Assessment of Myocardial Edema by Computed Tomography in Myocardial Infarction
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OBJECTIVES The aim of this study was to analyze whether cardiac computed tomography (CT) permits the assessment of myocardial edema in acute myocardial infarction (MI).

BACKGROUND Several studies proved the value of detecting myocardial edema from T2-weighted cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) for differentiating acute from chronic MI. Computed tomography is suited for depicting MI, but there are no data on CT imaging of myocardial edema. We hypothesized that areas of reduced attenuation in acute MI may correspond to edema.

METHODS In 7 pigs (55.2 ± 7.3 kg), acute MI was induced using a closed chest model. Animals underwent unenhanced arterial and late-phase dual source computed tomography (DSCT) followed by T2-weighted and delayed contrast-enhanced CMR. Animals were sacrificed, and the excised hearts were stained with 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolin chloride (TTC). Size of MI, contrast-to-noise ratio, and percent signal difference were compared among the different imaging techniques with concordance-correlation coefficients ($r_c$), Bland-Altman plots, and analysis of variance for repeated measures.

RESULTS Infarction was transmural on all slices. On unenhanced, arterial, and late-phase DSCT, mean sizes of MI were 27.2 ± 8.5%, 20.1 ± 6.9%, and 23.1 ± 8.2%, respectively. Corresponding values on T2-weighted and delayed contrast-enhanced CMR were 28.5 ± 7.8% and 22.2 ± 7.7%. Size of MI on TTC staining was 22.6 ± 7.8%. Best agreement was observed when comparing late-phase CT ($r_c = 0.9356$) and delayed enhanced CMR ($r_c = 0.9248$) with TTC staining. There was substantial agreement between unenhanced DSCT and T2-weighted CMR ($r_c = 0.8629$). Unenhanced DSCT presented with the lowest percent signal difference (46.0 ± 18.3) and the lowest contrast-to-noise ratio (4.7 ± 2.0) between infarcted and healthy myocardium.

CONCLUSIONS Unenhanced DSCT permits the detection of myocardial edema in large acute MI. Further studies including smaller MI in different coronary artery territories and techniques for improving the contrast-to-noise ratio are needed. (J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2009;2:1167–74) © 2009 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation
Differentiating acute from chronic myocardial infarction (MI) and detecting the myocardium at risk, which may benefit from reperfusion therapy after acute MI, are major demands for decision making. Several studies proved the value of T2-weighted cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) for differentiating acute from chronic MI (1,2). Whereas acute MI is accompanied by myocardial edema, chronic MI is not. Detection of myocardial edema may also help to identify the peri-infarction zone, which is considered the area at risk (3). The latter has been proposed to correspond to the hyperintense area on T2-weighted CMR images after subtracting areas of delayed contrast enhancement (4). Consequently, this technique is increasingly used in clinical routine practice.

With the introduction of cardiac computed tomography (CT) in clinical routine practice, previous efforts for assessing MI were revived, and several studies proved the current cardiac CT technique reliable for detecting MI (5–8). For this purpose, a variety of CT techniques were evaluated, including CT imaging of myocardial perfusion (9), arterial phase perfusion deficit (10,11), and delayed myocardial contrast enhancement. Assessment of wall motion abnormalities and wall thinning was proved feasible, too. However, there are no data on in vivo CT imaging of myocardial edema.

From early studies on CT imaging of MI, it is known that acute MI may present as areas of reduced CT values on unenhanced CT (12,13). Theoretically, increased water content should result in a decrease of the CT value when compared with healthy myocardium. Therefore, we hypothesized that these areas of reduced attenuation values may correspond to myocardial edema rather than to MI. Consequently, the aim of this study was to analyze whether cardiac CT permits the assessment of myocardial edema in acute MI.

