International Journal of Gerontology 9 (2015) 24-28



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Gerontology

journal homepage: www.ijge-online.com



Original Article

Factors Influencing the Preferred Place of Death in Community-dwelling Elderly People in Japan[★]



Izumi Ohmachi $^{1,\,2}$, Kazuhiko Arima $^{1\,*}$, Yasuyo Abe 1 , Takayuki Nishimura 1 , Hisashi Goto 3 , Kiyoshi Aoyagi 1

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 28 August 2013
Received in revised form
27 November 2013
Accepted 3 March 2014
Available online 10 March 2015

Keywords: end-of-life care, place of death, social capital

SUMMARY

Background: To investigate factors influencing the preferred place of death among community-living elderly people in Japan in relation to personal attributes, health status, self-rated health, and social capital indicators.

Methods: A self-report questionnaire survey was conducted between July 2009 and September 2009 on a total of 238 elderly people aged \geq 65 years living in Nagasaki Prefecture, Japan. Patients were either members of a social club for the elderly, individuals undergoing special health check-ups, or participants of health workshops held by cities. A total of 178 patients who provided complete responses (79 men, 99 women) were analyzed.

Results: Half or more of the patients (men: 68.4%, women: 52.5%) indicated home as their preferred place of death. Multiple logistic regression analysis showed that good self-rated health [odds ratio (OR): 2.6, 95% confidence interval (95% CI): 1.2-5.7, p=0.013], participation in volunteer activities (OR: 2.0, 95% CI: 1.0-3.8, p=0.038) and the spouse as the preferred caregiver (OR: 2.5, 95% CI: 1.3-4.8, p=0.007) were associated with home as the preferred place of death.

Conclusion: It is necessary to consider individual preferences and public health strategies in order to enable elderly people to receive suitable and comfortable end-of-life care in their preferred location. Copyright © 2015, Taiwan Society of Geriatric Emergency & Critical Care Medicine. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

As the population in Japan continues to age at a rapid pace, the number of deaths is expected to increase. In an annual report for fiscal 2009, Japan showed the rate of deaths occurring at home was only 12.4%, and the rate has plateaued since 1990. Nevertheless, hospital stays are being shortened due to policy decisions based on financial constraints, meaning that more and more patients will likely spend the end-of-life period at home. As a result, ensuring that an individual has a suitable and comfortable death at home has

E-mail address: kzarima-ngs@umin.ac.jp (K. Arima).

emerged as a problem not only for individuals, but also for public health

Fulfilling a patient's end-of-life decisions is essential in providing proper care in the end-of-life period. End-of-life preferences include financial decisions, medical decisions, and care options. The preference for place of death is an important wish associated with care options^{1–3}. Providing support that enables patients to spend the end-of-life period in their preferred place is an important part of enhancing the quality of end-of-life care. Discrepancies between the preferred and actual place of death have attracted a great deal of attention^{4,5}. In a study of the general population in Australia, 70% of respondents preferred their own home as their place of death, but only 14% of cancer deaths actually were at home⁶. Factors that affected the number of deaths at home were reported to be age, causative diseases of death, sex, education, family situation, living conditions, and area of residence $^{7-10}$. In a Japanese study, an important factor for dying at home was the preference expressed by the patient, the patient's family, and

¹ Department of Public Health, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, ² Department of Health Promotion Nursing, Nagasaki University School of Health Sciences, Nagasaki, ³ Goto Public Health Center, Goto, Japan

^{*} Conflicts of interest: All contributing authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

^{*} Correspondence to: Dr Kazuhiko Arima, Department of Public Health, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, 1-12-4 Sakamoto, Nagasaki 852-8523, Japan.

medical staff¹¹. Another study in Japan showed the most significant determining factor associated with death at home was that both the caregiver and patient had preferred home as the place of death¹². These studies indicated that not only patient characteristics but also communication between patients and their families and caregivers affected the actual place of death.

In recent years, social scientists have observed that social networks can have powerful effects on health¹³. Assessing the quality and quantities of social networks was difficult without a useful index; therefore, economic scientists analyzed individual human performance indicators such as income, employment, and ability of production and skills, and named these indicators "human capital" Helliwell and Putnam analyzed the quality and quantities of community social networks using an index of "social capital (SC)", which is based on a fundamental concept encompassing "trust", "rules" and "networks" for promoting cooperative behavior among individuals. It was reported that SC was associated with mortality ^{12,16}, physical activity ^{17,18}, mental health ¹⁹, and self-rated health ^{20–24}.

