brought to you by CORE



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Discrete Applied Mathematics 154 (2006) 1437-1452

DISCRETE APPLIED MATHEMATICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/dam

On the connectivity of close to regular multipartite tournaments

Lutz Volkmann*, Stefan Winzen

Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen, Germany

Received 30 September 2003; received in revised form 31 August 2004; accepted 6 September 2004 Available online 24 January 2006

Abstract

If x is a vertex of a digraph D, then we denote by $d^+(x)$ and $d^-(x)$ the outdegree and the indegree of x, respectively. The global irregularity of a digraph D is defined by $i_g(D) = \max\{d^+(x), d^-(x)\} - \min\{d^+(y), d^-(y)\}$ over all vertices x and y of D (including x = y) and the local irregularity of a digraph D is $i_l(D) = \max |d^+(x) - d^-(x)|$ over all vertices x of D. Clearly, $i_l(D) \leq i_g(D)$. If $i_g(D) = 0$, then D is regular and if $i_g(D) \leq 1$, then D is almost regular.

A *c*-partite tournament is an orientation of a complete *c*-partite graph. Let V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_c be the partite sets of a *c*-partite tournament such that $|V_1| \leq |V_2| \leq \cdots \leq |V_c|$. In 1998, Yeo proved

$$\kappa(D) \geqslant \left\lceil \frac{|V(D)| - |V_c| - 2i_l(D)}{3} \right\rceil$$

for each *c*-partite tournament *D*, where $\kappa(D)$ is the connectivity of *D*. Using Yeo's proof, we will present the structure of those multipartite tournaments, which fulfill the last inequality with equality. These investigations yield the better bound

$$\kappa(D) \ge \left\lceil \frac{|V(D)| - |V_c| - 2i_l(D) + 1}{3} \right\rceil$$

in the case that $|V_c|$ is odd. Especially, we obtain a 1980 result by Thomassen for tournaments of arbitrary (global) irregularity. Furthermore, we will give a shorter proof of the recent result of Volkmann that

$$\kappa(D) \geqslant \left\lceil \frac{|V(D)| - |V_c| + 1}{3} \right\rceil$$

for all regular multipartite tournaments with exception of a well-determined family of regular (3q + 1)-partite tournaments. Finally we will characterize all almost regular tournaments with this property. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Multipartite tournaments; Almost regular multipartite tournaments; Connectivity

1. Terminology and introduction

In this paper all digraphs are finite without loops and multiple arcs. The vertex set and arc set of a digraph D is denoted by V(D) and E(D), respectively. If xy is an arc of a digraph D, then we write $x \rightarrow y$ and say that x dominates y, and if

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de (L. Volkmann), winzen@math2.rwth-aachen.de (S. Winzen).

⁰¹⁶⁶⁻²¹⁸X/\$ - see front matter 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.dam.2004.09.022

X and Y are two disjoint vertex sets or subdigraphs of D such that every vertex of X dominates every vertex of Y, then we say that X dominates Y, denoted by $X \to Y$. Furthermore, $X \to Y$ denotes the fact that there is no arc leading from Y to X. For the number of arcs from X to Y we write d(X, Y). Furthermore, let E(X, Y) = d(X, Y) + d(Y, X). If D is a digraph, then the out-neighborhood $N_D^+(x) = N^+(x)$ of a vertex x is the set of vertices dominated by x and the *in-neighborhood* $N_D^-(x) = N^-(x)$ is the set of vertices dominating x. Therefore, if there is the arc $xy \in E(D)$, then y is an outer neighbor of x and x is an inner neighbor of y. The numbers $d_D^+(x) = d^+(x) = |N^+(x)|$ and $d_D^-(x) = d^-(x) = |N^-(x)|$ are called the outdegree and indegree of x, respectively. For a vertex set X of D, we define D[X] as the subdigraph induced by X. If we replace in a digraph D every arc xy by yx, then we call the resulting digraph the converse of D, denoted by D^{-1} .

There are several measures of how much a digraph differs from being regular. In [11], Yeo defines the *global irregularity* of a digraph D by

$$i_{g}(D) = \max_{x \in V(D)} \{ d^{+}(x), d^{-}(x) \} - \min_{y \in V(D)} \{ d^{+}(y), d^{-}(y) \}$$

and the *local irregularity* by $i_l(D) = \max\{|d^+(x) - d^-(x)| | x \in V(D)\}$. Clearly $i_l(D) \leq i_g(D)$. If $i_g(D) = 0$, then D is *regular* and if $i_g(D) \leq 1$, then D is called *almost regular*.

A digraph *D* is *strongly connected* or *strong* if, for each pair of vertices *u* and *v*, there are a directed path from *u* to *v*, and a directed path from *v* to *u* in *D*. A digraph *D* with at least k + 1 vertices is *k*-connected if for any set *A* of at most k - 1 vertices, the subdigraph D - A obtained by deleting *A* is strong. The connectivity of *D*, denoted by $\kappa(D)$, is then defined to be the largest value of *k* such that *D* is *k*-connected. If *S* is a set of vertices of *D* such that the subdigraph D - S is not strongly connected, then *S* is called a *separating set*.

A *c*-partite or multipartite tournament is an orientation of a complete *c*-partite graph. A *tournament* is a *c*-partite tournament with exactly *c* vertices. A *semicomplete multipartite digraph* is obtained by replacing each edge of a complete multipartite graph by an arc or by a pair of two mutually opposite arcs. If V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_c are the partite sets of a *c*-partite tournament *D* and the vertex *x* of *D* belongs to the partite set V_i , then we define $V(x) = V_i$. If *D* is a *c*-partite tournament with the partite sets V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_c such that $|V_1| \leq |V_2| \leq \cdots \leq |V_c|$, then $|V_c| = \alpha(D)$ is the independence number of *D*, and we define $\gamma(D) = |V_1|$. Note that especially for tournaments, the global and the local irregularity have the same value. Hence, in this case we shortly speak of the *irregularity* i(T) of a tournament *T*.

In 1998, Yeo [10] proved the following useful bound.

Theorem 1.1 (Yeo [10]). Let D be a c-partite tournament. Then

$$\kappa(D) \ge \left\lceil \frac{|V(D)| - \alpha(D) - 2i_l(D)}{3} \right\rceil.$$
(1)

In general, this bound cannot be improved as the following example demonstrates (see also [6]).

Example 1.2 (*Volkmann [6]*). Let $q \ge 1$ be an integer, and let c = 3q + 1. We define the families \mathscr{F}_q of *c*-partite tournaments with the partite sets W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_q and

$$W_{q+1} = A_{q+1} \cup B_{q+1}, W_{q+2} = A_{q+2} \cup B_{q+2}, \dots, W_c = A_c \cup B_c$$

with $2|A_i|=2|B_i|=|W_j|=2t$ for i=q+1, q+2, ..., c and j=1, 2, ..., q as follows. The partite sets $W_1, W_2, ..., W_q$ induce a t(q-1)-regular q-partite tournament H, the sets $A_{q+1}, A_{q+2}, ..., A_c$ induce a tq-regular (2q + 1)-partite tournament A, and the sets $B_{q+1}, B_{q+2}, ..., B_c$ induce a tq-regular (2q + 1)-partite tournament B. In addition, let $H \rightarrow A \sim B \rightarrow H$. Obviously, if $D \in \mathscr{F}_q$, then D is a 3qt-regular c-partite tournament with the separating set V(H) and thus $\kappa(D) = 2qt = q\alpha(D)$.

Since Yeo's result is often used to solve problems depending on the global irregularity, it would be interesting to solve the following general problem.

Problem 1.3. For each integer $i \ge 0$ find all multipartite tournaments D with $i_g(D) = i$ and the property that

$$\kappa(D) = \left\lceil \frac{|V(D)| - |V_c| - 2i}{3} \right\rceil$$

In Section 2, we will analyze the proof of Theorem 1.1. With this method we will extend this result by working out—for each given integer $j \ge 0$ —the structure of those multipartite tournaments D with $i_l(D) = j$ for which the bound (1) is tight. This structure implies a well-known bound of Thomassen [4] on the connectivity of tournaments of given irregularity. Furthermore, the results of Section 2 will be useful for Section 3 and to prove a result in [9] about Hamiltonian paths through a given arc.

In Section 3, we will study Problem 1.3 for i = 0 and i = 1. For the case that *D* is a regular tournament, Volkmann [6] proved the following bound, which solves Problem 1.3 for i = 0.

