Petrogenesis of rare-metal pegmatites in high-grade metamorphic terranes: A case study from the Lewisian Gneiss Complex of north-west Scotland
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Abstract

Many rare metals used today are derived from granitic pegmatites, but debate continues about the origin of these rocks. It is clear that some pegmatites represent the most highly fractionated products of a parental granite body, whilst others have formed by anatexis of local crust. However, the importance of these two processes in the formation of rare-metal pegmatites is not always evident.

The Lewisian Gneiss Complex of NW Scotland comprises Archaean meta-igneous gneisses which were highly reworked during accretional and collisional events in the Palaeoproterozoic (Laxfordian orogeny). Crustal thickening and subsequent decompression led to melting and the formation of abundant granitic and pegmatitic sheets in many parts of the Lewisian Gneiss Complex. This paper presents new petrological, geochemical and age data for those pegmatites and shows that, whilst the majority are barren biotite–magnetite granitic pegmatites, a few muscovite–garnet (rare-metal) pegmatites are present. These are mainly intruded into a belt of Palaeoproterozoic metasedimentary and meta-igneous rocks known as the Harris Granulite Belt.

The rare-metal pegmatites are distinct in their mineralogy, containing garnet and muscovite, with local tourmaline and a range of accessory minerals including columbite and tantalite. In contrast, the biotite–magnetite pegmatites have biotite and magnetite as their main mafic components. The rare-metal pegmatites are also distinguished by their bulk-rock and mineral chemistry, including a more peraluminous character and enrichments in Rb, Li, Cs, Be, Nb and Ta. New U–Pb ages (c. 1690–1710 Ma) suggest that these rare-metal pegmatites are within the age range of nearby biotite–magnetite pegmatites, indicating that similar genetic processes could have been responsible for their formation.

The peraluminous nature of the rare-metal pegmatites strongly points towards a metasedimentary source. Notably, within the Lewisian Gneiss Complex, such pegmatites are only found in areas where a metasedimentary source is available. The evidence thus points towards all the Laxfordian pegmatites being formed by a process of crustal anatexis, with the formation of rare-metal pegmatites being largely controlled by source composition rather than solely by genetic process. This is in keeping with previous studies that have also challenged the widely accepted model that all rare-metal pegmatites are formed by fractionation from a parental granite, and raises questions about the origin of other mineralised pegmatites worldwide.

© 2016 British Geological Survey, NERC. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Granitic pegmatites are important sources of rare metals, such as Ta, Nb, Li, Cs and the rare earth elements (REE), that are being increasingly used in new and green technologies. Pegmatite research, and the debate surrounding their origin and classification, has interested geoscientists for well over a century. Early workers were divided on the origin of pegmatites; some favoured a purely igneous origin (De Beaumont, 1847; Hitchcock, 1883), whilst others relied on hydrothermal processes to explain their formation (Hunt, 1871). However, it was Brögger (1890) who first suggested that a complex interplay of igneous and hydrothermal processes is responsible for their formation. A comprehensive...
review of the history of pegmatite research is given in London (2008). There are many ways to classify pegmatites, with one of the simplest being a division into barren and rare-metal pegmatites. A rare-metal pegmatite is defined as “a pegmatite that hosts appreciable beryl, lithium aluminosilicates, and phosphates other than apatite, oxides other than magnetite or ilmenite, and other rarer minerals” (London, 2014).

Regardless of the mechanism of formation, the rare metal budget of a pegmatite is ultimately defined by both the composition and volume of source material from which the parent granite pluton is derived, with or without the addition of material external to the system (Novák et al., 2012). Rare-metal pegmatites can be broadly divided into two geochemical groups, or families: pegmatites enriched in niobium, yttrium, and fluorine (NYF), which have a compositional affinity with alkaline A-type granites generated by melting of lower continental crust and/or mantle; and pegmatites enriched in lithium, caesium, and tantalum (LCT), which are derived from peraluminous S-type granites associated with the melting of schists and gneisses of sedimentary origin (Cerný et al., 2012a). However, within these two families exists a varied array of sub-classes and sub-types, which are described in detail by Cerný and Erict (2005).

There are currently two hypotheses that aim to explain how pegmatite-forming melts are generated: (1) extended fractional crystallisation of a granitic magma at the scale of a pluton, and (2) direct formation by low-degree partial melting of crustal rocks, typically in the presence of fluids (London, 2005; Martin and De Vito, 2005). In practice, these hypotheses represent two end-members and many pegmatites may be formed by a combination of crustal melting and subsequent fractionation of small magmatic bodies. Examples of rare-metal pegmatites formed by extended fractional crystallisation of larger granitic intrusions are well documented from: Separation Lake, Canada (Pan and Breaks, 1997; Tindle and Breaks, 2000); Yichun, China (Belkasmi et al., 2000); the North Pilbara Craton, Western Australia (Sweetapple and Collins, 2002); and Indian Hill, southern California (Walawender, 2003). However, an increasing number of studies describe felsic pegmatites that are considered to be derived by direct partial melting rather than by fractional crystallisation of a parent granite. Examples include the Münchberg Massif, Germany (Franz and Smelik, 1995); Brattstrand Bluffs, East Antarctica (Fitzsimons, 1996); Larsemann Hills, East Antarctica (Carson et al., 1997); Urdaib and Espéchére, in the western Pyrénées (Pin et al., 2006); the Fraser Lake area of Northern Saskatchewan, Canada (McKechnie et al., 2012); and the Moldanubian domain of the Bohemian Massif, Czech Republic (Mелетон et al., 2012). In many cases granitic pegmatites are described as being derived by extended fractional crystallisation of a fertile parent granite, even where a parent granite body remains enigmatic (Crouse and Cerný, 1972; Jahns and Ewing, 1976; Stillings et al., 2006). This raises an interesting question – if a pegmatite cannot be proven to be spatially, temporally or genetically related to a parent granite body is it reasonable to make the assumption that a granitic body raises an interesting question – if a pegmatite cannot be proven to be spatially, temporally or genetically related to a parent granite (Novák et al., 2012). Rare-metal pegmatites, but also rare-metal pegmatites.

2. Geological setting: the Lewisian Gneiss complex

The Lewisian Gneiss Complex of north-west Scotland represents the margin of the North Atlantic Craton, comprising Archaean TTG gneisses that were variably reworked during the Palaeoproterozoic (Pearch et al., 1907; Sutton and Watson, 1951). It crops out both on
the west coast of the Scottish mainland and on the islands of the Outer Hebrides, and has been divided by recent workers into a number of separate terranes (Kinny and Friend, 2005; Love et al., 2010) (Fig. 1) although the exact details of those terranes and their structural relationships continue to be debated (Park, 2005; Wheeler et al., 2010; Mason, 2012).

The majority of the Lewisian gneisses were formed, deformed and metamorphosed to high grades during the Archaean (Sutton and Watson, 1951; Giletti et al., 1961; Kinny and Friend, 2005; Wheeler et al., 2010; Zirkler et al., 2012). Much of the region was subsequently affected by magmatism, amphibolite-facies metamorphism and deformation associated with collisional events during the Palaeoproterozoic Laxfordian orogeny (c. 1900–1670 Ma) (Sutton and Watson, 1951; Moorbath and Park, 1972; Kinny and Friend, 2005; Love et al., 2010; Goodenough et al., 2013). The Laxfordian orogeny comprise a number of events, the evidence for which varies across the Lewisian Gneiss Complex. The latter stages of the Laxfordian orogeny were marked by crustal melting and abundant granite magmatism in many parts of the Lewisian Gneiss Complex; this magmatic event is the subject of this paper.

The most-studied part of the Lewisian Gneiss Complex is the Assynt terrane on the Scottish mainland. Here, Archaean granulite-facies assemblages are well-preserved in the TTG gneisses, with limited Laxfordian reworking that is focused along discrete shear zones (Sutton and Watson, 1951; Tarney and Weaver, 1987; Kinny and Friend, 2005; Wheeler et al., 2010; Zirkler et al., 2012; MacDonald et al., 2015). Although the terrane is dominated by TTG gneisses, mafic to ultramafic and metasedimentary pods are found in a number of locations within the gneisses (Davies, 1974; Cartwright et al., 1985; Kinny and Friend, 2005; Goodenough et al., 2010). In this terrane, evidence for the Laxfordian orogeny is restricted to discrete amphibolite-facies shear zones in the gneisses. Magmatism associated with the Laxfordian event orogeny is restricted to a few narrow granite and granitic pegmatite sheets, largely intruded into the shear zones that form the terrane boundaries (Goodenough et al., 2010).

To the north of the Assynt terrane, the Rhiconich terrane was affected by significant Laxfordian reworking and is migmatitic in nature, with pervasive sheets of Laxfordian granite and granitic pegmatite throughout (Sutton and Watson, 1951; Beach et al.,

![Fig. 1. Simplified map of the Lewisian Gneiss Complex of north-west Scotland, showing the main structural features and terrane boundaries. Adapted from Goodenough et al. (2013).](image)
et al. 2004b). The rocks of the Harris Granulite Belt were metamorphosed at high temperatures (granulite facies) shortly after formation (Cliff et al., 1998; Hollis et al., 2006; Baba et al., 2012) and were subsequently accreted to the margin of the Tarbert terrane by thrusting along the Langavat Belt (Mason, 2012). Granitic pegmatites within the Langavat Belt are strongly deformed and are dated at c. 1681–1657 Ma (Mason et al., 2004b; Kelly et al., 2008). These pegmatites are thus coeval with those in the Tarbert terrane and with the southern mainland terranes, but they are unusual in that they have been affected by significant deformation.

In contrast, the granitic pegmatites within the Harris Granulite Belt are unusually large (up to 30 m across), sharply bounded, and cross-cut the main fabric in their host rocks. This group includes some rare-metal pegmatites (von Knorring and Dearnley, 1959). They have not previously been dated by modern methods.

Further south in the Outer Hebrides, Archaean gneisses have been extensively reworked during the Laxfordian event with intrusion of granitic and pegmatitic sheets (Love et al., 2010). As on the mainland, the Laxfordian events in the Outer Hebrides are interpreted in terms of an initial subduction-accretion period at c. 1700–1650 Ma, followed by a period of continental collision and crustal thickening with crustal melting and migmatite-pegmatite formation during decompression at 1700–1650 Ma (Mason, 2015).

As described above, Laxfordian granites and pegmatites are particularly well developed in the Rhiconich and Tarbert terranes where they form a network of intrusive sheets within the TTG gneisses. Although the intrusions in these terranes appear to be

![Fig. 2. Simplified geological map of South Harris, Outer Hebrides, showing the approximate location of pegmatites in the Langavat Belt, Leverburgh Belt and South Harris Igneous Complex (SHIC). After Pettes et al. (1992).](Image)
of different ages, their modes of formation can be considered to be broadly similar. They have been described as migmatite complexes (Cliff et al., 1998). However, geochemical models and partial melt-to-matrix compositions to the Archaean gneisses (Taylor et al., 1984; isotope data that show the granitic sheets to have similar Nd isotope characteristics to the host TTG gneisses, and that introduction of a K-rich fluid is required (Fettes et al., 1992; Castro, 2004; Watkins et al., 2007). It is important to note that the small number of available dates may mask a much larger spread in pegmatite age. A summary of available ages for Lewisian pegmatites in the Outer Hebrides is given in Table 1.