There are growing numbers of older people living alone who require assistance and nursing care. In order for a person to achieve their preferred end-of-life care, it is important for communities as a whole to provide them with support. Clarifying the factors that affect place of death preference by older people can help improve the quality of end-of-life care. However, research on this topic is insufficient. The current study examines the factors that influence the preferred place of death for community-living elderly people from the perspectives of personal attributes, health status, subjective health views, and the SC index.

2. Materials and methods

A self-administered questionnaire survey was conducted between July 2009 and September 2009 on 238 residents of Nagasaki Prefecture aged ≥65 years. Patients were either members of a seniors' club, people receiving health checkups, or participants in a city-run health class. Excluding incomplete responses, data from 178 patients (79 men, 99 women) were analyzed.

The protocol of this study was approved by the Ethics Committee at the Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki, Japan. The research aims were explained orally to the patients before beginning the study and all patients gave written informed consent.

Survey questions were designed to obtain sociodemographic characteristics (sex, age, family structure, length of residence in their current home, residence type, presence/absence of work, experience of death of someone living with them, religion, and presence/absence of an illness that requires regular hospital visits), preferred place of death with four response options (medical institution, long-term care facility, home, or other), individual self-rated health with four response options (good, fair, not very good, and poor), local health care (comfort level and worry about medical costs), core insights about SC, and preferred terminal health care (preferred caregiver and possibility of spending the end-of-life period at home).

We collected information on both cognitive SC (trust of people in the community) and structural SC (number of neighborhoods interacted with and participation in volunteer groups)¹⁸ by asking the questions: "Generally speaking, would you say that most people in your community can be trusted?" for trust of people in the community; "How many associations do you have with your neighbors?" for the neighborhoods interacted with; and "Are you currently involved in volunteer groups (community development, welfare of the aged or disabled, child rearing, sports instruction, beautification, crime and fire prevention, environment, international cooperation, advocating or other)?" for participation in volunteer groups.

We analyzed local and preferred terminal health care with these questions: "If you or a family member became ill, would you (or your family member) feel comfortable receiving treatment in this region?" for comfort level concerning local health care; "Are you worried about future medical costs?" for worry about medical costs; "If you come to require care, who would you most like to receive care from?" for preferred caregiver; and "If you preferred to spend your final days at home, do you think it would be possible to do so?" for possibility of spending the end-of-life period at home.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 11.0 (SPSS Inc., Japan, Tokyo, Japan). Answers to questions with a 4-point scale were dichotomized. Patients who stated their home as the preferred place of death were allocated to a "home group" and all other patients were allocated to a "non-home group". Chi-square tests were used for categorical variables. A multiple logistic regression model for the choice of home as the preferred place of death was constructed with p < 0.20 as the entry criterion. The best fitting model was selected based on Akaike information criteria (AIC), with lower AIC values indicating a better

Table 1 Characteristics of patients (n = 178) and comparison between men and women.

Variable		Total $(n=178)$		p^{a}
		Men (n = 79)	Women (<i>n</i> = 99)	
Mean (SD)				
Age (y)		75.8 (5.8)	74.2 (6.3)	0.078
Length of residence in their current home (y)		34.0 (18.1)	32.7 (18.5)	0.641
Number (%)				
Family structure	Alone	8 (10.1)	37 (37.4)	< 0.001
	Couple	58 (73.4)	40 (40.4)	
	Family	13 (16.5)	22 (22.2)	
Residence type	Own	75 (94.9)	92 (92.9)	0.757
	Rent	4 (5.1)	7 (7.1)	
Employed	Yes	10 (12.7)	18 (18.2)	0.408
	No	69 (87.3)	81 (81.8)	
Experienced the death of someone living with them	Yes	31 (39.2)	58 (58.6)	0.016
	No	48 (60.8)	41 (41.4)	
Religion	Yes	71 (89.9)	91 (91.9)	0.793
	No	8 (10.1)	8 (8.1)	
Illness that requires regular hospital visits	Yes	56 (70.9)	72 (72.7)	0.867
	No	23 (29.1)	27 (27.3)	

^a Chi-square test was used.

SD = standard deviation.

Table 2Comparison of the prevalence about preferred place of death between men and women.

