Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Virology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/yviro

Analysis of human papillomavirus 16 variants and risk for cervical cancer in Chinese population

Dong Hang ^{a,1}, Yin Yin ^{a,1}, Jing Han ^a, Jie Jiang ^a, Hongxqia Ma ^a, Shuanghua Xie ^b, Xiaoshuang Feng ^b, Kai Zhang ^c, Zhibin Hu ^{a,d}, Hongbing Shen ^{a,d}, Gary M. Clifford ^e, Min Dai ^b, Ni Li ^{b,*}

^a Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 211166, China

^b National Office for Cancer Prevention and Control, Cancer Institute and Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing 100021, China

^c Department of Cancer Prevention, Cancer Institute and Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing 100021, China

^d State Key Laboratory of Reproductive Medicine, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 211166, China

^e Infections and Cancer Epidemiology, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon 69372, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 September 2015 Returned to author for revisions 13 November 2015 Accepted 16 November 2015 Available online 30 November 2015

Keywords: Human papillomavirus 16 Variant Cervical cancer Case-control study Meta-analysis

Introduction

ABSTRACT

HPV16 is the most carcinogenic HPV type, but only a minority of HPV16 infections progress to cancer. Intratype genetic variants of HPV16 have been suggested to confer differential carcinogenicity. To investigate risk implications of HPV16 variants among Chinese women, a case-control study was conducted with 298 cervical cancer patients and 85 controls (all HPV16-positive). HPV16 isolates were predominantly of the A variant lineage, and variants of A4 (previously named "Asian") sublineage were common. A4/Asian variants were significantly associated with increased risk of cervical cancer compared to A1–3 (OR=1.72, 95% CI=1.04–2.85). Furthermore, a meta-analysis including 703 cases and 323 controls from East Asia confirmed the association (OR=2.82, 95% CI=1.44–5.52). In conclusion, A4 variants appear to predict higher risk of cervical cancer among HPV16-positive women, which may provide clues to the genetic basis of differences in the carcinogenicity of HPV16 variants.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Cervical cancer ranks as the fourth most common malignancy in females worldwide, with a relatively high burden in developing countries, including in China (Ferlay et al., 2015). Infection with a subset of human papillomavirus (HPV), termed high-risk types, is a necessary pre-requisite for this disease (zur Hausen, 2002). Notably, although approximate 80% of women will have acquired cervical HPV infection by age 50, less than 1% of persistent infections will ultimately lead to invasive cancer (Myers et al., 2000; Schiffman et al., 2011). Factors that influence the outcome of HPV infection are not fully understood, but HPV intratype variants have been suggested to play a critical role in cervical carcinogenesis, and are recognized as an important marker for research on viral transmission, persistence, and carcinogenicity (Wang and Hildesheim, 2003; Xi et al., 2014).

E-mail address: lini1240@hotmail.com (N. Li).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this paper.



Of the 13 key genital high-risk human papillomavirus types (HPV16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, and 68), HPV16 is

the most prevalent type, and causes more than half of cervical

cancer cases worldwide (Guan et al., 2012). Based on whole HPV genome sequencing, HPV16 variants have been classified into four

major lineages: (1) A, that includes A1–3 (previously named European), and A4 (Asian) sublineages; (2) B (African 1); (3) C (African



VIROLOGY





^{*} Correspondence to: National Office for Cancer Prevention and Control, Cancer Institute and Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, No. 17 Panjiayuannanli, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100021, China. Tel.: +86 10 8778 7394; fax: +86 10 8778 7054.

Table 1 Nucleotide polymorphisms identified at HPV16 E6 gene.

