

JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS 42, 323-329 (1973)

Basic Properties of Segal Algebras

J. T. BURNHAM AND RICHARD R. GOLDBERG*

Department of Mathematics, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52240

Submitted by William F. Ames

I.

Recently, considerable interest has been shown in Segal algebras and their generalizations.

DEFINITION [5, p. 127]. Let G denote a locally compact abelian group. A Segal algebra $S = S(G)$ is a proper subalgebra of $L^1 = L^1(G)$ satisfying the following conditions:

S1. S is dense in L^1 .

S2. S is a Banach algebra with respect to a norm $\| \cdot \|_S$.

It is also assumed that S is translation invariant and, in addition,

(a) $\|L_a f\|_S = \|f\|_S$ ($f \in S$).

(b) The map $a \rightarrow f_a$ is continuous from G to $(S, \| \cdot \|_S)$. (Here $f_a(x) = f(x - a)$ and $L_a f = f_a \cdot$)

On the basis of these assumptions the following properties of S may be deduced [5, p. 128]:

S3. There exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^1} \leq M \|f\|_S \quad (f \in S).$$

S4. The algebra S is an ideal in L^1 and

$$\|f * g\|_S \leq \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_S \quad (f \in L^1, g \in S).$$

A reason for the interest in Segal algebras is the fact that there are so many interesting special cases ([5, p. 12], [7]).

For example, if T denotes the circle group, then for $1 < p < \infty$ all of

* Research partially supported by N.S.F. grant G-127.

the spaces $L^p(T)$ are Segal algebras. (The space $L^\infty(T)$ is not a Segal algebra since $a \rightarrow f_a$ is not continuous.)

On the real line R , the space $L^1 \cap L^p$ with norm $\| \cdot \|_{L^1} + \| \cdot \|_{L^p}$ is a Segal algebra. So is the space A_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) consisting of all $f \in L^1(R)$ such that f^\wedge (the Fourier transform of f) belongs to $L^p(R)$. The norm for A_p is $\|f\|_{L^1} + \|f^\wedge\|_{L^p}$. Both of these examples apply to more general groups.

An example of a Segal algebra on R which does not have an analogue for more general groups is the algebra L_A consisting of all $f \in L^1$ which are locally absolutely continuous and have Df , the derivative of f , belonging to L^1 . The norm for $f \in L_A$ is $\|f\|_{L^1} + \|Df\|_{L^1}$.

II.

A fascinating feature of Segal algebras is that all of them inherit some important properties from $L^1(G)$ and yet all of them fail to inherit others. For example, the (closed) ideal structure of any Segal algebra $S \subset L^1$ is precisely that of L^1 itself. Every closed ideal I in S is the intersection with S of a unique closed ideal J in L^1 . (Indeed, J is the L^1 closure of I .) Conversely, if J is a closed ideal in L^1 then $I = J \cap S$ is a closed ideal in S . The maximal ideal spaces of S and L^1 are (algebraically and topologically) the same, and the Wiener-Ditkin condition (the crucial property necessary for the Wiener Tauberian theorem and more general Spectral Synthesis theorems to hold for L^1) is also true for S .

On the other hand, L^1 has a (norm) bounded approximate identity. Consequently, according to the theorem of Cohen [3], L^1 has the factorization property: Every $f \in L^1$ can be expressed as $f = g * h$ for some $g, h \in L^1$. However, as shown by H. C. Wang [7], all of the Segal algebras mentioned in I and a great many more fail to have this factorization property. (Although, as this implies, these Segal algebras have no norm bounded approximate identity, they do possess (unbounded) approximate identities [7].)

Thus, all Segal algebras have the same ideal theory as L^1 , but, as far as is known, all are different from L^1 in the matter of factorization. As to the question of *multipliers* it is known that the multipliers of the Segal algebras $L^p(T)$ are *different* from those of $L^1(T)$. As opposed to this it seems that on the real line most of the standard Segal algebras $S(R)$ have the *same* multipliers as $L^1(R)$. This has been established for a good many cases including $L^1 \cap L^p$ and A_p .

III.

One generalization of Segal algebra is the concept of *normed ideal* due to Cigler:

DEFINITION [2]. An ideal $N \subset L^1(G)$ is called a normed ideal if

N1. N is dense in $L^1(G)$.

