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#### Abstract

The following theorem is proved. Theorem. Suppose $M=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ be a $k \times k$ matrix with positive entries and $a_{i, j} a_{i+1, j+1}>$ $4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{k+1} a_{i, j+1} a_{i+1, j} \quad(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k-1,1 \leqslant j \leqslant k-1)$. Then $\operatorname{det} M>0$.


The constant $4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{k+1}$ in this theorem is sharp. A few other results concerning totally positive and multiply positive matrices are obtained.
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## 1. Introduction and statement of results

This paper is inspired by the work [5] in which some useful and easily verified conditions of strict total positivity of a matrix are obtained. We recall that a matrix $A$ is said to be $k$-times positive, if all minors of $A$ of order not greater than $k$ are non-negative. A matrix $A$ is said to be multiply positive if it is $k$-times positive for some $k \in \mathbf{N}$. A matrix $A$ is said to be totally positive, if

[^0]all minors of $A$ are non-negative. For more information about these notions and their applications we refer the reader to [3,12]. According to [12] we will denote the class of all $k$-times positive matrices by $T P_{k}$ and the class of all totally positive matrices by $T P$. By $S T P$ we will denote the class of matrices with all minors being strictly positive and by $S T P_{k}$ the class of matrices with all minors of order not greater than $k$ being strictly positive.

In [5] the following theorem was proved
Theorem A. Denote by $\tilde{c}$ the unique real root of $x^{3}-5 x^{2}+4 x-1=0(\tilde{c} \approx 4.0796)$. Let $M=$ $\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with the property that
(a) $a_{i, j}>0(1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n)$ and
(b) $a_{i, j} a_{i+1, j+1} \geqslant \tilde{c} a_{i, j+1} a_{i+1, j}(1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n-1)$.

Then $M$ is strictly totally positive.
Note that the verification of total positivity is, in general, a very difficult problem. Surely, it is not difficult to calculate the determinant of a given matrix with numerical entries. But if the order of a matrix or the entries of a matrix depend on some parameters then the testing of multiple positivity is complicated. Theorem A provides a convenient sufficient condition for total positivity of a matrix.

For $c \geqslant 1$ we will denote by $T P_{2}(c)$ the class of all matrices $M=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ with positive entries which satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i, j} a_{i+1, j+1} \geqslant c a_{i, j+1} a_{i+1, j} \quad \text { for all } i, j . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $c \geqslant 1$ we will denote by $S T P_{2}(c)$ the class of all matrices $M=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ with positive entries which satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i, j} a_{i+1, j+1}>c a_{i, j+1} a_{i+1, j} \quad \text { for all } i, j \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $S T P_{2}=S T P_{2}(1)$. Theorem A states that $T P_{2}(\tilde{c}) \subset S T P$. Denote by

$$
c_{k}:=4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{k+1}, \quad k=2,3,4, \ldots
$$

The main result of this paper is the following:
Theorem 1. Suppose $M=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ be a $k \times k$ matrix with positive entries.
(i) if $M \in T P_{2}\left(c_{k}\right)$ then $\operatorname{det} M \geqslant 0$;
(ii) if $M \in S T P_{2}\left(c_{k}\right)$ then $\operatorname{det} M>0$.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we will show that if $M \in T P_{2}(c)$ then every submatrix of $M$ belongs to $T P_{2}(c)$. Therefore the following theorem is the simple consequence of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. For every $c \geqslant c_{k}$ we have
(i) if $M \in T P_{2}$ (c) then $M \in T P_{k}$;
(ii) if $M \in S T P_{2}(c)$ then $M \in S T P_{k}$.

The following fact is a simple consequence of this theorem.

Theorem 3. For every $c \geqslant 4$ we have if $M \in T P_{2}(c)$ then $M \in S T P$.
The following statement demonstrates that the constants in Theorems 1 and 3 are unimprovable not only in the class of matrices with positive entries but in the classes of Toeplitz matrices and of Hankel matrices. We recall that a matrix $M$ is a Toeplitz matrix if it is of the form $M=\left(a_{j-i}\right)$ and a matrix $M$ is a Hankel matrix if it is of the form $M=\left(a_{j+i}\right)$.

## Theorem 4

(i) For every $1 \leqslant c<c_{k}$ there exists a $k \times k$ Toeplitz matrix $M \in T P_{2}(c)$ with $\operatorname{det} M<0$;
(ii) for every $1 \leqslant c<c_{k}$ there exists a $k \times k$ Hankel matrix $M \in T P_{2}(c)$ with $\operatorname{det} M<0$.

A simple consequence of Theorem 4 is the following fact.

## Corollary of Theorem 4

(i) For every $1 \leqslant c<4$ there exists a Toeplitz matrix $M \in T P_{2}(c)$ but $M \notin T P$;
(ii) for every $1 \leqslant c<4$ there exists a Hankel matrix $M \in T P_{2}(c)$ but $M \notin T P$.

The following theorem shows that Theorem 1 remains valid for some special classes of matrices with non-negative elements.

