

## ON THE RELATIONS $P(X \times Y) = PX \times PY$

W.W. COMFORT \*

*Department of Mathematics, Wesleyan University, Middletown, Conn. 06547, U.S.A.*

H. HERRLICH

*Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bremen, Bremen, G.F.R.*

Received 13 December 1973

Revised 29 March 1974

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be epireflective full subcategories of the category  $\mathbf{Haus}$  of Hausdorff spaces and continuous functions, and also denote the corresponding reflectors by  $P: \mathbf{Haus} \rightarrow P$  and  $Q: \mathbf{Haus} \rightarrow Q$  respectively. Denote the class of  $P$ -regular spaces, i.e., of subspaces of  $P$ -spaces, by  $RP$ . Embracing certain special cases which have been treated in the literature, we show that if  $P \subset Q \subset RP$  then for  $X, Y \in RP$  the relation  $P(X \times Y) = PX \times PY$  implies  $Q(Y \times Y) = QX \times QY$ . Applications to particular classes  $P, Q$  are given.

AMS Subj. Class.: Primary 54B10, 18A40, 54C20  
 Secondary 54C45, 54D35, 54D60

epireflective subcategory

realcompact space

$P$ -regular

topologically complete space

$P$ -embedding

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{Haus}$  be the category of Hausdorff spaces and continuous functions. For a topological property  $P$  of Hausdorff spaces we do not distinguish between (1) this property, (2) the class of all  $P$ -spaces, i.e. of all spaces having property  $P$ , and (3) the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Haus}$  whose objects are the  $P$ -spaces.  $P$  is called *epireflective* in  $\mathbf{Haus}$  if the following equivalent conditions hold:

(i)  $P$  is productive and closed-hereditary;

(ii) for every  $X \in \mathbf{Haus}$  there is  $PX \in P$  and a continuous function  $p_X: X \rightarrow PX$  such that  $p_X[X]$  is dense in  $PX$  and for every continuous  $f: X \rightarrow Y \in P$  there is a continuous  $\bar{f}: PX \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f = \bar{f} \circ p_X$ .

If these conditions are satisfied, then the pair  $(p_X, PX)$ , called the

\* This author gratefully acknowledges support received from the National Science Foundation (U.S.A.) under grants NSF-GP-39263 and NSF-MPS73-08937A02.

$P$ -reflection of  $X$ , is determined uniquely up to homeomorphism. This gives rise to a functor  $P : \mathbf{Haus} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  which commutes with colimits but usually not with limits, nor even with finite products. The aim of this paper is to show that for epireflective subcategories  $P$  and  $Q$  of  $\mathbf{Haus}$  under suitable conditions the equality  $P(X \times Y) = PX \times PY$  implies  $Q(X \times Y) = QX \times QY$ .

A space  $X \in \mathbf{Haus}$  is called  $P$ -regular if there is  $P \in \mathbf{P}$  such that  $X \subset P$ ; the class of  $P$ -regular spaces is denoted  $\mathbf{RP}$ .

We refer the reader to [5,6,10,11,14] for additional background material, and to [10,12,18] for proofs of the following fact (which is crucial to the proof of our theorem below). For a productive, closed-hereditary class  $P$ , the  $P$ -reflection  $p_X : X \rightarrow PX$  is a topological embedding if and only if  $X \in \mathbf{RP}$ ; and if  $X \in \mathbf{RP}$ , then  $(p_X, PX)$  is characterized as follows:

(1)  $PX \in P$ ;

(2)  $p_X$  is a  $P$ -embedding, i.e., an embedding of  $X$  onto a dense subspace of  $PX$  such that for every continuous  $f : X \rightarrow Y \in P$  there is a continuous  $\bar{f} : PX \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f = \bar{f} \circ p_X$ .

## 2. A two-class theorem

We here prove the result (Theorem 2.2) cited in the Abstract.

**2.1. Lemma.** *Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be epireflective subcategories of  $\mathbf{Haus}$  such that  $P \subset Q \subset \mathbf{RP}$ . If  $X, Y \in \mathbf{RP}$  and  $X \times Y$  is  $P$ -embedded in  $X \times QY$ , then  $X \times Y$  is  $Q$ -embedded in  $X \times QY$ .*