Theorem 1.4 (Volkmann [6]). Let D be a regular c-partite tournament with $c \ge 2$. Then,

$\kappa(D) \geqslant$	$\left\lceil V(D) - \alpha(D) + 1 \right\rceil$	
	3	

with exception of the case that D is a member of the families \mathscr{F}_q .

Using the structure of the multipartite tournaments, which fulfill (1) with equality, in the beginning of Section 3, we will present a shorter proof of Theorem 1.4. Note that Theorem 1.4 generalizes Theorem 2.10 in [7], which is needed to prove a theorem about complementary cycles. Furthermore, we will extend Theorem 1.4 to almost regular multipartite tournaments, which means that we will present a solution of Problem 1.3 for i = 1.

For more information on multipartite tournaments we refer the reader to Bang-Jensen and Gutin [1], Gutin [2], and Volkmann [5].

2. An analysis of Yeo's result

The following results were given in [10] and [11]. The information about the cases of equality can implicitly be found in the proofs of the lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (Yeo [11]). Let $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_c)$ be *c* integers with $\sum_{i=1}^c v_i = B$ and $v_i \ge 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, c$. For any set of *c* reals $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_c)$ with $0 \le x_i \le v_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, c$) and $0 < \sum_{i=1}^c x_i = A \le B/2$ we have the following:

$$\frac{e(\vec{x}, \vec{v})}{A} + \frac{e(\vec{x}, \vec{v})}{B - A} \ge B - \max\{v_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, c\},\tag{2}$$

where $e(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) = A(B - A) - \sum_{i=1}^{c} x_i(v_i - x_i).$

Furthermore, if equality holds above, then $v_i - 2x_i = v_j - 2x_j$ and $v_j - x_j = v_i - x_i$ for all $1 \le i, j \le c$.

Lemma 2.2 (*Yeo* [11]). Let *D* be a semicomplete multipartite digraph with the partite sets V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_c . Let $X \subseteq Y \subseteq V(D)$ be arbitrary. Let $x_i = |V_i \cap X|$ and $v_i = |Y \cap V_i|$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, c$. This implies the following:

$$\frac{E(X, Y - X)}{|X|} + \frac{E(X, Y - X)}{|Y - X|} \ge |Y| - \max\{v_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, c\}.$$
(3)

In the case of equality in (3) we have also equality in (2) with x_i and v_i defined here.

Lemma 2.3 (*Yeo* [10]). If D is a digraph and $X \subset V(D)$ is non-empty, then

$$i_l(D) \ge \frac{|d(X, V(D) - X) - d(V(D) - X, X)|}{|X|}.$$
(4)

If equality holds above, then it follows that $d^+(x) = d^-(x) + i_l(D)$ for all $x \in X$ or $d^-(x) = d^+(x) + i_l(D)$ for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 2.4 (Yeo [10]). Let D be a semicomplete multipartite digraph with the partite sets V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_c , and let S be a separating set in D. Let Q_1 and Q_2 be a partition of V(D) - S, such that $Q_1 \rightsquigarrow Q_2$, and let

 $v' = \max\{|V_i \cap (V(D) - S)||i = 1, 2, \dots, c\}$. Then the following holds:

$$i_l(D) \ge \frac{|V(D)| - 3|S| - v'}{2}.$$
 (5)

In the case of equality in (5) we have also equality in (3) with $X = Q_1$ and Y = V(D) - S. Furthermore, it follows that $|Q_1| = |Q_2|, S \rightarrow Q_1$ and $d(Q_1, V(D) - Q_1) \ge |Q_1||S|$, and we have equality in (4) with $X = Q_1$.

This immediately leads to Yeo's main result.

Theorem 2.5 (*Yeo* [10]). If D is a semicomplete multipartite digraph, then (1) holds.

Furthermore, if equality holds in (1), then we observe that (5) is fulfilled with equality and there is a partite set V_i such that $|V_i| = \alpha(D)$ and $V_i \subseteq V(D) - S$.

The following slight extension of a result of the authors [8] is useful to structure the multipartite tournaments that fulfill (1) with equality.

Lemma 2.6 (Volkmann and Winzen [8]). If D is a multipartite tournament with $i_l(D) \leq l$ and $x \in V(D)$ such that |V(x)| = p, then

$$\frac{|V(D)| - p - l}{2} \leqslant d^+(x), d^-(x) \leqslant \frac{|V(D)| - p + l}{2}$$

All results above yield the following corollary.

Corollary 2.7. Let *D* be a multipartite tournament with $\kappa(D) = (|V(D)| - 2i_l(D) - \alpha(D))/3$ and let *S* be a separating set with $|S| = \kappa(D)$. Then the following holds:

- (i) $(|V(D)| 2i_l(D) \alpha(D))/3 \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
- (ii) There is no partite set V_i of D such that $V_i \cap (V(D) S) \neq \emptyset$ and $V_i \cap S \neq \emptyset$.
- (iii) For all partite sets V_i of D with $V_i \subseteq V(D) S$ it follows that $|V_i| = \alpha(D)$.
- (iv) V(D) S can be partitioned in the sets Q_1 and Q_2 with $Q_1 \rightsquigarrow Q_2$ such that $|Q_1| = |Q_2|, Q_2 \rightarrow S \rightarrow Q_1$ and $D[Q_1]$ and $D[Q_2]$ are strong.
- (v) $d^+(q_1) = d^-(q_1) + i_l(D) = (|V(D)| \alpha(D) + i_l(D))/2$ for all $q_1 \in Q_1$ and $d^-(q_2) = d^+(q_2) + i_l(D) = (|V(D)| \alpha(D) + i_l(D))/2$ for all $q_2 \in Q_2$.
- (vi) $\alpha(D)$ is even.
- (vii) Every partite set V_i of D with $V_i \subseteq V(D) S$ can be partitioned in two disjoined sets of vertices V'_i and V''_i such that $|V'_i| = |V''_i|, V'_i \subseteq Q_1$ and $V''_i \subseteq Q_2$.
- (viii) $D[Q_1]$ and $D[Q_2]$ are regular multipartite tournaments.

Proof. Since $\kappa(D)$ is a non-negative integer, (i) follows immediately. Let Q_1 and Q_2 be a partition of V(D) - S such that $Q_1 \rightsquigarrow Q_2$. According to Theorem 2.5, there is a partite set V_i of D such that $V_i \subseteq V(D) - S$ and $|V_i| = \alpha(D)$. Now Lemma 2.1 with $x_i = |Q_1 \cap V_i|$ and $v_i = |V_i \cap (V(D) - S)|$ yields that

$$|V_i \cap (V(D) - S)| - 2|V_i \cap Q_1| = |V_j \cap (V(D) - S)| - 2|V_j \cap Q_1|$$

and

$$|V_i \cap (V(D) - S)| - |V_i \cap Q_1| = |V_j \cap (V(D) - S)| - |V_j \cap Q_1|$$

for all indices *j* with $V_j \cap (V(D) - S) \neq \emptyset$. This is possible only if $|V_i \cap Q_1| = |V_j \cap Q_1|$ and $|V_i \cap (V(D) - S)| = |V_i| = \alpha(D) = |V_j \cap (V(D) - S)|$ for all these indices *j*. This implies (ii) and (iii).

According to Theorem 2.4, we have $|Q_1| = |Q_2|$. If D - S does not consist of two strong components of the same cardinality, then we can choose a partition Q_1 and Q_2 of V(D) - S such that $Q_1 \rightsquigarrow Q_2$ and $|Q_1| \neq |Q_2|$, a contradiction. Furthermore, Theorem 2.4 leads to $S \rightarrow Q_1$. Observing the converse D^{-1} of D, we arrive at $Q_2 \rightarrow S$. Altogether we have shown (iv).

1440

Since, according to Theorem 2.4, $d(Q_1, V(D) - Q_1) \ge |Q_1| |S| = d(V(D) - Q_1, Q_1)$, Lemma 2.3 yields $d^+(q_1) = d^-(q_1) + i_l(D)$ for all $q_1 \in Q_1$ and, caused by symmetry, $d^-(q_2) = d^+(q_2) + i_l(D)$ for all $q_2 \in Q_2$. Using Lemma 2.6 with $p = \alpha(D)$, we arrive at (v).

As seen above, Lemma 2.1 implies $|V_i \cap Q_1| = |V_j \cap Q_1|$ for all indices *i* and *j* with $V_i, V_j \subseteq V(D) - S$. Because of $|Q_1| = |Q_2|$, this exactly means (vii) and thus with (iii) we deduce that (vi) is valid.