	Men ($n=79$)	Women $(n = 99)$	p ^a
Their own home	54 (68.4)	52 (52.5)	0.045
Medical institution	17 (21.5)	33 (33.3)	
Long-term care facility	7 (8.9)	11 (11.1)	
Others	1 (1.3)	3 (3.0)	

^a Chi-square test.

26

Data are presented as n (%).

model fit. Results are presented as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI).

3. Results

The mean age of patients was 75.8 years for men and 74.2 years for women. Mean length of residence in their current home was 34.0 years for men and 32.7 years for women. The most common family structure was a two-person household made up of a married couple. About 70% of men and women had an illness that required regular visits to the hospital (Table 1).

Both men and women preferred home as the place of death (Table 2); however, the prevalence was significantly higher among men than women (men: 68.4%, women: 52.5%, p=0.045). Because significant sex differences were found in the questionnaire items of family structure and experience of death of someone living with them (Table 1), we conducted further analyses on the relationship of these variables to the preferred place of death. Women living with their husband or family tended to prefer to die at home compared with those living alone (59.7% vs. 40.5%, respectively; p=0.065), but this tendency was not seen in men (data not shown). No relationship between experience of death of someone living with them and preferred place of death was found in either sex.

The prevalence of family structure, self-rated health, number of neighborhood relationships, volunteer activities, and preferred

caregiver were significantly different between the home and non-home groups (Table 3). For men, factors that were significantly related to preferred place of death were preferred caregiver and the possibility of spending the end-of life period at home (if preferred). For women, factors that were significantly related to preferred place of death were self-rated health, number of neighborhood associations, and participation in volunteer groups.

Table 4 shows the results of multiple logistic regression analysis. Analysis of all patients revealed that good self-rated health (OR: 2.6, 95% CI: 1.2–5.7, p=0.013), participation in volunteer activities (OR: 2.0, 95% CI: 1.0–3.8, p=0.038), and preferred caregiver (spouse) (OR: 2.5, 95% CI: 1.3–4.8, p=0.007) were associated with home as the preferred place of death. For men, factors that were significantly related to preference of home as the place of death were their spouse being their preferred caregiver (OR: 3.6, 95% CI: 1.2–10.6, p=0.018) and the possibility of spending the end-of life period at home (if preferred) (OR: 3.5, 95% CI: 1.2–9.9, p=0.020). For women, participation in volunteer groups was significantly related to preference of home as the place of death (OR: 3.2, 95% CI: 1.2–8.1, p=0.017).

4. Discussion

In the current study, we investigated the factors that influence the preferred place of death in Japanese community-dwelling older people. Both men and women preferred home as the place of death. In an analysis of all patients, self-rated health, volunteer activities, and preferred caregiver were associated with home as the preferred place of death. Analysis of both sexes showed that significantly more men who preferred their spouse as their caregiver or acknowledged the possibility of spending the end-of life period at home and women who participated in volunteer groups gave home as the preferred place of death.

Good self-rated health was significantly associated with home as the preferred place of death in the analysis of all patients in our study. To our knowledge, no reports have shown a direct

 Table 3

 Comparison of characteristics between the home and non-home groups.