Theorem 5. Let $M=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ be a $k \times k$ matrix. Suppose that $\exists s, l \in \mathbf{Z}:-(k-1) \leqslant s<l \leqslant k-$ 1 such that $a_{i, j}>0$ for $s \leqslant j-i \leqslant l$ and $a_{i, j}=0$ for $j-i<s$ or $j-i>l$. If $a_{i, j} a_{i+1, j+1} \geqslant$ $c_{k} a_{i, j+1} a_{i+1, j}(1 \leqslant i<m, 1 \leqslant j<n)$ then $\operatorname{det} M \geqslant 0$.

We will show how to prove Theorem 5 in the section "Proof of Theorem 4".
A variation of Theorem 3 for the class of Toeplitz matrices was proved by Hutchinson in [11]. To formulate his result we need some notions.

The class of $m$-times positive sequences consists of the sequences $\left\{a_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ such that all minors of the infinite matrix

$$
\left\|\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & \cdots  \tag{3}\\
0 & a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & a_{0} & a_{1} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & a_{0} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right\|
$$

of order not greater than $m$ are non-negative. The class of $m$-times positive sequences is denoted by $P F_{m}$. A sequence is called a multiply positive sequence if it is $m$-times positive for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$ (see [16]). A sequence $\left\{a_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ such that all minors of the infinite matrix (3) are non-negative is called a totally positive sequence. The class of totally positive sequences is denoted by $P F_{\infty}$. The corresponding classes of generating functions

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}
$$

are also denoted by $P F_{m}$ and $P F_{\infty}$.

The multiply positive sequences (also called Pólya frequency sequences) were introduced by Fekete and Pólya in 1912 see [7] in connection with the problem of exact calculation of the number of positive zeros of a real polynomial.

The class $P F_{\infty}$ was completely described by Aissen et al. in [1] (see also [12, p. 412]):
Theorem ASWE. A function $f \in P F_{\infty}$ iff

$$
f(z)=C z^{n} \mathrm{e}^{\gamma z} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1+\alpha_{k} z\right) /\left(1-\beta_{k} z\right)
$$

where $C \geqslant 0, n \in \mathbf{Z}, \gamma \geqslant 0, \alpha_{k} \geqslant 0, \beta_{k} \geqslant 0, \sum\left(\alpha_{k}+\beta_{k}\right)<\infty$.
By Theorem ASWE a polynomial $p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, a_{k} \geqslant 0$, has only real zeros if and only if the sequence $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, 0,0, \ldots\right) \in P F_{\infty}$.

In 1926, Hutchinson [11, p. 327] extended the work of Petrovitch [15] and Hardy [9] or [10, pp. 95-100] and proved the following theorem.

Theorem B. Let $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}, a_{k}>0, \forall k$. Inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}^{2} \geqslant 4 a_{n-1} a_{n+1}, \quad \forall n \geqslant 1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds if and only if the following two properties hold:
(i) the zeros of $f(x)$ are all real, simple and negative and
(ii) the zeros of any polynomial $\sum_{k=m}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$, formed by taking any number of consecutive terms of $f(x)$, are all real and non-positive.

It is easy to see that (4) implies

$$
a_{n} \leqslant \frac{a_{1}}{4^{n(n-1) / 2}}\left(\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}\right)^{n-1}, \quad n \geqslant 2,
$$

that is $f$ is an entire function of the order 0 . So by the Hadamard theorem (see, for example, [14, p. 24])

$$
f(z)=C z^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1+\alpha_{k} z\right)
$$

where $C \geqslant 0, n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{\mathbf{0}\}, \alpha_{k} \geqslant 0, \sum\left(\alpha_{k}\right)<\infty$.
Using ASWE Theorem we obtain from Theorem B that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}^{2} \geqslant 4 a_{n-1} a_{n+1}, \forall n \geqslant 1 \Rightarrow\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in P F_{\infty} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [13] it was proved that the constant 4 in (5) is sharp.
Thus, Theorem B provides a simple sufficient condition for deducing when a sequence is a totally positive sequence. Theorem 5 provides the following simple sufficient condition of multiple positivity for a sequence.

Corollary of Theorem 5. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of non-negative numbers. Then

$$
a_{n}^{2} \geqslant c_{m} a_{n-1} a_{n+1}, \quad \forall n \geqslant 1 \Rightarrow\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in P F_{m}
$$

Our results are applicable also to the moment problem. Recall that a sequence of positive numbers $\left\{s_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is said to be the moment sequence of a non-decreasing function $F: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ if

$$
s_{k}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{k} \mathrm{~d} F(t) .
$$

A sequence of positive numbers is called a Hamburger moment sequence if it is a moment sequence of a function $F$ having infinitely many points of growth. The following famous theorem gives the description of Hamburger moment sequences.