**METHODS**

**Animal model.** Seven domestic pigs weighing 55.2 ± 7.3 kg were included in this study after approval from the State Committee on Animal Affairs. After intramuscular pre-medication with 0.5-ml atropine 1% (Atropinum Sulfuricum Solution 1%, WDT, Garbsen, Germany), 0.2 mg/kg azaperone (Stresnil, Janssen-Cilag, Neuss, Germany), and 5-mg/kg ketamine (Ketamin 10%, Ceva, Düsseldorf, Germany), anesthesia was induced by intravenous injection of diluted pentobarbital (Narcoren, Merial, Hallbergmoos, Germany) through an 18-G venous access line placed in an ear vein. All animals were orotracheally intubated and mechanically ventilated with an oxygen and air mixture containing 1.0 Vol% Isoflurane (Abbott, Baar, Switzerland) at a respiratory rate of 15 min⁻¹. For pain management, 0.01-mg/kg buprenorphine (Temgesic, Essex Pharma, Munich, Germany) was administered intramuscularly.

Acute reperfused MI was induced using a closed chest model. A 7-F guiding catheter (Vista Brite Tip, Cordis, Miami Lakes, Florida) was positioned at the origin of the left coronary artery through the right femoral approach. After a coronary angiogram was obtained for visualizing the left coronary anatomy, the mid section of the left circumflex artery was occluded for 60 min by inflation of an individually sized 2.5- to 3-mm balloon catheter (Hayate, Terumo, Tokyo, Japan). Complete vessel occlusion and reperfusion were proven by repeated coronary angiograms. Continuous electrocardiography (ECG) monitoring was available, and in case of left ventricular (LV) fibrillation, direct current defibrillation was performed.

**Imaging procedures.** Sixty minutes after reperfusion, all animals underwent CMR using a clinical 1.5-T whole body MR scanner equipped with a dedicated 5-element cardiac synergy coil (Gyroscan Intera, Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands). Animals were positioned supine, and ventilation was suspended in end-expiration during image acquisition. First, a T2-weighted ECG-triggered, inversion-recovery, black-blood, turbo spin-echo sequence was used. Sequence parameters included an echo time of 100 ms with a repetition time of 2 heart beats, turbo spin-echo factor of 33, slice thickness of 6 mm without interslice gap, field of view of 370 × 370 mm², and a 512 × 512 matrix reconstructed to 0.72 × 0.72 mm² in-plane resolution. Starting 10 min after intravenous injection of 0.2-mmol/kg body weight gadolinium-diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (Gd-DTPA [Magnevist, Bayer-Schering, Berlin, Germany]), a prospectively ECG-gated T1-weighted gradient echo sequence with an inversion pre-pulse was used to acquire diastolic 6-mm short-axis slices without an interslice gap. Imaging parameters were as follows: TR 2 heartbeats, TE 5.0 ms, flip angle 25°, field of view 380 × 380 mm², matrix 256 × 256, and reconstructed voxel size 1.48 × 1.48 × 6 mm³.
The trigger delay was adjusted per pig to acquire data in mid- to end-diastole, and the inversion time of the pre-pulse varied according to subjective visual judgment from 260 to 285 ms to null the signal of remote myocardium and to achieve optimal image contrast between infarcted and viable myocardium.

For CT imaging, a 64-slice DSCT scanner (SOMATOM Definition, release VA11, Siemens, Forchheim, Germany) was used. The pigs were positioned supine, and all scans were performed in the cranio-caudal direction during end-expiratory breath hold. A standardized examination protocol with 2 × 64 × 0.6 mm collimation for both detectors, tube rotation time of 330 ms, and an individually heart-rate-adapted pitch ranging from 0.25 to 0.48 was applied for all scans. Tube voltage was 120 kV in both tubes, with a tube current-time product of 380 mAsrot. Tube current modulation was not applied.