Variant ^a HPV16 reference	94 G	109 T	111 A	131 A	132 G	2 136 G	5 145 G	154 A	168 C	173 C	176 G	178 T	184 A	188 G	193 T	219 G	241 T	267 G	276 A	5 286 T	5 29 5 T	5 31(T	0 31 C	5 335 C	5 350 T	442 A	516 C	Predicted amino acid change	Case (<i>N</i> =298)	Control (N=85)	Total
A1-3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	98	35	133
	а	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	6	18
	а	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D25N	1	2	3
	а	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	-	-	D25N/L83V	1	0	1
	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D25E	10	1	11
	а	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Т	-	-	-	D25E/H78Y	1	0	1
	а	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	-	-	-	-	S71C	1	0	1
	а	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Т	-	-	-	H78Y	1	2	3
	а	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	-	-	L83V	0	1	1
	-	с	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	-	-	L83V	0	1	1
	-	-	-	-	-	Т	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	K11N	1	0	1
	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	G	G	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	G	-	-	T22S/L83V	1	0	1
	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	Т	С	_	_	С	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	H24Y/D25H/E29Q	1	0	1
	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	Α	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	D25N	9	0	9
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	С	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	D25H	1	0	1
	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Т	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D25Y	1	0	1
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Α	_	_	_	_	_	g	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	D25N	1	0	1
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	G	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	I27M	0	1	1
	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	С	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	E290	1	0	1
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Α	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	R390	1	0	1
	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	g	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	7	4	11
	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	G	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	N58S	1	2	2
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	G	_	_	_	_	_	_	D64E	1	0	1
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Ğ	_	_	_	G	_	_	D64E/L83V	2	1	3
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	G	_	_	_	_	_	F69I	1	0	1
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	т	G	_	_	H78Y/I83V	1	0	1
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Ť	-	C	_	H78Y/E113D	1	0	1
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	G	-	_	183V	3	1	4
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	C C	C	_	L83V/F113D	1	0	1
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	c	-	G	L83V/\$138C	0	1	1
		_			_		_		_					_									_		-	C	-	F113D	1	0	1
A4/Asian												C														c		D25E	117	24	1/1
//-+////////	_	~	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	C	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	D25E	0	24	141
	_	Ľ	- C	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	C	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	03P/D25E	1	0	1
	_	-	C	~	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	C	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	0.55	11	2	12
	-	-	-	c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D25E/E200	11	2	13
	-	-	-	C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	-	C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		1	0	1
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	-	-	G	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	-	-	1223/D23E/L03V	2 1	0	2
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	-	-	C	-	~	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	DZJE	1	0	1
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	-	-	-	-	g	~	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		1	0	1
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	- T	-	-	-	DZJE/KJJL	1	0	1
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I	-	-	-		1	0	1
P	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	D25E/S138C	0	1	1
U	-	-	-	-	ι	-	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	I	-	-	-	K101/Q14H/H/8Y	1	U	1

^a Variant classification based on the E6 sequences.

cervical cancer among Chinese and Japanese women (Matsumoto et al., 2000; Sun et al., 2013), but not in Hongkong and Korean populations (Chan et al., 2002; Kang et al., 2005).

To clarify the association between HPV16 A4/Asian variants and cervical cancer risk, we conducted this case-control study with 298 cervical cancer patients and 85 healthy controls (all HPV16-positive) from Chinese women. In addition, we performed a metaanalysis to evaluate associations in East Asian populations.

Results

A total of 298 cases and 85 controls are included in subsequent analyses (after exclusion of two cases co-infected with more than one HPV16 variant). In cases, 289 (97.0%) were diagnosed with squamous cell carcinoma, 7 (2.3%) with adenocarcinoma, and 2 (0.7%) with adenosquamous carcinoma. The mean ages of cases and controls were similar (49.2 ± 8.5 years for cases and 48.4 ± 11.2 years for controls, P=0.478).

HPV-16 E6 polymorphisms and variants

The most predominant variant sublineages among Chinese women infected with HPV16 were A1–3 (56.9%, 218/383), followed by A4/Asian (42.8%, 164/383) and D (0.3%, 1/383) (Table 1). Of 30 nucleotide polymorphisms identified in E6, 23 (76.7%) were non-synonymous, including T178G (42.8%, 164/383), T350G (4.2%, 16/383), and G176A (3.7%, 14/383) as the three most frequent changes.

Association of HPV16 variants with cervical cancer risk

A4/Asian variants were significantly associated with a higher risk of cervical cancer compared with A1–3 (Odds ratio (OR)=1.72, 95% confidence interval (CI)=1.04-2.85; P=0.036) (Table 2). The association did not change materially after adjusting for age.

A1–3 variants were further stratified into 350T and 350G (based on polymorphism at position 350, regardless of sequences at other positions), and no significant difference in the distribution of these variants was observed between cases and controls (OR=0.62, 95% CI=0.20–1.92; P=0.404).