According to (vii), we have $d(x, Q_2) = d(y, Q_2)$ for all $x, y \in Q_1$. Because of (v), $D[Q_1]$ has to be a regular multipartite tournament. Caused by symmetry, $D[Q_2]$ is also a regular multipartite tournament, which means that (viii) is valid.

This completes the proof of this corollary. \Box

This result yields a simple method to check, whether the inequality (1) can be improved.

Corollary 2.8. Let D be a multipartite tournament. If $\alpha(D)$ is odd, then it follows that:

$$\kappa(D) \geqslant \left\lceil \frac{|V(D)| - 2i_l(D) - \alpha(D) + 1}{3} \right\rceil.$$

In the case of a tournament *T* we observe that $\alpha(T) = 1$ is odd and $i_g(T) = i_l(T) = i(T)$. Hence, Corollary 2.8 implies the following result of Thomassen [4].

Theorem 2.9 (*Thomassen* [4]). If T is a tournament with $i(T) \leq k$, then

$$\kappa(D) \geqslant \left\lceil \frac{|V(T)| - 2k}{3} \right\rceil$$

Another consequence of Corollary 2.8 is the following result.

Corollary 2.10. *Let D be a c-partite tournament with* $c \ge 2$, $i_g(D) = 2k + 1$ *for an integer* $k \ge 0$ *and* $\alpha(D) = \gamma(D)$ *. Then the following holds:*

$$\kappa(D) \ge \left\lceil \frac{|V(D)| - \alpha(D) - 2i_l(D) + 1}{3} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{|V(D)| - \alpha(D) - 4k - 1}{3} \right\rceil.$$

3. Connectivity in almost regular multipartite tournaments

With the results of the last section we are able to present a shorter proof of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 3.1 (Volkmann [6]). Let D be a regular c-partite tournament with $c \ge 2$. Then,

$$\kappa(D) \ge \left\lceil \frac{|V(D)| - \alpha(D) + 1}{3} \right\rceil$$

with exception of the case that D is a member of the families \mathcal{F}_q .

Proof. If $V_1, V_2, ..., V_c$ are the partite sets of D, then $|V_1| = |V_2| = \cdots = |V_c| = r$, $\alpha(D) = r$, and $i_l(D) = 0$. Suppose that $\kappa(D) = (|V(D)| - \alpha(D))/3 = (c - 1)r/3$. It follows that (i)–(viii) of Corollary 2.7 holds. Especially (ii) yields that |S| = sr for an integer s. On the other hand, we see that $|S| = \kappa(D) = (c - 1)r/3$ and thus $s = (c - 1)/3 \in \mathbb{N}$, which means that c = 3q + 1 for an integer q and $|S| = qr = q\alpha(D)$. Since, according to (iv), $Q_2 \rightarrow S \rightarrow Q_1$ with $|Q_1| = |Q_2|$ and D is regular, D[S] has also to be regular. With Corollary 2.7 (vii) and (viii) we conclude that D belongs to the families \mathscr{F}_q . \Box

Now we will examine almost regular multipartite tournaments. At first we want to derive a result that will help us in the following. According to Tewes, Volkmann and Yeo [3], the following lemma holds.

Lemma 3.2 (*Tewes, Volkmann and Yeo* [3]). If V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_c are the partite sets of a *c*-partite tournament *D* such that $|V_1| \leq |V_2| \leq \cdots \leq |V_c|$, then $|V_c| \leq |V_1| + 2i_g(D)$.

The following lemma presents a lower bound for the degree of a vertex.

Lemma 3.3. Let D be a multipartite tournament with $i_g(D) \leq l$ and $\gamma(D) = r$. Then we have

$$\frac{|V(D)| - \gamma(D) - 2l}{2} \leqslant d^+(x), d^-(x)$$

for all $x \in V(D)$. If furthermore |V(x)| = r + 2l, then it follows that:

$$d^+(x), d^-(x) = \frac{|V(D)| - r - 2l}{2}$$

Proof. Let $x \in V(D)$ be arbitrary. If $|V(x)| \le r+l$, then the first assertion holds by Lemma 2.6. Hence, let $|V(x_1)| \ge r+l+1$. Suppose that $d^+(x_1) \le (|V(D)| - r - 2l - 1)/2$. Because of $i_g(D) \le l$, we conclude that $d^+(y)$, $d^-(y) \le (|V(D)| - r - 2l - 1)/2$ for all $y \in V(D)$. If we take a vertex $x_2 \in V(D)$ with $|V(x_2)| = r$, then we arrive at the contradiction

$$|V(D)| = d^+(x_2) + d^-(x_2) + r \le |V(D)| - r - 1 + r = |V(D)| - 1.$$

Hence, it has to be $d^+(x) \ge (|V(D)| - \gamma(D) - 2l)/2$ for all vertices $x \in V(D)$. Since the proof for $d^-(x)$ follows the same lines, the first assertion of this lemma is completed.

Now, let $x \in V(D)$ with |V(x)| = r + 2l. Suppose that $d^+(x) \ge (|V(D)| - r - 2l + 1)/2$. The fact that $|V(D)| = d^+(x) + d^-(x) + r + 2l$ yields that $d^-(x) \le (|V(D)| - r - 2l - 1)/2$, a contradiction to the first assertion of this lemma. This completes the proof of the lemma. \Box

Together with Corollary 2.7, this yields the following result.

Corollary 3.4. Let *D* be a multipartite tournament such that $\kappa(D) = (|V(D)| - 2i_l(D) - \alpha(D))/3$ and $i_g(D) = i_l(D) \ge 1$. Then it follows that $\alpha(D) < \gamma(D) + 2i_g(D)$.

Proof. According to (iii) and (v) in Corollary 2.7, we observe that

$$d^{+}(q_{1}) = d^{-}(q_{1}) + i_{g}(D) = \frac{|V(D)| - \alpha(D) + i_{g}(D)}{2}$$

and $|V(q_1)| = \alpha(D)$ for all $q_1 \in Q_1$. Assume that $\alpha(D) \ge \gamma(D) + 2i_g(D)$. Lemma 3.2 yields that $\alpha(D) = \gamma(D) + 2i_g(D)$. Now Lemma 3.3 leads to the contradiction

$$d^{+}(q_{1}) = \frac{|V(D)| - \gamma(D) - 2i_{g}(D)}{2} = \frac{|V(D)| - \alpha(D)}{2}. \qquad \Box$$

The following examples will present the families of the multipartite tournaments with $i_g(D) = 1$, which realize (1).

Example 3.5. Let the integers k, m, r, p, l, v, q, c and k_1 fulfill one of the following properties:

- (1) $r = 2p + 1 \ge 1, k = 3m \ge 3, l = 2v, 0 \le v \le (m 1)/(4p + 2), k_1 = m 1 2v(2p + 1), q = 2v + 2vp + m$ and c = 3q + 1.
- (2) $r = 4p + 3 \ge 3, k = 3m \ge 3, 0 \le l \le (m-1)/(4p+3), k_1 = m-1 l(4p+3), q = 2l + 2lp + m \text{ and } c = 3q + 1.$
- (3) $r=12p+3 \ge 3, k=3m, m \ge 8p+3, 1 \le l \le (4p+m)/(12p+3), k_1=4p+m-l(12p+3), q=m-2p-1+l(6p+2)$ and c=3q+2.
- (4) $r=6p+3 \ge 3, k=3m, m \ge 4p+3, l=2v+1 \ge 1, 0 \le v \le (m-4p-3)/(12p+6), k_1=2p+m-(2v+1)(6p+3), q=m-p-1+(2v+1)(3p+2) \text{ and } c=3q+2.$
- (5) $r = 12p + 11 \ge 11$, k = 3m + 1, $m \ge 8p + 8$, $1 \le l \le (m + 4p + 3)/(12p + 11)$, $k_1 = 4p + 3 + m l(12p + 11)$, q = m 2p 2 + l(6p + 6) and c = 3q + 2.