### Table 1

A summary of available ages for Lewisian pegmatites in the Outer Hebrides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Reference and uncertainty level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chaipaval</td>
<td>1690 ± 39</td>
<td>Pb–Pb</td>
<td>LA-ICP-MS (weighted average)</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>1; 2 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaipaval</td>
<td>1712 ± 36</td>
<td>U–Pb</td>
<td>LA-ICP-MS (regression)</td>
<td>Mnz</td>
<td>1; 2 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaipaval</td>
<td>1517 ± 35</td>
<td>U–Pb</td>
<td>LA-ICP-MS (regression)</td>
<td>Xtm</td>
<td>1; 2 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaipaval</td>
<td>1570 ± 80</td>
<td>Rb–Sr</td>
<td>ID-TIMS (mineral age)</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>2; 2 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaipaval</td>
<td>1610 ± 70</td>
<td></td>
<td>ID-TIMS (mineral age)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sletteval</td>
<td>1530 ± 60</td>
<td>Rb–Sr</td>
<td>ID-TIMS (mineral age)</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>2; 2 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaipaval</td>
<td>1570 K–Ar</td>
<td></td>
<td>ID-TIMS (mineral age)</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>2; “± 5%” 1 s?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaipaval</td>
<td>1560 U–Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td>ID-TIMS</td>
<td>Ur</td>
<td>3; unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaipaval</td>
<td>1566 ± 100</td>
<td>Pb–Pb</td>
<td>ID-TIMS</td>
<td>Mnz</td>
<td>4; 5; unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loch a’Gruir</td>
<td>1680 ± 100</td>
<td>Pb–Pb</td>
<td>ID-TIMS</td>
<td>Ur</td>
<td>4; 5; unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langavat Belt</td>
<td>1625 ± 3</td>
<td>Pb–Pb</td>
<td>SIMS</td>
<td>Zrn</td>
<td>6; 2 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langavat Belt</td>
<td>1656 ± 2</td>
<td>U–Pb</td>
<td>TIMS (weighted average)</td>
<td>Zrn</td>
<td>7; 2 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langavat Belt</td>
<td>1675 ± 2</td>
<td>Pb–Pb</td>
<td>TIMS (regression)</td>
<td>Zrn</td>
<td>8; 2 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uig Hills Granite Complex</td>
<td>1750 ± 34</td>
<td>Rb–Sr</td>
<td>ID-TIMS (isochron)</td>
<td>Whole-rock</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uig Hills Granite Complex</td>
<td>1715 ± 20/10</td>
<td>U–Pb</td>
<td>ID-TIMS (regression)</td>
<td>Zrn</td>
<td>9; “Uncertainties visually estimated from Fig. 2”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mineral abbreviations from Siivola and Schmid (2007), except Ur (uraninite) and Col (columbite).

References: 1 – This paper; 2 – Giletti et al. (1961); 3 – Comment of A.G. Darnley in Giletti et al. (1961, p266); 4 – Bowie (1964); 5 – Dearnley (1963) (a footnote in this reference suggests that dating of uraninite from Loch a’Gruir and monazite from Chaipaval yielded an identical Pb–Pb age of 1565 Ma [pers. comms, A.G. Darnley]. Bowie reports the same age with a 100 Ma uncertainty, therefore it is presumed that both references are describing the same set of samples. 6 – Kelly et al. (2008); 7 – Mason et al. (2004); 8 – Mason and Brewer (2005) and; 9 – van Breemen et al. (1971).
South of the LSZ, in the TTG gneisses of the Assynt terrane, Laxfordian granitic pegmatites are rare. Only one pegmatite in this terrane was sampled, a particularly distinctive, thin but laterally extensive pegmatite at Badcall Bay (Fig. 1). The pegmatite is very coarse-grained and steeply dipping; with very sharp but irregular contacts with the host-rock. Despite the high degree of

Fig. 3. Field images of: (a) zonation in the pegmatite at Badcall Bay, Assynt terrane; (b) complex cross-cutting relationships in the Uig Hills Granite Complex, Tarbert terrane; (c) the sharp, discordant contact between the Sletteval pegmatite and host metagabbro, Harris Granulite Belt (15 cm long compass for scale); (d) very large feldspar and biotite crystals in the Sletteval pegmatite, Harris Granulite Belt; (e) the close association of garnet and muscovite mica in the Bhoiseabhal pegmatite, Harris Granulite Belt (15 cm long compass for scale); (f) the Chaipaval pegmatite near Northton, Harris Granulite Belt.
heterogeneity the pegmatite is well zoned with clearly defined marginal areas richer in dark-pink albitic feldspar, and a milky-quartz-rich core (Fig. 3a). Thick books of muscovite and biotite mica are also present in the marginal zones of the pegmatite. Field relationships demonstrate that the pegmatite clearly cross-cuts Laxfordian shear zones (MacDonald et al., 2013).

3.2. Southern mainland

The southern mainland region includes areas around Gairloch, Diabaig and the shores of Loch Maree (Fig. 1). In the area around Gairloch, Laxfordian granitic sheets and pegmatites are common in proximity to a large-scale, NW-trending, Proterozoic fold in TTG gneisses known as the Tollie Antiform (Holland and Lambert, 1995). The boundaries between the antiform and the surrounding host-rocks are marked by zones of brittle-ductile deformation, the Grenvillian (c.1000 Ma) Leth-chreige crush belt (Sherlock et al., 2008) to the north and the Creag Bhan crush belt to the south (Park, 2002). The fold itself consists of a shallow-dipping northern limb composed of dioritic orthogneiss and a steeply dipping southern limb comprising potassium-rich, quartzo-feldspathic orthogneiss (Park, 2002). Granitic pegmatites in this area occur as very coarse-grained sheets or pods and have sharp but irregular contacts with the host-rock. They are largely undeformed and typically cross-cut the host-rock foliation but are locally foliation parallel. However, within the Leth-chreige crush belt, pegmatites show evidence of brittle-ductile deformation and pervasive alteration that has remobilised trace elements including the light rare earth elements (LREE) in an irregular way (Owen, 2013). The pegmatites are quartz and K-feldspar-rich with variable amounts of biotite. Large aggregates of magnetite (up to 2 cm across) are present in some of the pegmatite margins, close to the contact with the host-rock gneiss.

The Diabaig area lies on the northern shores of Loch Torridon (Fig. 1). Diabaig area pegmatites are predominantly composed of quartz and K-feldspar with minor biotite and magnetite. The dykes mostly occur as sheets and thin veins between 5 cm and 5 m wide. Contacts with the host-rock gneiss vary from sharp to diffuse and irregular.

The Loch Maree Group (LMG), a Palaeoproterozoic island arc terrane, is composed of a series of NW-trending metasedimentary rocks, including schistose semipelites, and narrow bands of silicous, graphitic and carbonaceous metasedimentary, metavolcanic amphibolites (Park, 2002). Pegmatites are rare within the Loch Maree Group, but on the northern shore of Loch Maree strongly foliated amphibolitic and gneissic host-rocks are intruded by a series of NNW-trending pegmatitic sheets and pods. They have sharp but irregular contacts with the host-rocks and are intensely deformed. This deformation can be attributed to repeated brittle reactivation of the nearby Loch Maree Fault during the Phanerzoic (Beacom et al., 2001). The pegmatites are coarse-grained and comprise large K-feldspar augen (up to 5 cm across) in a strongly foliated matrix of quartz, feldspar, muscovite mica and epidote. In places pervasive alteration is observed along the pegmatite-host-rock contact, where an approximately 10 cm wide zone in the host amphibolite has been almost entirely replaced by pale green epidote and chlorite.

3.3. Tarbert terrane and Langavat Belt

The Tarbert terrane, including the Lewisian Gneiss Complex of North Harris and the Uig Hills Granite Complex, is separated from the Harris Granulite Belt, to the south, by the Langavat Belt (Mason et al., 2004b) (Figs. 1 and 2). The Archaean gneisses of the Tarbert terrane are strongly-banded, grey quartzo-feldspathic orthogneisses that are locally very heterogeneous and display a range of compositions and textures (Fettes et al., 1992). Granitic pegmatites in the Tarbert terrane generally have sharp but irregular contacts with the host-rock. They tend to form anastomosing networks of veins and thin sheets that cross-cut the gneissose banding at a steep angle. Pegmatite strike directions are locally variable. The pegmatites are very coarse-grained and are composed of quartz, plagioclase and K-feldspar (up to 5 cm across) with variable amounts of biotite and other accessory minerals, such as pyrite and allanite (up to 2 cm long) that are concentrated within discrete shear zones in the pegmatite and also along the pegmatite-gneiss contact.

The Uig Hills Granite Complex is a complicated series of granitic veins and sheets, and variably migmatised TTG gneisses within the Lewisian Gneiss Complex (Myers, 1971; Fettes et al., 1992). Granitic pegmatites in the Uig Hills Granite Complex have variable and complex field relationships with the host rock (Fig. 3b). In areas of less migmatisation, pegmatites typically have sharp but irregular contacts with the host gneiss. They occur as steeply dipping, north-west-trending sheets that are commonly parallel to the gneissose banding. The pegmatites comprise very coarse-grained quartz, plagioclase, K-feldspar and biotite with variable amounts of dark, fine-grained accessory minerals, such as magnetite. Pegmatites in highly migmatitic areas have gradational contacts with the host gneisses and also with the larger masses of granodiorite that make up part of the Uig Hills Granite Complex. Pegmatites occur as quartz and K-feldspar-rich pods, lenses and patches within the granodioritic intrusions, with variable amounts of biotite (up to 20% by volume), magnetite and other dark, fine-grained accessory minerals, (e.g. zircon, allanite and titanite). The relationships are clearly displayed around Ambuinnuisuidhe, where very coarse, porphyritic granodiorite contains rafts of tonalitic gneiss and abundant sheets of granitic pegmatite up to 1 m in thickness. The pegmatites have very diffuse contacts with the granodiorite; in places the two lithologies grade into one another. The pegmatites are composed of quartz, plagioclase, K-feldspar and biotite, and contain variable amounts of millimetre-sized accessory minerals that include magnetite and titanite.

The Langavat Belt forms a distinct tectonostratigraphic boundary between the Harris Granulite Belt and the gneisses of the Tarbert Terrane further north (Mason et al., 2004b), and is also considered to represent a major shear zone along which the Harris Granulite Belt was thrust over the Tarbert terrane (Mason et al., 2004a; Mason, 2012). The Langavat Belt is largely composed of amphibolite-facies metasedimentary, metabasic and ultramafic lithologies interspersed with bands of strongly reworked Archaean tonalitic gneiss (Kelly et al., 2008; Mason, 2012). NW-trending granitic pegmatites are widely distributed throughout the Langavat Belt (Mason and Brewer, 2005). They are steeply dipping, commonly emplaced parallel to the host-rock foliation, and locally show evidence of being themselves intensely deformed. The Langavat Belt pegmatites have variable contacts with the host-rock; in some places contacts are very sharp and planar, but elsewhere contacts are gradational and very irregular with evidence of host rock assimilation. The pegmatites typically comprise coarse-grained quartz, essential plagioclase (up to 2 cm across) and subordinate K-feldspar with variable amounts of biotite, epidote and magnetite.