First, an unenhanced CT scan was performed. For arterial phase CT, 120-ml iopromide 300 (Ultravist 300, Bayer-Schering Pharma AG, Berlin, Germany) was administered through an 18-G access in an ear vein at a flow rate of 6.2 ml/s followed by a 50-ml saline chaser bolus at the same flow rate. The scan delay was determined using the bolus tracking technique. Data acquisition started 5 s after a threshold of 180 Hounsfield Units (HU) was reached in a region of the air outside the animal. For all imaging procedures, contrast-to-noise ratios (CNR) were computed with noise being defined as standard deviation measured in the blood pool of the left ventricle; for CMR signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), noise was computed, with noise being defined as the standard deviation measured in the air outside the animal. For all imaging procedures, contrast-to-noise ratios (CNR) as well as percent signal difference (%difference) between ischemically injured and healthy remote myocardium were calculated as follows:

\[
\text{CNR} = \frac{\text{meanSI}_{\text{MI}} - \text{meanSI}_{\text{remote}}}{\text{meanSI}_{\text{noise}}} \\
\%	ext{difference} = \frac{\text{meanSI}_{\text{MI}} - \text{meanSI}_{\text{remote}}}{\text{meanSI}_{\text{remote}}}
\]

Bland-Altman plots and Lin’s concordance-correlation coefficients \( (p_r) \) were computed to assess agreement of edema and MI size between CMR, the different DSCT techniques, and TTC staining. To find statistically significant differences among the different techniques for assessing myocardial edema and MI, 2-way analysis of variance was conducted separately for the size of edema or MI, CNR, and percent signal difference. In case of statistical significance of the global F test, post-hoc t tests were computed for comparison between each pair of measurements. A significance level of 5% was assumed. As this was an explorative study, no alpha-adjustment was performed. Analyses were performed using MedCalc version 10.0.2 (MedCalc, Mariakerke, Belgium).
RESULTS

All interventional and imaging procedures were performed successfully. All imaging studies were suited for further analysis. In all, 4 periods of ventricular fibrillation were observed after coronary occlusion ($n=1$) or reperfusion ($n=3$) that were successfully treated by direct current defibrillation. The mean heart rates during DSCT and CMR were 77.9 ± 14.5 (range 58 to 99) beats/min and 77.6 ± 13.7 (range 59 to 97) beats/min, respectively.

Twenty-nine CT, MR, and TTC sections presented with signs of ischemic injury and MI. Infarction was transmural on all slices. On unenhanced, arterial, and late-phase DSCT, the mean sizes of ischemically injured myocardium were 27.2 ± 8.5%, 20.1 ± 6.9%, and 23.1 ± 8.2%, respectively. Corresponding values on T2-weighted and delayed enhanced CMR were 28.5 ± 7.8% and 22.2 ± 7.7%. On TTC staining, the mean infarct size was 22.6 ± 7.8% (Fig. 1, Table 1). Analysis of variance revealed a significant difference in the size of MI as determined by the different imaging techniques ($p < 0.0001$). Post-hoc $t$ tests revealed significant differences except for the comparison of late-phase CT with delayed enhanced CMR ($p = 0.1005$), and each of them with MI size on TTC staining ($p = 0.4208$ and $p = 0.4271$, respectively). Furthermore, no significant differences were found for the comparison of unenhanced DSCT images with T2-weighted CMR ($p = 0.1139$). Lin’s concordance-correlation coefficient showed the highest agreement for the comparison of late-phase CT ($\rho_c = 0.9356$) and delayed enhanced CMR ($\rho_c = 0.9248$) with TTC staining (Table 2). There was also a substantial agreement between unenhanced CT and T2-weighted CMR for assessing the size of edema ($\rho_c = 0.8629$). Bland-Altman plots showed a clinically acceptable mean deviation but a potentially relevant bandwidth of the limits of agreement (Fig. 2). There was no systematic error comparing the different techniques.