N2. N is a Banach space with respect to a norm $\| \cdot \|_N$, and

$$\|f\|_{L^1} \leq M \|f\|_N \quad (f \in N). \tag{*}$$

N3. $\|f * g\|_N \leq \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_N \quad (f \in L^1, g \in N)$.

Cigler makes the important observation that these axioms make sense for a nonabelian group. In fact the definition of *Segal algebra* makes sense for a nonabelian group. We will require that (a) and (b) hold for both right and left translations and assume S3 in addition. He also raises the interesting QUESTION: Can the inequality (*) be proved, instead of assumed, if instead one assumes in N2 that N is a Banach algebra?

IV.

In [1] Burnham introduced the notion of A(bstract) Segal algebra.

DEFINITION. Let $(A, \| \cdot \|_A)$ be a Banach algebra. The proper subalgebra B of A is called an A -Segal algebra if

A1. B is a dense left ideal of A .

A2. B is a Banach algebra with respect to a norm $\| \cdot \|_B$.

A3. There exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\|f\|_A \leq M \|f\|_B \quad (f \in B).$$

A4. There exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|fg\|_B \leq C \|f\|_A \|g\|_B \quad (f \in A, g \in B).$$

Here there is no group involved in the definition and hence, of course, no translation invariance. Moreover A, B are not assumed to be commutative. This definition generalizes that of normed ideal and (classical) Segal algebra. (Just take $A = L^1(G)$ for a (not necessarily abelian) group G .) In [1] Burnham showed that the ideal structure of B is precisely that of A , thus generalizing the similar result for Segal algebras mentioned in II.

An interesting example of an A -Segal algebra which is not a (classical) Segal algebra is given as follows:

Let A be the algebra of all completely continuous operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the usual operator norm and let B be the algebra of all operators of Hilbert-Schmidt type. That is, $T \in B$ if there exists $K = K(x, y) \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ such that $K(x, y) = \overline{K(y, x)}$ and

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x, y) f(y) dy \quad \text{a.e.}$$

For $T \in B$ let $\|T\|_B^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K(x, y)|^2 dx dy$. It may be verified that B is an A -Segal algebra, [6, p. 34].

The purpose of this paper is to give, in the context of the general definition of A -Segal algebra,

- (a) An affirmative answer to the question of Cigler mentioned in III, and
- (b) Conditions under which one of A3, A4, implies the other.

We now begin the exposition.

V.

LEMMA A. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a normed algebra with a right approximate identity $\langle e_\alpha \rangle$. If $x \in X$ is such that $x \cdot X = \{0\}$, then $x = 0$.*

Proof. By assumption $xe_\alpha = 0$ for all α . But $x = \lim_\alpha xe_\alpha$ since $\langle e_\alpha \rangle$ is an approximate identity. Hence $x = 0$.

The lemma is, of course, trivial. However, as a side issue, it is interesting to compare it to the following theorem.

THEOREM B. *There exists a sequence $\{g_n\}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n * f\|_{L^1} = 0, \quad \text{for all } f \in L^1, \quad (1)$$

but

$$\|g_n\|_{L^1} = 1, \quad \text{for all } n. \quad (2)$$

(Thus, a sequence not converging to 0 can annihilate all of L^1 even though, by the lemma, a single nonzero element of L^1 cannot annihilate L^1 .)

Proof. Simply let $\delta(t) = \Pi^{-1}t^{-2}(1 - \cos t)$. That is, δ is the familiar Fejér kernel. Define $g_n(x) = e^{inx}\delta(x)$. If $f \in L^1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} g_n * f(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in(x-t)} \delta(x-t) f(t) dt \\ &= e^{inx} \int e^{-int} \delta(x-t) f(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Since δ is bounded, for each fixed x the function $\delta(x - t)f(t)$ is in L^1 . Moreover, by the Riemann–Lebesgue theorem, for fixed x

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-int} \delta(x - t) f(t) dt = 0.$$

This implies, by (3),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |g_n * f(x)| = 0 \quad (-\infty < x < \infty). \tag{4}$$

But

$$|g_n * f(x)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - t) |f(t)| dt, \quad \text{a.e. } (-\infty < x < \infty)$$

and the function on the right is integrable over $(-\infty, \infty)$ and is independent of n . This, (4), and application of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g_n * f(x)| dx = 0,$$

which proves (1). But

$$\|g_n\|_{L^1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{inx} \delta(x)| dx = \|\delta\|_{L^1} = 1,$$

which proves (2). This completes the proof.