3.4. Harris Granulite Belt (HGB)

The Harris Granulite Belt (Fig. 2) comprises the Leverburgh Belt and the South Harris Igneous Complex (SHIC) (Fettes et al., 1992). The Leverburgh Belt is made up of strongly foliated, NW-SE trending metasedimentary rocks dominated by garnet-bearing, quartz-rich gneisses with subordinate pelitic, semi-pelitic and quartzitic lithologies, and lenses of marble, graphitic schist and mafic material (Dearle, 1963; Dickinson, 1974; Fettes et al., 1992; Baba,
The SHIC is a zone of coarse-grained meta-igneous rocks (meta-anorthosite, metadiorite, metagabbro and metanorite) (Dearnley, 1963; Witty, 1975; Cliff et al., 1983; Fettes et al., 1998). The whole of the HGB was metamorphosed to granulite facies shortly after its formation at c. 1900 Ma (Cliff et al., 1983; Mason et al., 2004a; Baba et al., 2012). The HGB is thought to extend to a depth of around 7 km beneath the surface on the basis of geophysical evidence (Westbrook, 1974).

Within the HGB, pegmatites are intruded into both the Leverburgh Belt and the SHIC (Fig. 2). Generally they are large and extend for many hundreds of metres along strike. The grain size of the HGB pegmatites is highly variable, but locally can be extremely coarse, with feldspar crystals up to 1 m in length. The HGB pegmatites commonly have very sharp, planar contacts with the host rock and are highly discordant to the country-rock foliation, which broadly trends NW-SE (Jehu and Craig, 1927; Dearnley, 1963; Mason, 2015) (Fig. 3c). With the exception of the rare-metal pegmatite at Badcall Bay, the HGB pegmatites differ from pegmatites found in many other Lewisian terranes in that they include some rare-metal pegmatites. These pegmatites are described in detail below.

The main Sletteval pegmatite (Fig. 2) is about 20 m thick and well exposed in a series of disused quarries, with a parallel branch, about 5 m thick, to the north of the main intrusion. Both branches are shallow-dipping, and strike between north-east and east. The pegmatite has a very sharp, planar contact with the host metababbro of the SHIC (Fig. 3c), and in places metagabbroic xenoliths are included within the pegmatite margin. The grain size at Sletteval is extremely variable, ranging from millimetre-sized crystals to metre-long feldspar crystals. The mineral assemblage at Sletteval is relatively simple at the outcrop scale, comprising quartz, plagioclase, K-feldspar, and biotite (individual crystals up to 50 cm in length) (Fig. 3d), with minor magnetite and rare patches of garnet. The main pegmatite has a c. 1 m thick, coarse-grained, zoned lower margin which has a sharp contact with the extremely coarse-grained, massive, pegmatitic interior. The centre of the pegmatite is marked by a c. 2 m wide, quartz-rich core.

Two large pegmatites occur at the summit of Bhoiseabhal (Fig. 2). The more northerly is a steeply dipping, east–west-trending sheet with a sharp, planar contact with the host metagabbro. The pegmatite is massive and unzoned. The grain-size is very coarse with feldspar crystals up to 20 cm in length being common. The bulk of the pegmatite comprises graphic intergrowths of quartz and alkali feldspar with variable amounts of biotite, magnetite and garnet, the latter rarely occurring together. The main Bhoiseabhal pegmatite is irregular in shape and up to 250 m across. Contacts with the host metagabbro are sharp but highly irregular, with thin sheets of pegmatite extending into the host-rock and xenoliths of metagabbro included within the pegmatite margins. In xenoliths and close to the pegmatite contact, the metagabbro appears highly altered, with the growth of abundant biotite. Internal zoning in the main pegmatite is poorly developed, although the abundance and grain-size of aluminous minerals such as tourmaline and garnet seem to increase towards the margins of the pegmatite. The bulk of the main pegmatite comprises graphic intergrowths of smoky quartz and alkali feldspar with variable amounts of biotite. At the margins, particularly towards the upper margin, the mineral assemblage includes greenish-grey muscovite mica, magnetite, garnet (up to 1 cm across) and tourmaline (up to 10 cm in length). A close association exists between garnet and the greenish-grey muscovite, and they are typically found together in patches (Fig. 3e). No clear contact can be recognised between the biotite–magnetite core and the marginal zones.

Granitic pegmatites in the Leverburgh Belt are exposed at Kyles House (Fig. 2) as a series of steeply dipping north–east trending sheets that cross-cut the strongly foliated host metapelite. The pegmatites have sharp, planar contacts and are typically massive, lacking well-developed internal zonation. They are composed of very coarse-grained, graphic intergrowths of smoky quartz and alkali feldspar with variable amounts of biotite and magnetite. Garnet (up to 1 cm across) and epidote are present but nowhere abundant. One irregularly-shaped pegmatite at Kyles House has sharp, non-planar contacts with the host metapelite, into which offshoot veins of pegmatite are intruded. The dip and strike of this pegmatite are also quite variable, suggesting it may be a folded sheet. This pegmatite also has a simple mineralogical and textural zonation at its margins, similar to that at the Sletteval main pegmatite. The pegmatite comprises smoky quartz and feldspar with biotite, magnetite (up to 5 cm across) and garnet (up to 5 cm across).

The Chaipaval pegmatite is a steeply dipping, NE-SW-trending sheet that can be traced for about 2 km westwards along strike to the ruins of a medieval chapel at Rubh’ an Teampaill. It has a maximum thickness of about 25 m. It forms a distinctive white ridge across the lower slopes of Chaipaval, offset by a series of small faults, and is easily recognised from the village of Northton (Fig. 2) and (Fig. 3f). The pegmatite is very well exposed in a series of small disused quarries at its north-eastern end. It has very sharp, planar contacts with the host metasedimentary rocks of the Leverburgh Belt, rare fragments of which are included within the pegmatite margins. The pegmatite is very coarse grained and massive, lacking any internal zonation. It comprises quartz, plagioclase, rare K-feldspar and greenish-grey muscovite with varying amounts of biotite, garnet and magnetite. Tourmaline and other dark-coloured, fine-grained, accessory minerals such as gahnite, ferrocolumbite, xenotime and monazite are locally abundant, commonly forming discrete patches within the pegmatite. The graphic intergrowth of quartz and alkali feldspar is locally well developed but nowhere common. Muscovite is disseminated throughout the pegmatite but also forms discrete, monomineralic pods that are composed of thick books of mica up to 20 cm across. Small garnet crystals (between 1 and 2 mm across) are commonly included within the muscovite books.

Within the HGB, pegmatites are also exposed on the southern side of Roineabhal and at Beinn Tharsuinn. The Roineabhal pegmatite is an EN-WSW-trending pegmatite that cross-cuts the metasedimentary gneisses of the Leverburgh Belt. It is up to 10 m wide and typically massive, lacking any internal zonation. The pegmatite comprises quartz, plagioclase, rare K-feldspar and biotite, commonly forming large books up to 10 cm across. Small (c. 2 mm) euhedral garnets are found as inclusions in the biotite. Magnetic crystals up to 1 cm across also occur in thin stringers within the pegmatite. Two large (up to 10 m wide), laterally extensive (up to 100 m in length) pegmatites are exposed on the slopes of Beinn Tharsuinn where they cross-cut the foliated metagabbros of the South Harris Igneous Complex. Contacts with the country rock are irregular but very sharp. The grain size of the pegmatites is locally very variable, ranging from a few millimetres to tens of centimetres. They comprise quartz, plagioclase, K-feldspar and biotite, the latter forming books up to 5 cm long. Muscovite, magnetite and garnet are also present but nowhere abundant.

4. Petrography

Laxfordian pegmatites from all terranes share a number of petrological features. They are typically coarse- to very coarse-grained and inequigranular, commonly with euhedral crystal shapes. Well-developed graphic and granophyric quartz and alkali feldspar intergrowths are locally common. However, pegmatites from the Harris Granulite Belt are characterised by a rather different mineralogy and petrology to those from other terranes.
Rhiconich terrane pegmatites are largely made up of strained quartz plates in a finer-grained matrix of granoblastic quartz, K-feldspar and heavily sericitised plagioclase. Some recrystallisation of quartz is observed, typically along grain boundaries. Chloritised biotite mica occurs as euhedral plates within the granoblastic matrix, commonly with large inclusions of well-formed magnetite.

Rare euhedral zircon, titanite and apatite are also associated with mica-rich areas. Pegmatites from the Assyrterrane are rare, but the studied pegmatite from Badcall is mineralogically similar to those from Rhiconich. The feldspar-rich outer zone contains very small (c. 100 μm or less), subhedral crystals of ferrocolumbite (Fig. 4a), ferroactinolite and beryl that typically have jagged grain boundaries and pitted surfaces.

Pegmatites from southern mainland areas (i.e. Gairloch and Loch Maree) comprise euhedral plates of sericitised plagioclase and largely unaltered microcline surrounded by a matrix of fine-grained, recrystallised quartz (locally forming elongate ribbons), zoisite, epidote and small biotite and muscovite plates. Directional crystal growth and graphic textures are very rarely encountered in southern mainland pegmatites. Accessory minerals include rare euhedral garnet (typically without mineral inclusions), zircon, apatite, titanite, and allanite, the latter often associated with mica. Niobium-tantalum minerals (e.g. aeschynite-(Y)) are extremely rare in these pegmatites and were only found in one sample.

Pegmatites in the Tarbert terrane and Langavat Belt comprise large (up to 2 cm across) euhedral plates of microcline and sericitised plagioclase set in a finer-grained matrix of recrystallized quartz, commonly with zoisite, epidote and muscovite mica. Graphic textures are absent, but mica and zoisite are aligned in regions of high strain, defining a localised foliation. Coarse-grained patches of chloritised biotite mica are common; they contain interstitial magnetite and euhedral inclusions of apatite, zircon and titanite. Some Tarbert terrane pegmatites also contain euhedral, zoned allanite (Fig. 4b) crystals up to 1 mm across, which are commonly heavily corroded (in some cases only the original grain shape is preserved). In contrast, some Langavat Belt pegmatites contain metamict xenotime and rare, acicular kasolite (typically infilling void spaces) but allanite has not been recognised.

Granitic pegmatites from the HGB are notably different from those in all other areas. Pegmatites at Sletteval and Beinn Tharsuinn are locally extremely coarse-grained (with feldspar crystals up to 1 m across), and are characterised by the graphic intergrowth of albite plagioclase and quartz (Fig. 4c), interspersed with veins and patches of finer-grained recrystallised quartz. Muscovite and biotite-rich patches and veins are common and are typically associated with the development of euhedral epidote and zoisite. Microcline typically occurs as small, irregular blebs within large plagioclase plates, often in conjunction with small (up to 200 μm in length) laths of white mica. Less commonly, microcline occurs as euhedral plates within the quartz-plagioclase groundmass. Rare accessory minerals include apatite, magnetite, zircon and titanite. The Sletteval pegmatite also contains very rare, large (up to 2 cm across), weakly zoned, euhedral garnets that locally contain inclusions of zircon and xenotime. Niobium-tantalum-bearing minerals are not present in these pegmatites, which are therefore termed ‘barren’ pegmatites.