Theorem C ([8], see also [2, Chapter 2]). A sequence of positive numbers $\left\{s_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a Hamburger moment sequence if and only if

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
s_{0} & s_{1} & \cdots & s_{k}  \tag{6}\\
s_{1} & s_{2} & \cdots & s_{k+1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
s_{k} & s_{k+1} & \cdots & s_{2 k}
\end{array}\right)>0, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

The following statement is proved in [4].
Theorem D. Let $d$ be the positive solution of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d^{-n^{2}}=1 / 4(d \approx 4.06)$. Then any positive sequence $\left\{s_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying

$$
s_{n-1} s_{n+1} \geqslant d s_{n}^{2}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

is a Hamburger moment sequence.
Theorem 3 implies the following statement.
Corollary of Theorem 3. Any positive sequence $\left\{s_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying

$$
s_{n-1} s_{n+1} \geqslant 4 s_{n}^{2}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

is a Hamburger moment sequence.
The constant 4 in the corollary above cannot be improved.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

We need the following sequence of functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}(c)=\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor m / 2\rfloor}\binom{m-j}{j}(-1)^{j} \frac{1}{c^{j}}, \quad m=0,1,2, \ldots, c \geqslant 1, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where by $\lfloor x\rfloor$ we denote the integral part of $x$.
The following lemma provides some properties for this sequence of functions.

## Lemma 1

(i) The following identities hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{0}(c)=F_{1}(c)=1 \\
& F_{m}(c)=F_{m-1}(c)-\frac{1}{c} F_{m-2}(c), \quad m=2,3,4, \ldots \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) For $c=4 \cos ^{2} \phi$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}(c)=\frac{\sin (m+1) \phi}{c^{m / 2} \sin \phi} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) For $c_{k}=4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{k+1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j-1}\left(c_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{c_{k}^{2}} F_{j-2}\left(c_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{c_{k}^{j}} \geqslant F_{j}\left(c_{k}\right), \quad k \geqslant 3, \quad j=2,3, \ldots, k-1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Formula (8) follows directly from (7). Formula (9) is a simple consequence of the wellknown trigonometric identity (see, for example, [17, p. 696])

$$
\frac{\sin (m+1) \phi}{\sin \phi}=\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor m / 2\rfloor}\binom{m-j}{j}(-1)^{j}(2 \cos \phi)^{m-2 j}
$$

Using the identity $4 \cos ^{2} \phi-1=\frac{\sin (3 \phi)}{\sin \phi}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{j-1}\left(c_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{c_{k}^{2}} F_{j-2}\left(c_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{c_{k}^{j}}-F_{j}\left(c_{k}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{1}{c_{k}}-\frac{1}{c_{k}^{2}}\right) F_{j-2}\left(c_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{c_{k}^{j}} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{c_{k}^{(j+2) / 2}}\left(\frac{\sin \left(3 \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)}{\sin \frac{\pi}{k+1}} \cdot \frac{\sin \left((j-1) \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)}{\sin \frac{\pi}{k+1}}-\frac{1}{\left(2 \cos \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)^{j-2}}\right) \\
& \quad \geqslant \frac{1}{c_{k}^{(j+2) / 2}}\left(\frac{\sin \left(3 \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)}{\sin \frac{\pi}{k+1}} \cdot \frac{\sin \left((j-1) \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)}{\sin \frac{\pi}{k+1}}-1\right) \geqslant 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k \geqslant 3$ and $j=2,3, \ldots, k-1$. Inequality (10) is proved.
Lemma 1 is proved.
The following lemma was proved in [5].
Lemma A. Let $M=\left(a_{i, j}\right), 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ and $M \in T P_{2}(c), c \geqslant 1$. Then $a_{i, j} a_{k, l} \geqslant c^{(l-j)(k-i)} a_{i, l} a_{k, j} \quad$ for all $i<k, j<l$.

A simple consequence of Lemma A is the fact that if $M \in T P_{2}(c)$ then any submatrix of $M$ also belongs to $T P_{2}(c)$. Analogously if $M \in S T P_{2}(c)$ then any submatrix of $M$ also belongs to $S T P_{2}(c)$.

For a matrix $M=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ we will denote by $M\binom{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}}{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{k}}$ the following submatrix of $M$ :

$$
M\binom{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}}{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{k}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{i_{1}, j_{1}} & a_{i_{1}, j_{2}} & \cdots & a_{i_{1}, j_{k}} \\
a_{1}, j_{1} & a_{i_{2}, j_{2}} & \cdots & a_{i_{2}, j_{k}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
a_{i_{k}, j_{1}} & a_{i_{k}, j_{2}} & \cdots & a_{i_{k}, j_{k}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We now prove the following claim (which consists of three parts) by induction on $n$. Let $M=$ $\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix and $M \in T P_{2}(c)$, where $c \geqslant 4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{n+1}$. Then the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det} M \geqslant 0,  \tag{11}\\
& \operatorname{det} M \geqslant a_{1,1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, n}{2,3, \ldots, n}-a_{1,2} a_{2,1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{3,4, \ldots, n}{3,4, \ldots, n},  \tag{12}\\
& \operatorname{det} M \leqslant a_{1,1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, n}{2,3, \ldots, n} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $M \in T P_{2}(c)$ then hypothesis (11)-(13) are true for $n=2$. The proof below is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $c_{0} \geqslant 1, M=\left(a_{i, j}\right) \in T P_{2}\left(c_{0}\right)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\forall i=2,3, \ldots, n \quad \operatorname{det} M\binom{i, i+1, \ldots, n}{i, i+1, \ldots, n} \geqslant 0$;
(ii) $\forall i=1,2, \ldots, n-2$

$$
\operatorname{det} M\binom{i, i+1, \ldots, n}{i, i+1, \ldots, n} \geqslant a_{i, i} \operatorname{det} M\binom{i+1, i+2, \ldots, n}{i+1, i+2, \ldots, n}-a_{i, i+1} a_{i+1, i} \operatorname{det} M\binom{i+2, i+3, \ldots, n}{i+2, i+3, \ldots, n}
$$

