Regression of Inflammation in Atherosclerosis by the LXR Agonist R211945
A Noninvasive Assessment and Comparison With Atorvastatin
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OBJECTIVES The aim of this study was to noninvasively detect the anti-inflammatory properties of the novel liver X receptor agonist R211945.

BACKGROUND R211945 induces reversal cholesterol transport and modulates inflammation in atherosclerotic plaques. We aimed to characterize with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)–positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT) and dynamic contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance (DCE-CMR) inflammation and neovascularization, respectively, in atherosclerotic plaques with R211945 treatment compared with atorvastatin treatment and a control.

METHODS Twenty-one atherosclerotic New Zealand white rabbits were divided into 3 groups (control, R211945 [3 mg/kg orally], and atorvastatin [3 mg/kg orally] groups). All groups underwent 18F-FDG–PET/CT and DCE-CMR at baseline and at 1 and 3 months after treatment initiation. Concomitantly, serum metabolic parameters and histology were assessed. For statistical analysis, continuous DCE-CMR and PET/CT outcomes were modeled as linear functions of time by using a linear mixed model, whereas the histological data, animal characteristics data, and nonlinear regression imaging data were analyzed with a 2-tailed Student t test.

RESULTS 18F-FDG-PET/CT detected a decrease in mean and maximum standard uptake values (SUV) over time in the R211945 group (both p = 0.001), indicating inflammation regression. The atorvastatin group displayed no significant change (p = 0.371 and p = 0.600, respectively), indicating no progression or regression. The control group demonstrated an increase in SUV (p = 0.01 and p = 0.04, respectively), indicating progression. There was a significant interaction between time and group for mean and maximum SUV (p = 0.0003 and p = 0.0016, respectively). DCE-CMR detected a trend toward difference (p = 0.06) in the area under the curve in the atorvastatin group, suggesting a decrease in neovascularization. There was no significant interaction between time and group (p = 0.6350 and p = 0.8011, respectively). Macrophage and apolipoprotein B immunoreactivity decreased in the R211945 and atorvastatin groups (p < 0.0001 and p = 0.0004, respectively), and R211945 decreased oxidized phospholipid immunoreactivity (p = 0.02).

CONCLUSIONS Noninvasive imaging with 18F-FDG–PET/CT and DCE-CMR and histological analysis demonstrated significant anti-inflammatory effects of the LXR agonist R211945 compared with atorvastatin. The results suggest a possible role for LXR agonists in the treatment of atherosclerosis. (J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2012;5:819–28) © 2012 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation
Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of mortality worldwide (1). Atherosclerosis is the primary cause of cardiovascular disease and is caused by the accumulation of oxidized lipids in the arterial wall that trigger the accumulation of inflammatory cells, most prominently macrophages (2,3). The recent recruitment of macrophages leads to the formation of fatty streaks and ultimately to the development of complex atherosclerotic plaques. Inflammation plays a central role in all stages of atherosclerosis and, in particular, when accompanied by neovascularization, promotes atherosclerotic plaque rupture and thrombosis, which cause the majority of acute coronary events (4,5).

Currently, the mainstay for the treatment of atherosclerosis is the use of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol–lowering strategies, mainly statins, which reduce the mortality risk by only 30% to 40% (6). Recently, strategies to further lower risk have been actively explored (7). High-density lipoprotein cholesterol displays a strong inverse relationship with cardiovascular disease, as demonstrated in multiple large epidemiological studies including the Framingham Heart Study (8). The mechanism by which high-density lipoprotein exerts its protective effects is mainly mediated by reverse cholesterol transport (9), a process by which peripheral tissue cells actively excrete cholesterol and phospholipids through the ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 (ABCA1) leading to the formation of high-density lipoprotein. This process is controlled by ABCA1 and is directly regulated by liver X receptors (LXRs) (10). In animal models of atherosclerosis, LXR agonists attenuate the development and reverse existing atherosclerotic plaques (11,12). First-generation LXR agonists, despite potent antiatherosclerotic effects, were accompanied by fatty liver accumulation and elevation of plasma triglycerides and low-density lipoprotein. Their translation into clinical practice was therefore limited (13). R211945, an LXR agonist, is a potent inducer of ABCA1 in rodents and cynomolgus monkeys without causing liver and metabolic side effects.