- (6) $r=6p+5 \ge 5, k=3m+1, m \ge 4p+4, l=2v+1, 0 \le v \le (m-4p-4)/(12p+10), k_1=2p+1+m-(2v+1)(6p+5), q=m-p-1+(2v+1)(3p+3) and c=3q+2.$
- (7) $r = 12p + 7 \ge 7, k = 3m + 2, m \ge 8p + 5, 1 \le l \le (m + 4p + 2)/(12p + 7), k_1 = 4p + 2 + m l(12p + 7), q = m 2p 1 + l(6p + 4) and c = 3q + 2.$
- (8) $r=6p+1 \ge 1, k=3m+2, m \ge 4p+1, l=2v+1, 0 \le v \le (m-4p-1)/(12p+2), k_1=2p+m-(2v+1)(6p+1), q=m-p+(2v+1)(3p+1) \text{ and } c=3q+2.$
- (9) $r = 12p + 3 \ge 3, k = 3m, m \ge 4p + 2, 0 \le l \le (m 4p 2)/(12p + 3), k_1 = m 4p 2 l(12p + 3), q = m + 2p + 1 + l(6p + 2) and c = 3q.$
- (10) $r=6p+3 \ge 3, k=3m, m \ge 8p+5, l=2v+1, 0 \le v \le (m-8p-5)/(12p+6), k_1=m-2p-2-(2v+1)(6p+3), q=m+p+1+(2v+1)(3p+2) \text{ and } c=3q.$
- (11) $r=6p+1 \ge 1, k=3m+1, m \ge 8p+2, l=2v+1, 0 \le v \le (m-8p-2)/(12p+2), k_1=m-2p-1-(2v+1)(6p+1), q=m+p+1+(2v+1)(3p+1) and c=3q.$
- (12) $r = 12p + 7 \ge 7$, k = 3m + 1, $m \ge 4p + 3$, $0 \le l \le (m 4p 3)/(12p + 7)$, $k_1 = m 4p 3 l(12p + 7)$, q = m + 2p + 2 + l(6p + 4) and c = 3q.
- (13) $r=6p+5 \ge 5, k=3m+2, m \ge 8p+7, l=2v+1, 0 \le v \le (m-8p-7)/(12p+10), k_1=m-2p-2-(2v+1)(6p+5), q=m+2+p+(2v+1)(3p+3) and c=3q.$
- (14) $r = 12p + 11 \ge 11, k = 3m + 2, m \ge 4p + 4, 0 \le l \le (m 4p 4)/(12p + 11), k_1 = m 4p 4 l(12p + 11), q = m + 3 + 2p + l(6p + 6) and c = 3q.$

If the properties in (i) are valid (i = 1, 2, ..., 14) for the indices k, m, r, p, l, v, q, c and k_1 , then we define the families \mathscr{G}_q^i of *c*-partite tournaments with the partite sets $W_1 = A_1 \cup B_1$, $W_2 = A_2 \cup B_2$, ..., $W_{k-k_1} = A_{k-k_1} \cup B_{k-k_1}$ and W_{k-k_1+1} , W_{k-k_1+2} , ..., W_c with $2|A_i|=2|B_i|=|W_j|=r+1$ for $i=1, 2, ..., k-k_1$ and $j=k-k_1+1, k-k_1+2, ..., k$ and $|W_{k+1}|=|W_{k+2}|=\cdots=|W_c|=r$ as follows.

The partite sets W_{k-k_1+1} , W_{k-k_1+2} , ..., W_c induce a (q+l)-partite tournament H such that $d_H^+(x) = d_H^-(x)$ for all $x \in W_{k+1} \cup W_{k+2} \cup \cdots \cup W_c$ and $|d_H^+(x) - d_H^-(x)| = 1$ for all $x \in W_{k-k_1+1} \cup W_{k-k_1+2} \cup \cdots \cup W_k$; the sets $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{k-k_1}$ induce a [(c - q - l - 1)(r + 1)/4]-regular (c - q - l)-partite tournament A; and analogously the sets $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{k-k_1}$ induce a [(c - q - l - 1)(r + 1)/4]-regular (c - q - l)-partite tournament B. In addition, let $H \to A \rightsquigarrow B \to H$. If $D \in \mathscr{G}_q^i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 14$, then it is straightforward to show that D is a c-partite tournament with $i_g(D) = i_l(D) = 1$ containing the separating set V(H) such that $|V(H)| = \kappa(D) = (c - k + k_1)r + k_1 = (|V(D)| - \alpha(D) - 2)/3$.

Example 3.6. Let the integers k, m, r, p, l, v, q, c and k_1 fulfill one of the following properties:

- (1) $r = 2 + 4p \ge 2, k = 3m + 1, m \ge 1 + 2p, 1 \le l \le m/(1 + 2p), k_1 = m l(1 + 2p), q = m + l(1 + p) \text{ and } c = 3q + 1.$
- (2) $r = 4 + 4p \ge 4, k = 3m + 1, m \ge 4 + 4p, l = 2v + 2, 0 \le v \le (m 4 4p)/(4 + 4p), k_1 = m (2v + 2)(2p + 2), q = m + (v + 1)(2p + 3) and c = 3q + 1.$
- (3) $r = 6 + 12p \ge 6, k = 3m + 1, m \ge 5 + 10p, l = 2v, 1 \le v \le \frac{1}{6}(1 + (m/(1 + 2p))), k_1 = 1 + 2p + m 6v 12pv, q = 4v + 6pv + m p 1 and c = 3q + 2.$
- (4) $r=10+12p \ge 10, k=3m+2, m \ge 10p+8, l=2v, 1 \le v \le (m+2p+2)/(12p+10), k_1=2p+2+m-12pv-10v, q=6v+6pv+m-p-1 and c=3q+2.$
- (5) $r = 2 + 12p \ge 2$, k = 3m, $m \ge 10p + 2$, l = 2v, $1 \le v \le (m + 2p)/(12p + 2)$, $k_1 = 2p + m 2v 12pv$, q = 2v + 6pv + m - p - 1 and c = 3q + 2.
- (6) $r = 6p + 2 \ge 2, k = 3m, m \ge 2p + 1, l = 2v + 1, 0 \le v \le (m 2p 1)/(6p + 2), k_1 = m 2p 2v 6pv 1, q = 2v + 3pv + m + p \text{ and } c = 3q + 2.$
- (7) $r = 6 + 6p \ge 6, k = 3m + 1, m \ge 2p + 2, l = 2v + 1, 0 \le v \le \frac{1}{6}((m/(p+1)) 2), k_1 = m 2p 6pv 6v 2, q = 4v + 3vp + m + p + 1 and c = 3q + 2.$
- (8) $r=4+6p \ge 4, k=3m+2, m \ge 2p+1, l=2v+1, 0 \le v \le (m-2p-1)/(4+6p), k_1=m-2p-1-6pv-4v, q=3v+3pv+m+p+1 and c=3q+2.$
- (9) $r = 10 + 12p \ge 10, k = 3m, m \ge 2p + 2, l = 2v, 0 \le v \le (m 2p 2)/(10 + 12p), k_1 = m 2 2p 10v 12pv, q = 6v + 6pv + m + 1 + p \text{ and } c = 3q.$
- (10) $r = 6 + 12p \ge 6, k = 3m + 1, m \ge 2p + 1, l = 2v, 0 \le v \le (m 2p 1)/(6 + 12p), k_1 = m 2p 1 12pv 6v, q = 4v + 6pv + m + p + 1 and c = 3q.$

- (11) $r = 2 + 12p \ge 2, k = 3m + 2, m \ge 2p, l = 2v, 0 \le v \le (m 2p)/(2 + 12p), k_1 = m 2p 2v 12pv, q = 2v + 6pv + m + 1 + p and c = 3q.$
- (12) $r = 6p + 4 \ge 4, k = 3m, m \ge 3 + 4p, l = 2v + 1, 0 \le v \le (m 3 4p)/(4 + 6p), k_1 = m 3 4p 4v 6pv, q = 3v + 2 + 3pv + m + 2p$ and c = 3q.
- (13) $r=6+6p \ge 6, k=3m+1, m \ge 4+4p, l=2v+1, 0 \le v \le (m-4-4p)/(6+6p), k_1=m-4-4p-6v-6pv, q=4v+3pv+2p+m+3 and c=3q.$
- (14) $r = 2 + 6p \ge 2, k = 3m + 2, m \ge 4p + 1, l = 2v + 1, 0 \le v \le (m 4p 1)/(2 + 6p), k_1 = m 4p 2v 6pv 1, q = 2v + 2 + 3pv + m + 2p$ and c = 3q.