**Proof.** Let continuous  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z \in Q$ . Since  $Z \in \mathbf{RP}$ , the  $P$ -reflection  $p_Z : Z \rightarrow PZ$  is a topological embedding. By assumption there is a continuous function  $\bar{f} : X \times QY \rightarrow PZ$  such that  $f \subset \bar{f}$ , and it is enough to show that  $\bar{f}[X \times QY] \subset Z$ . For  $x \in X$  we set  $f_x = f|_{\{x\} \times Y}$ , we denote by  $\bar{f}_x$  the continuous extension of  $f_x$  mapping  $\{x\} \times QY$  to  $Z$ , and we note that for every  $x \in X$  the functions  $\bar{f}|_{\{x\} \times Y}$  and  $\bar{f}_x|_{\{x\} \times Y}$  (which is  $f_x$ ) are equal. Since  $PZ \in \mathbf{Haus}$ , we have  $\bar{f}|_{\{x\} \times QY} = \bar{f}_x$  for all  $x \in X$ , and hence

$$\bar{f}[X \times QY] = \bigcup_{x \in X} \bar{f}|_{\{x\} \times QY} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \bar{f}_x|_{\{x\} \times QY} \subset Z,$$

as required.  $\square$

**2.2. Theorem.** *Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be epireflective subcategories of  $\mathbf{Haus}$  such that  $P \subset Q \subset \mathbf{RP}$ . If  $X, Y \in \mathbf{RP}$  and  $P(X \times Y) = PX \times PY$ , then  $Q(X \times Y) = QX \times QY$ .*

**Proof.** We note from the uniqueness result cited above that it follows that  $X \subset QX \subset PX$  and  $Y \subset QY \subset PY$  and that it is sufficient to prove that  $X \times Y$  is  $Q$ -embedded in  $QX \times QY$ . Now  $X \times Y$  is  $P$ -embedded in  $PX \times PY$ , and hence in  $X \times QY$ ; hence (by Lemma 2.1)  $X \times Y$  is  $Q$ -embedded in  $X \times QY$ . A second appeal to Lemma 2.1 shows that  $X \times QY$  is  $Q$ -embedded in  $QX \times QY$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

We note in 2.4 below certain instances of 2.1 and 2.2 (of which some have appeared already in the literature). We denote by  $[0, 1]$  the closed unit interval and by  $D_2$  the two-element discrete space. The following definitions, equivalent to the standard formulations, are convenient for our purposes.

**2.3. Definition.** A topological space is:

- (a) *zero-dimensional* if it is homeomorphic to a subspace of a power of  $D_2$ ;
- (b) *realcompact* if it is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of a product of real lines;
- (c) *topologically complete* if it is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of a product of metric spaces.

We denote by  $\mathbf{Z}$  the class of zero-dimensional spaces and by  $\mathbf{Tych}$  the class of completely regular Hausdorff spaces. For  $X \in \mathbf{Tych}$  we denote by  $\beta X$ ,  $\nu X$  and  $\gamma X$  the Stone-Ćech compactification, the Hewitt realcompactification and the topological completion of  $X$ , respectively.

Concerning Corollary 2.4(b), we note that (for  $P$  closed-hereditary in  $\mathbf{Haus}$ ) the hypothesis  $D_2 \in P$  is fulfilled whenever  $P$  is non-trivial (i.e., whenever there is  $Z \in P$  such that  $|Z| > 1$ ).

**2.4. Corollary.** *Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be epireflective subcategories of  $\mathbf{Haus}$  and let  $X, Y \in \mathbf{Tych}$ .*

- (a) *If  $[0, 1] \in P \subset Q \subset \mathbf{Tych}$  and  $P(X \times Y) = PX \times PY$ , then  $Q(X \times Y) = QX \times QY$ .*
- (b) *If  $D_2 \in P \subset Q \subset \mathbf{Z}$  and  $X, Y \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $P(X \times Y) = PX \times PY$ , then  $Q(X \times Y) = QX \times QY$ .*
- (c) *If  $\beta(X \times Y) = \beta X \times \beta Y$ , then  $\nu(X \times Y) = \nu X \times \nu Y$ .*
- (d) *If  $\nu(X \times Y) = \nu X \times \nu Y$ , then  $\gamma(X \times Y) = \gamma X \times \gamma Y$ .*

We have shown above (for suitably restricted subcategories  $P$  and  $Q$  of Haus and for  $X, Y \in RP$ ) that if  $X \times Y$  is  $P$ -embedded in  $QX \times QY$ , then  $X \times Y$  is  $Q$ -embedded in  $QX \times QY$ . For  $P$  the class of compact spaces and  $Q$  the class of realcompact spaces, the result is given in [3, Theorem 5.2]. Corollary 2.3(c) has been noticed by several authors, using a theorem of Glicksberg [3] (cf. (2) of 3.4 below); and statement (d) is due to Isiwata [13, Theorem 2.1].