Then for all $c, 1 \leqslant c \leqslant c_{0}$ the following inequalities are valid:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n} \\
& \qquad \geqslant a_{m+1, m+1}\left(\operatorname{det} M\binom{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}-\frac{1}{c} a_{m+2, m+2} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+3, m+4, \ldots, n}{m+3, m+4, \ldots, n}\right), \\
& \quad m=0,1, \ldots, n-3 .  \tag{14}\\
& \begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det} M \geqslant a_{1,1} a_{2,2} \ldots a_{m, m}\left(F_{m}(c) \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}\right. \\
& \quad\left.\quad-\frac{1}{c} F_{m-1}(c) a_{m+1, m+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}\right), \quad m=1,2, \ldots, n-2 . \\
& F_{m}(c) \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}-\frac{1}{c} F_{m-1}(c) a_{m+1, m+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \geqslant a_{m+1, m+1}\left(F_{m+1}(c) \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\frac{1}{c} F_{m}(c) a_{m+2, m+2} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+3, m+4, \ldots, n}{m+3, m+4, \ldots, n}\right), \quad m=1,2, \ldots, n-3 .  \tag{16}\\
& F_{m}(c) \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}-\frac{1}{c} F_{m-1}(c) a_{m+1, m+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n} \\
& \geqslant a_{m+1, m+1} a_{m+2, m+2} \cdots a_{n, n} F_{n}(c), \quad m=1,2, \ldots, n-2 . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. First we prove (14). Since $M \in T P_{2}(c)$ and by (ii) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n} \\
& \quad \geqslant a_{m+1, m+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}-a_{m+1, m+2} a_{m+2, m+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+3, m+4, \ldots, n}{m+3, m+4, \ldots, n} \\
& \geqslant a_{m+1, m+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}-\frac{1}{c} a_{m+1, m+1} a_{m+2, m+2} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+3, m+4, \ldots, n}{m+3, m+4, \ldots, n}, \\
& \quad m=0,1, \ldots, n-3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inequality (14) is proved.
Let us prove (16). Multiplying (14) by $F_{m}(c)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{m}(c) \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}-\frac{1}{c} F_{m-1}(c) a_{m+1, m+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n} \\
& \geqslant \\
& \quad a_{m+1, m+1}\left(\left(F_{m}(c)-\frac{1}{c} F_{m-1}(c)\right) \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1}{c} F_{m}(c) a_{m+2, m+2} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+3, m+4, \ldots, n}{m+3, m+4, \ldots, n}\right), \quad m=1,2, \ldots, n-3,
\end{aligned}
$$

and, using (8) we obtain (16).
To prove (17) we apply (16) $(n-2-m)$ times. We derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{m}(c) \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}-\frac{1}{c} F_{m-1}(c) a_{m+1, m+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n} \\
& \quad \geqslant a_{m+1, m+1} a_{m+2, m+2} \cdots a_{n-2, n-2}\left(F_{n-2}(c) \operatorname{det} M\binom{n-1, n}{n-1, n}-\frac{1}{c} F_{n-3}(c) a_{n-1, n-1} a_{n, n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $M \in T P_{2}\left(c_{0}\right)$ the following inequality holds for all $c, 1 \leqslant c \leqslant c_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} M\binom{n-1, n}{n-1, n} \geqslant\left(1-\frac{1}{c}\right) a_{n-1, n-1} a_{n, n} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

so by (8) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{m}(c) \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}-\frac{1}{c} F_{m-1}(c) a_{m+1, m+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n} \\
& \geqslant a_{m+1, m+1} a_{m+2, m+2} \cdots a_{n, n}\left(\left(F_{n-2}(c)-\frac{1}{c} F_{n-3}(c)\right)-\frac{1}{c} F_{n-2}(c)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =a_{m+1, m+1} a_{m+2, m+2} \cdots a_{n, n}\left(F_{n-1}(c)-\frac{1}{c} F_{n-2}(c)\right) \\
& =a_{m+1, m+1} a_{m+2, m+2 \cdots a_{n, n}} F_{n}(c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inequality (17) is proved.
By (8) we rewrite inequality (14) for $m=0$ in the following form:

$$
\operatorname{det} M \geqslant a_{1,1}\left(F_{1}(c) \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, n}{2,3, \ldots, n}-\frac{1}{c} F_{0}(c) a_{2,2} \operatorname{det} M\binom{3,4, \ldots, n}{3,4, \ldots, n}\right) .
$$

To prove (15) we apply (16) $(m-1)$ times.
Lemma 2 is proved.
Remark. If a matrix $M$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2 and, moreover, $a_{n-1, n-1} a_{n, n}>$ $c_{0} a_{n-1, n} a_{n, n-1}$, then inequality (18) is strict, hence (17) is strict, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{m}(c) \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}{m+1, m+2, \ldots, n}-\frac{1}{c} F_{m-1}(c) a_{m+1, m+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n}{m+2, m+3, \ldots, n} \\
& \quad>a_{m+1, m+1} a_{m+2, m+2} \cdots a_{n, n} F_{n}(c), \quad m=1,2, \ldots, n-2 . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, for all matrices $M \in S T P\left(c_{0}\right)$ inequality (19) is valid for all $c, 1 \leqslant c \leqslant c_{0}$.
Assume that conditions (11)-(13) hold for all matrices of sizes smaller than $k$. Let us prove these conditions for $n=k$.

