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#### Abstract

A knot in $S^{3}$ is said to have crosscap number two if it bounds a once-punctured Klein bottle but not a Moebius band. In this paper we give a method of constructing crosscap number two hyperbolic (1,2)-knots with tunnel number one which are neither 2-bridge nor (1,1)-knots. An explicit infinite family of such knots is discussed in detail.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $K$ be a knot in the 3-sphere $S^{3}$ with exterior $X_{K}=S^{3} \backslash$ int $N(K)$ (where $N(\cdot)$ denotes regular neighborhood). For $r$ a slope in $\partial X_{K}$, we let $K(r)=X_{K} \cup_{\partial} S^{1} \times D^{2}$ denote the manifold obtained by performing surgery on $K$ along the slope $r$, so that $r$ bounds a disk in $S^{1} \times D^{2}$. A Seifert Klein bottle for $K$ is a once-punctured Klein bottle $P$ properly embedded in $X_{K}$ which has integral boundary slope; such a surface $P$ is unknotted if $\operatorname{cl}\left(X_{K} \backslash N(P)\right.$ ) is a genus two handlebody. We say that $K$ has crosscap number two if $K$ has a Seifert Klein bottle and its exterior contains no properly embedded Moebius band. The knot $K$ has tunnel number one if there is a properly embedded arc $\tau$ in $X_{K}$ such that $\operatorname{cl}\left(X_{K} \backslash N(\tau)\right)$ is a (genus two) handlebody. We also say that the knot $K$ admits $a(g, n)$ decomposition, or that $K$ is a $(g, n)$-knot, if there is a Heegaard splitting surface $S$ in $S^{3}$ of genus $g$ which intersects $K$ transversely and bounds handlebodies $H, H^{\prime}$, such that both $K \cap H \subset H$ and $K \cap H^{\prime} \subset H^{\prime}$ are trivial $n$-string arc systems. Finally, we will use the notation $S^{2}(a, b, c)$ to represent any small Seifert fibered space over a 2 -sphere with three singular fibers of indices $a, b, c$.

Any (1,1)-knot has tunnel number one; the converse, however, does not hold in general. It is therefore remarkable that for genus one hyperbolic knots the properties of having tunnel number one, admitting a $(1,1)$ decomposition, or being 2-bridge are all mutually equivalent; this is the content of the Goda-Teragaito conjecture, which is the main result of [13]. Since a genus one knot bounds a once punctured torus, which is the orientable homotopy equivalent of a once punctured Klein bottle, one might expect crosscap number two hyperbolic knots to exhibit similar behavior, i.e. with having tunnel number one, admitting a $(1,1)$ decomposition, and being 2-bridge are all equivalent conditions. That this is not the case follows from [11, Theorem 1.1], which shows that crosscap number two hyperbolic (1,1)-knots are in general not 2-bridge.
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Fig. 1. The knot $K(0,0) \subset \partial H$.


Fig. 2. A (1, 2) decomposition of the knot $K(0,1)$.
One may still ask if, for crosscap number two hyperbolic knots, having tunnel number one is equivalent to admit a $(1,1)$ decomposition. In this paper we show this is not the case by constructing explicit examples of crosscap number two hyperbolic knots that have tunnel number one but do not admit $(1,1)$ decompositions; moreover, all such knots admit a $(1,2)$ decomposition. The construction of such examples is based on the classification of the nontrivial crosscap number two (1,1)-knots in $S^{3}$ given in [11]. Explicit examples of general tunnel number one knots without ( 1,1 ) decompositions were first given by Morimoto, Sakuma and Yokota [10], and more recently by Eudave-Muñoz [4] (see also [6]).

