



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt

A variant of Tao's method with application to restricted sumsets [☆]

Song Guo ^{a,*}, Zhi-Wei Sun ^b^a Department of Mathematics, Huaiyin Teachers College, Huaian 223300, People's Republic of China^b Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 December 2007

Revised 29 May 2008

Available online 19 August 2008

Communicated by David Goss

MSC:

primary 11B75

secondary 05A05, 11P99, 11T99

Keywords:

Restricted sumsets

Uncertainty principle

Erdős–Heilbronn conjecture

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we develop Terence Tao's harmonic analysis method and apply it to restricted sumsets. The well-known Cauchy–Davenport theorem asserts that if $\emptyset \neq A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ with p a prime, then $|A + B| \geq \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 1\}$, where $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$. In 2005, Terence Tao gave a harmonic analysis proof of the Cauchy–Davenport theorem, by applying a new form of the uncertainty principle on Fourier transform. We modify Tao's method so that it can be used to prove the following extension of the Erdős–Heilbronn conjecture: If A, B, S are non-empty subsets of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ with p a prime, then $|\{a + b : a \in A, b \in B, a - b \notin S\}| \geq \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 2|S| - 1\}$.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let p be a prime, and let A and B be two subsets of the finite field

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \{\bar{r} = r + p\mathbb{Z} : r \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Set

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\} \tag{1}$$

[☆] The first author is supported by Program for Excellent Talents in Huaiyin Teachers College. The second author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of People's Republic of China.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: guosong77@hytc.edu.cn (S. Guo), zwsun@nju.edu.cn (Z.-W. Sun).

URL: <http://math.nju.edu.cn/?zwsun> (Z.-W. Sun).

and

$$A \dot{+} B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B, a \neq b\}. \tag{2}$$

The well-known Cauchy–Davenport theorem (cf. [3] and [9, p. 44]) asserts that

$$|A + B| \geq \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 1\}. \tag{3}$$

In 1964 P. Erdős and H. Heilbronn [5] conjectured that

$$|A \dot{+} A| \geq \min\{p, 2|A| - 3\}; \tag{4}$$

this was confirmed by J.A. Dias da Silva and Y.O. Hamidoune [4] in 1994. In 1995–1996 N. Alon, M.B. Nathanson and I.Z. Ruzsa [2] proposed the so-called polynomial method to handle similar problems. By the powerful polynomial method (cf. [1,2]), many interesting results on restricted sumsets have been obtained (see, e.g., [7,8,10–12]).

In 2005, Terence Tao [13] developed a harmonic analysis method in this area, applying a new form of the uncertainty principle on Fourier transform. Let p be a prime. For a complex-valued function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define its support $\text{supp}(f)$ and its Fourier transform $\hat{f} : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : f(x) \neq 0\} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p} f(a)e_p(ax) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \tag{6}$$

where $e_p(\bar{r}) = e^{-2\pi ir/p}$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Here is the main result of the paper [13].

Theorem 1. (See T. Tao [13].) *Let p be an odd prime. If $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is not identically zero, then*

$$|\text{supp}(f)| + |\text{supp}(\hat{f})| \geq p + 1. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, given two non-empty subsets A and B of \mathbb{Z}_p with $|A| + |B| \geq p + 1$, we can find a function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{supp}(f) = A$ and $\text{supp}(\hat{f}) = B$.

Using this theorem Tao [13] gave a new proof of Cauchy–Davenport theorem. Note that the inequality (7) was also discovered independently by András Biró (cf. [6,13]). In this article we adapt the method further and use the refined method to deduce the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let A and B be non-empty subsets of \mathbb{Z}_p with p a prime, and let*

$$C = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B, a - b \notin S\} \tag{8}$$

with $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then we have

$$|C| \geq \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 2|S| - 1\}. \tag{9}$$

Theorem 2 in the case $S = \emptyset$ reduces to the Cauchy–Davenport theorem. When $A = B$ and $S = \{0\}$, Theorem 2 yields the Erdős–Heilbronn conjecture. In the case $p \neq 2$ and $\emptyset \neq S \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$, Pan and Sun [10, Corollary 2] obtained the stronger inequality

$$|C| \geq \min\{p, |A| + |B| - |S| - 2\} \tag{10}$$

via the polynomial method. The second author (cf. [7]) ever conjectured that 2 in (10) can be replaced by 1 if $|S|$ is even. We conjecture that when $A \neq B$ we can also substitute 1 for 2 in (10).

2. Proof of Theorem 2

Without loss of generality, we let $|A| \leq |B|$. When $|A| + |B| \leq 2|S| + 1$ or $|A| = 1$, (9) holds trivially. Below we suppose that $|A| + |B| > 2|S| + 1$ and $|A| \geq 2$.

In the case $p = 2$, we have $A = B = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $C = (A + B) \setminus S = \mathbb{Z}_2 \setminus S$, thus

$$|C| = 2 - |S| \geq \min\{2, |A| + |B| - 2|S| - 1\} = \min\{2, 3 - 2|S|\}.$$

Below we assume that p is an odd prime. Set $k = p - |A| + 1 \in [1, p - 1]$ and $l = p - |B| + 1 \in [1, p - 1]$. Then $k + l \leq 2p - 2|S|$ and $l \leq p - |S|$ since $2|B| \geq |A| + |B| \geq 2|S| + 2$. Define

$$\hat{A} = \{\bar{0}, \dots, \overline{k-1}\} = \{\bar{0}, \dots, \overline{p-|A|}\} \tag{11}$$

and

$$\hat{B} = \{\overline{p-|S|-l+1}, \dots, \overline{p-|S|}\} = \{\overline{|B|-|S|}, \dots, \overline{p-|S|}\}. \tag{12}$$