Lemma 3. Let $M=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$ be a $k \times k$ matrix, $M \in T P_{2}(c), c \geqslant c_{k}:=4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{k+1}$. For all $j=$ $2,3, \ldots, k-1$ the following inequality holds:

$$
a_{1, j} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, k}-a_{1, j+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j, j+2, \ldots, k} \geqslant 0
$$

Proof. Since $m \in T P_{2}(c), M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, k} \in T P_{2}(c)$ and $M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j, j+2, \ldots, k} \in T P_{2}(c)$. Since $4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{n+1} \leqslant 4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{k+1}$ for $n=2,3, \ldots, k-1$ we can apply the induction hypothesis to the matrices $M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, k}, M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j, j+2, \ldots, k}$ and to all their square submatrices. We apply inequality (13) $j$ times and obtain

$$
\operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j, j+2, \ldots, k} \leqslant a_{2,1} a_{3,2} \cdots a_{j+1, j} \operatorname{det} M\binom{j+2, j+3, \ldots, k}{j+2, j+3, \ldots, k} .
$$

From Lemma A and from the fact $a_{1, j+1} a_{j+1, j} \leqslant \frac{1}{c_{k}^{j}} a_{1, j} a_{j+1, j+1}$ now we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1, j+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j, j+2, \ldots, k} \leqslant \frac{1}{c_{k}^{j}} a_{1, j} a_{2,1} a_{3,2} \cdots a_{j, j-1} a_{j+1, j+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{j+2, j+3, \ldots, k}{j+2, j+3, \ldots, k} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the induction hypothesis the matrix $M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, k}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2. Applying to this matrix (15) with $m=j-2$ we obtain

$$
\operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, k}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\geqslant & a_{2,1} a_{3,2} \cdots a_{j-1, j-2}\left(F_{j-2}\left(c_{k}\right) \operatorname{det} M\binom{j, j+1, j+2, \ldots, k}{j-1, j+1, j+2, \ldots, k}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{c_{k}} F_{j-3}\left(c_{k}\right) a_{j, j-1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{j+1, j+2, \ldots, k}{j+1, j+2, \ldots, k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (12) to the matrix $M\binom{j, j+1, j+2, \ldots, k}{j-1, j+1, j+2, \ldots, k}$ and plugging the result into the last formula we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, k} \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
2,1 \\
a_{3,2} \cdots a_{j-1, j-2}\left(a_{j, j-1}\left(F_{j-2}\left(c_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{c_{k}} F_{j-3}\left(c_{k}\right)\right) \operatorname{det} M\binom{j+1, j+2, \ldots, k}{j+1, j+2, \ldots, k}\right. \\
\\
\left.\quad-a_{j, j+1} a_{j+1, j-1} F_{j-2}\left(c_{k}\right) \operatorname{det} M\binom{j+2, j+3, \ldots, k}{j+2, j+3, \ldots, k}\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

whence, by Lemma $A$ and (8) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, k} \\
& \qquad a_{2,1} a_{3,2} \cdots a_{j-1, j-2} a_{j, j-1}\left(F_{j-1}\left(c_{k}\right) \operatorname{det} M\binom{j+1, j+2, \ldots, k}{j+1, j+2, \ldots, k}\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1}{c_{k}^{2}} a_{j+1, j+1} F_{j-2}\left(c_{k}\right) \operatorname{det} M\binom{j+2, j+3, \ldots, k}{j+2, j+3, \ldots, k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Further applying (14) to the matrix $M\binom{j+1, j+2, \ldots, k}{j+1, j+2, \ldots, k}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, k} \\
& \qquad a_{2,1} a_{3,2} \cdots a_{j, j-1} a_{j+1, j+1}\left(\operatorname { d e t } M ( \begin{array} { l } 
{ j + 2 , j + 3 , \ldots , k } \\
{ j + 2 , j + 3 , \ldots , k }
\end{array} ) \left(F_{j-1}\left(c_{k}\right)\right.\right. \\
&  \tag{21}\\
& \left.\left.\quad-\frac{1}{c_{k}^{2}} F_{j-2}\left(c_{k}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{c_{k}} a_{j+2, j+2} F_{j-1}\left(c_{k}\right) \operatorname{det} M\binom{j+3, j+4, \ldots, k}{j+3, j+4, \ldots, k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By (20) and (21) we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1, j} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, k}-a_{1, j+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j, j+2, \ldots, k} \\
& \quad \geqslant a_{1, j} a_{2,1} a_{3,2} \cdots a_{j, j-1} a_{j+1, j+1}\left(\left(F_{j-1}\left(c_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{c_{k}^{2}} F_{j-2}\left(c_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{c_{k}^{j}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \operatorname{det} M\binom{j+2, j+3, \ldots, k}{j+2, j+3, \ldots, k}-\frac{1}{c_{k}} a_{j+2, j+2} F_{j-1}\left(c_{k}\right) \operatorname{det} M\binom{j+3, j+4, \ldots, k}{j+3, j+4, \ldots, k}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (22), (10) and (17) that