Meta-analysis

A total of six studies evaluating the risk of HPV16 A4/Asian variants for invasive cervical cancer (ICC) in East Asia were included (Table 3). Cervical samples were derived from women in China (Ding et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2013), Japan (Matsumoto et al., 2000), Korea (Cornet et al., 2013; Kang et al., 2005), and Thailand (Chopjitt et al., 2009; Cornet et al., 2013). All studies used PCR plus sequencing to detect viral variants and HPV16 E6 gene was sequenced in each study, A4/Asian variants being predominantly defined by the presence of the polymorphism T178G.

Table 2

Association between HPV16 variants and cervical cancer.

Variant ^a	Case (<i>N</i> =298)	Control (N=85)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
A1-3	161 (54.0)	57 (67.1) 52 (61.2)	Reference	Poforonco
350G	9 (3.0)	52 (01.2) 5 (5.9)		0.62 (0.20, 1.92)
A4/Asian	136 (45.6)	28 (32.9)	1.72 (1.04, 2.85)	
D	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)		

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

^a Variant classification based on the E6 sequences.

Table 3			
Studies	included	in	meta-analysis.

First author, year	Region	Gene tested	Control ogy/low	Case (ICC)		
			A1-3	A4	A1-3	A4
Present study	China	E6	57	28	161	136
Sun, 2013	China	E6, LCR	113	24	19	31
Ding, 2010	China	E6, E7	10	29	6	42
Matsumoto, 2000	Japan	E6	16	4	22	19
Kang, 2005	Korea	E6	0	8	3	23
Cornet, 2013	Korea	E6	2	2	7	35
Cornet, 2013	Thailand	E6	3	18	30	146
Chopjitt, 2009	Thailand	E6	7	2	6	17
Total			208	115	254	449

ICC, invasive cervical cancer.

Together with our present study, a total of 703 women with ICC (HPV16-positive) and 323 with normal cytology or low-grade lesions (HPV16-positive) were included in the meta-analysis. Overall, A4/Asian variants were significantly associated with ICC compared to A1–3 variants (OR=2.82, 95% CI=1.44–5.52; P=0.003) (Fig. 1). Sensitivity testing was conducted by omitting each study in turn to evaluate its influence on the meta-analysis. No single study altered the pooled ORs substantially, indicating that the results of meta-analysis were robust (Fig. 2). No obvious asymmetry of the funnel plots was observed for included studies (Fig. 3) and the Egger's test was nonsignificant (P=0.896), thus suggesting that no significant publication bias existed.

Discussion

Although the burden of cervical cancer in China is substantial, there are limited data on the epidemiology of HPV variants among Chinese population. In this study, we investigated the sequence polymorphisms of HPV16 E6 oncogene and evaluated their oncogenic implications among Chinese women. We found that A1–3 and A4/Asian were the most common HPV16 variant sub-lineages in China. Compared with A1–3, A4/Asian variants were significantly associated with elevated risk of cervical cancer. A systematic meta-analysis further supported that A4/Asian variants confer higher carcinogenicity among East Asian women.

It is well known that HPV16 variants display differential geographic distributions and our study confirmed that A lineages account for a majority of HPV16 isolates in Asian populations (Cornet et al., 2013; Yamada et al., 1997). However, previous studies reached inconsistent conclusions on whether A4/Asian variants represent a higher risk for cervical cancer (Kang et al., 2005; Matsumoto et al., 2000; Sun et al., 2013). In the present study, that was by far the largest to date, we found a significant association of A4/Asian variants with cervical cancer in China, consistent with previous studies in Japan and Thailand (Chopjitt et al., 2009; Matsumoto et al., 2000). Given that HPV16-positive controls need to be derived from large population-based screening programs, relatively small number of controls is the inherent problem in many studies. Thus, we pooled data from six studies together with ours, and confirmed that HPV16 A4/Asian variants were more oncogenic than A1-3 variants. Although significant heterogeneity between studies was observed, there was no evidence of publication bias, and the association was statistically robust in sensitivity testing.

We also studied the most common polymorphism within A1–3 variants, namely 350T/G, but found no significant association with cervical cancer in our Chinese population. However, 350G was rare, confirming observations from other East Asian studies (Chang



Fig. 1. Forest plots with odds ratios (ORs) of cervical cancer for HPV16 A4/Asian variants.