We demonstrated previously that multimodality molecular imaging using $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)–positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT) and dynamic contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance (DCE-CMR) has the ability to assess macrophage content/inflammation and neovascularization in atherosclerotic plaques at baseline and after therapeutic intervention (14–16). In this study, we aimed to assess noninvasively with $^{18}$F-FDG–PET/CT and DCE-CMR the effects of treatment with the LXR agonist R211945 on macrophage content/inflammation and neovascularization in atherosclerotic plaque in a rabbit model of atherosclerosis and to characterize its effects compared with treatment with atorvastatin.

**METHODS**

Animal model. Atherosclerosis was induced in 21 age- and weight-matched New Zealand White rabbits (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, Massachusetts) identically, as previously described (14). After 4 months on a high-fat diet, the animals were divided into 3 groups: a control group (n = 9) and 2 treatment groups (n = 6 in each). The animals in the control group were kept on a high-fat diet only, and the animals in the treatment groups were administered either R211945 (3 mg/kg body weight) or atorvastatin (3 mg/kg body weight) mixed with the high-fat diet for 3 months (Fig. 1).
Three baseline age-matched animals on chow diet served as nonatherosclerotic controls at baseline and underwent PET/CT and macrophage histological staining for correlation between standard uptake value (SUV) and macrophage density. Diets were prepared by Research Diet Inc. (New Brunswick, New Jersey). All imaging and surgical procedures were performed with the animals under anesthesia by intramuscular injection of ketamine (20 mg/kg, Fort Dodge Animal Health, Fort Dodge, Iowa) and xylazine (5 mg/kg, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Wayne, New Jersey). The protocol was approved by the Mount Sinai School of Medicine Institute Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Blood analysis and immunohistology.** See Online Appendix.

**18F-FDG–PET/CT.** PET images were acquired using a combined PET/CT scanner (Discovery LS, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin). After injection of 37 MBq (1 mCi/kg) of 18F-FDG, 3 h were allowed for the 18F-FDG to circulate to lower 18F-FDG blood activity. Subsequently, a non–contrast-enhanced CT scan was performed for attenuation correction and anatomic localization of the PET signal. PET images were acquired for 10 min in a 3-dimensional mode. The acquisition covered the area from the diaphragm to the iliac bifurcation. Image reconstruction was performed using a Fourier iterative algorithm, giving a final reconstructed slice thickness of 4.25 mm.

**PET/CT image analysis.** PET/CT data were analyzed by an experienced observer blinded to the histology and CMR results, using a GE Healthcare Xeleris workstation. PET/CT outcome variables were the maximum and average SUVs. SUVs were recorded on contiguous axial slices in the aorta, starting from the left renal artery and to the iliac bifurcation, by drawing circular regions of interest encompassing the whole visible vessel wall. An average of 15 slices per animal were analyzed. The average maximum and mean SUV (SUV_{max} and SUV_{mean}, respectively) per animal were used in the statistical analysis.

**Anatomic and DCE-CMR.** Rabbits were imaged using a 1.5-T clinical CMR system (Siemens Sonata, Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc., Malvern, Pennsylvania) using a conventional knee coil for signal reception. T1-, T2-, and proton density–weighted images were acquired from the left renal artery to the iliac bifurcation to characterize atherosclerotic plaques (17). DCE-CMR was acquired on 1 selected axial slice using a black blood turbo spin echo after injection of 0.2 mmol/kg of gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals).
This sequence was previously validated against histology and demonstrated excellent reproducibility (14,15,17).

**Anatomic multicontrast CMR and DCE-CMR analysis.**

The average vessel wall area was quantified by manual tracing of the vessel wall contours on contiguous T2-weighted slices of the aorta between the left renal artery and iliac bifurcation using VesselMass software (Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands).

DCE-CMR data were analyzed using custom Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts) software. The area under the signal intensity versus time curve of a region of interest encompassing the whole aortic wall visible in the slice acquired at 1 and 2 min after contrast agent injection was calculated (17). All CMR and DCE-CMR measurements were performed by independent operators blinded to the results of PET and immunohistology.