Pegmatites at Kyles House and Bohseabhal show many similarities to Sletteval and Beinn Tharsuinn, but are notably richer in accessory minerals, such as Nb–Y oxides (possibly aeschynite-(Y)), zircon and xenotime. They comprise graphic intergrowths of albite plagioclase and quartz with veins and patches of fine-grained recrystallised quartz. Microcline generally takes the form of small, irregular blebs within large plagioclase plates (Fig. 4d), or, less commonly, as euhedral plates within the quartz-plagioclase groundmass. Kyles House pegmatites contain biotite and magnetite and rare muscovite, the muscovite typically occurring as fine-grained flakes within large feldspar plates, or as small aggregates associated with garnet. The central parts of the Bohseabhal pegmatite contain biotite and minor magnetite, but appear devoid of muscovite and garnet. Muscovite is mostly found in the marginal parts of the Bohseabhal pegmatite, generally occurring as medium to fine-grained patches and stringers wrapped around large, euhedral grains of garnet (up to 1 cm) and magnetite (up to 1 cm across). Inclusion-rich garnets, which are typically confined to the marginal parts of the pegmatites, are common; they contain metamict zircon and xenotime, and a Y–Nb oxide, possibly aeschynite-(Y) (Fig. 4e). In samples from the upper part of the Bohseabhal pegmatite, schorl tourmaline occurs as rare pods up to 30 cm across (with individual crystals up to 8 cm in length) intergrown with small grains of quartz and microcline. Other accessory minerals, associated with garnet and muscovite from the margins of Bohseabhal, include metamict monazite, apatite and rare cassiterite.

The Chaipaval rare-metal pegmatite, including the exposure at Rubh’ an Teampaill, comprises large, euhedral interlocking plates of quartz, albite plagioclase and muscovite mica, interspersed with euhedral garnets up to 1 cm across. Well-developed graphic intergrowths of quartz and plagioclase are also common. Microcline is all but absent in the Chaipaval pegmatite, but, where it does occur it takes the form of small, irregular blebs within large plates of albite plagioclase. Muscovite mica mostly occurs as euhedral plates, commonly with partially included garnet grains and small inclusions of beryl and garnate. Interstitial muscovite occurs between closely-packed garnets and infilling fractures within individual garnet grains. Well-formed, inclusion-rich garnets (Fig. 4f) are common and contain euhedral, unzoned ferrocolumbite (Fig. 4g) crystals up to 4 mm in length and rare, small grains of ferrotantallite. Garnets also contain metamict zircon, rare xenotime and large (up to 500 μm across) monazite grains (Fig. 4h). Monazite grains have reaction rims comprising fine-grained apatite and they also contain small inclusions of zircon and apatite.

5. Analytical methods

One hundred and eight bulk rock samples were collected from all the major pegmatites within the HGB and from a range of representative pegmatites in all other terranes of the Lewisian Gneiss Complex. Samples are grouped according to the field groupings described above, and grid references are given in Supplemental Table 1. Whole-rock geochemistry was determined from representative pegmatites of all the terranes, allowing comparison across the region. Each sample comprised rock fragments sampled either a large hammer or circular diamond saw. Every effort was made to ensure that these fragments were typical of the pegmatite exposed at surface. Analyses of mineral chemistry focused on pegmatites from the HGB, with the aim of understanding their rare metal mineralogy. Additionally, U–Pb geochronology was conducted on a single pegmatite from the HGB.

5.1. Whole-rock geochemistry

Bulk-rock samples of approximately 2–4 kg in weight were collected in order to provide representative analyses of these coarse-grained rocks. These were jaw crushed to a nominal grain size of 5 mm. The crushed sample material was split using a riffle splitter; a 30 g sub-sample of the riffled material was then milled to a grain size of approximately 32 μm using a planetary ball mill fitted with agate milling material. Samples were analysed for 11 major oxides by X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (XRFS) and for a suite of 43
Fig. 4. Photomicrographs and SEM-BSE images of: (a) ferrocolumbite in the Badcall Bay pegmatite, Assynt terrane (SEM-BSE); (b) a large altered allanite in a pegmatite from the Tarbert terrane (SEM-BSE); (c) the graphic intergrowth of albic plagioclase and quartz in the Sletteval pegmatite, Harris Granulite Belt (photomicrograph); (d) microcline blebs in albic plagioclase in the Bhoseabhal pegmatite, Harris Granulite Belt (photomicrograph); (e) a Nb–Y oxide (possibly aeschynite-(Y)) associated with garnet in the Kyles House pegmatite, Harris Granulite Belt (SEM-BSE); (f) an inclusion-rich garnet containing zircon, xenotime and monazite in the Chaipaval pegmatite, Harris Granulite Belt (SEM-BSE); (g) ferrocolumbite in the Chaipaval pegmatite, Harris Granulite Belt (SEM-BSE) and; (h) a partially altered monazite rimmed by apatite in the Chaipaval pegmatite, Harris Granulite Belt (SEM-BSE). Mineral abbreviations from Siivola and Schmid (2007), except Aes-(Y) (aeschynite-(Y)).
trace elements, including the rare earth elements, by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) at the British Geological Survey (BGS).

5.2. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry

Given Li was one of the elements of interest in this study sample decomposition for ICP-MS was achieved by sodium peroxide fusion and mixed acid dissolution, rather than by lithium tetraborate fusion. A 0.2 g aliquot of powdered sample material was digested in PTFE bombs using a mixture of HF, HNO₃ and HClO₄ acid. The PTFE bombs were heated for 5 h at 100 °C, for 1 h at 140 °C and for 6 h at 190 °C. After cooling, the contents of the bombs were filtered using ashless filter papers. When dried the filter papers were placed in nickel crucibles and ashed at 550 °C in a furnace, the ash was then fused with NaOH at 850 °C for ≥ 30 min. Finally the fused material was dissolved in a mixed acid solution before analysis. Analysis was performed using an Agilent 7500cx ICP-MS. A multi-element internal standard containing Sc, Ge, Rh, In, Te and Ir was used during analysis. All sample data are included in Supplemental Table 1.

For the trace elements of interest (i.e. Li, Be, Ga, Nb, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Cs, Ba, REE, and Ta) the relative percentage difference between duplicate sample pairs is typically less than 10%. However, in a small number of duplicate samples the following elements: Li, Be, Ba, REE and Ta, have a slightly higher relative percentage difference of between 10% and 20%. In a very small number of cases the relative percentage difference, for certain trace elements, is worse than 20% between duplicate sample pairs. The poorer reproducibility observed in some duplicate samples may be attributed to the small sample size used for digestion (c. 0.2 g) and the low concentration of these elements in the samples. Procedural blanks are typically below method limits of detection; however, in some instances Li, Be and Zr are found to be above method limits of detection (LoD). Data for the trace elements of interest in certified reference materials (CRMs), AGV-2 (andesite), BCR-2 (basalt), SDC-1 (mica schist) and SY-3 (syenite) are consistent with accepted values from the GeoRem (Geological and Environmental Reference Materials) online database, with analytical accuracy typically between 90% and 110%. However, some elements of interest (Be, Ga, Zr, Nb, Sn, Ca, Ge, Dy, Er, Yb, Hf and Ta) are outside this range in SDC-1 and SY-3. This may be due to the refractory nature of the mineral phases hosting these elements and potential for incomplete sample digestion. Data are presented in full in Supplemental Table 1; data for elements consistently below method detection limits have not been included (e.g. B, Ag and Cd). Quality control data, including procedural blanks, LoDs, and analytical accuracy for CRMs are included in full in Supplemental Table 1.

5.3. X-ray fluorescence spectrometry

Milled sample material was dried at 105 °C and then heated to 1050 °C for one hour before determining loss on ignition (LOI). Fused glass beads, for XRF analysis, were produced by fusion at 1200 °C. A 0.9 g aliquot of milled sample was mixed with 9 g of a pre-fused mixture of lithium tetra-borate and lithium metaborate. The sample and flux was fused at c. 1200 °C in Pt-Au crucibles. Analyses were performed using a PANalytical Axios wavelength-dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometer, fitted with a 60 kV generator and a 4 kW rhodium end-window X-ray tube. Data reduction was performed using PANalytical SuperQ 5.1B software. Analytical totals obtained from the instrument, including loss on ignition, are between 98.86% and 100.89%. All XRF data and analytical totals are included in Supplemental Table 1.

5.4. Electron Probe Micro Analysis (EPMA)

Garnet, K-feldspar, mica and columbite, from the Harris Granulite Belt pegmatites, were analysed using a JEOL JXA 8230 microprobe equipped with five wavelength dispersive (WD) spectrometers, at the University of Leeds Electron Microscopy & Spectroscopy Centre. Polished sections were cleaned and carbon coated to a thickness of c. 20 nm prior to analysis. All analyses were performed using a standard accelerating voltage of 15 kV, largely at a beam current of 20 nA. However, for the analysis of beam sensitive phases (i.e. K-feldspar and mica) a lower beam current of 10 nA was used to reduce beam damage. Analytical totals for the analyses of muscovite are generally low and likely reflect the hydrous nature of mica. Information about calibration standards, crystal assignments, LoD and analytical totals are given in Supplemental Table 4.

5.5. Laser Ablation Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS)

A suite of trace elements, including Nb, Ta, Be, REE, Th and U, was determined in garnet and muscovite mica from Bhoseaibhal (SH_14_23b) and Chaipaval (SH_14_18a). After heavy mineral separation forty garnet grains (c. 20 from each sample) were handpicked and mounted in resin. A similar number of mica grains were picked and mounted on glass slides prior to analysis. They were analysed at the British Geological Survey (Nottingham) using a New Wave Research UP193FX excimer laser ablation system coupled to an Agilent 7500 series quadrupole ICP-MS, calibrated using a trace element glass standard (NIST SRM-610). The relative standard deviation for the repeat analysis of a secondary trace element standard (NIST SRM-612) is typically better than 5% for Ce, Pr, Tb, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Yb, Y, Ba and Ta (Table 5a and b). Data reduction was performed using lolite software (v2.5). Data (including limits of detection and reference material data) are presented in full in Supplemental Table 5.

5.6. U-Pb geochronology

In-situ U-(Th)-Pb geochronology was conducted at the NERC Isotope Geosciences Laboratory (Nottingham, UK) Geochronology and Tracers Facility, using a Nu Instruments AttoM single collector sector field inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer coupled to an ESI NWR193UC laser ablation system. A detailed description of the laboratory’s monazite dating method is provided in Mottram et al. (2015). Laser ablation and mass-spectrometer parameters are provided in Supplemental Table 3. Zircons were analysed in an epoxy mount after heavy mineral separation and were imaged with cathodoluminescence to characterise growth zones and crystal defects. Monazite, xenotime and columbite grains were analysed in polished thin-sections. Element maps for Ce, La, U, Pb, Th, Y, Ca and P in monazite were produced prior to analysis to identify potential growth zoning and/or alteration textures. U–Pb data are presented in full in Supplemental Table 2.

In brief, standard-sample-bracketing was used to normalise Pb/Pb and U/Pb ratios using well characterised reference materials. These were analysed in batches (n = 3–5) between every set of sample analyses (n = 5–10). For monazite, Th/Pb ages were determined in addition to U/Pb, and both the 207Pb/235Th and 206Pb/238U ages were common-lead corrected using the 207Pb-based correction assuming concordance of the samples (e.g. Chew et al., 2011).

