



ELSEVIER

# A simple method for rescuing autonomous plasmids from fission yeast

Aysegül Topal, Semian Karaer and Güler Temizkan

University of Istanbul, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, Section of Molecular Biology, 34459 Vezneciler-Istanbul, Turkey

**Keywords:** Microbiology, Purification methods

▼ Numerous features of the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* make it an attractive model system for molecular genetic studies of eukaryotic organisms (Ref. 1). Furthermore, because of the similarity of comparable cellular, genetic and molecular characteristics between *S. pombe* and mammalian cells, this yeast is a useful tool for cloning as well as analyzing genes from higher eukaryotes. It is often necessary to recover shuttle vector replicating in *S. pombe* back into *Escherichia coli* in order to obtain a large amount of plasmid DNA for molecular genetic studies with this yeast. In some cases, it has been difficult or impossible to recover plasmid from *S. pombe* into *E. coli*. This could be a plasmid-specific phenomenon which might be due either to loss of vector DNA essential for replication or selection in *E. coli* during yeast DNA preparations. Another problem more difficult to solve is the tendency of *S. pombe* to multimerize and rearrange plasmids (Ref. 2).

Plasmids are usually rescued from *S. pombe* using the method described by Moreno *et al.* (Ref. 3) We have used the method developed by Robzyk and Kassir (Ref. 4) for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with some modifications. This method is simple, rapid and inexpensive and routinely yields approximately  $10^3$  bacterial transformants using DNA prepared from 10 ml yeast culture.

## Protocol

- Schizosaccharomyces pombe* transformants were grown in 10 ml yeast nitrogen base without amino acids [1.7 g/l yeast nitrogen base (Difco), 5 g/l  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ , 0.5% (w/v) glucose] and appropriate supplements (for example, lacking uracil for the strain carrying a *ura4*-containing plasmid) at 30°C, 180 rev/min, overnight ( $10^8$  cells/ml).

- Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of STET (8% sucrose, 50 mM Tris pH 8, 50 mM EDTA, 5% Triton X-100).
- Acid-washed glass-beads (0.3 g of 0.45 mm diameter; treated with 70% nitric acid for 30 min, washed several times with distilled water and dried) were added and the tube was vortexed for 5 min at room temperature.
- The supernatant was transferred to new tube, an equal volume of STET was added, the tube was vortexed briefly and placed in a boiling water bath for 3 min.
- The tube was cooled on ice and centrifuged in a microfuge for 10 min at 4°C.
- The supernatant (200  $\mu\text{l}$ ) was transferred to a fresh tube containing 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of 7.5 M ammonium acetate, incubated at  $-20^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 h and centrifuged at 10,000 rev/min for 5 min at 4°C.
- Two volumes of ice-cold ethanol were added to the supernatant which was then left for 5 min at room temperature.
- DNA was recovered by centrifugation (20,000 rev/min, 15 min, 4°C) and resuspended with 20  $\mu\text{l}$  water.
- Ten microlitres of the DNA was then used to transform super competent *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  (Ref. 5).

We have found that one of the most important steps in this process was to make competent the *E. coli* strain DH5 $\alpha$ . Using the super-competent cells (Ref. 5) ( $1-3 \times 10^9$  cfu/ $\mu\text{g}$  DNA) and DNA isolated from *S. pombe* *ura4* D18 (a gift from C. Price, University of Sheffield, UK) carrying pREP42 which contains an *ars1* fragment (Ref. 6), we have obtained approximately  $10^3$  ampicillin-resistant colonies.

Our method has some advantages over the method of Moreno *et al.* (Ref. 3) as we do not use any expensive compounds and spend extra time obtaining spheroblasts and cleaning the DNA.

Corresponding author: [cemari@superonline.com.tr](mailto:cemari@superonline.com.tr)

## References

- 1 Zhao, Y. and Lieberman, H.B. (1995) *DNA Cell Biol.* 14, 359–371.
- 2 Yamamoto, M., Asakura, Y. and Yanagida, M. (1981) *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 182, 426–429.
- 3 Moreno, S., Klar, A. and Nurse, P. (1991) *Methods Enzymol.* 164, 795–823.
- 4 Robzyk, K. and Kassir, Y. (1992) *Nucleic Acid Res.* 20, 3790.
- 5 Inoue, H., Nojima, H. and Okayama, H. (1990) *Gene* 96, 23–28.
- 6 Maundrell, K. (1993) *Gene* 123, 127–130.