Fig. 2. Sensitivity analysis of the pooled odds ratios (ORs) of cervical cancer for HPV16 A4/Asian variants.

et al., 2013; Kang et al., 2005), in comparison to those from Europe and the Americas where it is more frequent (Cornet et al., 2013; Yamada et al., 1997). The risk implications of this common polymorphism have been widely studied, with discrepant results (Chan et al., 2002; Grodzki et al., 2006; Zehbe et al., 2001, 1998), leading some authors to suggest that the carcinogenicity of 350T vs 350G might be population-dependent (Cornet et al., 2013; Zehbe et al., 2001). Host genetic factors, which differ by population, might have a role in the association between a particular HPV16 variant and cervical cancer development. However, differences by population might be simply explained by residual genetic heterogeneity within HPV16 genomes classified solely upon position 350, which, although highly polymorphic, does not seem to robustly define phylogenetic sublineages (Chen et al., 2005). Unfortunately, we were not able to compare the phylogenetically meaningful sublineages of A1, A2 and A3, due to lack of resolution based solely on E6.

The association of HPV16 E6 variants with cervical cancer risk may be explained by improved viral fitness (i.e. ability to evade host immune system and establish a persistent infection) and/or enhanced carcinogenicity. Functional studies suggested that T350G may have biological advantages over the reference to abrogate the serum/calcium-dependent differentiation of primary human foreskin keratinocytes (PHFKs) (Asadurian et al., 2007), to promote cellular immortalization and down-regulate E-cadherin (Togtema et al., 2015), as well as to enhance E6-mediated MAPK signaling and cooperative transformation with deregulated Notch1 pathway (Chakrabarti et al., 2004; Sichero et al., 2012). However, there are relatively less functional data on T178G, the diagnostic E6 polymorphism for A4/Asian variants. It was reported that T178G induced degradation of tumor suppressor p53 at a similar level to



Fig. 3. Funnel plots of included studies on the association of HPV16 A4/Asian variants with cervical cancer risk.

the reference E6 (Hang et al., 2014; Yi et al., 2013), but a microarray experiment identified 14 other genes affected differentially by this variation (Jang et al., 2011). Thus, more functional studies of T178G and other linked polymorphisms throughout the HPV16 genome of A4/Asian variants are necessary to explore the biological evidence of increased carcinogenicity.

In summary, our study provides evidence that HPV16 A4/Asian variants may predict higher cervical cancer risk among Chinese women. These findings provide the basis for HPV16 genome wide studies to better determine the exact genetic determinants of differences in carcinogenicity of HPV16 variants.

Materials and methods

Study population

Cervical cancer cases were consecutively recruited from Cancer Institute and Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences in Beijing, China, since January 2010 to July 2012. All cases were newly diagnosed and histologically confirmed invasive cancer. Control subjects were from the population who participated in a hospital-based screening program for cervical cancer in Beijing conducted by Department of Cancer Prevention, Cancer Institute and Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, since January 2010–July 2012. Controls had no abnormal intraepithelial lesions identified by liquid-based cytology (LBC) and were frequencymatched to the cases by age (\pm 5 years). Written informed consent was obtained from each subject. This study was approved by the ethics committees of Cancer Institute and Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Nanjing Medical University.

HPV genotyping

The β -actin gene (forward primer, 5'-GAAATCGTGCGTGA-CATTAA-3'; reverse primer 5'-AAGGAAGGCTGGAAGAGTG-3') was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to confirm the quality of DNA extracted from exfoliated cervical cells. All β -actin positive specimens were tested for HPV DNA by using a HPV GenoArray Test Kit (HybriBio Ltd., Beijing, China), which could identify 21 HPV types simultaneously (13 high-risk types: HPV16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, and 68; two intermediate-risk types 53 and 66; and six low-risk HPV types: HPV6, 11, 42, 43, 44, and 81). In total, 300 of 607 cancer cases and 85 controls from the cohort of 5066 participants were HPV16-positive and included in this study.

