

Note

Subsets without q -Separation and Binomial Products of Fibonacci Numbers

JOHN KONVALINA AND YI-HSIN LIU

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
University of Nebraska at Omaha, Omaha, Nebraska 68182*

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received May 26, 1989

The total number of subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ without q -separation is expressed in terms of binomial products of Fibonacci numbers. Several new combinatorial identities are derived. © 1991 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Kaplansky [2] proved that the number of k -subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ not containing a pair of consecutive integers (i.e., i and $i + 1$) is

$$\binom{n+1-k}{k}.$$

Konvalina [3] proved that the number of k -subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ not containing a pair of uniseparate integers (i.e., i and $i + 2$) is

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \binom{n+1-k-2i}{k-2i} & \text{if } n \geq 2(k-1) \\ 0 & \text{if } n < 2(k-1). \end{cases}$$

Prodinger [5] and Hwang, Korner, and Wei [1] generalized Konvalina's result and determined explicit formulae for the number of k -subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ without q -separation. Two integers are called q -separate ($q \geq 1$) if their difference is q . In this paper we consider the total number of subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ without q -separation and prove the unexpected result that this number can be concisely expressed in terms of the product of powers of Fibonacci numbers arising from the binomial theorem.

The Fibonacci numbers have a well-known combinatorial interpretation in terms of subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ not containing a pair of consecutive integers. Specifically, let F_n denote the n th Fibonacci number determined by the recurrence relation

$$F_1 = 1$$

$$F_2 = 1$$

$$F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n \quad (n \geq 1).$$

The total number of subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ not containing a pair of consecutive integers is F_{n+2} .

Recently, Konvalina and Liu [4] showed that the total number of subsets $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ without unit separation ($q=2$) can be expressed in terms of the Fibonacci numbers. Specifically, let T_n denote the total number of subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ without unit separation; then the following identities hold:

$$T_{2n} = F_{n+2}^2$$

$$T_{2n+1} = F_{n+2}F_{n+3}.$$

In this paper we will generalize the result to q -separation. Let $T(n, q)$ denote the total number of subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ without q -separation. We will show that if n is written in the form $n = mq + r$, where $0 \leq r < q$, then $T(n, q)$ is a binomial product (arising from the binomial theorem) of Fibonacci numbers:

$$T(n, q) = F_{m+2}^{q-r} F_{m+3}^r.$$

The result by Konvalina and Liu is the special case $q=2$. The classical result is the special case $q=1$. Several corollaries, including some interesting combinatorial identities, are derived.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

THEOREM. *Let $T(n, q)$ denote the number of subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ without q -separation. If $n = mq + r$, where $0 \leq r < q$, then*

$$T(n, q) = F_{m+2}^{q-r} F_{m+3}^r.$$

Proof. Partition the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ into the q disjoint subsets S_1, S_2, \dots, S_q defined as follows:

$$S_i = \begin{cases} \{i, i+q, i+2q, \dots, i+mq\} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r \\ \{i, i+q, i+2q, \dots, i+(m-1)q\} & \text{if } r < i \leq q \end{cases}$$

Let $|S_i|$ denote the cardinality of S_i . Then we have

$$|S_i| = \begin{cases} m + 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r \\ m & r < i \leq q. \end{cases}$$

The total number of subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ without q -separation is equal to the product of the number of subsets of each S_i not containing a pair of consecutive elements. Thus we have reduced the problem to the classical case ($q = 1$).

If $1 \leq i \leq r$, then the number of subsets of S_i not containing a pair of consecutive elements is F_{m+3} , since $|S_i| = m + 1$. If $i > r$, then this number is F_{m+2} , since $|S_i| = m$.

Finally, forming the product with r subsets of cardinality $m + 1$ and $q - r$ subsets of cardinality m , we obtain the result:

$$T(n, q) = F_{m+2}^{q-r} F_{m+3}^r.$$

COROLLARY 1.

$$T(n, 1) = F_{n+2} \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n+1-k}{k} = F_{n+2}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. If $q = 1$, the theorem reduces to the classical result. If we sum over all k -subsets and apply Kaplansky's result we obtain the well-known combinatorial identity (1).