		Total (<i>n</i> = 178)		Men (n = 79)			Women (<i>n</i> = 99)			
		Home (n = 106)	Non-home $(n = 72)$	р	Home (n = 54)	Non-home $(n = 25)$	р	Home (n = 52)	Non-home $(n = 47)$	р
Age (y)	>74	49 (46.2)	35 (48.6)	0.762	24 (44.4)	15 (60.0)	0.232	25 (48.1)	20 (42.6)	0.687
Family structure	Alone	19 (17.9)	26 (36.1)	0.008	4 (7.4)	4 (16.0)	0.254	15 (28.8)	22 (46.8)	0.096
Length of residence in their home	>33 y	53 (50.0)	36 (50.0)	>0.99	27 (50.0)	17 (68.0)	0.152	26 (50.0)	19 (40.4)	0.420
Residence type	Own	101 (95.3)	66 (91.7)	0.356	51 (94.4)	24 (96.0)	>0.99	50 (96.2)	42 (89.4)	0.252
Employed	Yes	18 (17.0)	10 (13.9)	0.677	8 (14.8)	2 (8.0)	0.490	10 (19.2)	8 (17.0)	0.801
Experienced the death of someone living with them	Yes	51 (48.1)	38 (52.8)	0.647	22 (40.7)	9 (36.0)	0.806	29 (55.8)	29 (61.7)	0.683
Religion	Yes	97 (91.5)	65 (90.3)	0.795	50 (92.6)	21 (84.0)	0.254	47 (90.4)	44 (93.6)	0.718
Illness that requires regular hospital visits	Yes	71 (67.0)	57 (79.2)	0.090	36 (66.7)	20 (80.0)	0.292	35 (67.3)	37 (78.7)	0.260
Self-rated health	Good	89 (84.0)	50 (69.4)	0.027	44 (81.5)	18 (72.0)	0.384	45 (86.5)	32 (68.1)	0.032
Comfort level concerning local health care	Yes	78 (73.6)	50 (69.4)	0.611	44 (81.5)	20 (80.0)	>0.99	34 (65.4)	30 (63.8)	>0.99
Worry about medical costs	Yes	63 (59.4)	42 (58.3)	>0.99	29 (53.7)	13 (52.0)	>0.99	34 (65.4)	29 (61.7)	0.835
General trust of people in the community	Yes	101 (95.3)	64 (88.9)	0.143	51 (94.4)	24 (96.0)	>0.99	50 (96.2)	40 (85.1)	0.081
Number of neighborhood relationships	Over 4	92 (86.8)	54 (75.0)	0.049	47 (87.0)	23 (92.0)	0.711	45 (86.5)	31 (66.0)	0.018
Volunteer activities	Yes	62 (58.5)	27 (37.5)	0.009	32 (59.3)	14 (56.0)	0.810	30 (57.7)	13 (27.7)	0.004
Preferred caregiver	Spouse	61 (57.5)	24 (33.3)	0.002	42 (77.8)	13 (52.0)	0.034	19 (36.5)	11 (23.4)	0.191
	Child	30 (28.3)	29 (40.3)		6 (11.1)	5 (20.0)		24 (46.2)	24 (51.1)	
	Son/Daughter-in-law	3 (2.8)	1 (1.4)		1 (1.9)	0		2 (3.8)	1 (2.1)	
	Sibling	1 (0.9)	3 (8.0)		1 (1.9)	2 (8.0)		0	1 (2.1)	
	Grandchild	0	0		0	0		0	0	
	Friend	0	1 (1.4)		0	0		0	1 (2.1)	
	Medical staff	11 (10.4)	14 (19.4)		4 (7.4)	5 (20.0)		7 (13.5)	9 (19.1)	
Possibility of spending end-of-life period at home	Yes	65 (61.3)	40 (55.6)	0.535	40 (74.1)	12 (48.0)	0.040	25 (48.1)	28 (59.6)	0.314

Table 4Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval for factors influencing the preferred place of death, as assessed using multiple logistic regression analysis.

		Total ($n = 17$	8)		
Variable			OR	95% CI	p
Self-rated health	Good/poor		2.6	1.2-5.7	0.013
Volunteer activities	Yes/no		2.0	1.0-3.8	0.038
Preferred caregiver	Spouse/other		2.5	1.3-4.8	0.007
		Men (n = 79))		
Variable			OR	95% CI	р
Preferred caregiver Spouse/other		3.6	1.2-10.6	0.018	
Possibility of spending end-of-life period at h	nome	Yes/no	3.5	1.2-9.9	0.020
		Women ($n = 9$	99)		
Variable			OR	95% CI	p
Family structure		Alone/other	1.3	0.7-2.5	0.370
Self-rated health		Good/poor	2.4	0.8-7.2	0.130
General trust of people in the community		Yes/no	2.4	0.4-14.2	0.342
Number of neighborhood relationships >4/≥4		>4/≥4	1.8	0.6-5.7	0.341
Volunteer activities		Yes/no	3.2	1.2-8.1	0.017

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio.

association between self-rated health and preference of the place of death; however, some reports have focused on the association of self-rated health with mobility disabilities²⁵, disabilities in basic activities of daily living²⁶, quality of life²⁷, and disability-free life expectancy²⁸. Thus, a person with good self-rated health probably also has good mobility function and quality of life, which may alleviate worry about admission to a medical institution or long-term care facility in the near future. One possibility is that a person with good health tends to prefer home as his or her place of death. Conversely, a person with poor health may tend to prefer a medical institution or long-term care facility as his or her place of death. Health care personnel should be encouraged to discuss end-of-life care with elderly people while they are still in good health²⁹.