Variant identification

HPV16-positive samples were analyzed for E6 polymorphisms by PCR amplification of 545-bp product followed by sequencing. Briefly, type-specific primers applied were flanking outside of the coding region of HPV16 E6 (nucleotides 21-565): forward primer 5'-AAACTAAGGGCGTAACCGAA and reverse primer 5'-GCATGAT-TACAGCTGGGTTTCTC. The PCR mixture contained 50 ng template DNA, 0.2 µM each primer, 0.2 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphate, $1 \times PCR$ buffer containing 1.5 mM MgCl₂, and 0.8 U HotStarTaq DNA polymerase (Qiagen, Germany). After a 15 min enzyme activation at 95 °C, 35 cycles of amplification (94 °C for 40 s, 58 °C for 50 s, and 72 °C for 40 s) and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min were carried out. For quality control, a negative control reaction without DNA template and a positive control reaction with HPV16 plasmid DNA were included in each PCR test. Double-stranded sequencing of the amplified product was performed using the same primers as in PCR. E6 polymorphisms were identified by comparison to the reference HPV16 sequence (GenBank: K02718), and intratype variants were classified based on E6 sequences as previously described (Cornet et al., 2012; Huertas-Salgado et al., 2011).

Meta-analysis

We searched all epidemiological studies on the association between HPV16 variants and cervical cancer in East Asian populations. Eligible studies, published in English, up to June 2015, were identified by using the search terms "Human papillomavirus 16, Asian variant, T178G, cervical cancer" in Pubmed. Additional relevant references cited in retrieved articles were also evaluated. If data were published more than once, only the publication with the largest sample size was included. The raw data were abstracted independently by two reviewers. If different results were generated, they were discussed until a consensus was reached. Odds ratios (ORs) and relevant 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated in logistic regression models. The Cochran's Q-test and I^2 statistic were performed to assess the heterogeneity between studies (Higgins and Thompson, 2002). If there was significant heterogeneity (P < 0.05 or $I^2 > 50\%$), a random-effects model was applied instead of a fixed-effect model to pool the data of included studies. Publication bias was examined by funnel plots and the Egger test (Sterne and Egger, 2001).

Statistical analyses

Differences in demographic characteristics between cases and controls were evaluated by using the Student's *t*-test and the χ^2 -test for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. The association between HPV16 variants and cervical cancer risk was estimated by computing the ORs and 95% CIs in logistic regression models. All *P* values presented were two-sided and were assumed significant as *P* < 0.05. Statistical analyses were conducted using Stata version 11.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Jiangsu Province Clinical Science and Technology Projects (Clinical Research Center, BL2012008), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant numbers 81172757, 81373079, 81502873, and 30901236), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province for Youth (Grant number BK20150997), Beijing Natural Science Foundation (Grant number 7123225), Beijing Nova Program (Grant number xx2012067), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant number 2015M570467), and Jiangsu Planned Projects for Postdoctoral Research Funds (Grant number 1402017A).