**Statistical analysis.** Continuous DCE-CMR and PET/CT outcomes were modeled as linear functions of time by using a linear mixed model; to adjust for multiple comparisons, we used the Bonferroni-Holm step-down procedure (14,18). All statistical analyses were performed using Proc Mixed in SAS Version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina). All histological data, animal characteristics data, and nonlinear regression imaging data were analyzed with a 2-tailed Student t test. Numerical values are expressed as mean ± SD. Values of p < 0.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**Animal characteristics and serum analysis.** All animals exhibited significantly elevated total cholesterol levels after 4 months on a high-fat diet (Table 1). The total cholesterol level of the control and R211945-treated groups did not change, whereas the atorvastatin group showed a reduction of 33% at 1 month and 34% at 3 months of treatment compared with baseline (Table 1). Weight and nonfasting triglyceride levels were not different between the groups (Table 1). Therapeutic plasma levels of both R211945 and atorvastatin were detected at 1 and 3 months by mass spectrometry (data not shown).

**R211945 regresses inflammation and atorvastatin arrests inflammation progression as assessed by 18F-FDG–PET/CT.** On completion of baseline 18F-FDG–PET/CT imaging, animals were divided into 3 matched groups (control, atorvastatin, and R211945) and subsequently imaged at 1 and 3 months (Fig. 2). The individual groups displayed similar baseline average SUVmax (0.64 ± 0.05, 0.63 ± 0.09, and 0.64 ± 0.09, respectively) and identical SUVmean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Animal Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control (n = 6)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atorvastatin (n = 6)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R211945 (n = 6)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight, kg</th>
<th>4 months</th>
<th>3.42 ± 0.33</th>
<th>3.51 ± 0.22</th>
<th>3.49 ± 0.21</th>
<th>0.59</th>
<th>0.63</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 months</td>
<td>3.48 ± 0.31</td>
<td>3.47 ± 0.33</td>
<td>3.48 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 months</td>
<td>3.50 ± 0.30</td>
<td>3.62 ± 0.34</td>
<td>3.60 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total cholesterol, mg/dl | 4 months | 1,099 ± 315 | 1,278 ± 196 | 1,228 ± 223 | 0.41 | 0.49 |
|                         | 5 months | 1,158 ± 282 | 850 ± 433 | 1,187 ± 169 | 0.11 | 0.85 |
|                         | 7 months | 1,124 ± 200 | 843 ± 270 | 1,119 ± 142 | 0.04* | 0.97 |

| Triglycerides, mg/dl  | 4 months | 184 ± 110 | 180 ± 198 | 163 ± 75 | 0.97 | 0.79 |
|                       | 5 months | 113 ± 95 | 163 ± 80 | 129 ± 102 | 0.41 | 0.82 |
|                       | 7 months | 172 ± 163 | 161 ± 88 | 158 ± 89 | 0.91 | 0.87 |

| Glucose, mg/dl | 4 months | 140 ± 27 | 121 ± 12 | 156 ± 41 | 0.23 | 0.49 |
|               | 5 months | 178 ± 40 | 169 ± 41 | 182 ± 52 | 0.68 | 0.87 |
|               | 7 months | 180 ± 47 | 201 ± 53 | 173 ± 27 | 0.48 | 0.81 |

| Insulin, mg/dl | 4 months | 0.58 ± 0.38 | 0.42 ± 0.18 | 0.47 ± 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.72 |
|               | 5 months | 0.28 ± 0.06 | 0.38 ± 0.13 | 0.29 ± 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.85 |
|               | 7 months | 0.21 ± 0.14 | 0.27 ± 0.04 | 0.23 ± 0.06 | 0.43 | 0.83 |

Values are mean ± SD. Fasting values for total cholesterol, triglycerides, glucose, and insulin and weight for the control, atorvastatin, and R211945 groups. Values of p for the 3 groups are shown in separate columns. *Indicates nonfasting.

A = atorvastatin; C = control; R = R211945.
(0.54 ± 0.05, 0.54 ± 0.09, and 0.54 ± 0.08, respectively) (Fig. 2). By using a linear mixed model and adjusting for multiple comparisons, we found that the SUVs of control animals showed significant differences compared with R211945-treated animals at 3 months after therapy initiation for both SUV_{\text{max}} (p = 0.0007) and SUV_{\text{mean}} (p = 0.0001). On treatment, the SUV versus time slope for the R211945-treated group was negative and statistically different from zero for both SUV_{\text{max}} (p = 0.001 and p = 0.37, respectively), indicating regression. In comparison, SUV_{\text{max}} and SUV_{\text{mean}} versus time slopes for the atorvastatin-treated group were not statistically different from zero (p = 0.60 and p = 0.37, respectively), indicating halting of progression. In contrast, the SUV versus time slope for the control group was positive: SUV_{\text{max}} (p = 0.04) and SUV_{\text{mean}} (p = 0.01), indicating progression. A significant difference in the slopes of R211945-treated group from those of the control group for both SUV_{\text{max}} (p = 0.0004) and SUV_{\text{mean}} (p < 0.0001) was detected. Figure 2 shows scatterplots and the SUVs versus time slopes. There was a significant interaction between time and group (p = 0.0016 for SUV_{\text{max}} and p = 0.0003 for SUV_{\text{mean}}).