Xenotime and columbite lack suitable well characterised reference materials; they were measured using the same analytical procedure as for monazite, but with slight modifications to the normalisation process. A single xenotime of known age was used...
for normalisation of U/Pb ratios; since this xenotime has some variable discordance, Stern monazite was used to normalise the Pb/Pb ratios. For columbite, no internationally recognised reference material is available. For this reason zircon (91,500) was used to normalise the U/Pb ratios, but instead of spot analyses, rasters were employed to minimise inter-element fractionation. NIST614 glass was used to normalise the Pb/Pb ratios, according to values of Woodhead and Hergt (2001). With no secondary reference materials analysed, there is no check on accuracy of the method, however, 207Pb/206Pb ages are insensitive to matrix effects, and it is those that are used for interpretation of the mineral crystallisation age.

For monazite, Stern monazite was used to normalise 207Pb/206Pb, 206Pb/238U and 208Pb/232Th ratios, and Manangotry, Moacyr and FC-1 monazites were used as secondary reference materials. For xenotime, Stern monazite was used to normalise the 207Pb/206Pb ratios and FC-1 xenotime was used to normalise the 206Pb/238U ratios. For columbite, NIST614 was used to normalise the 207Pb/206Pb ratios and 91,500 zircon was used to normalise the 206Pb/238U ratios.

Data processing used the Nu Instruments’ time-resolved Attolab software, and an in-house spreadsheet for normalisation and uncertainty propagation. The normalisation process assumes that samples and reference materials behave the same with respect to laser induced elemental fractionation (LIEF). Age uncertainties are quoted at 2σ, and as age ±α/β (following Horstwood et al. (2016)), where α reflects the analytical uncertainty, and β reflects additional propagation of the systematic uncertainties. Systematic uncertainties include decay constants, long-term reproducibility of the laboratory method and uncertainty of the reference values.

6. Bulk-rock chemistry

As a result of their coarse grain-size and heterogeneity the major element compositions of individual pegmatites and of the sample population as a whole may vary significantly. Nevertheless, the majority of pegmatite samples from across the Lewisian Gneiss Complex are granitic in composition with SiO₂ > 70 wt.% (Supplemental Table 1). A small number have lower SiO₂ contents (57.74–69.52 wt.%) and plot in the syenite, diorite and granodiorite fields of a total alkali-silica diagram (Fig. 5). TiO₂ and MgO are typically low (<1 wt.% in all samples) but CaO and Fe₂O₃ are more variable. CaO ranges between 0.02 and 5.43 wt.%, with the lowest average concentrations observed in Chaipaval (including samples from the Rubh’ an Teampaill exposure) and Bhoiseabhal, and Fe₂O₃ ranges between 0.07 and 10.27 wt.%. Generally Na₂O is the dominant alkali in all pegmatites except for those from the Tarbert terrane and Langavat Belt, in which K₂O > Na₂O.

On a Shand index plot (Fig. 6) all samples are peraluminous (A/CNK > 1.0), with the majority being only mildly peraluminous (A/CNK 1.0–1.1). Two distinct trends are observed on the Shand index plot, one is dominated by pegmatites that contain significant amounts of biotite and magnetite, such as Sletteval, whilst the other is marked by pegmatites that host appreciable amounts of muscovite and garnet, for example Chaipaval. The biotite–magnetite-dominated pegmatites typically have higher values of A/NK at any given value of A/CNK, whereas muscovite–garnet-dominated pegmatites tend to be more peraluminous, having lower values of A/NK at any given value of A/CNK. However, it is notable that samples from Bhoiseabhal straddle both trends. In the field, the main Bhoiseabhal pegmatite appears crudely composite in nature, with a core of biotite–magnetite pegmatite and marginal zones that contain patches of garnet–muscovite pegmatite. These two zones are distinguished on the A/CNK diagram, with samples from the core plotting with other biotite–magnetite pegmatites, whilst samples from the margins plot with muscovite–garnet pegmatites. Fig. 6 shows that Chaipaval and the marginal parts of Bhoiseabhal lie on a similar trend to the Paleoproterozoic Seinäjoki rare-metal pegmatites in Finland (Mäkitie et al., 2001), the Archaean Tanco pegmatite in Canada (Stilling et al., 2006) and economic rare-metal pegmatites (e.g. Greenbushes, Wodgina and Mount Cassiterite) in north-western Australia (Partington et al., 1995; Sweetapple and Collins, 2002).

Trace element patterns for all pegmatites sampled are generally characterised by positive anomalies for Rb, Th and U, and relative depletion in Ba, Sr and Ti (Fig. 7). The majority of pegmatites are also distinguished by strong negative Nb and Ta anomalies and by a relative enrichment of the light (LREE) and middle (MREE) rare earth elements over the heavy rare earth elements (HREE) (Fig. 8). However, a small group of pegmatites, including Chaipaval and the marginal parts of Bhoiseabhal in the HGB and the Badcall Bay pegmatite in the Assynt terrane, show a distinct enrichment in Cs, Rb, Nb and Ta with strong depletion in Ba, Sr and Ti (Fig. 7). These trends broadly mirror those observed in the Shand index plot in so far as pegmatites with abundant muscovite and garnet tend to
contain Nb–Ta-bearing minerals, whereas those dominated by biotite and magnetite typically do not. The presence of abundant muscovite may also explain the enrichment in Cs observed in these pegmatites. These patterns of enrichment and depletion are also consistent with published data (Černý et al., 1985; Černý, 1992; Küster et al., 2009) for rare-metal pegmatites of the LCT family.

The pegmatite from the Loch Maree Group (Fig. 7a) also has particularly strong negative Ba, Sr and Ti anomalies, but lacks any notable enrichment in Cs, Rb, Nb and Ta.

Total rare earth element (TREE) concentrations are typically <100 ppm. The highest concentrations (up to 2400 ppm) are found in allanite-bearing samples from the Tarbert terrane. Rare earth patterns generally show a slight enrichment of the LREE and MREE over the HREE and some have weak positive Eu and Gd anomalies. The Tarbert terrane allanite-bearing pegmatites show a distinct enrichment in the LREE and no Eu anomaly, but do have small positive Gd anomalies. However, pegmatites in the HGB, at Chaipaval, Bhoiseabhal and Kyles House; in the Loch Maree Group; and at Badcall Bay in the Assynt terrane, display strong negative Eu anomalies and no HREE depletion relative to the LREE (Fig. 8). The groupings identified on the Shand index plot (Fig. 6) are, to some extent, mirrored in the REE plots. Chaipaval and samples from the margins of Bhoiseabhal are both enriched in HREE, due to the abundance of garnet, and display very strong negative Eu anomalies. Interestingly the Beinn Tharsuinn and Kyles House pegmatites seem to represent intermediate compositions, although this is not clearly seen on the Shand index plot. Both of these pegmatites display an enrichment in Nb-Ta and the HREE above that observed in Sletteval, but not to the extent seen in Chaipaval or samples from the margins of Bhoiseabhal. These pegmatites are largely dominated by biotite and magnetite, hence their position on the Shand index plot, but contain enough garnet and muscovite to explain their rare-metal enrichment.

7. Mineral chemistry

Various mineralogical features, such as the K/Rb ratio of K-feldspar and the Mn/Fe ratio of garnets are commonly regarded.
as diagnostic of rare-metal pegmatites. They are interpreted as the result of fractional crystallisation from a parent granite (Černý, 1992; London, 2008; Černý et al., 2012b). However, it seems clear that other processes such as low-degrees of partial melting could produce a similar set of characteristics. Due to their rare-metal enrichment and unusual mineralogy, detailed study of mineral chemistry has focussed on pegmatites from the Harris Granulite Belt. Data for these samples are presented in Supplemental Tables 4 and 5.

7.1. Garnet

Garnets from Harris Granulite Belt pegmatites, including two muscovite–garnet (rare-metal) pegmatites (Bhoiseabhal and Chaipaval) and a barren, biotite–magnetite pegmatite (Sletteval) were analysed to: (1) determine how HGB pegmatites compare with economic, rare-metal pegmatites, and (2) understand how pegmatitic garnets differ across the spectrum of HGB pegmatites and also to those found in the country rock.

Garnets from Bhoiseabhal, Sletteval and Chaipaval typically have a compositional range dominated by iron-rich almandine (Alm 48-56) and slightly subordinate manganese-rich spessartine (Sp 35-47) components, with combined pyrope (magnesium end-member), andradite (titanium end-member) and grossular (calcium end-member) components generally accounting for less than 10 mol.% (Fig. 9 and Table 4a). Such compositions are typical of magmatic garnets in peraluminous granitoids (du Bray, 1988; Dahlquist et al., 2007). Published data of garnet compositions in rare-metal pegmatites are scarce, however garnet in HGB pegmatites is similar in composition to garnet in Canadian (Red Cross Lake; Černý et al. (2012b)) and Norwegian (Froland and Evje-Iveland; (Müller et al., 2012)) rare-metal pegmatites (Fig. 9). The highest Mn/(Fe + Mn) ratios are observed in Chaipaval garnet with values ranging between 0.45 and 0.49. Lower ratios are observed in garnet from

Fig. 7. Primitive mantle-normalised multi-element plots for selected pegmatites from the different terranes described in this study. Normalising factors from McDonough and Sun (1995).
Sletteval (0.41–0.46) and from the upper margin of Bhoiseabhal (0.39–0.40) (Table 4a).

It is notable that garnets from HGB pegmatites are generally unzoned. This may suggest rapid crystallisation from high-temperature magmas (e.g. >700 °C) (Dahlquist et al., 2007), and that limited in-situ fractionation occurred during pegmatite crystallisation. Garnets from sample HPR-020 (from the margins of Chaipaval), and SH_14_19c (from the core of Chaipaval) have very similar compositions and Mn/(Fe + Mn) ratios, further indicating limited in situ fractionation. Notably, the Mn/(Fe + Mn) ratios in garnet from the barren Sletteval pegmatite are actually higher than those from Bhoiseabhal. It is interesting that Mn/(Fe + Mn) ratios in garnet from the intermediate-type pegmatite at Kyles House are also higher than those from either Chaipaval or Bhoiseabhal – the reason for these anomalous patterns (i.e. the higher Mn contents observed in garnet from a barren pegmatite) remains enigmatic. However, one possible control on these trends is the presence in the rare-metal pegmatites of other mafic minerals that might incorporate Fe and Mn, such as columbite, biotite, magnetite, and schorl tourmaline. These minerals have been shown to control the Fe and Mn content of melts, and thus the amount of these elements available for inclusion in phases such as garnet (Müller et al., 2012). In contrast to the pegmatites, garnets from the Leverburgh Belt metasedimentary rocks and meta-igneous rocks from the SHIC are typically more iron-rich (Fig. 9) and have much lower Mn/(Fe + Mn) ratios (Dickinson, 1974; Witty, 1975; Horsley, 1978; Baba, 2003; Hollis et al., 2006). These compositional differences clearly show that pegmatic garnets have a magmatic origin and are not simply inherited metamorphic garnets.