We now return to our main project.

LEMMA C. *Let B be a dense left ideal in the normed algebra $(A, \|\cdot\|_A)$. If A contains a right approximate identity, and if $b \cdot B = \{0\}$ for some $b \in A$, then $b = 0$.*

Proof. Obvious from Lemma A.

The following theorem will yield our answer to the question of Cigler mentioned in III.

THEOREM D. *Let $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ be a Banach algebra which is a dense left ideal in the Banach algebra $(A, \|\cdot\|_A)$. Suppose A contains a right approximate identity. Then if A4 (of IV) holds, so does A3.*

Proof. We wish to prove that the identity map from $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ to $(A, \|\cdot\|_A)$ is continuous. By the closed graph theorem it is sufficient to show that if $b_n, b \in B, B' \in A$

$$\|b_n - b\|_B \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

and

$$\|b_n - b'\|_A \rightarrow 0, \tag{2}$$

then

$$b' = b. \tag{3}$$

Fix $f \in B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(b - b')f\|_B &\leq \|(b - b_n)f\|_B + \|(b_n - b')f\|_B \\ &\leq \|b - b_n\|_B \|f\|_B + C \|b_n - b'\|_A \|f\|_B, \end{aligned}$$

where A4 was used in the last step. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (1), (2), we obtain

$$\|(b - b')f\|_B = 0,$$

for all $f \in B$. Lemma C thus implies (3) which is what we wished to show.

Remark E. Since $L^1(G)$ always contains a right approximate identity, Theorem D has the affirmative answer to Cigler's question as a corollary.

We conclude with a result which gives conditions when A3 implies A4.

THEOREM F. *Let $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ be a Banach algebra which is a left ideal in the Banach algebra $(A, \|\cdot\|_A)$. Then if A3 holds, so does A4.*

Proof. For fixed $g \in B$ define the operator $T_g: A \rightarrow B$ by $T_g f = fg$. We shall use the closed graph theorem to show that T_g is continuous. Suppose $\langle f_n \rangle \in A$ and $\langle T_g f_n \rangle, h \in B$ satisfy

$$\|f_n\|_A \rightarrow 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\|T_g f_n - h\|_B \rightarrow 0. \tag{2}$$

We must show $h = 0$. We have $\|T_g f_n - h\|_B = \|f_n g - h\|_B \rightarrow 0$ by (2). Hence, by A3, $\|f_n g - h\|_A \rightarrow 0$. But (1) implies $\|f_n g\|_A \rightarrow 0$. Thus $h = 0$, so T_g is continuous. Hence there exists $C_g > 0$ such that

$$\|gf\|_B = \|T_g f\|_B \leq C_g \|f\|_A \quad (f \in A, g \in B). \tag{3}$$

For each $f \in A$ with $\|f\|_A = 1$ let $S_f g = gf$ ($g \in B$). Let \mathcal{S} denote the family of all such S_f . By (3), if $S_f \in \mathcal{S}$ then

$$\|S_f g\|_B \leq C_g \quad (g \in B).$$

The uniform boundedness principle thus implies the existence of $M > 0$ such that

$$\|S_f g\|_B \leq M \|g\|_B \quad (S_f \in \mathcal{S}, g \in B).$$

That is, if $\|f\|_A = 1$, then

$$\|fg\|_B \leq M \|g\|_B \quad (g \in B). \quad (4)$$

For arbitrary $f \in A$, $f \neq 0$ substitute $f/\|f\|_A$ for f in (4). We obtain

$$\|fg\|_B \leq M \|f\|_A \|g\|_B \quad (f \in A, g \in B).$$

But this is A4, so the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. J. T. BURNHAM, Closed ideals in subalgebras of Banach algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **32** (1972), 551–555.
2. J. CIGLER, Normed ideals in $L^1(G)$, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* **31** (1969), 272–282.
3. P. J. COHEN, Factorization in group algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **26** (1959), 199–205.
4. H. REITER, “ L^1 -Algebras and Segal Algebras,” *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, p. 231, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1971.
5. H. REITER, “Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups,” *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*, Oxford Univ. Press (Clarendon), London, 1968.
6. R. SCHATTEEN, “Norm Ideals of Completely Continuous Operators,” Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Göttingen/Heidelberg, 1960.
7. H.-C. WANG, Non-factorization in group algebras, *Studia Math.* **42** (1972), 231–241.