R211945 does not change neovascularization, whereas atorvastatin shows a trend toward a decrease in neovascularization assessed by DCE-CMR. Figure 3 shows area under the curve (AUC) maps from 1 representative rabbit from each group and the mean AUC 1-min values over time. The AUC versus time slope for the R211945 group was negative but not statistically different from zero (AUC_1 \text{ min}, p = 0.14; AUC_2 \text{ min}, p = 0.10) (Fig. 3). The AUC versus time slope for the atorvastatin-treated group was negative and trended toward a significant difference from zero (AUC_1 \text{ min}, p = 0.06; AUC_2 \text{ min}, p = 0.06). The AUC versus time slope for the control group was not statistically different from zero for AUC measures (AUC_1 \text{ min}, p = 0.40; AUC_2 \text{ min}, p = 0.20). There was no significant interaction between time and group (p = 0.6350 for AUC_1 \text{ min}, p = 0.8011 for AUC_2 \text{ min}).

R211945 and atorvastatin have no effect on vessel wall area as assessed by multicontrast CMR. Multicontrast CMR revealed no significant changes in aortic wall area in either group (Fig. 4).
R211945 and atorvastatin decrease macrophages, apolipoprotein B, and oxidized phospholipids in plaque. Aortic tissue analysis of the individual groups (Figs. 5 and 6) showed a significantly lower macrophage density in the R211945 and atorvastatin groups compared with the control group (0.22 ± 0.009, 0.217 ± 0.02, and 0.32 ± 0.009 [arbitrary units (a.u.)], respectively; p < 0.0001 and p = 0.0004, respectively). A significantly lower apolipoprotein B density was detected in the R211945 and atorvastatin groups compared with the control group (0.25 ± 0.05, 0.26 ± 0.07, and 0.33 ± 0.03 [a.u.], respectively; p = 0.01 and p = 0.04, respectively). R211945 treatment resulted in a 42% reduction in oxidized phospholipid density compared with the control group (0.076 ± 0.026 and 0.146 ± 0.045 [a.u.], respectively; p = 0.02), whereas atorvastatin treatment led to a reduction of 23% in oxidized phospholipid density (0.106 ± 0.026, p = 0.08 vs. control). Average smooth muscle actin density was very similar between the R211945, atorvastatin, and control groups (0.24 ± 0.075, 0.26 ± 0.078, and 0.27 ± 0.078 [a.u.], respectively; p = 0.36 and p = 0.23, respectively vs. control). A trend toward lower neovessel content was observed in the R211945- and atorvastatin-treated groups (p = 0.12 and p = 0.12, respectively, vs. control).

**DISCUSSION**

We demonstrate that the LXR agonist R211945 significantly regresses inflammation in plaques of atherosclerotic rabbits as quantified by 18F-FDG–PET/CT. Furthermore, with DCE-CMR, we observed a trend toward a decrease in neovascularization with atorvastatin treatment. The imaging results were confirmed by histological analysis and correlation assessment between imaging parameters and tissue macrophage density and neovessel content, respectively (Online Fig. 1).

The potential to noninvasively monitor plaque inflammation and its changes after therapeutic intervention by using 18F-FDG–PET/CT and DCE-CMR has been shown in multiple studies (19–21). We recently demonstrated in the same animal...
model used here the capability of both imaging modalities (18F-FDG–PET/CT and DCE-CMR) to assess the reduction in inflammation and neo-vascularization after pharmacological intervention (14,16). Atorvastatin treatment led in the current study to an arrest in the increase in 18F-FDG uptake, whereas R211945 induced a significant decrease in 18F-FDG uptake over time.