The pegmaticic garnets are all strongly enriched in Y and the middle- and heavy rare earth elements relative to the light rare earth elements (Table 5a and Fig. 10), although strong negative Eu anomalies are seen. These are typical patterns for garnet in pegmatites (Müller et al., 2012). Concentrations of the HREE (Gd–Lu)
plus Y are typically higher in the Chaipaval pegmatite (ranging between 0.15 and 1.12 wt.%) compared to concentrations in the Bhoiseabhal pegmatite (ranging between 0.09 and 0.84 wt.%)(Table 5a). There are two distinct populations in the data. Both populations show strong negative Eu anomalies; however, one population has strong enrichment in all the HREE, whereas the second population is notably lower in Y and HREE, with a negative slope from Er to Lu on a primitive mantle-normalised REE plot (Fig. 10a and b). The two distinct populations occur in both Chaipaval and Bhoiseabhal and may represent cores and rims. However, this cannot be confirmed because analysis was carried out on fragments on grain mounts.

7.2. Feldspar

It has been suggested that the K/Rb ratio of K-feldspar is an important fractionation indicator in pegmatites (Černý et al., 1985; Küster et al., 2009; Černý et al., 2012b): due to the incompatible behaviour of Rb, the amount of Rb in K-feldspar increases with increasing fractionation. K-feldspar in fractionated pegmatites also shows a marked depletion in Ba and Sr (Černý et al., 1981; Icenhower and London, 1996). However, it is clear that other factors such as degree of partial melting, source composition, and other crystallising minerals can also control mineral chemistry. K-feldspar from Chaipaval, Bhoiseabhal and Sletteval was analysed for a number of trace elements, including Rb, Ba and Sr to determine: (1) how HGB pegmatites compare with economic, rare-metal pegmatites, and (2) to investigate the trace element variations between pegmatites.

Major element concentrations in K-feldspar from Sletteval, and K-feldspar blebs in Chaipaval and Bhoiseabhal, expressed as end-member compositions, are generally uniform and have a narrow compositional range between Or$_{93.8}$ and Or$_{95.5}$, with a maximum albite content of 6%. No notable zonation was recognised in the analysed K-feldspars. With the exception of Rb all other trace elements (e.g. Ba, Cs, F and Cl) are typically below microprobe detection limits. The depletion of Ba, Sr and to some extent Cs in K-feldspar is well documented in rare-metal pegmatites (Černý et al., 1985). K-feldspar from the margins of Bhoiseabhal is most enriched in rubidium (0.13–0.20 wt.% Rb$_2$O), followed by Chaipaval (0.06–0.17 wt.% Rb$_2$O) and Sletteval (up to 0.09 wt.% Rb$_2$O). The K/Rb ratio of K-feldspar from Bhoiseabhal varies between 74.29 and 115, and between 81.46 and 232 in K-feldspar from Chaipaval. The K/Rb ratio of K-feldspar from Sletteval is notably higher with values ranging between 160 and 249 (Fig. 11 and Table 4b). These K/Rb ratios overlap with those for rare-metal pegmatites in Finland (Lappalainen and Neuvonen, 1968); Fig. 11) but do not attain the very low values of the economically important Canadian rare-metal pegmatites (Černý and Macek, 1972; Černý, 1989; Černý et al., 2012b); Fig. 11). Rb typically behaves incompatibly in peraluminous melts, but is partitioned into micas when they begin to crystallise (Icenhower and London, 1995, 1996). Lower contents of Rb in feldspars from barren pegmatites most likely represent earlier partitioning of Rb into biotite.

The compositional range of plagioclase in Sletteval, Chaipaval and Bhoiseabhal is dominated by albite (Ab$_{90.1}$ and Ab$_{99.2}$). Rb, Cs, F and Cl are at levels below method detection limits (Table 4b). Feldspar in the HGB pegmatites is typically unzoned, again suggesting that in-situ fractionation during crystallisation was limited.

7.3. Muscovite mica

The trace element composition of muscovite mica has been reported to be another useful indicator of the extent of fractionation in rare-metal pegmatites (Tischendorf et al., 2001; London, 2008). In highly fractionated pegmatites the concentrations of Rb, Cs, Li, Mn, Ga, Ti, Sn and Ta in muscovite mica increase, whilst...
K/Rb, K/Cs, K/Tl, Fe/Mn and Al/Ga ratios show a concomitant decrease. The Ta and Cs content of muscovite mica have also been used as an exploration tool to identify the potential for Ta–Nb mineralisation in pegmatites (Selway et al., 2005). Muscovite micas from Chaipaval and Bhoiseabhal pegmatites were analysed for comparison with those in highly fractionated pegmatites that have potential for Nb-Ta mineralisation.

Mica from Chaipaval and Bhoiseabhal is muscovitic in composition, being rich in Si, Al and K, but low in Mg and Fe. Rb contents in muscovite from Chaipaval range between 0.17 and 0.27 wt.% Rb₂O, lower concentrations are typically observed in muscovite from Bhoiseabhal (between 0.09 and 0.20 wt.% Rb₂O). K/Rb ratios are generally lower in muscovite from Chaipaval, ranging between 38.39 and 60.98, compared to muscovite from Bhoiseabhal (K/Rb ratios between 53.68 and 118) (Fig. 12). However, Chaipaval muscovites do not attain the very low K/Rb ratios seen in the Canadian rare-metal pegmatites (Černý et al., 2012b). The halogens (F and Cl) are notably enriched in muscovite from Chaipaval (up to 0.64 wt.% F and 0.02 wt.% Cl). Halogen concentrations in muscovite from Bhoiseabhal are variable but typically lower than Chaipaval (maximum 0.30 wt.% F and <0.01 wt.% Cl) (Table 4c).

Muscovite from Chaipaval and Bhoiseabhal is enriched in a number of trace elements (Table 5b), including Li (up to 671 ppm), Be (up to 28.4 ppm), Ga (up to 224 ppm), Mn (up to 1020 ppm), Zn (up to 237 ppm), Nb (up to 782 ppm), Sn (up to 101 ppm), Cs (up to 338 ppm), Ta (up to 148 ppm), W (up to 55 ppm) and Tl (up to 17.1 ppm) but is relatively depleted in Ba, Sr, REE, Th and U. These patterns of enrichment and depletion could indicate the presence of micro-inclusions within the muscovite crystals; however, the consistency of data derived from individual crystals (n = 20) suggest otherwise.

Bhoiseabhal muscovite is richer in Be, Mn, Nb, Ta and W than that from Chaipaval. These differences can be attributed to the presence of accessory minerals such as beryl and columbite in Chaipaval, which have preferentially taken up these elements, whereas in Bhoiseabhal muscovite represents an important host.

7.4. Columbite-tantalite

The composition of columbite-tantalite minerals and the presence of other complex Nb-Ta–Sn–Ti oxides have been used by other workers to assess the degree of fractionation in rare-metal pegmatites, in that the Fe/Mn and Nb/Ta ratios of columbite-tantalite minerals typically decrease with increasing fractionation (Černý et al., 1985; Tindle and Breaks, 1998; London, 2008; Küster et al., 2009). Černý et al. (1985) describe the transition between primitive (i.e. least fractionated) and highly-evolved pegmatites in terms of their Nb–Ta mineralogy. Primitive pegmatites are generally marked by the presence of...
amounts of Ti (up to 0.95 wt.% TiO₂), W (up to 0.43 wt.% WO₃) and by Nb and Fe, containing between 69.12 and 71.31 wt.% Nb₂O₅ and rich end-member, ferrocolumbite. Compositionally it is dominated by columbite-tantalite minerals.

EPMA analysis of columbite from Chaipaval suggests it is the iron-rich end-member, ferrocolumbite. Compositionally it is dominated by Nb and Fe, containing between 69.12 and 71.31 wt.% Nb₂O₅ and minor amounts of Ti (up to 0.95 wt.% TiO₂), W (up to 0.43 wt.% WO₃) and Y (up to 0.18 wt.% Y₂O₃) (Table 4d). Chaipaval ferrocolumbite typically has an Fe/Mn ratio between 1.93 and 2.05 and a Nb/Ta ratio between 6.83 and 8.53. The variation in Nb–Ta minerals observed in different pegmatites is worthy of note. In barren pegmatites (i.e. Sletteval) Nb–Ta-bearing minerals are almost entirely absent, whilst Nb in intermediate-type pegmatites is dominantly hosted by Nb–Y oxides such as aeschynite-(Y). Whereas Nb and Ta in rare-metal pegmatites (i.e. Chaipaval) is hosted almost exclusively by columbite-tantalite minerals.

7.5. Mineral chemistry summary

Mineral chemistry highlights the variation within the HGB pegmatites. In general, the trends in mineral chemistry reflect the trends in whole-rock chemistry, specifically the variations in peraluminosity which appear to control the mineralogy. Sletteval, a simple biotite–magnetite pegmatite, which lacks any Nb–Ta minerals, has the highest K/Rb ratios infeldspar and relatively low Mn/(Fe + Mn) ratios in garnet. The marginal zone of Bhoiseabhal, which contains Y–Nb oxides rather than columbite-tantalite and muscovite, has low K/Rb ratios infeldspar but higher K/Rb ratios in muscovite, likely indicating some partitioning of Rb into biotite. Chaipaval has the most ‘fractionated’ mineral compositions of the HGB pegmatites; it contains columbite-tantalite minerals and muscovite rather than biotite. High Mn/(Fe + Mn) in garnet, and has relatively low K/Rb in both alkali feldspar and muscovite. Overall the mineral chemistry indicates that within the HGB there is a variation in pegmatite composition from ‘less-peraluminous’ barren pegmatites to more ‘highly-peraluminous’ rare-metal pegmatites.

8. Geochronology of rare-metal pegmatites

Whole-rock and mineral chemistry indicate that the HGB contains some rare-metal pegmatites that are distinctly compositionally different to the pegmatites elsewhere in the Lewisian Gneiss Complex. This compositional difference could potentially indicate a different formation age for these pegmatites, which have not previously been dated by modern methods. To test this, U–Pb geochronological analysis has been carried out on samples from the HGB pegmatites.

8.1. Zircon

Zircon grains were extracted from two pegmatites, Bhoiseabhal and Sletteval, and imaged in thin section from the Chaipaval pegmatite. All grains showed distinctly low-luminescence, with occasional oscillatory zoning, but generally no or highly disturbed zoning patterns. Th-rich inclusions are present in some grains. Those zircons analysed had very high uranium contents (>5000 ppm), and the low-luminescence in all grains is thus considered to be the result of a high degree of radiation damage.

U-Pb analyses were attempted on several grains, but all data were scattered and highly discordant, and thus are not considered further.

8.2. Monazite in sample HPR-020 (Chaipaval pegmatite, HBG)

Monazite in HPR-020 comprises large (up to 700 µm across) euheual grains commonly included in primary garnet or muscovite mica. SEM-BSE images show that monazite grains have thin reaction rims but largely intact cores (Fig. 4h). SEM-EDS element maps for Ce, La, U, Pb, Th, Y, Ca and P confirm that the rim regions have been altered to apatite, and that core regions are relatively homogeneous. The zone of reaction is most extensive around monazite hosted by muscovite mica.

Thirty-seven analyses were made on four large monazite grains. One monazite produced highly scattered Th–Pb and some discordant U–Pb ages, implying that the isotopic systems have been disturbed, presumably during a later event. Excluding two slightly older 207Pb/206Pb ages that relate to this altered grain, all of the data form a regression on a Wetherill plot with an upper intercept 207Pb/206Pb age of 1712 ± 9/36 Ma (2σ, MSWD = 2.3, n = 35) (Fig. 13). The MSWD of 2.3 implies some geological or analytical scatter, and in this case is probably related to some disturbance of the grains during later alteration.