Clearly, $|\hat{A}| = p + 1 - |A|$ and $|\hat{B}| = p + 1 - |B|$. By Theorem 1 there are functions $f, g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\text{supp}(f) = A, \quad \text{supp}(\hat{f}) = \hat{A}, \quad \text{supp}(g) = B, \quad \text{supp}(\hat{g}) = \hat{B}. \tag{13}$$

Now we define a function $F : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$F(x) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p} f(a)g(x-a) \prod_{d \in S} (e_p(x-a) - e_p(a-d)). \tag{14}$$

For each $x \in \text{supp}(F)$, there exists $a \in \text{supp}(f)$ with $x - a \in \text{supp}(g)$ and $d := a - (x - a) \notin S$, hence $x = a + (x - a) \in C$. Therefore

$$\text{supp}(F) \subseteq C. \tag{15}$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\hat{F}(x) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_p} F(b)e_p(bx) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_p} f(a)g(b-a)e_p(bx)P(a,b),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(a, b) &= \prod_{d \in S} (e_p(b - a) - e_p(a - d)) \\
 &= \sum_{T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|T|} e_p((|S| - |T|)(b - a)) e_p\left(|T|a - \sum_{d \in T} d\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{F}(x) &= \sum_{T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|T|} e_p\left(-\sum_{d \in T} d\right) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p} f(a) e_p(ax + |T|a) \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_p} g(b - a) e_p((b - a)x + (|S| - |T|)(b - a)) \\
 &= \sum_{T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|T|} e_p\left(-\sum_{d \in T} d\right) \hat{f}(x + |\overline{T}|) \hat{g}(x + |\overline{S| - |T|}).
 \end{aligned}$$

For $T \subseteq S$, if $\overline{p - |S|} + \overline{|S| - |T|} \in \text{supp}(\hat{g}) = \hat{B}$, then we must have $|T| = |S|$ (i.e., $T = S$) by the definition of \hat{B} . It follows that

$$\hat{F}(\overline{p - |S|}) = (-1)^{|S|} e_p\left(-\sum_{d \in S} d\right) \hat{f}(\bar{0}) \hat{g}(\overline{p - |S|}) \neq 0$$

since $\bar{0} \in \hat{A} = \text{supp}(\hat{f})$ and $\overline{p - |S|} \in \hat{B} = \text{supp}(\hat{g})$. With the helps of (15) and Theorem 1, we get

$$|C| \geq |\text{supp}(F)| \geq p + 1 - |\text{supp}(\hat{F})|.$$

Suppose that $x \in \text{supp}(\hat{F})$. By the above, there is a subset T of S such that $x + \overline{|T|} \in \text{supp}(\hat{f}) = \hat{A}$ and $x + \overline{|S| - |T|} \in \text{supp}(\hat{g}) = \hat{B}$. As $0 \leq |T| \leq |S|$,

$$x + \overline{|T|} \in \hat{A} \Rightarrow x \in \{\overline{p - |S|}, \dots, \overline{p - 1}, \bar{0}, \dots, \overline{k - 1}\}$$

and

$$x + \overline{|S| - |T|} \in \hat{B} \Rightarrow x \in \{\overline{|B| - 2|S|}, \dots, \overline{p - |S|}\}.$$

Therefore $x = \overline{p - |S|}$, or $x = \bar{r}$ for some $r \in [|B| - 2|S|, k - 1]$.

If $|A| + |B| \geq p + 2|S| + 1$, then $k - 1 = p - |A| < |B| - 2|S|$, hence $\text{supp}(\hat{F}) = \{\overline{p - |S|}\}$ and thus $|C| \geq p$. If $|A| + |B| < p + 2|S| + 1$, then

$$|\text{supp}(\hat{F})| \leq 1 + k - (|B| - 2|S|) = k + l - p + 2|S|$$

and hence

$$|C| \geq p + 1 - k - l + p - 2|S| = |A| + |B| - 2|S| - 1.$$

So (9) always holds. We are done.

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the referee for his/her helpful comments.

References

- [1] N. Alon, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 8 (1999) 7–29.
- [2] N. Alon, M.B. Nathanson, I.Z. Ruzsa, The polynomial method and restricted sums of congruence classes, *J. Number Theory* 56 (1996) 404–417.
- [3] H. Davenport, On the addition of residue classes, *J. London Math. Soc.* 10 (1935) 30–32.
- [4] J.A. Dias da Silva, Y.O. Hamidoune, Cyclic spaces for Grassmann derivatives and additive theory, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 26 (1994) 140–146.
- [5] P. Erdős, H. Heilbronn, On the addition of residue classes modulo p , *Acta Arith.* 9 (1964) 149–159.
- [6] P.E. Frenkel, Simple proof of Chebotarëv’s theorem on roots of unity, preprint, arXiv: math.AC/0312398 (version 3), 2004.
- [7] Q.H. Hou, Z.W. Sun, Restricted sums in a field, *Acta Arith.* 102 (2002) 239–249.
- [8] J.X. Liu, Z.W. Sun, Sums of subsets with polynomial restrictions, *J. Number Theory* 97 (2002) 301–304.
- [9] M.B. Nathanson, *Additive Number Theory: Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 165, Springer, New York, 1996.
- [10] H. Pan, Z.W. Sun, A lower bound for $|\{a + b : a \in A, b \in B, P(a, b) \neq 0\}|$, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 100 (2002) 387–393.
- [11] H. Pan, Z.W. Sun, Restricted sumsets and a conjecture of Lev, *Israel J. Math.* 154 (2006) 21–28.
- [12] Z.W. Sun, On Snevily’s conjecture and restricted sumsets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 103 (2003) 291–304.
- [13] Terence Tao, An uncertainty principle for cyclic groups of prime order, *Math. Res. Lett.* 12 (2005) 121–127.