$$
a_{1, j} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, k}-a_{1, j+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j, j+2, \ldots, k}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\geqslant & a_{1, j} a_{2,1} a_{3,2} \cdots a_{j, j-1} a_{j+1, j+1}\left(F_{j}\left(c_{k}\right) \operatorname{det} M\binom{j+2, j+3, \ldots, k}{j+2, j+3 \ldots, k}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{c_{k}} a_{j+2, j+2} F_{j-1}\left(c_{k}\right) \operatorname{det} M\binom{j+3, j+4, \ldots, k}{j+3, j+4, \ldots, k}\right) \\
\geqslant & a_{1, j} a_{2,1} a_{3,2} \cdots a_{j, j-1} a_{j+1, j+1} a_{j+2, j+2} \cdots a_{k, k} F_{k-1}\left(c_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by Lemma 1 and (9) with $m=k-1$ we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1, j} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, k}-a_{1, j+1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j, j+2, \ldots, k} \\
& \quad \geqslant a_{1, j} a_{2,1} a_{3,2} \cdots a_{j, j-1} a_{j+1, j+1} a_{j+2, j+2} \cdots a_{k, k} \frac{\sin \left(k \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)}{c_{k}^{(k-1) / 2} \sin \frac{\pi}{k+1}} \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3 is proved.
Now we will prove (12). Using Lemma 3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} M\binom{1,2, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, k} & =\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j+1} a_{1, j} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, k} \\
& \geqslant a_{1,1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{2,3, \ldots, k}-a_{1,2} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,3,4, \ldots, k}
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply the induction hypothesis (13) to the matrix $M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,3,4, \ldots, k}$. We have

$$
\operatorname{det} M\binom{1,2, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, k} \geqslant a_{1,1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{2,3, \ldots, k}-a_{1,2} a_{2,1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{3,4, \ldots, k}{3,4, \ldots, k} .
$$

The inequality (12) is proved.
By Lemma 3

$$
\operatorname{det} M\binom{1,2, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, k}=\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j+1} a_{1, j} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{1,2, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, k} \leqslant a_{1,1} \operatorname{det} M\binom{2,3, \ldots, k}{2,3, \ldots, k} .
$$

The inequality (13) is proved.
To prove (11) we note that by (12) and induction hypothesis the matrix $M$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2. It follows from (15), (17) and Lemma 1 that

$$
\operatorname{det} M \geqslant a_{1,1} a_{2,2} \cdots a_{k, k} F_{k}\left(c_{k}\right)=a_{1,1} a_{2,2} \cdots a_{k, k} \frac{\sin \pi}{c_{k}^{k / 2} \sin \frac{\pi}{k+1}}=0
$$

Hence the statement (i) in Theorem 1 is proved.
Now we will prove the statement (ii) in Theorem 4. If $M \in S T P_{k}\left(c_{k}\right)$ then by (19) we can rewrite the last inequality in the following form:

$$
\operatorname{det} M>a_{1,1} a_{2,2} \cdots a_{k, k} F_{k}\left(c_{k}\right)=a_{1,1} a_{2,2} \cdots a_{k, k} \frac{\sin \pi}{c_{k}^{k / 2} \sin \frac{\pi}{k+1}}=0
$$

Hence the statement (ii) in Theorem 1 is proved, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

In fact, we have proved a slightly stronger theorem, which may be of independent interest.
Theorem 6. Suppose $c \geqslant 4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{k+1}$. Let $M=\left(a_{i, j}\right) \in T P_{2}(c)$ be a $k \times k$ matrix. Then $\operatorname{det} M \geqslant a_{1,1} a_{2,2} \cdots a_{k, k} F_{k}(c)$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 4

Note that $T P_{2}\left(c_{1}\right) \subset T P_{2}\left(c_{2}\right)$ for $c_{1} \geqslant c_{2}$. Thus it is sufficient to prove Theorem 4 with $c \in$ $\left(c_{k}-\varepsilon, c_{k}\right)$ for $\varepsilon>0$ being small enough.