Attenuation values and SNR for the different DSCT and CMR techniques are summarized in Table 3. Comparing the different imaging techniques, CMR presented with significantly higher CNR when compared with DSCT. The lowest CNR was found for unenhanced DSCT. On post-hoc $t$ tests, CNR differed significantly ($p < 0.0001$), except for the comparison of delayed contrast-enhanced and T2-weighted CMR ($p = 0.8146$). The highest percent signal difference between ischemically injured and normal myocardium was observed for delayed enhanced CMR, which was approximately 9-fold higher than for unenhanced DSCT. Except for the comparison of unenhanced
and arterial phase DSCT (p = 0.5588), percent signal difference varied significantly (p < 0.05) on post-hoc t tests.

**DISCUSSION**

Initially described during the 1970s, CT imaging of MI was never really accepted in clinical routine because of several relevant problems, particularly, limited temporal and spatial resolution (14). Limited temporal resolution caused relevant motion artifacts and, consequently, early animal studies were performed on ex vivo specimens (13). Insufficient spatial resolution hampered the computation of high-quality multiplanar reformats, resulting in an insufficient assessability of the inferior wall of the left ventricle (15). Some of these problems were overcome with the introduction of electron beam CT. However, limited availability and simultaneous introduction of CMR with its high intrinsic contrast superseded CT imaging of MI. With the introduction of multislice CT and the establishment of CT coronary angiography in clinical routine, efforts were made to advance CT to become a 1-stop-shopping modality for comprehensive imaging of the heart, including the assessment of the global and regional LV function, heart valves, and the myocardium (16).

Only recently, several animal and patient studies proved CT imaging of MI to be a reliable technique (5,6,17,18). From previous studies, it is known that hypodense areas on arterial phase CT imaging as well as hyperdense regions on late-phase CT images obtained 5 to 20 min after contrast material injection, are related to MI (7,14,17,18). However, both imaging techniques have a different substrate. Whereas arterial phase CT depicts a surrogate of perfusion deficits, delayed contrast enhancement on late-phase CT directly shows necrotic myocardium. For arterial as well as late-phase CT, the reported results correlate well with previous studies. After reperfusion, hypodense areas on arterial phase CT are known to typically underestimate the size of MI, when compared with histochemical analysis or delayed contrast enhance-

### Table 1. Size and %Difference and CNR for Ischemically Injured Myocardium as Determined With Different DSCT and CMR Techniques in Comparison With Normal Myocardium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DSCT</th>
<th>CMR</th>
<th>F Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unenhanced</td>
<td>Arterial Phase</td>
<td>Late Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size, %</td>
<td>27.2 ± 8.5</td>
<td>20.1 ± 6.9</td>
<td>23.1 ± 8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNR</td>
<td>4.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>7.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td>9.8 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Difference</td>
<td>46.0 ± 18.3</td>
<td>50.9 ± 23.9</td>
<td>75.4 ± 10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNR = cardiac magnetic resonance; CNR = contrast-to-noise ratio; DE = delayed enhanced; DSCT = dual-source computed tomography; %Difference = percent signal difference; TTC = 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride.

### Table 2. Lin's Concordance-Correlation Coefficients ($\rho_c$) for Comparison of MI Size Using Different DSCT Techniques With CMR and TTC Staining

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DSCT</th>
<th>T2 CMR</th>
<th>DE CMR</th>
<th>TTC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unenhanced</td>
<td>$\rho_c = -0.8629$</td>
<td>$\rho_c = -0.7511$</td>
<td>$\rho_c = -0.7516$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial phase</td>
<td>$-1.2 \ [6.8 \ to \ -9.3]$</td>
<td>5.0 \ [12.2 \ to \ -22]$</td>
<td>4.6 \ [12.6 \ to \ -3.5]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late phase</td>
<td>$\rho_c = -0.8451$</td>
<td>$\rho_c = -0.7516$</td>
<td>$\rho_c = -0.7516$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Results from the Bland-Altman approach are given in brackets with mean deviation and upper and lower limits of agreement.