### 3. Spaces $X$ such that $P(X \times Y) = PX \times PY$

**3.1. Definition.** If  $X$  is a space and  $A, B \subset X$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be *completely separated* (in  $X$ ) if there is a continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f[A] \subset \{0\}$  and  $f[B] \subset \{1\}$ .

The following lemma is from [19, Theorem 3.7]. Tamano's proof and a number of applications are given in [4].

**3.2. Lemma.** *If  $X \in \text{Tych}$ , then  $X$  is topologically complete if and only if for every  $p \in \beta X \setminus X$  the sets  $X \times \{p\}$  and  $\{(x, x) : x \in X\}$  are completely separated in  $X \times \beta X$ .*

**3.3. Corollary.** *Let  $P$  be an epireflective subcategory of Tych such that  $[0, 1] \in P$ , and let  $X$  be a topologically complete space. The following are equivalent.*

- (a)  $P(X \times Y) = PX \times PY = PX \times Y$  for all  $Y \in P$ ;
- (b)  $P(X \times PX) = PX \times PX$ ;
- (c)  $P(X \times \beta X) = PX \times \beta X$ ;
- (d)  $X = PX$ .

**Proof.** Since  $PX \in P$  and  $\beta X \in P$ , we have (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) and (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). We show next that (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) and (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). Indeed, if (d) fails there is

$$p \in PX \setminus X \subset \beta X \setminus X,$$

and since  $X$  is topologically complete there is (by Lemma 3.2) a continuous function  $f: X \times \beta X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f[X \times \{p\}] &= \{0\}, \\ f(x, x) &= 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $f$  has no continuous extension to the point  $\langle p, p \rangle \in \mathcal{P}X \times \beta X$  (so that (c) fails), and that  $f|X \times \mathcal{P}X$  has no continuous extension to the point  $\langle p, p \rangle \in \mathcal{P}X \times \mathcal{P}X$  (so that (b) fails).

That (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) follows from the uniqueness of  $\mathcal{P}(X \times Y)$  as described in the introduction.  $\square$

**3.4. Remarks.** The requirement in Corollary 3.3 that  $X$  be topologically complete is not artificial and cannot be omitted. The failure of the equivalence of (a), (b), (c) and (d) for spaces  $X$  that are not topologically complete for suitable choices of the class  $\mathcal{P}$  is given in Table 1, where  $\mathcal{T}$  denotes the class of topologically complete spaces and  $\mathcal{C}$  the class of compact Hausdorff spaces (so that  $\mathcal{C}Y = \beta Y$  for all  $Y \in \text{Tych}$ ). The entry  $Y$  in this table means "Yes, the statement in question (a), (b), (c) or (d) of Corollary 3.3) does hold"; the entry  $N$  means "No, it does not"; the single entry  $(*)$  is discussed below.

The reader will easily verify the  $Y-N$  entries by using the following facts.

(1) If  $X \notin \mathcal{T}$ , there is  $Y \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}(X \times Y) \neq \mathcal{T}X \times \mathcal{T}Y$ . This is shown by Isiwata [13, Theorem 3.2], following McArthur's [15] use of a construction of Hager and Mrowka (see [9, Theorem 3.2]).

(2)  $\beta(X \times Y) = \beta X \times \beta Y$  if and only if  $X$  or  $Y$  is finite or  $X \times Y$  is pseudocompact. This result is due to Glicksberg [8]; see also [7].

(3) If  $Y \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $Y$  is locally compact then  $\mathcal{T}(X \times Y) = \mathcal{T}X \times \mathcal{T}Y$  for all  $X \in \text{Tych}$ . This is shown by Pupier [17, Théorème 4.3] and Morita [16, Theorem 5.1], see [2, Corollary 2.2] for the appropriate analogous result concerning realcompact spaces.

(4) If  $X$  is pseudocompact and  $Y$  is compact, then  $X \times Y$  is pseudocompact. This familiar result is proved (for example) in [8].

(5) Every pseudocompact, topologically complete space is compact (and hence  $\mathcal{T}X = \beta X$  for every pseudocompact space  $X$ ). This familiar result is stated (for example) in [1, Theorem 8].