8.3. Xenotime in sample HPR-020 (Chaipaval pegmatite, HBG)

One xenotime grain was analysed adjacent to a zircon grain and one of the analysed monazite grains. Five analyses were made on this grain, and all exhibit slight discordance. The weighted mean 207Pb/206Pb age is 1517 ± 17/35 Ma (2σ, MSWD = 0.88, n = 5) (Fig. 13).

8.4. Columbite in sample HPR-020 (Chaipaval pegmatite, HBG)

Columbite in HPR-020 comprises large (up to 1000 µm in length) euheudal grains commonly included in the quartz-feldspar matrix, or locally found partially included in garnet (Fig. 4g). SEM-BSE imaging and EPMA show columbite grains are homogeneous and lack internal zonation.

Fourteen analyses were made on two large columbite grains. Three analyses exhibited slightly older 207Pb/206Pb ages than a distinct main population, but exhibited higher common lead concentrations. Excluding these three analyses, the remaining eleven give a weighted mean 207Pb/206Pb age of 1690 ± 19/39 Ma (95% conf., MSWD = 11.4, n = 11) (Fig. 13).

9. Discussion

9.1. Age of the pegmatites

Monazite and columbite grains from the Chaipaval pegmatite yield low-precision, overlapping ages of 1712 ± 36 Ma and 1690 ± 39 Ma, respectively. The age of crystallisation of this pegmatite is thus interpreted to be c. 1700 Ma. Interestingly, dated xenotime gave a younger age of c. 1520 Ma. The xenotime grain in question is texturally related to the rim of an embayed monazite grain found within a crack in a garnet. Zircon is also found adjacent to the xenotime and monazite grains. The xenotime age is interpreted as recording a younger alteration event affecting the monazite and precipitating xenotime, (Hetherington and Harlov, 2008; Harlov and Hetherington, 2010). These fluid-induced reactions resulted in new xenotime growth and the observed isotopic disturbance of monazite. Other monazite grains within muscovite and fractured garnet feature reaction rims; however, their isotopic
systems appear intact suggesting that the core of the monazite was shielded from fluid interaction. No other mineral reactions or replacement can be seen in this sample that may potentially be linked to a younger c. 1520 Ma event, thus it is interpreted that this younger fluid event did not affect the rare-metal mineral assemblage of interest. Interestingly, some previously published studies for pegmatites within the region (Rb–Sr, K–Ar & U–Pb) gave younger and imprecise ages that overlap the c. 1520 Ma age given here by xenotime (Table 1). Thus, a younger fluid infiltration event may also help to explain these anomalous ages.

Laxfordian granites and pegmatites occur throughout the Lewisian Gneiss Complex of NW Scotland, with those on the Outer Hebrides and in the southern mainland terranes spanning an age range of c.1700–1650 Ma. Thus the c. 1700 Ma age of the Chaipaval rare-metal pegmatite, falls within uncertainty of the main pegmatite emplacement event in these areas (Park et al., 2001; Mason et al., 2004a; Mason and Brewer, 2005; Kelly et al., 2008). Pegmatites in the Rhiconich and Assynt terranes are older (1790–1770 Ma), suggesting there have been at least two separate crustal melting episodes (Park et al., 2001; Mason et al., 2004a; Kelly et al., 2008; Goodenough et al., 2013). The new dates indicate that the rare-metal pegmatites were not formed at a different time to other pegmatites in the Lewisian Gneiss Complex; rather it seems that rare-metal pegmatites and standard biotite–magnetite pegmatites in the southern parts of the Lewisian Gneiss Complex formed at the same time. The origin of these pegmatites is typically attributed to decompression melting of the crust after a major crustal-thickening event that affected the Lewisian (Watkins et al., 2007; Wheeler et al., 2010; Goodenough et al., 2013). Since the rare-metal pegmatites do not appear to be attributable to a different event or formation process, it seems clear that they must have formed by melting of a different source to the standard biotite–magnetite pegmatites.

9.2. Petrogenesis of rare-metal pegmatites

The presence of two distinct pegmatite types in the HGB, i.e. muscovite–garnet-bearing (rare-metal) pegmatites and biotite–magnetite-bearing (barren) pegmatites, which largely follow two distinct geochemical trends, has to be explained, as does the presence of pegmatites intermediate between these end-members. The apparent contemporaneity of the three types of pegmatite, within a relatively small area, means it is not necessary to invoke differing processes to explain their genesis. The majority of Laxfordian pegmatites are considered to represent melting of local TTG crust in the presence of a K-rich fluid (Fettes et al., 1992; Castro, 2004; Watkins et al., 2007). Field relationships for pegmatites within the TTG gneiss terranes typically suggest a derivation by localised melting rather than fractionation of a parental granite at depth (Myers, 1971; Fettes et al., 1992; Goodenough et al., 2013). In contrast, field relationships in the HGB suggest that the pegmatite source magmas were not formed by melting of country rock at the current erosion level, but have instead risen from depth. In this area there has thus been potential for mixing of melts derived by partial melting of different sources. The HGB comprises very complex geology, including the metasedimentary and meta-igneous sources required to produce the observed spectrum of compositions.

9.3. Muscovite–garnet (rare-metal) vs. biotite–magnetite (barren) pegmatites, and intermediate-types

The majority of granitic pegmatites are intruded into TTG gneiss (most abundant in the Rhiconich, Tarbert, and southern mainland terranes), and these are typically biotite–magnetite (barren) pegmatites with a normal granitic mineralogy. The second pegmatite end-member is notably different, including a small number of muscovite–garnet-bearing (rare-metal) pegmatites that are almost all found intruded into the granulite facies, meta-igneous and metasedimentary island arc rocks (Baba, 1998) of the Harris Granulite Belt. The Chaipaval pegmatite is the main representative of this end-member type. The characteristic characteristics of these two end-members are summarised in Table 2. Pegmatites of the rare-metal type are characterised by: (1) dominance of albitic plagioclase over microcline; (2) the presence of Mn-rich garnet and of muscovite as the dominant mica; and (3) the abundance of accessory minerals including Nb-Ta minerals such as columbite-tantalite. Pegmatites of both types occur in the Harris Granulite Belt, as first identified by von Knorring and Dearnley (1959), and in fact some pegmatites show intermediate characteristics. The Sletteval pegmatite, despite its large size and coarse grain-size, has the mineralogy of a typical biotite–magnetite pegmatite. In contrast, Chaipaval and the marginal zones of the Bhoiseabhal pegmatite are of the rare-metal pegmatite type. The only other pegmatite of this type sampled in this study is from Badcall Bay in the Assynt terrane. The intermediate pegmatites (e.g. Kyles House, Beinn Tharsuinn, the Bhoiseabhal summit pegmatite and the central parts of the Bhoiseabhal main pegmatite) typically contain gar-
net, but little or no muscovite. Some of these pegmatites (Kyles House) do contain Y-Nb oxides.

It is particularly instructive to examine the pegmatites on a Shand index diagram where two distinct trends emerge that distinguish pegmatites of the muscovite–garnet (rare-metal) type (Fig. 6). The rare-metal pegmatites plot along a highly peraluminous trend, which is distinct from the trend followed by the more common biotite–garnet (barren) pegmatites. Published data for rare-metal pegmatites (e.g. Tanco in Canada, Wodgina, Greenbushes, and Mount Cassiterite in north-western Australia and Seinäjoki in Finland (Partington et al., 1995; Mäkitie et al., 2001; Sweetapple and Collins, 2002; Stilling et al., 2006), also lie along this peraluminous, muscovite–garnet trend. The distinct trends observed on this diagram are likely to reflect compositional differences associated with the parental magmas, which have controlled the crystallisation of muscovite or biotite, rather than a gradual process such as fractionation of phases during pegmatite crystallisation. Muscovite-type micas, particularly those that have incorporated Li, generally crystallise in environments depleted in Fe, Mg and Ti (Monier and Robert, 1986). Conversely, increasing concentrations of these elements would initiate the crystallisation of biotite (Scaillet et al., 1995). Therefore it seems reasonable to suggest that the more barren pegmatites crystallised from more mafic (and less peraluminous) magmas. The two trends overlap in the area of the diagram that is occupied by pegmatites composed largely of quartz and feldspar. However, this simple separation is made more complex by the Bhoiseabhail pegmatite, which has a biotite–magnetite core and margins that contain zones rich in muscovite and garnet. Furthermore, the intermediate pegmatites (e.g. Kyles House) contain small amounts of garnet, rare muscovite, and Y–Nb oxides. But sit on the same trend as pegmatites that are clearly barren (e.g. Sletteval) on the Shand index plot. It is clear that magmas of varying peraluminosity were available within the HGB to form the pegmatites, and this suggests the likelihood of mixing of different sources.

Pegmatites of the biotite-magnetite type are characterised by typical granitic compositions, with high bulk-rock K/Rb ratios (K/Rb > 100), and enrichment in Sr (up to 2717 ppm) and Ba (up to 8179 ppm) relative to Rb (up to 500 ppm). They also typically have relatively low contents of Li, Be and Cs and have strong negative Nb-Ta anomalies. As would be expected for pegmatites formed by melting of a plagioclase-depleted source material, or melting of a plagioclase-rich source material, or melting of a plagioclase-rich material, in which the plagioclase did not melt.

### 9.4. Sources of pegmatites

Based on their chemistry and mineralogy, muscovite–garnet (rare-metal) pegmatites in the HGB are most akin to LCT-type pegmatites, and thus likely to have an ultimate source in sedimentary rocks. According to Chappell and White (2001) S-type granites form by melting of sedimentary and/or metamorphosed sedimentary or supracrustal rocks, such as metapelites. Hence, the composition of pegmatites associated with S-type granites also mirrors that of the source material (e.g. LCT-type pegmatites are derived from fertile S-type granites) (Černý, 1991; Martin and De Vito, 2005; London, 2008; Černý et al., 2012a). The composition of a pegmatite should equally also mirror its source in situations where melting has occurred on a much more localised scale, without extensive fractionation. On this basis, it is reasonable to assume that the variations observed in A/CNK values, trace element patterns and mineralogy between muscovite–garnet (rare-metal) pegmatites and biotite–magnetite (barren) pegmatites reflects the source, that different source materials were available for melting. We have found no evidence to suggest that the HGB pegmatites were formed by differing amounts of fractionation from a single parental granite.

Work by Rollinson (2012) has shown that partial melting of TTG gneisses would produce a melt depleted in Nb, Ta and the HREE. Johnson et al. (2012) has also shown that melting of Lewisian metagabbroic rocks produces REE patterns that are very similar to those observed in many Lewisian pegmatites (i.e. enrichment in the LREE; a positive Eu anomaly and depletion in the MREE).
and HREE). The shape of REE patterns for the biotite–magnetite pegmatites within the TTG gneiss terrane (Fig. 8) shows distinct similarities to the modelled patterns derived from experimental work by Rollinson (2012) and Johnson et al. (2012). This supports field evidence indicating that these pegmatites probably formed by melting of TTG material. It has previously been suggested that the typical Laxfordian pegmatites could have been produced by melting of TTG gneisses with the addition of a K-rich hydrous fluid (Castro, 2004; Watkins et al., 2007), and it is generally agreed that pegmatite emplacement into the Lewisian gneisses occurred at the end of a period of continental collision and crustal thickening (Park, 2005; Goodenough et al., 2013; Mason, 2015). Overall there is little doubt that the majority of Laxfordian biotite–magnetite pegmatites in the Lewisian Gneiss Complex formed by melting of the TTG country rock, probably with addition of K-rich fluids during orogenesis.