Consider the following $n \times n$ symmetrical Toeplitz matrix.

$$
M_{n}(\phi):\left\|\begin{array}{ccccccc}
2 \cos \phi & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0  \tag{23}\\
1 & 2 \cos \phi & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 \cos \phi & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 2 \cos \phi & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 2 \cos \phi
\end{array}\right\|
$$

where $0 \leqslant \phi<\pi / 2$. Obviously, $M_{n}(\phi) \in T P_{2}\left(4 \cos ^{2} \phi\right)$. The matrix $M_{n}(\phi)$ satisfies the following recursion relation $\operatorname{det} M_{n}(\phi)=2 \cos \phi \operatorname{det} M_{n-1}(\phi)-\operatorname{det} M_{n-2}(\phi)$ and $M_{1}(\phi)=2 \cos \phi$, $M_{2}(\phi)=4 \cos ^{2} \phi-1$. It is easy to verify that det $M_{n}(\phi)=\frac{\sin (n+1) \phi}{\sin \phi}$. So for all $\phi \in\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \frac{2 \pi}{n+1}\right)$ we have $\operatorname{det} M_{n}(\phi)<0$. For $\phi \in\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \frac{2 \pi}{n+1}\right)$ consider the following $n \times n$ symmetrical Toeplitz matrix

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{n}\left(\phi, \varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-2}\right) \\
& \quad:=\left\|\begin{array}{|lcccccc}
2 \cos \phi & 1 & \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{2} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{n-3} & \varepsilon_{n-2} \\
1 & 2 \cos \phi & 1 & \varepsilon_{1} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{n-4} & \varepsilon_{n-3} \\
\varepsilon_{1} & 1 & 2 \cos \phi & 1 & \varepsilon_{1} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{n-4} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\varepsilon_{n-3} & \varepsilon_{n-4} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1} & 1 & 2 \cos \phi & 1 \\
\varepsilon_{n-2} & \varepsilon_{n-3} & \varepsilon_{n-4} & \cdots & \varepsilon_{1} & 1 & 2 \cos \phi
\end{array}\right\| \text {, } \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}>\varepsilon_{2}>\cdots>\varepsilon_{n-2}>0$ and $\varepsilon_{1}$ is chosen to satisfy the inequality $1 \geqslant 4 \cos ^{2} \phi \cdot 2 \cos \phi$. $\varepsilon_{1}$, then $\varepsilon_{2}$ is chosen to satisfy the inequality $\varepsilon_{1}^{2} \geqslant 4 \cos ^{2} \phi \cdot \varepsilon_{2}$, then $\varepsilon_{3}$ is chosen to satisfy the inequality $\varepsilon_{2}^{2} \geqslant 4 \cos ^{2} \phi \cdot \varepsilon_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{3}, \ldots$ and then $\varepsilon_{n-2}$ is chosen to satisfy the inequality $\varepsilon_{n-3}^{2} \geqslant$ $4 \cos ^{2} \phi \cdot \varepsilon_{n-4} \cdot \varepsilon_{n-2}$. Under these conditions we have $T_{n}\left(\phi, \varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-2}\right) \in T P_{2}\left(4 \cos ^{2} \phi\right)$. Since $T_{n}(\phi, 0,0, \ldots, 0)=M_{n}(\phi)$ we obtain det $T_{n}(\phi, 0,0, \ldots, 0)<0$ for $\phi \in\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \frac{2 \pi}{n+1}\right)$. Therefore we have $\operatorname{det} T_{n}\left(\phi, \varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-2}\right)<0$ for $\phi \in\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \frac{2 \pi}{n+1}\right)$ if $\varepsilon_{1}$ is small enough.

Thus, for every $c \in\left(4 \cos ^{2} \frac{2 \pi}{n+1}, c_{n}\right)$ the statement (i) of Theorem 4 is proved. Since $T P_{2}\left(c_{1}\right) \subset$ $T P_{2}\left(c_{2}\right)$ for $c_{1} \geqslant c_{2}$ the statement (i) of Theorem 4 follows.

We use the same method to obtain the proof of Theorem 5.

To prove the statement (ii) we consider the following Hankel matrix $D_{n}(p, q)$ with $p \geqslant 1$, $q \geqslant 1$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}(p, q):=\left(p^{\lfloor(i+j-2) / 2\rfloor\lfloor(i+j-1) / 2\rfloor} q^{\lfloor(i+j-3) / 2\rfloor\lfloor(i+j-2) / 2\rfloor}\right), \quad 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

or,

$$
D_{n}(p, q)=\left\|\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 1 & p & p^{2} q & \cdots & * & *  \tag{26}\\
1 & p & p^{2} q & p^{4} q^{2} & \cdots & * & * \\
p & p^{2} q & p^{4} q^{2} & p^{6} q^{4} & \cdots & * & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
* & * & * & * & \cdots & p^{(n-2)^{2}} q^{(n-2)(n-3)} & p^{(n-1)(n-2)} q^{(n-2)^{2}} \\
* & * & * & * & \cdots & p^{(n-1)(n-2)} q^{(n-2)^{2}} & p^{(n-1)^{2}} q^{(n-1)(n-2)}
\end{array}\right\| .
$$

By direct calculation we obtain $D_{n}(p, q) \in T P_{2}(\min (p, q))$.
Lemma 4. For all $n \geqslant 3$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} D_{n}(p, q)=p^{\beta_{n}} q^{\alpha_{n}} F_{n}(p)+Q_{\alpha_{n}-1}(p, q) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{n}=\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3}, \beta_{n}=\frac{n(n-1)(2 n-1)}{6}$ and $Q_{\alpha_{n}-1}(p, q)$ is a polynomial in $p, q$ such that $\operatorname{deg}_{q} Q_{\alpha_{n}-1}(p, q) \leqslant \alpha_{n}-1$. (Here and further by $\operatorname{deg}_{q} Q(p, q)$ we will denote the degree of $Q(p, q)$ with respect to $q$.)