As to the entry  $(*)$  we show that the relation  $\mathcal{T}(X \times \mathcal{T}X) = \mathcal{T}X \times \mathcal{T}X$  holds for certain spaces  $X$  that are not topologically complete and not pseudocompact, and fails for certain other such spaces  $X$ . If  $X_0$  is locally compact and topologically complete and  $X_1$  is pseudocompact but not compact, then  $\mathcal{T}(X_1 \times X_0) = (\beta X_1) \times X_0$  by (4) and (5), and the "disjoint union"  $X = X_0 + X_1$  satisfies  $\mathcal{T}X = X_0 + \beta X_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}(X \times \mathcal{T}X) = \mathcal{T}X \times \mathcal{T}X$ . (Essentially this is remarked by McArthur [15, Example 5.8].) On the other hand, if  $X_0$  is any space that is not topologically complete and  $X_1 \in \mathcal{T}$  is chosen so that  $\mathcal{T}(X_0 \times X_1) \neq \mathcal{T}X_1$ , then

Table 1  
Behavior of spaces  $X$  such that  $X \notin T$

		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
$X$ pseudocompact	$P = C$	Y	Y	Y	N
$X$ pseudocompact	$P = T$	N	Y	Y	N
$X$ not pseudocompact	$P = C$	N	N	N	N
$X$ not pseudocompact	$P = T$	N	(*)	Y	N

with  $X = X_0 + X_1$  we have  $TX = TX_0 + X_1$  and hence  $T(X \times TX) \neq TX \times TX$ .

We conclude with a corollary to 2.2 and 3.2. Again, we denote by  $T$  the class of topologically complete spaces.

**3.5. Corollary.** *Let  $P$  be an epireflective subcategory of  $\mathbf{Tych}$  such that  $\{0, 1\} \in P$  and let  $X \in \mathbf{Tych}$ . Then:*

(a) *If  $P \subset T$  and  $P(X \times Y) = PX \times PY$  for all  $Y \in \mathbf{Tych}$ , then  $X \in P$ .*

(b) *If  $T \subset P$ ,  $X$  is locally compact and  $X \in T$ , then  $P(X \times Y) = PX \times PY$  for all  $Y \in \mathbf{Tych}$ .*

**Proof.** (a) It follows from Theorem 2.2 that  $T(X \times Y) = TX \times TY$  for all  $Y \in \mathbf{Tych}$ , so that  $X \in T$  by 3.4(1); hence  $X \in P$  by Corollary 3.3(a).

(b) This follows from (3) above and Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

## References

- [1] R.W. Bagley, E.H. Connell and J.D. McKnight, Jr., On properties characterizing pseudocompact spaces, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 9 (1958) 500–506.
- [2] W.W. Comfort, On the Hewitt realcompactification of a product space, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 131 (1968) 107–118.
- [3] W.W. Comfort and S. Negrepointis, Extending continuous functions on  $X \times Y$  to subsets of  $\beta X \times \beta Y$ , Fundamenta Math. 59 (1966) 1–12.
- [4] W.W. Comfort and S. Negrepointis, Continuous pseudometrics, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math. (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1975).
- [5] S.P. Franklin, On epi-reflective hulls, Gen. Topology Appl. 1 (1971) 29–31.
- [6] P. Freyd, Abelian Categories (Harper and Row, New York 1964).
- [7] Z. Frolík, The topological product of two pseudocompact spaces, Czech. Math. J. 10 (1960) 339–349.
- [8] I. Glicksberg, Stone-Čech compactifications of products, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 99 (1959) 369–382.

- [9] A.W. Hager, Projections of zero-sets (and the fine uniformity on a product), *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 140 (1969) 87–94.
- [10] H. Herrlich,  $\mathcal{C}$ -kompakte Räume, *Math. Z.* 96 (1967) 228–255.
- [11] H. Herrlich, Categorical topology, *Gen. Topology Appl.* 1 (1971) 1–15.
- [12] H. Herrlich and J. van der Slot, Properties which are closely related to compactness, *Indag. Math.* 29 (1967) 524–529.
- [13] T. Isiwata, Topological completions and realcompactifications, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 47 (1971) 941–946.
- [14] J.F. Kennison, Reflective functors in general topology and elsewhere, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 118 (1965) 303–315.
- [15] W.G. McArthur, Hewitt realcompactifications of products, *Can. J. Math.* 22 (1970) 645–657.
- [16] K. Morita, Topological completions and M-spaces, *Sci. Rept. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku* 10 (1970) 271–288.
- [17] René Pupier, Quelques propriétés de la complétion universelle d'un espace complètement régulier, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 269 (1969) 186–189.
- [18] J. van der Slot, Universal topological properties, Rept. No. ZW1966-011, Math. Centrum, Amsterdam (1966).
- [19] H. Tamano, On compactifications, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 1-2 (1962) 162–193.