \quad \text { for some } b>0
$$

We only need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|(1-q) \int_{\Omega}\right| \nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x-(p-q) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x \mid>c \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c>0$ and $n$ large enough. We argue by contradiction. Assume that there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-q) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x-(p-q) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x=o(1) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (19) with (14), we can find a suitable constant $d>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x \geqslant d \quad \text { for } n \text { sufficiently large. } \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition (19), and the fact that $u_{n} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ also give

$$
\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} d x=\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x=\frac{p-1}{1-q} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x+o(1)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}} \leqslant\left[\lambda\left(\frac{p-q}{p-1}\right)\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}} S^{q+1}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}}+o(1) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\lambda}(u)= & K(p, q)\left(\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2 p}}{\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} d x \\
= & \left(\frac{1-q}{p-q}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left(\frac{p-1}{1-q}\right)\left(\frac{\left(\frac{p-q}{1-q}\right)^{p}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x\right)^{p}}{\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\
& -\frac{p-1}{1-q} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x \\
= & o(1) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

However, by (20), (21) and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(u) \geqslant & K(p, q)\left(\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2 p}}{\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}-\lambda\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p+1}}^{q+1} \\
\geqslant & \left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p+1}}^{q+1}\left(K(p, q)\left(\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2 p}}{S^{q(p-1)+2 p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{q(p-1)+2 p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}-\lambda\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}}\right) \\
\geqslant & \left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p+1}}^{q+1}\left\{K(p, q)\left(\frac{1}{S^{q(p-1)+2 p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \lambda^{\frac{-q}{1-q}}\left[\left(\frac{p-q}{p-1}\right)\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}} S^{q+1}\right]^{\frac{-q}{1-q}}\right. \\
& \left.-\lambda\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

this contradicts (22). We get

$$
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^{1}}}\right\rangle \leqslant \frac{C}{n} .
$$

This completes the proof of (i).
(ii) Similarly, by using Lemma 8, we can prove (ii). We will omit the details here.

Now, we establish the existence of a local minimum for $J_{\lambda}$ on $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)$.
Theorem 10. Let $\lambda_{0}>0$ as in Proposition 9, then for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$ the functional $J_{\lambda}$ has a minimizer $u_{0}^{+}$in $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)$ and it satisfies
(i) $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}(\Omega)=\alpha_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)$;
(ii) $u_{0}^{+}$is a positive solution of $E q$. $\left(E_{\lambda, f}\right)$;
(iii) $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ be a minimizing sequence for $J_{\lambda}$ on $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}(\Omega)+o(1) \quad \text { and } \quad J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=o(1) \quad \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega) .
$$

Then by Lemma 6 and the compact imbedding theorem, there exist a subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $u_{0}^{+} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}^{+} & \text {weakly in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \\
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}^{+} & \text {strongly in } L^{p+1}(\Omega)
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}^{+} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{q+1}(\Omega) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we claim that $\int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|u_{0}^{+}\right|^{q+1} d x \neq 0$. If not, by (23) we can conclude that

$$
\int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|u_{0}^{+}\right|^{q+1} d x=0
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} d x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Thus,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x+o(1)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} d x \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x+o(1) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}^{+}\right|^{p+1} d x \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

this contradicts $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \alpha_{\lambda}(\Omega)<0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, $u_{0}^{+} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$ is a nonzero solution of Eq. $\left(E_{\lambda, f}\right)$ and $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right) \geqslant \alpha_{\lambda}(\Omega)$. We now prove that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}^{+}$strongly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Supposing the contrary, then $\left\|u_{0}^{+}\right\|_{H^{1}}<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{0}^{+}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}^{+}\right|^{p+1} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|u_{0}^{+}\right|^{q+1} d x \\
& \quad<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} d x\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

this contradicts $u_{0}^{+} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}(\Omega)$. Hence $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}^{+}$strongly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. This implies