References

- Asadurian, Y., Kurilin, H., Lichtig, H., Jackman, A., Gonen, P., Tommasino, M., Zehbe, I., Sherman, L., 2007. Activities of human papillomavirus 16 E6 natural variants in human keratinocytes. J. Med. Virol. 79, 1751–1760.
- Berumen, J., Ordonez, R.M., Lazcano, E., Salmeron, J., Galvan, S.C., Estrada, R.A., Yunes, E., Garcia-Carranca, A., Gonzalez-Lira, G., Madrigal-de la Campa, A., 2001. Asian-American variants of human papillomavirus 16 and risk for cervical cancer: a case-control study. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 93, 1325–1330.
- Burk, R.D., Harari, A., Chen, Z., 2013. Human papillomavirus genome variants. Virology 445, 232–243.
- Chakrabarti, O., Veeraraghavalu, K., Tergaonkar, V., Liu, Y., Androphy, E.J., Stanley, M. A., Krishna, S., 2004. Human papillomavirus type 16 E6 amino acid 83 variants enhance E6-mediated MAPK signaling and differentially regulate tumorigenesis by notch signaling and oncogenic Ras. J. Virol. 78, 5934–5945.
- Chan, P.K., Lam, C.W., Cheung, T.H., Li, W.W., Lo, K.W., Chan, M.Y., Cheung, J.L., Xu, L. Y., Cheng, A.F., 2002. Human papillomavirus type 16 intratypic variant infection and risk for cervical neoplasia in southern China. J. Infect. Dis. 186, 696–700.
- Chang, Y.J., Chen, H.C., Pan, M.H., Lee, B.H., You, S.L., Lin, C.Y., Chou, Y.C., Hsieh, C.Y., Cheng, Y.J., Liaw, K.L., Hsing, A.W., Schiffman, M., Chen, C.J., 2013. Intratypic variants of human papillomavirus type 16 and risk of cervical neoplasia in Taiwan. J. Med. Virol. 85, 1567–1576.
- Chen, Z., Terai, M., Fu, L., Herrero, R., DeSalle, R., Burk, R.D., 2005. Diversifying selection in human papillomavirus type 16 lineages based on complete genome analyses. J. Virol. 79, 7014–7023.
- Chopjitt, P., Ekalaksananan, T., Pientong, C., Kongyingyoes, B., Kleebkaow, P., Charoensri, N., 2009. Prevalence of human papillomavirus type 16 and its variants in abnormal squamous cervical cells in Northeast Thailand. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 13, 212–219.
- Cornet, I., Gheit, T., Franceschi, S., Vignat, J., Burk, R.D., Sylla, B.S., Tommasino, M., Clifford, G.M., 2012. Human papillomavirus type 16 genetic variants: phylogeny and classification based on E6 and LCR. J. Virol. 86, 6855–6861.
- Cornet, I., Gheit, T., Iannacone, M.R., Vignat, J., Sylla, B.S., Del Mistro, A., Franceschi, S., Tommasino, M., Clifford, G.M., 2013. HPV16 genetic variation and the development of cervical cancer worldwide. Br. J. Cancer 108, 240–244.
- Ding, T., Wang, X., Ye, F., Cheng, X., Lu, W., Xie, X., 2010. Distribution of human papillomavirus 16 E6/E7 variants in cervical cancer and intraepithelial neoplasia in Chinese women. Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer 20, 1391–1398.
- Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., Dikshit, R., Eser, S., Mathers, C., Rebelo, M., Parkin, D.M., Forman, D., Bray, F., 2015. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int. J. Cancer 136, E359–E386.
- Grodzki, M., Besson, G., Clavel, C., Arslan, A., Franceschi, S., Birembaut, P., Tommasino, M., Zehbe, I., 2006. Increased risk for cervical disease progression of French women infected with the human papillomavirus type 16 E6-350G variant. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev. 15, 820–822.
- Guan, P., Howell-Jones, R., Li, N., Bruni, L., de Sanjose, S., Franceschi, S., Clifford, G. M., 2012. Human papillomavirus types in 115,789 HPV-positive women: a meta-analysis from cervical infection to cancer. Int. J. Cancer 131, 2349–2359.
- Hang, D., Gao, L., Sun, M., Liu, Y., Ke, Y., 2014. Functional effects of sequence variations in the E6 and E2 genes of human papillomavirus 16 European and Asian variants. J. Med. Virol. 86, 618–626.
- Higgins, J.P., Thompson, S.G., 2002. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat. Med. 21, 1539–1558.
- Huertas-Salgado, A., Martin-Gamez, D.C., Moreno, P., Murillo, R., Bravo, M.M., Villa, L., Molano, M., 2011. E6 molecular variants of human papillomavirus (HPV) type 16: an updated and unified criterion for clustering and nomenclature. Virology 410, 201–215.