According to the results of the analysis of all patients, the spouse being the preferred caregiver was significantly linked to a preference of the patients' own home as their place of death. In a Japan Cabinet Office survey on people aged ≥20 years, the most preferred caregiver among family members was the spouse (60.7%), and the prevalence was higher in men than women (men: 76.0%, women: 36.1%), which was consistent with our finding. A study in the United States surveying outpatients undergoing cancer treatment showed more men than women to prefer receiving care from their spouse ³⁰. Furthermore, in men, the spouse being the preferred caregiver was also significantly associated with a preference of home as the place of death. In general, men are often taken care of by their wives in regular daily life in terms of cooking, cleaning, shopping, and when sick. This may be linked to the result that men tend to expect care from their spouse.

Participation in volunteer groups was significantly associated with the preference of home as the preferred place of death in the analysis of all patients. People in a community would provide support, including care to those who participated in volunteer activities. Alternatively, it is possible that people who participated in volunteer activities may feel more comfortable receiving support from people in the community. Our results suggested that structural SC (participation in volunteer groups) might be associated with choice of preferred place of death; however, this association was found in only women. Women showed more extensive neighborhood relationships than men and many were involved in social activities in this study (data not shown). Similar results have been reported in other studies of SC^{18,22,31}. This may partially explain the link found in our study between high structural SC and home as the preferred place of death in women.

Physical and mental support from family members is required to enable death at home. There is a strong likelihood that patients with terminal cancer whose family members prefer them to spend their end-of-life period at home will do so, regardless of patients' own preferences³². Support from family members may require a good family relationship and mutual trust. In men, the possibility of spending the end-of life period at home (if preferred) was significantly related to home as the preferred place of death. It is possible that a high proportion of men in this study thought they could receive support from their family.

This study has several limitations. First, the number of patients was relatively small. Second, the current study investigated older people living in Nagasaki Prefecture, Japan. As preference may vary according to region, it is possible that these results cannot be generalized to the entire older population of Japan. Third, this study only examined preference, and did not obtain information about the actual place of death. To elucidate these points, studies in other regions and longitudinal studies should also be conducted in the future.

In conclusion, factors influencing the selection of home as the preferred place of death among community-living elderly people were good self-rated health, participation in volunteer activities, and spouse as the preferred caregiver. Therefore, it is necessary to consider individual preferences and public health strategies in order to enable elderly people to receive suitable and comfortable end-of-life care in their preferred location.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our sincere appreciation to the city health center staff, the head of the seniors' club, and everyone else who cooperated with this study. This study was supported by a JSPS Kakenhi Grant (No. 21659532).

References

- 1. lijima S. A revised proposal for "Position Statement from the Japan Geriatrics Society: the Terminal Care of the Elderly". *Nihon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi*. 2012;49: 79–81 [in Japanese with English abstract].
- Sasaki M, Arai A, Arai Y. Preferred and actual place of death among community-dwelling disabled older people: findings from a survey of visiting nurses].
 Nihon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi. 2008;45:622–626 [in Japanese with English abstract].