If the properties in (i) are valid (i=1, 2, ..., 14) for the indices k, m, r, p, l, v, q, c and k_1 , then we define the families \mathscr{H}_q^i of c-partite tournaments with the partite sets $W_1 = A_1 \cup B_1$, $W_2 = A_2 \cup B_2$, ..., $W_{k-k_1} = A_{k-k_1} \cup B_{k-k_1}$ and W_{k-k_1+1} , W_{k-k_1+2} , ..., W_c with $2|A_i|=2|B_i|=|W_j|=r+2$ for $i=1, 2, ..., k-k_1$ and $j=k-k_1+1, k-k_1+2, ..., k$ and $|W_{k+1}|=|W_{k+2}|=\cdots=|W_c|=r$ as follows.

The partite sets W_{k-k_1+1} , W_{k-k_1+2} , ..., W_c induce a local regular (q+l)-partite tournament H; the sets $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{k-k_1}$ induce a [(c-q-l-1)(r+2)/4]-regular (c-q-l)-partite tournament A; and the sets $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{k-k_1}$ induce a [(c-q-l-1)(r+2)/4]-regular (c-q-l)-partite tournament B. In addition, let $H \to A \rightsquigarrow B \to H$. If $D \in \mathscr{H}_q^i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 14$, then it is left to the reader to show that D is a c-partite tournament with $i_g(D) = 1$ and $i_l(D) = 0$ containing the separating set V(H) such that $|V(H)| = \kappa(D) = (c-k+k_1)r + 2k_1 = (|V(D)| - \alpha(D))/3$.

There are no other *c*-partite tournaments with $i_g(D) = 1$ and $\kappa(D) = (|V(D)| - \alpha(D) - 2i_l(D))/3$ as we can see in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7. *Let D be an almost regular c-partite tournament with* $c \ge 2$ *. Then,*

$$\kappa(D) \geqslant \left\lceil \frac{|V(D)| - \alpha(D) - 2i_l(D) + 1}{3} \right\rceil,$$

with exception of the case that D is a member of one of the families \mathscr{F}_q , \mathscr{G}_q^i or \mathscr{H}_q^i with $i \in \{1, 2, ..., 14\}$.

Proof. If $i_g(D) = 0$, then the assertion follows from Theorem 3.1. Hence, let *D* be a *c*-partite tournament with $i_g(D) = 1$ and the partite sets V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_c such that $r = |V_1| \le |V_2| \le \cdots \le |V_c| = \alpha(D)$. According to Lemma 3.2, we have $r \le \alpha(D) \le r + 2$. Suppose that $\kappa(D) = (|V(D)| - 2i_l(D) - \alpha(D))/3$. Let *S*, Q_1 and Q_2 be defined as in Corollary 2.7 and observe that (i)–(viii) of this corollary holds. Now we distinguish different cases.

Case 1. Let $\alpha(D) = r$. In this case, Corollary 2.10 yields a contradiction.

Case 2. Assume that $\alpha(D) = r + 1$. This implies that $i_l(D) = i_g(D) = 1$ and according to Corollary 2.7 (vi), *r* is odd. Hence, we may suppose that r = 2p + 1 for an integer $p \ge 0$. Let |V(D)| = cr + k with 0 < k < c. Because of Corollary 2.7 (v), we deduce that the number of partite sets with the cardinality *r* has to be odd, which means that c - k is odd. Again with Corollary 2.7 we see that *S* consists of all the c - k partite sets of cardinality *r* and of $k_1 \ge 0$ partite sets of cardinality r + 1. This yields that $|S| = (c - k + k_1)r + k_1$. Since $|Q_1| = |Q_2|$ and $Q_2 \rightarrow S \rightarrow Q_1$, it follows that $d_{D[S]}^+(x) = d_{D[S]}^-(x)$ for all vertices *x* belonging to a partite set of cardinality *r* and $|d_{D[S]}^+(x) - d_{D[S]}^-|= 1$ for all vertices $x \in S$ belonging to a partite set of cardinality r + 1.

Subcase 2.1. Let c = 3q + 1. If D[S] is (q + l)-partite, then we arrive at

$$|S| = (c - k + k_1)r + k_1 = (q + l)r + k_1 = \frac{cr + k - 2 - (r + 1)}{3}$$
$$= qr + \frac{k}{3} - 1 = (q + l)r + \frac{k}{3} - 1 - lr.$$

This implies that k = 3m for an integer $m \ge 1$, $c - k + k_1 = q + l$ and $k_1 = m - 1 - l(2p + 1) \ge 0$. It follows that $l \le (m - 1)/(2p + 1)$ and

$$c - k + k_1 = 3q + 1 - 3m + m - 1 - l(2p + 1) = q + l$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2q = 2l + 2lp + 2m \Rightarrow q = l + lp + m.$$

This leads to

$$\begin{split} c &= 3q + 1 = 3l + 3lp + 3m + 1 = k + 1 + 3l + 3lp < c + 1 + 3l + 3lp \\ &\Rightarrow 1 + 3l + 3lp > 0 \Rightarrow l > -\frac{1}{3 + 3p} \Rightarrow l \ge 0. \end{split}$$

Since c - k = 1 + 3l + 3lp = 1 + 3l(p + 1) has to be odd, it follows that l is even or p is odd.

If l = 2v, then we deduce that $0 \le v \le (m-1)/(4p+2)$, $m \ge 1$, $k_1 = m - 1 - 2v(2p+1)$ and q = 2v + 2vp + m. Corollary 2.7 yields that *D* belongs to the families \mathscr{G}_q^1 .

If $p = 2s + 1 \ge 1$, then it follows that $r = 4s + 3 \ge 3$, $m \ge 1$, $0 \le l \le (m - 1)/(4s + 3)$ and q = 2l + 2ls + m. Corollary 2.7 implies that *D* is an element of the families \mathscr{G}_q^2 .

Subcase 2.2. Suppose that c = 3q + 2 for an integer $q \ge 0$. If D[S] is (q + l)-partice, then we observe that

$$|S| = (c - k + k_1)r + k_1 = (q + l)r + k_1 = \frac{cr + k - r - 3}{3}$$
$$= qr + \frac{r + k}{3} - 1 = (q + l)r + \frac{r + k}{3} - 1 - lr,$$

and thus $c - k + k_1 = q + l$ and $k_1 = ((r + k)/3) - 1 - lr$. This leads to

$$3q + 2 - k + \frac{r+k}{3} - 1 - lr = 3q + 1 + \frac{r-2k}{3} - lr = q + l$$

$$\Rightarrow 2q = \frac{2k - r}{3} - 1 + l(r+1) \Rightarrow q = \frac{2k - r - 3}{6} + l\frac{r+1}{2}$$

Since r = 2p + 1, we have

$$q = \frac{2k - 2p - 4}{6} + l(p+1) = \frac{k - p - 2}{3} + l(p+1).$$
(6)

Subcase 2.2.1. Let k = 3m for an integer $m \ge 1$. With (6) we arrive at q = m - ((p+2)/3) + l(p+1) and thus p = 3s + 1, r = 6s + 3 and q = m - s - 1 + l(3s + 2) for an $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Furthermore we see that

$$c = 3q + 2 = 3m - 3s - 3 + 3l(3s + 2) + 2 = k - 3s - 1 + 3l(3s + 2) < c - 3s - 1 + 3l(3s + 2)$$

$$\Rightarrow -3s - 1 + 3l(3s + 2) > 0 \Rightarrow l > \frac{3s + 1}{9s + 6} \Rightarrow l \ge 1$$

and

$$k_1 = \frac{r+k}{3} - 1 - lr = 2s + m - l(6s+3) \ge 0 \Rightarrow l \le \frac{2s+m}{6s+3}.$$

Since c - k = -3s - 1 + 3l(3s + 2) = 3(3ls + 2l - s) - 1 is odd, we conclude that 3ls - s = s(3l - 1) is even and thus s is even or l is odd.

If s = 2n with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then we arrive at r = 12n + 3, q = m - 2n - 1 + l(6n + 2), $k_1 = 4n + m - l(12n + 3)$, $1 \le l \le (4n + m)/(12n + 3)$ and thus $m \ge 8n + 3$. According to Corollary 2.7, *D* is a member of the families \mathscr{G}_a^3 .

If l=2v+1 for an integer v, then it follows that $0 \le v \le (m-4s-3)/(12s+6)$, $m \ge 4s+3$, q=m-s-1+(2v+1)(3s+2) and $k_1 = 2s + m - (2v+1)(6s+3)$. Again with Corollary 2.7 we deduce that D is an element of the families \mathscr{G}_q^4 .