- Jang, M., Rhee, J.E., Jang, D.H., Kim, S.S., 2011. Gene expression profiles are altered in human papillomavirus-16 E6 D25E-expressing cell lines. Virol. J. 8, 453.
- Kang, S., Jeon, Y.T., Kim, J.W., Park, N.H., Song, Y.S., Kang, S.B., Lee, H.P., 2005. Polymorphism in the E6 gene of human papillomavirus type 16 in the cervical tissues of Korean women. Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer 15, 107–112.
- Matsumoto, K., Yoshikawa, H., Nakagawa, S., Tang, X., Yasugi, T., Kawana, K., Sekiya, S., Hirai, Y., Kukimoto, I., Kanda, T., Taketani, Y., 2000. Enhanced oncogenicity of human papillomavirus type 16 (HPV16) variants in Japanese population. Cancer Lett. 156, 159–165.
- Myers, E.R., McCrory, D.C., Nanda, K., Bastian, L., Matchar, D.B., 2000. Mathematical model for the natural history of human papillomavirus infection and cervical carcinogenesis. Am. J. Epidemiol. 151, 1158–1171.
- Schiffman, M., Rodriguez, A.C., Chen, Z., Wacholder, S., Herrero, R., Hildesheim, A., Desalle, R., Befano, B., Yu, K., Safaeian, M., Sherman, M.E., Morales, J., Guillen, D., Alfaro, M., Hutchinson, M., Solomon, D., Castle, P.E., Burk, R.D., 2010. A population-based prospective study of carcinogenic human papillomavirus variant lineages, viral persistence, and cervical neoplasia. Cancer Res. 70, 3159–3169.
- Schiffman, M., Wentzensen, N., Wacholder, S., Kinney, W., Gage, J.C., Castle, P.E., 2011. Human papillomavirus testing in the prevention of cervical cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 103, 368–383.
- Sichero, L., Sobrinho, J.S., Villa, L.L., 2012. Oncogenic potential diverge among human papillomavirus type 16 natural variants. Virology 432, 127–132.
- Sterne, J.A., Egger, M., 2001. Funnel plots for detecting bias in meta-analysis: guidelines on choice of axis. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 54, 1046–1055.
- Sun, Z., Lu, Z., Liu, J., Wang, G., Zhou, W., Yang, L., Liu, C., Wang, B., Ruan, Q., 2013. Genetic variations of E6 and long control region of human papillomavirus type 16 from patients with cervical lesion in Liaoning, China. BMC Cancer 13, 459.
- Togtema, M., Jackson, R., Richard, C., Niccoli, S., Zehbe, I., 2015. The human papillomavirus 16 European-T350G E6 variant can immortalize but not transform keratinocytes in the absence of E7. Virology 485, 274–282.
- Wang, S.S., Hildesheim, A., 2003. Chapter 5: viral and host factors in human papillomavirus persistence and progression. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. Monogr. 31, 35–40.
- Wu, Y., Chen, Y., Li, L., Yu, G., He, Y., Zhang, Y., 2006. Analysis of mutations in the E6/ E7 oncogenes and L1 gene of human papillomavirus 16 cervical cancer isolates from China. J. Gen. Virol. 87, 1181–1188.
- Xi, L.F., Koutsky, L.A., Hildesheim, A., Galloway, D.A., Wheeler, C.M., Winer, R.L., Ho, J., Kiviat, N.B., 2007. Risk for high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia associated with variants of human papillomavirus types 16 and 18. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev. 16, 4–10.
- Xi, L.F., Schiffman, M., Koutsky, L.A., Hughes, J.P., Winer, R.L., Mao, C., Hulbert, A., Lee, S.K., Shen, Z., Kiviat, N.B., 2014. Lineages of oncogenic human papillomavirus types other than type 16 and 18 and risk for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 106, dju270.
- Yamada, T., Manos, M.M., Peto, J., Greer, C.E., Munoz, N., Bosch, F.X., Wheeler, C.M., 1997. Human papillomavirus type 16 sequence variation in cervical cancers: a worldwide perspective. J. Virol. 71, 2463–2472.
- Yi, J.W., Jang, M., Kim, S.J., Kim, S.S., Rhee, J.E., 2013. Degradation of p53 by natural variants of the E6 protein of human papillomavirus type 16. Oncol. Rep. 29, 1617–1622.
- Zehbe, I., Tachezy, R., Mytilineos, J., Voglino, G., Mikyskova, I., Delius, H., Marongiu, A., Gissmann, L., Wilander, E., Tommasino, M., 2001. Human papillomavirus 16 E6 polymorphisms in cervical lesions from different European populations and their correlation with human leukocyte antigen class II haplotypes. Int. J. Cancer 94, 711–716.
- Zehbe, I., Wilander, E., Delius, H., Tommasino, M., 1998. Human papillomavirus 16 E6 variants are more prevalent in invasive cervical carcinoma than the prototype. Cancer Res. 58, 829–833.
- zur Hausen, H., 2002. Papillomaviruses and cancer: from basic studies to clinical application. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2, 342–350.