- 3. Cohen J, Bilsen J, Fischer S, et al. End-of-life decision-making in Belgium, Denmark, Sweden and Switzerland: does place of death make a difference? *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2007;61:1062–1068.
- **4.** Beccaro M, Costantini M, Giorgi Rossi P, et al. Actual and preferred place of death of cancer patients. Results from the Italian survey of the dying of cancer (ISDOC). *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2006;60:412–416.
- 5. Yang L, Sakamoto N, Marui E. A study of home deaths in Japan from 1951 to 2002. BMC Palliat Care. 2006;5:2.
- Foreman LM, Hunt RW, Luke CG, et al. Factors predictive of preferred place of death in the general population of South Australia. *Palliat Med.* 2006;20: 447–453.
- Cohen J, Bilsen J, Hooft P, et al. Dying at home or in an institution using death certificates to explore the factors associated with place of death. *Health Policy*. 2006:78:319—329.
- Grande GE, Addington-Hall JM, Todd CJ. Place of death and access to home care services: are certain patient groups at a disadvantage? Soc Sci Med. 1998;47: 565–579
- Gomes B, Higginson IJ. Factors influencing death at home in terminally ill patients with cancer: systematic review. BMJ. 2006;332:515–521.
- Steinhauser KE, Christakis NA, Clipp EC, et al. Factors considered important at the end of life by patients, family, physicians, and other care providers. JAMA. 2000:284:2476–2482.
- 11. Hattori A, Uemura K, Masuda Y, et al. Factors contributing to dying at home in elderly patients who received home care service. *Nihon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi*. 2001;38:399–404 [in Japanese with English abstract].
- 12. Akiyama A, Numata K, Mikami H. Factors influencing the death of the elderly at home in an institution specializing home medical care—analysis of survey of the bereaved family. *Nihon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi*. 2007;44:740—746 [in Japanese with English abstract].
- 13. Kawachi I, Kennedy BP, Lochner K, et al. Social capital, income inequality, and mortality. *Am J Public Health*. 1997;87:1491–1498.
- Victora CG, Adair L, Fall C, et al. Maternal and child undernutrition: consequences for adult health and human capital. *Lancet*. 2008;371:340–357.
- Helliwell JF, Putnam RD. The social context of well-being. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2004;359:1435–1446.
- Aida J, Kondo K, Hirai H, et al. Assessing the association between all-cause mortality and multiple aspects of individual social capital among the older Japanese. BMC Public Health. 2011;11:499.
- 17. Ueshima K, Fujiwara T, Takao S, et al. Does social capital promote physical activity? A population-based study in Japan. *PloS One*. 2010;5:e12135.

- Aida J, Kondo K, Kawachi I, et al. Does social capital affect the incidence of functional disability in older Japanese? A prospective population-based cohort study. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2013;67:42–47.
- 19. Hamano T, Fujisawa Y, Ishida Y, et al. Social capital and mental health in Japan: a multilevel analysis. *PloS One*. 2010;5:e13214.
- 20. Iwase T, Suzuki E, Fujiwara T, et al. Do bonding and bridging social capital have differential effects on self-rated health? A community based study in Japan. | Epidemiol Community Health. 2012;66:557–562.
- 21. Hanibuchi T, Murata Y, Ichida Y, et al. Place-specific constructs of social capital and their possible associations to health: a Japanese case study. *Soc Sci Med*. 2012:75:225–232.
- 22. Kim D, Baum CF, Ganz ML, et al. The contextual effects of social capital on health: a cross-national instrumental variable analysis. *Soc Sci Med*. 2011;73: 1689–1697
- 23. Ichida Y, Kondo K, Hirai H, et al. Social capital, income inequality and self-rated health in Chita peninsula, Japan: a multilevel analysis of older people in 25 communities. *Soc Sci Med.* 2009:69:489–499.
- 24. Fujisawa Y, Hamano T, Takegawa S. Social capital and perceived health in Japan: an ecological and multilevel analysis. *Soc Sci Med.* 2009;69:500–505.
- 25. Tas U, Verhagen AP, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, et al. Prognostic factors of disability in older people: a systematic review. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2007;57:319–323.
- Hoeymans N, Feskens EJ, Kromhout D, et al. Ageing and the relationship between functional status and self-rated health in elderly men. Soc Sci Med. 1997;45:1527–1536.
- Bowling A, Seetai S, Morris R, et al. Quality of life among older people with poor functioning. The influence of perceived control over life. *Age Ageing*. 2007;36: 310–315.
- 28. Kondo N, Mizutani T, Minai J, et al. Factors explaining disability-free life expectancy in Japan: the proportion of older workers, self-reported health status, and the number of public health nurses. *J Epidemiol*. 2005;15:219–227.
- **29.** Hsu CP, Chen HW, Lee SY, et al. Knowledge and attitude toward hospice palliative care among community-dwelling aged Taiwanese-analysis of related factors. *Int J Gerontol*. 2012;6:105–111.
- **30.** Allen SM. Gender differences in spousal caregiving and unmet need for care. *J Gerontol.* 1994;49:S187–195.
- 31. Murayama H, Taguchi A, Ryu S, et al. Institutional trust in the national social security and municipal healthcare systems for the elderly in Japan. *Health Promot Int* 2012;27:394–404
- 32. Nakamura S, Kuzuya M, Funaki Y, et al. Factors influencing death at home in terminally ill cancer patients. *Geriatr Gerontol Int.* 2010;10:154–160.