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow J_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}(\Omega) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Moreover, we have $u_{0}^{+} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)$. In fact, if $u_{0}^{+} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$, by Lemma 5, there are unique $t_{0}^{+}$and $t_{0}^{-}$such that $t_{0}^{+} u_{0}^{+} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)$ and $t_{0}^{-} u_{0}^{+} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$, we have $t_{0}^{+}<t_{0}^{-}=1$. Since

$$
\frac{d}{d t} J_{\lambda}\left(t_{0}^{+} u_{0}^{+}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} J_{\lambda}\left(t_{0}^{+} u_{0}^{+}\right)>0
$$

there exists $t_{0}^{+}<\bar{t} \leqslant t_{0}^{-}$such that $J_{\lambda}\left(t_{0}^{+} u_{0}^{+}\right)<J_{\lambda}\left(\bar{t} u_{0}^{+}\right)$. By Lemma 5,

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(t_{0}^{+} u_{0}^{+}\right)<J_{\lambda}\left(\bar{t} u_{0}^{+}\right) \leqslant J_{\lambda}\left(t_{0}^{-} u_{0}^{+}\right)=J_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right),
$$

which is a contradiction. Since $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)=J_{\lambda}\left(\left|u_{0}^{+}\right|\right)$and $\left|u_{0}^{+}\right| \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega)$, by Lemma 4 we may assume that $u_{0}^{+}$is nonnegative solution. By Drábek et al. [8, Lemma 2.1], we have $u_{0}^{+} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then we can apply the Harnack inequality due to Trudinger [15] in order to get that $u_{0}^{+}$is positive in $\Omega$. Moreover, by Lemma 6,

$$
0>J_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right) \geqslant-\lambda\left(\frac{(p-q)(1-q)}{2(p+1)(q+1)}\right)\left(\|f\|_{L^{p^{*}}} S^{q+1}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-q}}
$$

We obtain $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.
Next, we establish the existence of a local minimum for $J_{\lambda}$ on $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$.
Theorem 11. Let $\lambda_{0}>0$ as in Proposition 9, then for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$ the functional $J_{\lambda}$ has a minimizer $u_{0}^{-}$in $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$ and it satisfies
(i) $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{-}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$;
(ii) $u_{0}^{-}$is a positive solution of $E q$. $\left(E_{\lambda, f}\right)$.

Proof. By Proposition 9(ii), there exists a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ for $J_{\lambda}$ on $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)+o(1) \quad \text { and } \quad J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=o(1) \quad \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega)
$$

By Lemma 6 and the compact imbedding theorem, there exist a subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $u_{0}^{-} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$ is a nonzero solution of Eq. ( $E_{\lambda, f}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}^{-} & \text {weakly in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \\
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}^{-} & \text {strongly in } L^{p+1}(\Omega)
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}^{-} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{q+1}(\Omega)
$$

We now prove that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}^{-}$strongly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Suppose otherwise, then $\left\|u_{0}^{-}\right\|_{H^{1}}<$ $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u_{0}^{-}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}^{-}\right|^{p+1} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|u_{0}^{-}\right|^{q+1} d x \\
& \quad<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p+1} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q+1} d x\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts $u_{0}^{-} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$. Hence $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}^{-}$strongly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. This implies

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow J_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{-}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Since $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{0}^{-}\right)=J_{\lambda}\left(\left|u_{0}^{-}\right|\right)$and $\left|u_{0}^{-}\right| \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$ by Lemma 4 we may assume that $u_{0}^{-}$is nonnegative solution. By Drábek et al. [8, Lemma 2.1], we have $u_{0}^{-} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then we can apply the Harnack inequality due to Trudinger [15] in order to get that $u_{0}^{-}$is positive in $\Omega$.

Now, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.
By Theorems 10,11 , for Eq. ( $E_{\lambda, f}$ ) there exist two positive solutions $u_{0}^{+}$and $u_{0}^{-}$such that $u_{0}^{+} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega), u_{0}^{-} \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$. Since $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)=\emptyset$, this implies that $u_{0}^{+}$and $u_{0}^{-}$are different.
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