Subcase 2.2.2. Assume that k = 3m + 1 with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. According to (6), we have q = m - ((p + 1)/3) + l(p + 1) and thus p = 3s + 2 for an integer $s \ge 0$, r = 6s + 5 and q = m - s - 1 + l(3s + 3). Furthermore we conclude that

$$c = 3q + 2 = 3m - 3s - 3 + 3l(3s + 3) + 2 = k - 2 - 3s + 3l(3s + 3)$$

$$\Rightarrow -2 - 3s + 3l(3s + 3) > 0 \Rightarrow l > \frac{3s + 2}{3(3s + 3)} \Rightarrow l \ge 1$$

and

$$k_1 = \frac{r+k}{3} - 1 - lr = 2s + 1 + m - l(6s+5) \ge 0 \Rightarrow l \le \frac{m+2s+1}{6s+5}.$$

Since c - k = -2 - 3s + 3l(3s + 3) = -2 + 3(3ls + 3l - s) is odd, we observe that 3ls + 3l - s is odd. This is possible only if s is odd or l is odd.

If s = 2n + 1 for an integer $n \ge 0$, then it follows that r = 12n + 11, $1 \le l \le (m + 4n + 3)/(12n + 11)$, $m \ge 8n + 8$, $k_1 = 4n + 3 + m - l(12n + 11)$ and q = m - 2n - 2 + l(6n + 6). According to Corollary 2.7, we deduce that *D* belongs to the families \mathscr{G}_q^5 .

If l = 2v + 1 for an integer v, then it follows that $0 \le v \le (m - 4s - 4)/(12s + 10)$, $m \ge 4s + 4$, $k_1 = 2s + 1 + m - (2v + 1)(6s + 5)$ and q = m - s - 1 + (2v + 1)(3s + 3). Hence, using Corollary 2.7 we conclude that D is a member of the families \mathscr{G}_q^6 .

Subcase 2.2.3. Suppose that k = 3m + 2 with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. According to (6), we observe that q = m - (p/3) + l(p+1), and thus p = 3s, r = 6s + 1 and q = m - s + l(3s + 1) with $s \ge 0$. Furthermore we see that

$$c = 3q + 2 = 3m - 3s + 3l(3s + 1) + 2 = k - 3s + 3l(3s + 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow -3s + 3l(3s + 1) > 0 \Rightarrow l > \frac{3s}{9s + 3} \Rightarrow l \ge 1$$

and

$$k_1 = \frac{r+k}{3} - 1 - lr = 2s + m - l(6s+1) \ge 0 \Rightarrow l \le \frac{m+2s}{6s+1}.$$

Since c - k = -3s + 3l(3s + 1) = 3(3ls + l - s) is odd, we see that 3ls + l - s is odd. This is possible only if s is odd or l is odd.

If s = 2n + 1 with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then it follows that r = 12n + 7, $1 \le l \le (m + 4n + 2)/(12n + 7)$, $m \ge 8n + 5$, q = m - 2n - 1 + l(6n + 4) and $k_1 = 4n + 2 + m - l(12n + 7)$. Using Corollary 2.7 we deduce that *D* is an element of the families \mathscr{G}_q^7 .

If l = 2v + 1 for an integer v, then we have $0 \le v \le (m - 4s - 1)/(12s + 2)$, $m \ge 4s + 1$, q = m - s + (2v + 1)(3s + 1)and $k_1 = 2s + m - (2v + 1)(6s + 1)$. Again with Corollary 2.7 we conclude that D is a member of the families \mathscr{G}_q^8 . Subcase 2.3. Let c = 3q for an integer $q \ge 1$. If S is (q + l)-partite, then it follows that:

$$|S| = (c - k + k_1)r + k_1 = (q + l)r + k_1 = \frac{cr + k - r - 3}{3}$$
$$= qr + \frac{k - r}{3} - 1 = (q + l)r + \frac{k - r}{3} - 1 - lr,$$

and thus $c - k + k_1 = q + l$ and $k_1 = ((k - r)/3) - 1 - lr$. This implies that

$$3q - k + \frac{k - r}{3} - 1 - lr = q + l \Rightarrow 2q = \frac{r + 2k}{3} + 1 + l(r + 1)$$
$$\Rightarrow q = \frac{r + 2k + 3}{6} + l\frac{r + 1}{2}.$$

Because of r = 2p + 1 this means that

$$q = \frac{2k+2p+4}{6} + l(p+1) = \frac{k+p+2}{3} + l(p+1).$$
(7)

Subcase 2.3.1. Assume that k = 3m with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. According to (7), this leads to q = m + ((p+2)/3) + l(p+1), and thus p = 3s + 1, r = 6s + 3 and q = m + s + 1 + l(3s + 2) for an integer $s \ge 0$. Furthermore we observe that

$$c = 3q = 3m + 3s + 3 + 3l(3s + 2) = k + 3s + 3 + 3l(3s + 2)$$

$$\Rightarrow 3s + 3 + 3l(3s + 2) > 0 \Rightarrow l > \frac{-s - 1}{3s + 2} \Rightarrow l \ge 0$$

and

$$k_1 = \frac{k-r}{3} - 1 - lr = m - 2s - 2 - l(6s+3) \ge 0 \Rightarrow l \le \frac{m-2s-2}{6s+3}.$$

Since c - k = 3s + 3 + 3l(3s + 2) = 3 + 3(s + 3ls + 2l) is odd, we deduce that s + 3ls = s(1 + 3l) is even, which means that s is even or l is odd.

If s = 2n with $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then it follows that r = 12n + 3, q = m + 2n + 1 + l(6n + 2), $0 \le l \le (m - 4n - 2)/(12n + 3)$, $m \ge 4n + 2$ and $k_1 = m - 4n - 2 - l(12n + 3)$. According to Corollary 2.7, we see that *D* is member of the families \mathscr{G}_q^9 . If l = 2v + 1 for an integer *v*, then we arrive at $0 \le v \le (m - 8s - 5)/(12s + 6)$, $m \ge 8s + 5$, q = m + s + 1 + (2v + 1)(3s + 2).

and $k_1 = m - 2s - 2 - (2v + 1)(6s + 3)$. Again with Corollary 2.7 we observe that *D* belongs to the families \mathscr{G}_q^{10} . Subcase 2.3.2. Suppose that k = 3m + 1 for an integer $m \ge 0$. With (7) this yields q = m + (p/3) + 1 + l(p + 1) and thus p = 3s, r = 6s + 1 and q = m + s + 1 + l(3s + 1) with $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Furthermore, we conclude that

$$c = 3q = 3m + 3s + 3 + 3l(3s + 1) = k + 3s + 2 + 3l(3s + 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow 3s + 2 + 3l(3s + 1) > 0 \Rightarrow l > -\frac{3s + 2}{9s + 3} \Rightarrow l \ge 0$$

and

$$k_1 = \frac{k-r}{3} - 1 - lr = m - 2s - 1 - l(6s+1) \ge 0 \Rightarrow l \le \frac{m-2s-1}{6s+1}.$$

Since c - k = 3s + 2 + 3l(3s + 1) = 2 + 3(3ls + l + s) is odd, it follows that 3ls + l + s is odd. This is possible only if s is odd or l is odd.

If l=2v+1 for an integer v, then we arrive at $0 \le v \le (m-8s-2)/(12s+2)$, $m \ge 8s+2$, q=m+s+1+(2v+1)(3s+1)and $k_1 = m - 2s - 1 - (2v+1)(6s+1)$. According to Corollary 2.7, D is an element of the families \mathscr{G}_q^{11} .

If s = 2n + 1 for an integer $n \ge 0$, then it follows that r = 12n + 7, $0 \le l \le (m - 4n - 3)/(12n + 7)$, $m \ge 4n + 3$, q = m + 2n + 2 + l(6n + 4) and $k_1 = m - 4n - 3 - l(12n + 7)$. Again with Corollary 2.7 we see that *D* belongs to the families \mathscr{G}_q^{12} .

Subcase 2.3.3. Let k = 3m + 2 with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Using (7), we observe that q = m + 1 + ((p + 1)/3) + l(p + 1), and thus p = 3s + 2, r = 6s + 5 and q = m + 2 + s + l(3s + 3). Furthermore we have

$$c = 3q = 3m + 6 + 3s + 3l(3s + 3) = k + 4 + 3s + 3l(3s + 3)$$

$$\Rightarrow 4 + 3s + 3l(3s + 3) > 0 \Rightarrow l > -\frac{4 + 3s}{9s + 9} \Rightarrow l \ge 0$$

and

$$k_1 = \frac{k-r}{3} - 1 - lr = m - 2s - 2 - l(6s+5) \ge 0 \Rightarrow l \le \frac{m-2s-2}{6s+5}.$$

The fact that c - k = 4 + 3(3ls + 3l + s) is odd implies that 3ls + 3l + s = s(3l + 1) + 3l is odd. This is possible only if *l* is odd or if *s* is odd.