Our family of examples is constructed starting from the trivial knot $K(0,0)$ shown in Fig. 1, which lies in the boundary $\partial H$ of an unknotted genus two handlebody $H$ standardly embedded in $S^{3}$. We obtain a two-parameter infinite family of knots $K(p, q) \subset \partial H$, for any integers $p, q$, by Dehn-twisting $K(0,0) p$-times along $\partial D_{0}$ and $q$-times along $\partial D_{1}$, where $D_{0}, D_{1}$ is the complete meridian system for $H$ shown in the figure; the Dehn-twists are carried out by cutting $\partial H$ along $\partial D_{i}$, obtaining a 4 -punctured 2 -sphere $F_{0}$, and then twisting only the two 'bottom' boundary circles of $F_{0}$ indicated by the arrows of the figure the required number of times (in the direction of the arrows for $p, q>0$, see e.g. the knot $K(-1,1)$ in Fig. 11).

It is not hard to see that each knot $K(p, q)$ is a (1,2)-knot. Fig. 2 shows a pair of parallel unknotted tori $S_{0}, S_{1}$ in $S^{3}$, with the region between $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ a product of the form $S_{0} \times I$; in the figure, the knot $K(0,1)$ is represented as the union of 4 pairs of arcs: one pair on each $S_{0}, S_{1}$ and two pairs of arcs in $S_{0} \times I$, with each arc in the latter pairs intersecting each level torus $S_{0} \times\{t\}, t \in I$, transversely in one point. It is well known (and easy to prove) that under such conditions the given representation is in fact a $(1,2)$ decomposition of $K(0,1)$ relative to either $S_{0}$ or $S_{1}$. A (1,2) decomposition for the knot $K(p, q)$ can be obtained by Dehn twisting the knot $K(0,1) p$-times along the disk $D_{0}$ in Fig. 2 (following our previous convention) and varying the number $q$ of full twists on the top pair of strands that run between $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ (with $q>0$ corresponding to $q$ positive full twists on the strands).

On the other hand, it is not easy to see that most of the knots $K(p, q)$ are not $(1,1)$-knots. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. The (1, 2)-knot $K(p, q)$ is trivial iff $(p, q)=(0,0),(0,1)$, and a torus knot iff $p=0$ and $q \neq-1,0($ with $K(0, q)=$ $T(2,2 q-1))$ or $(p, q)=(-1,1)($ with $K(-1,1)=T(5,8))$; in all other cases,
(a) $K(p, q)$ is a hyperbolic tunnel number one knot which is not 2-bridge;
(b) $K(p, q)$ bounds an unknotted Seifert Klein bottle $P(p, q)$ of boundary slope $r=4 q-36 p$;
(c) $K(p, q)$ is a $(1,1)$-knot iff $(p, q)$ is a pair of the form $(p, 1),(p, 2),(1, q)$, or $(-1,0)$;
(d) with the exception of $K(-1,2)(r)=S^{2}(2,2,3), K(-2,1)(r)=S^{2}(2,2,7)$, and $K(p, 0)(r)=S^{2}(2,2,|6 p-1|)$, the manifold $K(p, q)(r)$ is irreducible and toroidal.

In particular, there are infinitely many hyperbolic (1,2)-knots with crosscap number two and tunnel number one which admit no $(1,1)$ decompositions.

Proof. We have already seen that each $K(p, q)$ is a (1,2)-knot; all other claims follow from Lemmas 4.3, 4.4, and 4.6.

We remark that the family of knots $K(p, q)$ is one of the simplest families that can be obtained following our method of construction, which is quite general. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we provide definitions and background results, and develop several specific properties of circles embedded in the boundary of a genus two handlebody, in both algebraic and topological versions, which will be needed in later sections. Section 3 contains, among many other miscellaneous results, the criteria used to determine if a crosscap number two knot with an unknotted Seifert Klein bottle has tunnel number one, and if so whether or not it admits a $(1,1)$ decomposition; such criteria are given in decidable algebraic terms involving primitive or power words in a rank two free group. Finally, in Section 4 we apply these criteria to prove Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and 4.6, which establish the properties of the family of knots $K(p, q)$ given in Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Once-punctured Klein bottles

Let $P$ denote a once-punctured Klein bottle. Any circle embedded in $P$ is, up to isotopy, of one of the following types (cf. [12, §2]):
(i) a meridian circle $m$ : this is an orientation preserving circle which cuts $P$ into a pair of pants;
(ii) a center $c$ : this is an orientation reversing circle whose regular neighborhood in $P$ is a Moebius band;
(iii) a longitude $\ell$ : this is an orientation preserving circle which separates $P$ into two components, one of which is a Moebius band.