COROLLARY 2.

$$T(n, 2) = F_{m+2}^{2-r} F_{m+3}^r \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{i=0}^{[k/2]} \binom{n+1-k-2i}{k-2i} = F_{m+2}^{2-r} F_{m+3}^r. \tag{2}$$

Proof. If $q = 2$, we obtain the result by Konvalina and Liu [4], and summing over all k -subsets without unit separation we obtain the identity (2).

COROLLARY 3. Let $f_q(n, k)$ denote the number of k -subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ without q -separation. Then

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} f_q(n, k) = F_{m+2}^{q-r} F_{m+3}^r$$

or

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum (-1)^{\lambda_1 + m\lambda_3 + (m+1)\lambda_4} \binom{q-2+\lambda_1}{\lambda_1} \binom{n+q-2k+\lambda_2}{\lambda_2} \binom{r}{\lambda_3} \binom{q-r}{\lambda_4} = F_{m+2}^{q-r} F_{m+3}^r, \tag{3}$$

where the second summation is over all λ_i such that $\lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_2 \geq 0, 0 \leq \lambda_3 \leq r, 0 \leq \lambda_4 \leq q-r$, and $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3(m+3) + \lambda_4(m+2) = k$, if $0 \leq k \leq (n+q)/2$; otherwise, $f_q(n, k) = 0$.

Proof. The explicit formula for $f_q(n, k)$ was obtained by Prodinger [5]. Summing over all k -subsets without q -separation we obtain the combinatorial identity (3).

COROLLARY 4. Let $f_q(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_q, k)$ denote the number of ways of selecting k objects from q lines of lengths n_1, n_2, \dots, n_q without two selected objects being consecutive. Also, let $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_r = m+1$ and $n_{r+1} = n_{r+2} = \dots = n_q = m$. Then, for $0 \leq k_i \leq n_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$),

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} f_q(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_q, k) = F_{m+2}^{q-r} F_{m+3}^r$$

and

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_q = k} \prod_{i=1}^q \binom{n_i + 1 - k_i}{k_i} = F_{m+2}^{q-r} F_{m+3}^r. \tag{4}$$

Proof. $f_q(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_q, k)$ was explicitly determined by Hwang, Korner, and Wei [1]. Identity (4) follows from the theorem and Kaplansky's result after summing over all k -subsets not containing two consecutive integers.

$T(n, q)$ can also be determined by computing the number of binary $(0, 1)$ sequences of length n without q -separation (i.e., no two ones are separated by $q-1$ bits). We state without proof the following result:

$$T(n, q) = T(mq + r, q) = \sum_{i_0=0}^r \binom{r}{i_0} \sum_{i_1=0}^{q-i_0} \binom{q-i_0}{i_1} \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^{q-i_{m-1}} \binom{q-i_{m-1}}{i_m}. \tag{5}$$

Combining this result with Corollary 4 we obtain the following combinatorial identity:

COROLLARY 5. If $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_r = m + 1$ and $n_{r+1} = \dots = n_q = m$, then for $0 \leq k_i \leq n_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_q = k} \prod_{i=1}^q \binom{n_i + 1 - k_i}{k_i} \\ &= \sum_{i_0=0}^r \sum_{i_1=0}^{q-i_0} \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^{q-i_{m-1}} \binom{r}{i_0} \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{q-i_j-1}{i_j}. \end{aligned}$$

$T(n, q)$ can also be expressed in terms of a recurrence relation. Using an inductive argument it can be shown that if $n = mq + r$, where $1 \leq r \leq q$, then $T(n, q)$ satisfies the recurrence relation:

$$T(n, q) = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} T(n - r - i, q), \quad (6)$$

with the boundary conditions $T(n, q) = 2^n = F_3^n$ for $0 \leq n \leq q$.

REFERENCES

1. F. K. HWANG, J. KORNER, AND V. K.-W. WEI, Selecting non-consecutive balls arranged in many lines, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **37** (1984), 327-336.
2. I. KAPLANSKY, Solution of the "Problème des ménages," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **49** (1943), 784-785.
3. J. KONVALINA, On the number of combinations without unit separation, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **31** (1981), 101-107.
4. J. KONVALINA AND Y.-H. LIU, Subsets without unit separation and products of Fibonacci numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.*, to appear.
5. H. PRODINGER, On the number of combinations without a fixed distance, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **35** (1983), 362-365.