If l = 2v + 1 for an integer v, then we deduce that $0 \le v \le (m - 8s - 7)/(12s + 10)$, $m \ge 8s + 7$, q = m + 2 + s + (2v + 1)(3s + 3) and $k_1 = m - 2s - 2 - (2v + 1)(6s + 5)$. According to Corollary 2.7, we have that D is a member of the families \mathscr{G}_a^{13} .

If s = 2n + 1 for an integer $n \ge 0$, then we observe that r = 12n + 11, $0 \le l \le (m - 4n - 4)/(12n + 11)$, $m \ge 4n + 4$, q = m + 3 + 2n + l(6n + 6) and $k_1 = m - 4n - 4 - l(12n + 11)$. Using Corollary 2.7 it follows that *D* belongs to the families \mathscr{G}_q^{14} .

Case 3. Let $\alpha(D) = r + 2$. According to Corollary 3.4 we have $i_l(D) < i_g(D)$ and hence $i_l(D) = 0$. Because of $Q_2 \rightarrow S \rightarrow Q_1$, D[S] has to be local regular. Since $V_i \subseteq D$ for all partite sets V_i with $|V_i| \leq r + 1$, this implies that D does not contain any partite set of order r + 1. Hence, let |V(D)| = cr + 2k such that 0 < k < c. Using Corollary 2.7 we observe that S contains all the c - k partite sets of order r. If S contains additionally k_1 partite sets of order $r + 2k_1$.

Subcase 3.1. Suppose that c = 3q + 1 with $q \in \mathbb{N}$. If S is (q + l)-partite, then it follows that:

$$|S| = (c - k + k_1)r + 2k_1 = (q + l)r + 2k_1 = \frac{cr + 2k - (r + 2)}{3}$$
$$= qr + \frac{2k - 2}{3} = (q + l)r + \frac{2k - 2}{3} - lr.$$

Since $|S| \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we observe that k = 3m + 1 for an integer $m \ge 0$, and thus $c - k + k_1 = q + l$ and $k_1 = m - l(r/2)$. This yields that

$$\begin{aligned} 3q + 1 - (3m + 1) + m - l\frac{r}{2} &= 3q - 2m - l\frac{r}{2} = q + l \\ &\Rightarrow 2q = 2m + l\left(1 + \frac{r}{2}\right) \Rightarrow q = m + l\frac{r + 2}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

If $l \leq 0$, then we arrive at $q \leq m$ and thus $c \leq k$, a contradiction. Hence, let $l \geq 1$. Because of $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that $l(r+2)/4 \in \mathbb{N}$. Since *r* is even this implies that r = 2 + 4p or r = 4 + 4p and l = 2v + 2 for integers $p, v \geq 0$.

If r=2+4p, then we see that q=m+l(1+p) and $k_1=m-l(1+2p)$. The fact that $k_1 \ge 0$ yields that $1 \le l \le m/(1+2p)$ and thus $m \ge 1+2p$. Using Corollary 2.7, it is obvious that *D* belongs to the families \mathscr{H}_q^1 .

If r = 4 + 4p and l = 2v + 2, then it follows that q = m + (v + 1)(2p + 3) and $k_1 = m - (2v + 2)(2p + 2)$. Because of $k_1 \ge 0$ we have $0 \le v \le (m - 4 - 4p)/(4 + 4p)$ and thus $m \ge 4 + 4p$. Again with Corollary 2.7 we deduce that *D* is a member of the families \mathscr{H}_q^2 .

Subcase 3.2. Assume that c = 3q + 2 for an integer $q \ge 0$. Let r = 2 + 2p with $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If S is (q + l)-partite, then we observe that

$$|S| = (c - k + k_1)r + 2k_1 = (q + l)r + 2k_1 = \frac{cr + 2k - r - 2}{3}$$
$$= qr + \frac{r + 2k - 2}{3} = (q + l)r + \frac{r + 2k - 2}{3} - lr,$$

and thus $c - k + k_1 = q + l$ and $k_1 = ((r + 2k - 2)/6) - l(r/2)$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} 3q+2-k+\frac{r+2k-2}{6}-l\frac{r}{2}&=3q+2+\frac{r-4k-2}{6}-l\frac{r}{2}&=q+l\\ &\Rightarrow 2q=l\left(1+\frac{r}{2}\right)-2+\frac{4k+2-r}{6}\\ &\Rightarrow q&=\frac{r+2}{4}l+\frac{4k-r+2}{12}-1=\frac{3lr+6l+4k-r+2}{12}-1. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$c = 3q + 2 = k + \frac{3lr + 6l - r + 2}{4} - 1 < c + \frac{3lr + 6l - r + 2}{4} - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{3lr + 6l - r + 2}{4} - 1 > 0 \Rightarrow 3lr + 6l - r + 2 > 4$$

$$\Rightarrow 3l(r + 2) > r + 2 \Rightarrow l > \frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow l \ge 1,$$

which means that $v \ge 1$, if l = 2v, and $v \ge 0$, if l = 2v + 1. Since r = 2 + 2p, we observe that

$$q = l - 1 + \frac{3lp + 2k - p}{6}.$$
(8)

Subcase 3.2.1. Let l = 2v for an integer v. Then (8) leads to

$$q = 2v + vp + \frac{2k - p}{6} - 1.$$
(9)

Subcase 3.2.1.1. Assume that k = 3m + 1 with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Now (9) yields

$$q = 2v + vp + m + \frac{2-p}{6} - 1,$$

and thus p = 6s + 2, r = 6 + 12s and q = 4v + 6vs + m - s - 1 for an integer $s \ge 0$. Furthermore, we conclude that

$$k_1 = \frac{r+2k-2}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = 1 + 2s + m - 6v - 12vs \ge 0$$
$$\Rightarrow 1 \le v \le \frac{1+2s+m}{6+12s} = \frac{1}{6} \left(1 + \frac{m}{1+2s} \right),$$

and thus $m \ge 5 + 10s$. Using Corollary 2.7 we see that *D* is an element of the families \mathscr{H}_q^3 .

Subcase 3.2.1.2. Let k = 3m + 2 with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Using (9) we arrive at

$$q = 2v + vp + m + \frac{4-p}{6} - 1,$$

and thus p = 6s + 4, r = 12s + 10 and q = 6v + 6vs + m - s - 1 for an integer $s \ge 0$. Furthermore we observe that

$$k_1 = \frac{r+2k-2}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = 2s + 2 + m - 12vs - 10v \ge 0 \Rightarrow 1 \le v \le \frac{2s+2+m}{10+12s},$$

which implies that $m \ge 10s + 8$. According to Corollary 2.7, D belongs to the families \mathscr{H}_{a}^{4} .

Subcase 3.2.1.3. Suppose that k = 3m with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (9) leads to

$$q = 2v + vp + m - \frac{p}{6} - 1,$$

and thus p = 6s, r = 2 + 12s and q = 2v + 6vs + m - s - 1 for an integer $s \ge 0$. Furthermore we see that

$$k_1 = \frac{r+2k-2}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = 2s + m - 2v - 12vs \ge 0 \Rightarrow 1 \le v \le \frac{2s+m}{12s+2}$$

which yields that $m \ge 10s + 2$. Using Corollary 2.7 it follows that *D* is a member of the families \mathscr{H}_q^5 . Subcase 3.2.2. Assume that l = 2v + 1 for an integer *v*. In this case (8) yields that

$$q = 2v + vp + \frac{p+k}{3}.\tag{10}$$

Subcase 3.2.2.1. Let k = 3m with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Using (10) we deduce that

$$q = 2v + vp + m + \frac{p}{3},$$

which leads to p = 3s, r = 2 + 6s and q = 2v + 3vs + m + s for an integer $s \ge 0$. Furthermore it follows that:

$$k_1 = \frac{r+2k-2}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = m - 2s - 2v - 6vs - 1 \ge 0 \Rightarrow 0 \le v \le \frac{m-2s-1}{2+6s},$$

and thus $m \ge 2s + 1$. According to Corollary 2.7, *D* is an element of the families \mathscr{H}_q^6 . Subcase 3.2.2.2. Suppose that k = 3m + 1 with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. With (10) we arrive at

$$q = 2v + vp + m + \frac{p+1}{3},$$

and thus p = 3s + 2, r = 6s + 6 and q = 4v + 3vs + m + s + 1 for an integer $s \ge 0$. Furthermore we see that

$$k_1 = \frac{r+2k-2}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = m - 2s - 6vs - 6v - 2 \ge 0 \Rightarrow 0 \le v \le \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{m}{s+1} - 2\right),$$

which implies that $m \ge 2s + 2$. Hence, again with Corollary 2.7 we observe that D belongs to the families \mathscr{H}_{q}^{7} .