Abbreviations as in Table 1.
weighted CMR. However, these areas of edema are not to be confused with strongly hypodense areas on unenhanced CT scans as they were described in healed MI (20,22,23). The latter may even present with negative CT values and are thought to correspond to fatty infiltrations, which

Figure 2. Agreement Between the Different Imaging Techniques

Bland-Altman plots compare myocardial infarction (MI) size as determined from (A to C) unenhanced, (D to F) arterial, and (H, I) late-phase dual-source computed tomography (DSCT) with (A, D, G) T2-weighted and (B, E, H) delayed enhanced (DE) cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR), as well as with (C, F, I) 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolin-chloride (TTC) staining. (H, I) There is excellent agreement between DE DSCT and DE CMR and TTC. (A) The same is true for the comparison of unenhanced DSCT and T2-weighted CMR. The latter, however, presents with a potentially relevant scattering.

Table 3. SNR and Attenuation Values as Determined From DSCT and CMR for Different Regions of Interest*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DSCT Unenhanced</th>
<th>DSCT Arterial Phase</th>
<th>DSCT Late Phase</th>
<th>CMR T2 SNR</th>
<th>DE SNR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation (HU) SNR</td>
<td>Attenuation (HU) SNR</td>
<td>Attenuation (HU) SNR</td>
<td>Attenuation (HU) SNR</td>
<td>T2 SNR</td>
<td>DE SNR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infarction</td>
<td>28.4 ± 8.9</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>45.3 ± 25.3</td>
<td>8.5 ± 6.5</td>
<td>131.3 ± 13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>53.8 ± 5.0</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>90.7 ± 9.6</td>
<td>15.7 ± 5.6</td>
<td>74.8 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV cavity</td>
<td>38.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>439.8 ± 102.1</td>
<td>76.0 ± 31.4</td>
<td>114.6 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The SNR of CMR was markedly higher when compared with the different DSCT techniques.
HU = Hounsfield units; LV = left ventricular; SNR = signal-to-noise ratio; other abbreviations as in Table 1.
are known to occur in chronic MI. In contrast, myocardial edema occurs in acute MI and presents with CT values >0 HU.

Size of myocardial edema as determined from DSCT and T2-weighted CMR overestimated the true size of MI, as has been reported previously (3). The area presenting with edema, but without delayed contrast enhancement, has been suggested to correspond to the peri-infarction zone, which may benefit from revascularization (4). Considering the reported finding, CT may also permit for the differentiation of acute from chronic MI by assessing myocardial edema. This finding is relevant for clinical decision making. Although other indicators of MI such as wall motion abnormalities or wall thinning are assessable with cardiac CT (22), none of them unequivocally characterizes acute or chronic MI. Wall motion abnormalities are present in a reversible as well as in irreversible ischemic injury, whereas wall thinning does not necessarily occur in nontransmural MI.

Assessment of myocardial edema with DSCT faces some problems, however. The difference in the CT values is low, resulting in the need to apply high tube-current time products to keep the image noise in acceptable ranges and to provide an acceptable CNR. The unenhanced CT scan will therefore present with CT values

from the LV blood pool. Although this technique works in an animal setting, it has yet to be proven in patient studies.

**Study limitations.** Beyond these general problems with CT imaging of myocardial edema, there are some limitations that are specifically related to this study. First, all animals suffered from transmural MI. Thus, there is no information on the visualization of edema in subendocardial MI. Second, all examinations were performed in acute MI. To establish the use of this technique for differentiating acute from chronic MI, further studies of chronic MI are needed. Furthermore, interobserver and intraobserver variability were not assessed in this feasibility study. For quantifying MI size from late-phase CT, they are known to be in the range of 3% and 1%, respectively (25). Because of the poor CNR, they have to be considered higher in the CT assessment of myocardial edema. The development of dedicated post-processing techniques may facilitate the delineation of myocardial edema and thereby overcome this problem to some degree.

**Conclusions**

Unenhanced DSCT permits the detection of myocardial edema in acute MI. This technique can be combined with arterial and late-phase CT, potentially enhancing the diagnostic utility of cardiac CT as an imaging modality for a comprehensive workup of patients with suspected myocardial ischemia. Further studies confirming these findings in clinical examinations are needed.
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