Histological analysis revealed a similar macrophage density in both the R211945 and atorvastatin groups, whereas only the R211945 group showed a significant decrease in SUVs, which was surprising because one would expect a lower macrophage content in the R211945 group given the observed decrease in SUVs. One potential interpretation is a difference in the inflammatory state of the

---

**Figure 4. MC-CMR**

Single-slice axial T2-weighted representative CMR with insert of the aorta with T2-, T1-, and proton density weighing (A to I). (A, D, G) All groups at baseline (control, baseline atorvastatin [Atorva], and baseline R211945, respectively). (B, E, H) All groups at 1 month. (C, F, I) All groups at 3 months. Bar graph summary (right). Values are mean ± SD. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.

---

**Figure 5. Immunohistology**

Representative immunohistological images of individual groups of the abdominal aorta at 3 months. Staining (red-brown, red arrowheads) for macrophages (A, E, I), apolipoprotein B (ApoB) (B, F, J), oxidized phospholipids (OxPL) (C, G, K), and smooth muscle actin (SMA) (D, H, L) are shown. Objective magnification: ×10. The lumen is on the right-hand side from the tissue.
residual vessel wall macrophages because $^{18}$F-FDG uptake by macrophages is closely linked to their state of activation (22). Interestingly, we observed a greater magnitude of oxidized phospholipid reduction in the R211945 group compared with the atorvastatin group. Lipid oxidation in plaque occurs partially through direct action of activated macrophages (23).

Furthermore, effects on smooth muscle cells, endothelial cells, T cells, and the modulation of hypoxia may have been induced by R211945, leading to a more pronounced decrease in SUVs compared with atorvastatin (24).

Noninvasive DCE-CMR demonstrated a trend in reduction of neovascularization in the atorvastatin group. Our results are consistent with human and animal studies in which atorvastatin treatment results in neovessel regression via suppression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (25,26). This result is, furthermore, consistent with that our previous study in which a reduction in neovascularization after treatment with the peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor gamma agonist pioglitazone was observed (14). Peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor gamma agonists, similar to statins, decrease neovascularization (27). In contrast, the R211945–treated group did not show a significant reduction in neovascularulation. The short-term application of an LXR agonist, in contrast to atorvastatin and pioglitazone, has been shown to induce VEGF (28). The pathophysiological role of LXR–induced VEGF is unclear at the moment. VEGF, however, is not only important in angiogenesis but is critical for the resolution of injury (29). In addition, we did not assess VEGF or target tissue VEGF-receptor expression directly in our study and, therefore, cannot conclusively determine the effects of the LXR agonist on VEGF.

Both atorvastatin and R211945 treatments did not reach statistical significance for both the imaging and histological parameters, which can be explained by the magnitude of the observed drug effect and the resulting power from the current sample size.

A potent induction of ABCA1 (Online Fig. 2) was observed in the R211945 treatment group. The previously described triglyceride increase by LXR agonists was absent in our study. Preliminary data demonstrate that R211945 preferentially acts on vasculature versus liver and furthermore shows selective nuclear cofactor recruitment, thereby limiting transactivation of lipogenic genes and, as a result, avoiding hepatic and metabolic side effects.

From an imaging standpoint, we show the capabilities of $^{18}$F-FDG–PET/CT and DCE-CMR to track noninvasively therapeutic intervention in atherosclerotic disease. This study supports the complementary
role in future clinical applications of the 2 imaging techniques given the established correlation between $^{18}$F-FDG–PET/CT and DCE-CMR with macrophages/inflammation and neovascularure, respectively.

**Study limitations.** Our animal model is an aortic balloon injury model in combination with high cholesterol diet. This model is characterized by significant vascular inflammation and macrophage content, which does not mimic chronic stages of atherosclerosis in humans. Our response to R211945 treatment therefore may not be transferrable to all stages of atherosclerotic disease in humans. The standard uptake values assessed with $^{18}$F-FDG–PET/CT may be dependent not only in the inflammatory state but also on hypoxia within the vessel wall, which was not assessed in our study. We performed DCE-CMR on only 1 selected axial slice and, therefore, the presented results rely on the matching of chosen slices between the imaging sessions. Our experimental setup where animals were under anesthesia allowed for optimal slice matching and this may not always be achievable in longitudinal clinical studies.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we demonstrate the induction of regression of atherosclerosis in a rabbit model of atherosclerosis by an LXR agonist without causing lipogenic side effects. $^{18}$F-FDG–PET/CT and DCE-CMR were used in this study as markers of vascular macrophage content/inflammation and neovascularization. Both imaging techniques are noninvasive and clinically available, and this study has, therefore, direct implications for the future assessment of interventions in atherosclerotic disease.
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