Subcase 3.2.2.3. Let k = 3m + 2 with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. According to (10), we have

$$q = 2v + vp + m + \frac{p+2}{3},$$

and thus p = 3s + 1, r = 6s + 4 and q = 3v + 3vs + m + s + 1 for an integer $s \ge 0$. Furthermore, we observe that

$$k_1 = \frac{r+2k-2}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = m - 2s - 1 - 6vs - 4v \ge 0 \Rightarrow 0 \le v \le \frac{m-2s-1}{4+6s},$$

which means that $m \ge 2s + 1$. Using Corollary 2.7 we conclude that *D* is a member of the families \mathscr{H}_q^8 . Subcase 3.3. Assume that c = 3q with $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Let r = 2 + 2p for an integer $p \ge 0$. If *S* is (q + l)-partice, then we conclude that

$$|S| = (c - k + k_1)r + 2k_1 = (q + l)r + 2k_1 = \frac{cr + 2k - (r + 2)}{3}$$
$$= qr + \frac{2k - 2 - r}{3} = (q + l)r + \frac{2k - 2 - r}{3} - lr.$$

This implies that $c - k + k_1 = q + l$ and $k_1 = ((2k - 2 - r)/6) - l(r/2)$, and thus

$$3q - k + \frac{2k - 2 - r}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = 3q - \frac{4k + 2 + r}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = q + l$$

$$\Rightarrow 2q = \frac{r + 2 + 4k}{6} + l\frac{r + 2}{2} \Rightarrow q = \frac{r + 2 + 4k + 3lr + 6l}{12}.$$

This leads to

$$c = 3q = k + \frac{r+2+3lr+6l}{4} < c + \frac{r+2+3lr+6l}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{r+2+3lr+6l}{4} > 0 \Rightarrow l > -\frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow l \ge 0,$$

which means that $v \ge 0$, if l = 2v or l = 2v + 1 for an integer v. Furthermore, since r = 2 + 2p, we deduce that

$$q = l + \frac{2 + p + 2k + 3lp}{6}.$$
(11)

Subcase 3.3.1. Let l = 2v for an integer v. Using (11) we see that

$$q = 2v + vp + \frac{2 + p + 2k}{6}.$$
(12)

Subcase 3.3.1.1. Assume that k = 3m with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. According to (12), we have

$$q = 2v + vp + m + \frac{2+p}{6},$$

and thus p = 6s + 4, r = 10 + 12s and q = 6v + 6vs + m + 1 + s for an integer $s \ge 0$. Furthermore it follows that:

$$k_1 = \frac{2k - 2 - r}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = m - 2 - 2s - 10v - 12vs \ge 0 \Rightarrow 0 \le v \le \frac{m - 2 - 2s}{10 + 12s}$$

which yields that $m \ge 2s + 2$. Using Corollary 2.7 we conclude that *D* belongs to the families \mathscr{H}_q^9 . Subcase 3.3.1.2. Suppose that k = 3m + 1 with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Using (12) we see that

$$q = 2v + vp + m + \frac{p+4}{6},$$

and thus p = 6s + 2, r = 12s + 6 and q = 4v + 6vs + m + s + 1 for an integer $s \ge 0$. Furthermore we observe that

$$k_1 = \frac{2k - 2 - r}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = m - 2s - 1 - 12vs - 6v \ge 0 \Rightarrow 0 \le v \le \frac{m - 2s - 1}{6 + 12s}$$

which means that $m \ge 2s + 1$. According to Corollary 2.7, we deduce that *D* is an element of the families \mathscr{H}_q^{10} . Subcase 3.3.1.3. Let k = 3m + 2 with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. According to (12), we arrive at

$$q = 2v + vp + m + 1 + \frac{p}{6},$$

and thus p = 6s, r = 2 + 12s and q = 2v + 6vs + m + 1 + s for an integer $s \ge 0$. Furthermore we conclude that

$$k_1 = \frac{2k - 2 - r}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = m - 2s - 2v - 12vs \ge 0 \Rightarrow 0 \le v \le \frac{m - 2s}{2 + 12s},$$

which leads to $m \ge 2s$. Using Corollary 2.7 we observe that *D* is a member of the families \mathscr{H}_q^{11} .

Subcase 3.3.2. Assume that l = 2v + 1 for an integer v. According to (11), this yields

$$q = 2v + 1 + vp + \frac{1 + 2p + k}{3}.$$
(13)

Subcase 3.3.2.1. Suppose that k = 3m with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Using (13) we observe that

$$q = 2v + 1 + vp + m + \frac{1 + 2p}{3},$$

and thus p = 3s + 1, r = 4 + 6s and q = 3v + 2 + 3vs + m + 2s. Furthermore we see that

$$k_1 = \frac{2k - 2 - r}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = m - 3 - 4s - 4v - 6vs \ge 0 \Rightarrow 0 \le v \le \frac{m - 3 - 4s}{4 + 6s}$$

which leads to $m \ge 3 + 4s$. According to Corollary 2.7, *D* belongs to the families \mathscr{H}_q^{12} . Subcase 3.3.2.2. Let k = 3m + 1 with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Using (13) we have

$$q = 2v + 1 + vp + m + \frac{2p + 2}{2},$$

and thus p = 3s + 2, r = 6s + 6 and q = 4v + 3vs + 2s + m + 3 for an integer $s \ge 0$. Furthermore we see that

$$k_1 = \frac{2k - 2 - r}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = m - 4 - 4s - 6v - 6vs \ge 0 \Rightarrow 0 \le v \le \frac{m - 4 - 4s}{6 + 6s},$$

which yields that $m \ge 4 + 4s$, According to Corollary 2.7, it follows that *D* is an element of the families \mathscr{H}_q^{13} . Subcase 3.3.2.3. Assume that k = 3m + 2 with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Using (13) we observe that

$$q = 2v + 2 + vp + m + \frac{2p}{3},$$

and thus p = 3s, r = 6s + 2 and q = 2v + 2 + 3vs + m + 2s. Furthermore it follows that:

$$k_1 = \frac{2k - 2 - r}{6} - l\frac{r}{2} = m - 4s - 2v - 6vs - 1 \ge 0 \Rightarrow 0 \le v \le \frac{m - 4s - 1}{2 + 6s},$$

which means that $m \ge 4s + 1$. According to Corollary 2.7, we conclude that *D* belongs to the families \mathscr{H}_q^{14} . This completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

References

- [1] J. Bang-Jensen, G. Gutin, Digraphs: Theory, Algorithms and Applications, Springer, London, 2000.
- [2] G. Gutin, Cycles and paths in semicomplete multipartite digraphs, theorems, and algorithms: a survey, J. Graph Theory 19 (1995) 481–505.
- [3] M. Tewes, L. Volkmann, A. Yeo, Almost all almost regular *c*-partite tournaments with $c \ge 5$ are vertex pancyclic, Discrete Math. 242 (2002) 201–228.
- [4] C. Thomassen, Hamiltonian-connected tournaments, J. Combin. Theory B 28 (1980) 142-163.
- [5] L. Volkmann, Cycles in multipartite tournaments: results and problems, Discrete Math. 245 (2002) 19-53.
- [6] L. Volkmann, On the connectivity of regular multipartite tournaments, 2002 (unpublished manuscript).
- [7] L. Volkmann, Complementary cycles in regular multipartite tournaments, where one cycle has length 4, Kyungpook Math. J. 44 (2) (2004) 219–247.
- [8] L. Volkmann, S. Winzen, Cycles through a given arc in almost regular multipartite tournaments, Austral. J. Combin. 27 (2003) 223-245.
- [9] S. Winzen, Close to regular multipartite tournaments, Ph. D. Thesis, RWTH Aachen University, 2004.
- [10] A. Yeo, Semicomplete multipartite digraphs, Ph. D. Thesis, Odense University, 1998.
- [11] A. Yeo, How close to regular must a semicomplete multipartite digraph be to secure Hamiltonicity?, Graphs Combin. 15 (1999) 481-493.