The meridian circle of $P$ is unique, while there are infinitely many isotopy classes of center and longitude circles (cf. [16, Lemma 3.1]). This contrasts with the situation in a closed Klein bottle, where up to isotopy there is only one longitude circle and two center circles.

Denote by $P \tilde{\times} I$ the orientable twisted $I$-bundle over $P$, where $I=[0,1] . P \tilde{\times} I$ is a genus two handlebody; the pair $(P \tilde{x} I, \partial P)$ can be seen in Fig. 4, up to homeomorphism. In particular, if $N(P)$ is the regular neighborhood of a oncepunctured Klein bottle which is properly embedded in an orientable 3-manifold with boundary, then $(N(P), P) \approx(P \tilde{x}$ $I, P \tilde{\times} \frac{1}{2}$ ), where $\approx$ denotes homeomorphism.

### 2.2. Lifts of meridian, center, and longitude circles

Let $T_{P}$ be the twice punctured torus $\partial N(P) \backslash$ int $N(\partial P) \subset \partial N(P)$. For any meridian circle $m$ or longitude circle $\ell$ of $P$, the restriction of the $I$-bundle $N(P)$ to $m, \ell$ is a fibered annulus $A(m), A(\ell)$, respectively, properly embedded in $N(P)$, which intersects $P$ transversely in $m, \ell$, respectively; if $c$ is a center circle of $P$, the restriction of $N(P)$ to $c$ is a fibered Moebius band $B(c)$ which intersects $P$ transversely in $c$. Notice that $A(m), A(\ell)$, and $B(c)$ are all unique up to isotopy in $N(P)$ (i.e., any annulus $(A, \partial A) \subset\left(N(P), T_{P}\right)$ intersecting $P$ in $m$ is isotopic to $A(m)$, etc.), and that the boundary circles $\partial A(m), \partial A(\ell), \partial B(c)$ may all be assumed to lie in $T_{P}$. We call the circles $\partial A(m), \partial A(\ell), \partial B(c)$, respectively, the lifts of $m, \ell, c$ to $T_{P}$; thus $m$ has two distinct nonparallel lifts $m_{0} \sqcup m_{1}=\partial A(m)$, while $c$ has a unique lift. Each longitude $\ell$ also has a unique lift, of which $\partial A(\ell)$ gives two parallel copies. In fact, if $\ell$ splits off a Moebius band from $P$ with center $c_{\ell}$, then the lifts of $c_{\ell}$ and $\ell$ are isotopic in $T_{P}$ : for $A(\ell)$ is isotopic to the frontier of the regular neighborhood in $N(P)$ of the Moebius band $B\left(c_{\ell}\right)$. Thus, the set of lifts of centers of $P$ coincides with the set of lifts of longitudes of $P$.

### 2.3. Seifert Klein bottles

Let $P \subset X_{K}$ be a Seifert Klein bottle for a knot $K \subset S^{3}$, and let $N(P) \approx P \tilde{\times} I$ be a small regular neighborhood of $P$ in $X_{K}$. We define the exterior of $P$ in $S^{3}$ as the manifold $X(P)=S^{3} \backslash$ int $N(P)$; we thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{3}=N(P) \cup_{\partial} X(P) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\partial P \subset \partial N(P)=\partial X(P)$. We will identify the twice punctured torus $T_{P} \subset \partial N(P)$ with the frontier in $X_{K}$ of $N(P)$, so that $T_{P} \subset N(P) \cap \partial X(P)$.

Given that $K$ and $\partial P$ are isotopic in $S^{3}$, the translation of properties of $K \subset S^{3}$ or $P \subset X_{K}$ into properties involving the decomposition given in (2.1) can be easily carried out. For instance, it is easy to see that $P$ is unknotted in $S^{3}$ iff $X(P)$ is a handlebody.