The rare-metal pegmatites appear to be spatially associated with the Harris Granulite Belt, although bulk-rock and mineral chemistry clearly show that both muscovite–garnet (rare-metal) and barren, biotite–magnetite type pegmatites occur within the HGB. It is thus evident that more than one type of source was available in and around the HGB at the time of pegmatite formation. Rare-metal pegmatites are uncommon outside the HGB, although one example occurs in the Badcall Bay area of the Assynt terrane. Pegmatites are scarce in the Assynt terrane, which is interpreted as being due to the infertile nature of the TTG gneisses (Goodenough et al., 2013), and thus it seems likely that a volumetrically restricted source was available for the formation of the Badcall Bay pegmatite.

It is notable that the Badcall Bay pegmatite in Assynt is similar to rare-metal pegmatites in the HGB, in that it has similar enrichments in Be, Nb, Cs and Ta, and also has similar REE-patterns (i.e. negative Eu anomaly and MREE enrichment). Notably, small amounts of garnet-bearing pelitic rocks are also a feature of this part of the Assynt terrane (the Eanruig supracrustals (Kiny and Friend, 2005; Zirkler et al., 2012). Although no such supracrustals have been described at outcrop in the immediate vicinity of Badcall Bay, they typically form lenses and pods up to c. 100 m long contained within the TTG gneisses, and such pods at depth represent a potential source for the Badcall pegmatite.

One possible explanation for the occurrence of rare-metal pegmatites could be the presence of a fertile parental granite at depth beneath the granulite belt, and that the rare-metal pegmatites simply represent highly evolved products derived by fractional crystallisation of that granitic magma. There is no evidence for such a major granitic body, but there is clear evidence for extensive crustal melting and formation of pegmatites throughout much of the Lewisian Gneiss Complex at the time of pegmatite formation (Myers, 1971; Cliff et al., 1998; Goodenough et al., 2013). A second possibility is that the rare-metal pegmatites simply represent the most fractionated products of localised granitic bodies formed by melting of the local TTG crust. However, the ‘barren’ trend on the A/CNK diagram is considered to represent increasing fractionation of the biotite–magnetite pegmatite compositions. The divergent, rare-metal trend on the A/CNK diagram contains compositions that are too peraluminous to have been formed by melting of TTG, indicating a different and more peraluminous source. Furthermore, the second model does not explain the concentration of rare-metal pegmatites in the HGB. The third possible explanation, and the one that best fits the data, is that pegmatite composition is controlled by variations in the local crustal sources. The HGB represents one of the few areas within the Lewisian Gneiss Complex where reasonably large volumes of peraluminous metasedimentary rocks are potentially available as sources for melting. Smaller amounts of metasedimentary rocks are also present in the Assynt terrane (Kiny and Friend, 2005), although not always well mapped; such metasedimentary rocks may have provided a volumetrically limited source close to Badcall. It is notable that metasedimentary rocks in both these areas had been metamorphosed to granulite facies, and subsequently retrogressed, prior to pegmatite formation (Hollis et al., 2006; Baba et al., 2012; Zirkler et al., 2012). Regionally, metasedimentary rocks are also found in the Loch Maree Group, although these have not been metamorphosed to granulite facies (Park et al., 2001).

Metapelitic rocks provide a source of appropriate composition for the rare-metal pegmatite end-members, particularly Chippavale. Many experimental studies have shown that peraluminous granites are typically formed by melting of biotite + muscovite-bearing metapelites (Harris and Massey, 1994; Gardien et al., 1995; Patiño Douce and Harris, 1998). Biotite in the metapelites represents a host for elements such as Li, Rb, Cs, Nb and Ta (Bea et al., 1994; Stepanov et al., 2014). They are also a potentially important source of H2O, F and Cl. Another important repository, in granulite facies rocks, for Nb and Ta is ilmenite (Nehring et al., 2010). In metapelite melting, these characteristic elements of rare-metal granites will typically partition into the melt (Icenhower and London, 1995). In contrast, the biotite–magnetite pegmatites formed from more mafic magmas; a possible source for these lies in the meta-igneous rocks of the HGB. These sources are interleaved, suggesting that any partial melts are likely to have mixed to varying degrees.

The distribution of the MREE and HREE is likely to be controlled by the presence of garnet, titanite, zircon, apatite, monazite and hornblende in the source material, particularly in granulite facies rocks (Bea and Montero, 1999). In the HGB, garnet-bearing pelitic rocks are found in the Leverburgh Belt; they contain biotite, muscovite, garnet, and accessory titanite, zircon, apatite, monazite, and secondary (retrograde) hornblende (Baba, 1998; Baba, 2003; Hollis et al., 2006). The retrogression of granulite-facies metasedimentary rocks in the Leverburgh Belt to amphibolite-facies prior to emplacement of the pegmatites was potentially an important precursor to their formation. The process of retrogression introduced fluids (this is reflected in the hydrous mineralogy of the retrogressed rocks (Baba, 1998) and led to the breakdown of phases such as garnet, with formation of coronas (Hollis et al., 2006). The REE may have been unstable in these coronas, such that subsequent partial melting produced a partial melt enriched in the MREE and HREE. In summary, the mineral assemblage of metapelites in the Leverburgh Belt makes them an attractive potential source material for the production of rare-metal pegmatites in the HGB.

9.5. Rare-metal pegmatite formation

The Harris Granulite Belt underwent high-temperature granulite-facies metamorphism shortly after its formation around 1890 Ma (Cliff et al., 1983; Baba, 1998, 1999; Hollis et al. 2006; Baba et al., 2012). Subsequent retrogression and decompression appears to have been associated with some component of partial melting, and was complete by c. 1870 Ma (Baba et al. 2012). This was followed around 1740–1670 Ma by late Laxfordian thrusting and crustal thickening with extensive amphibolite facies metamorphism and eventual development of extensional shear zones (Kinny and Friend, 2005; Park 2005; Love et al., 2010; Mason 2012, 2015; Goodenough et al. 2013). Decompression melting of the crust towards the end of this event led to the formation of Laxfordian granites and pegmatites. This late Laxfordian event appears to have been diachronous in nature, with the granites in the northern terranes of the mainland being older than those elsewhere in the Lewisian (Goodenough et al. 2013) although more extensive geochronological datasets would be needed to confirm this.

In the Harris Granulite Belt, we propose that the c. 1870 Ma retrogression of high-grade metasedimentary rocks in the Leverburgh
Belt to amphibolite facies, with accompanying rehydation and growth of biotite and muscovite (Baba, 1998), potentially played a vital role in re-fertilising the rocks of the HGB and allowing subsequent melting. This retrogression also led to the breakdown of minerals acting as repositories for important elements, such as garnet and monazite that might host the HFSE and REE (Baba, 2003; Hollis et al., 2006; Baba et al., 2012), thus potentially making these elements available during subsequent melting (Špacˇek et al., Hollis et al., 2006; Baba et al., 2012), thus potentially making these elements available during subsequent melting (Špacˇek et al., 2013). Garnet, rutile, zircon, apatite, monazite and hornblende are all known to be important hosts for the MREE and HREE and Y in magmatic and metamorphic systems (Bea, 1996). Partial melting of metasedimentary rocks that contain these phases might explain the HREE-enriched patterns observed in rare-metal pegmatites in the HGB.

Although in many areas the Laxfordian pegmatites of the Lewisian Gneiss Complex have been described as migmatises (e.g. Myers, 1971), the pegmatites within the HGB and at Badcall Bay do not show the same kind of link to their host rocks. Indeed, the pegmatites are cross-cutting, and there is limited evidence of host-rock melting. It therefore appears more likely that host-rock melting took place at depth within the HGB, and the magmas coalesced into small-scale magma lenses, which may have then undergone further fractionation. The more fractionated and fluid-rich of these magmas rose upwards to be emplaced as pegmatites at the current erosion level. A similar general model was proposed by Harris et al. (1986) who suggested that syn-collisional muscovite-bearing granites form during periods of crustal thickening. They propose that volatile-rich fluids moving upwards through the crustal pile during over-thrusting may interact with the over-riding sheet to initiate partial melting. The resulting melts are likely to be enriched in Rb, B, F and Ta. A similar model has been described by Goodenough et al. (2013) for the formation of ‘standard’ biotite–magnetite-type pegmatites in the Rhiconich terrane. The wide range of potential source materials within the HGB explains the variability seen within the pegmatites over a relatively small area.

This work suggests that, in a province where anatexic granites and pegmatites are formed within a wide zone of compression and crustal thickening, the composition of those pegmatites may be more strongly controlled by the source materials that are available to melt, rather than by magmatic processes such as fractional crystallisation. This is the case even where relatively small amounts of metasedimentary rocks are present within a wider area of metageneric crustal protoliths. Exploration targeting for rare-metal pegmatites may be better focused, not just on areas with large volumes of potential parent granites, but also in areas where appropriate sources are available.

There are numerous examples (i.e. New Mexico, Australia, Canada, China and the Czech Republic) of economic rare-metal pegmatites that are found in high-grade metamorphic terranes comprising amphibolite to granulate facies metasedimentary rocks and migmatises (Jahns and Ewing, 1976; Marignac and Cuney, 1999; Sweetapple and Collins, 2002; Stilling et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2007; Küster et al., 2009; McKechnie et al., 2012; Melleton et al., 2012; Martins et al., 2013). It is possible that the composition of available protoliths for melting is more important for rare-metal pegmatites than large-volume melting and formation of a fertile parent granite that undergoes lengthy fractionation.

10. Conclusions

This work shows that, whilst the majority of Laxfordian pegmatites in the Lewisian Gneiss Complex are ‘typical’ biotite–magnetite granitic pegmatites, a small suite of rare-metal pegmatites is also found. Muscovite–garnet-bearing rare-metal pegmatites in the Lewisian are largely found in the metasedimentary rocks of the Harris Granulite Belt, although one pegmatite of similar composition occurs at Badcall Bay in the Assynt Terrane. These pegmatites are highly peraluminous, have low bulk-rock K/Rb ratios, and are notably enriched in Nb, Cs, Be, Nb and Ta compared to the biotite–magnetite-type pegmatites. The mineralogy of the rare-metal pegmatites is dominated by albitic feldspar, muscovite mica and Mn-rich spessartine garnet. They also contain an exotic suite of accessory minerals that include ferrocolombite, beryl, gahnite and aeschynite-(Y). The mineralogy and chemistry of the rare-metal pegmatites suggests that they formed by melting of a peraluminous source enriched in a range of LILE and HFSE elements.

New dating places formation of the rare-metal pegmatites at about 1700 Ma; this indicates that rare-metal pegmatites formed contemporaneously with biotite–magnetite pegmatites in adjacent terranes, supporting the idea that the main control on compositional variation is the magmatic source, rather than the genetic processes. This suggests that regardless of the melting mechanism source lithology plays a very important role in the formation of rare-metal pegmatites. As such, exploration for rare-metal pegmatites should focus on high-grade metamorphic terranes dominated by amphibolite to granulate facies metasedimentary lithologies.
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