Proof. We will prove this lemma by induction in $n$. For $n=3$ the statement is true as can be verified directly. The expansion of det $D_{n}(p, q)$ along column $n$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det} D_{n}(p, q) \\
& \quad=R_{\alpha_{n}-1}(p, q) \\
& \qquad\left\|\begin{array}{lcccccc} 
\\
& \| & 1 & p & p^{2} q & \cdots & * \\
1 & p & p^{2} q & p^{4} q^{2} & \cdots & * & 0 \\
p & p^{2} q & p^{4} q^{2} & p^{6} q^{4} & \cdots & * & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & 0 \\
* & * & * & * & \cdots & * & \vdots \\
* & * & * & * & \cdots & p^{(n-2)^{2}} q^{(n-2)(n-3)} & p^{(n-1)(n-2)} q^{(n-2)^{2}} \\
* & * & * & * & \cdots & p^{(n-1)(n-2)} q^{(n-2)^{2}} & p^{(n-1)^{2}} q^{(n-1)(n-2)}
\end{array}\right\| \text {, } \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{\alpha_{n}-1}(p, q)$ is a polynomial in $p, q$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{q} R_{\alpha_{n}-1}(p, q) \leqslant \alpha_{n}-1$.
The expansion of the determinant on the right-hand side of the last equation along row $n$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det} D_{n}(p, q) \\
& \quad=S_{\alpha_{n}-1}(p, q)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{||ccccccc}
1 & 1 & p & p^{2} q & \cdots & * & 0  \tag{29}\\
1 & p & p^{2} q & p^{4} q^{2} & \cdots & * & 0 \\
p & p^{2} q & p^{4} q^{2} & p^{6} q^{4} & \cdots & * & 0 \\
+\operatorname{det} & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
* & * & * & * & \cdots & * & 0 \\
* & * & * & * & \cdots & p^{(n-2)^{2}} q^{(n-2)(n-3)} & p^{(n-1)(n-2)} q^{(n-2)^{2}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & p^{(n-1)(n-2)} q^{(n-2)^{2}} & p^{(n-1)^{2}} q^{(n-1)(n-2)}
\end{array} \|
$$

where $S_{\alpha_{n}-1}(p, q)$ is a polynomial in $p, q$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{q} S_{\alpha_{n}-1}(p, q) \leqslant \alpha_{n}-1$.
The last equation provides the following recursion relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{n}(p, q)= & p^{(n-1)^{2}} q^{(n-1)(n-2)} D_{n-1}(p, q)-p^{2(n-1)(n-2)} q^{2(n-2)^{2}} D_{n-2}(p, q) \\
& +T_{\alpha_{n}-1}(p, q)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T_{\alpha_{n}-1}(p, q)$ is a polynomial in $p, q$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{q} T_{\alpha_{n}-1}(p, q) \leqslant \alpha_{n}-1$.
Using the induction hypothesis and formula (8) we obtain the statement of Lemma 4.
Lemma 4 is proved.
Note that $p^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} F_{n}(p)$ is a polynomial in $p$ of degree $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$. By (9) it has the following $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$ roots:

$$
4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{n+1}, 4 \cos ^{2} \frac{2 \pi}{n+1}, \ldots, 4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor \pi}{n+1} .
$$

Obviously, $4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{n+1}$ is the largest root of this polynomial. Hence for $p \in\left(4 \cos ^{2} \frac{2 \pi}{n+1}, 4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)$ we have $F_{n}(p)<0$.

Let us fix an arbitrary $p_{0} \in\left(4 \cos ^{2} \frac{2 \pi}{n+1}, 4 \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)$. Since

$$
\operatorname{det} D_{n}\left(p_{0}, q\right)=q^{\alpha_{n}}\left(p_{0}^{\beta_{n}} F_{n}\left(p_{0}\right)+q^{-\alpha_{n}} Q_{\alpha_{n}-1}\left(p_{0}, q\right)\right)
$$

where $Q_{\alpha_{n}-1}\left(p_{0}, q\right)$ is a polynomial in $q$ and $\operatorname{deg} Q_{\alpha_{n}-1}\left(p_{0}, q\right) \leqslant \alpha_{n}-1$, for $q$ being large enough (and $\left.q>p_{0}\right)$ we obtain $D_{n}\left(p_{0}, q\right) \in T P_{2}\left(p_{0}\right)$ but $\operatorname{det} D_{n}\left(p_{0}, q\right)<0$.

Thus, for every $p \in\left(4 \cos ^{2} \frac{2 \pi}{n+1}, c_{n}\right)$ the statement (ii) of Theorem 4 is proved. Since $T P_{2}\left(c_{1}\right) \subset$ $T P_{2}\left(c_{2}\right)$ for $c_{1} \geqslant c_{2}$ the statement (ii) of Theorem 4 follows.

Theorem 4 is proved.
Remark. This is a revised version of the paper originally submitted to the journal "Linear Algebra and its Applications" in summer 2004. Recently in the paper [6] the authors formulated a conjecture which coincides with the statement proved in our Theorem 1.
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