### 2.4. Companion annuli and multiplicity

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an orientable, irreducible, and geometrically atoroidal 3-manifold with connected boundary, and let $\gamma$ be a circle embedded in $\partial \mathcal{M}$ which is nontrivial (i.e., it does not bound a disk) in $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $A$ be an annular regular neighborhood of $\gamma$ in $\partial \mathcal{M}$, and $A^{\prime}$ a properly embedded separating annulus in $\mathcal{M}$ with $\partial A^{\prime}=\partial A$. We say that $A^{\prime}$ is a companion annulus for $\gamma$ in $\mathcal{M}$ if $A^{\prime}$ is not parallel into $\partial \mathcal{M}$. It follows from [16, Lemma 5.1] that the region cobounded in $\mathcal{M}$ by $A^{\prime}$ and the annular neighborhood $A$ of $\gamma$ is a solid torus, the companion solid torus of $\gamma$ in $M$, and that a companion annulus and a companion solid torus for $\gamma$ are unique up to isotopy. We define the multiplicity $\mu(\gamma)$ of $\gamma$ in $\mathcal{M}$ to be 1 if $\gamma$ has no companion annuli, and as the number of times $\gamma$ runs around its companion solid torus when $\gamma$ has a companion annulus. Thus $\gamma$ has a companion annulus in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mu(\gamma) \geqslant 2$.

Multiplicities of circles in the case where $\mathcal{M}$ is a genus two handlebody will be of particular interest in later developments. So let $H$ be a genus two handlebody; we shall see that the fact that $\pi_{1}(H)$ (rel some base point) is a free group on two generators allows for a simple interpretation of multiplicities of circles in $\partial H$ in purely algebraic terms. We will need the following general definitions.

Let $F_{2}$ denote the free group on the two generators; if free generators (i.e., a basis) $x, y$ for $F_{2}$ are given, so that $F_{2}=\langle x, y \mid-\rangle$, we may refer to the elements of $F_{2}$ as words in $x$ and $y$. For convenience, we will denote the inverse $u^{-1}$ of $u$ by $\bar{u}$, and by $[u, v]$ the commutator $u v \bar{u} \bar{v}$ of any two elements $u, v$ of $F_{2}$. A word $u \in F_{2}$ is primitive if there is $v \in F_{2}$ such that $\{u, v\}$ is a basis of $F_{2}$, and that $u$ is a power if there is a nontrivial element $w \in F_{2}$ and an integer $n \geqslant 2$ such that $u=w^{n}$. We write $u \equiv v$ for $u, v \in F_{2}$ if $u=\bar{c} v^{\varepsilon} c$ for some $c \in F_{2}$ and $\varepsilon \in\{1,-1\}$. A word $u \in\langle x, y \mid-\rangle$ is said to be cyclically reduced if, for $\varepsilon= \pm 1$ and $z \in\{x, y\}$, the pair of symbols $z^{\varepsilon}, z^{-\varepsilon}$ do not occur consecutively in $u$ nor $u$ simultaneously begins with $z^{\varepsilon}$ and ends with $z^{-\varepsilon}$; notice $u \equiv v$ whenever $v$ is a cyclic reduction of $u$ or $\bar{u}$.

We will see in Lemma 2.3 below that multiplicities of circles in $\partial H$ can be characterized in terms of primitive or power elements in $\pi_{1}(H)$. A complete characterization of primitive words in $F_{2}=\langle x, y \mid-\rangle$ can be found in [5]; for our purposes, the following partial characterization of such primitive words (originally given in [3]), which easily extends to words that are powers of primitive elements, will suffice.

Lemma 2.1. ([3,5].) If an element $u$ in the free group $F_{2}=\langle x, y \mid-\rangle$ is primitive or a power of a primitive then there is $a$ basis $\{a, b\} \subset\{x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y}\}$ of $F_{2}$ and an integer $n \geqslant 1$ such that either $u \equiv a b^{n-1}, u \equiv a^{n+1}$, or $u \equiv a b^{m_{1}} \cdots a b^{m_{k}}, k \geqslant 2$, for some integers $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right\}=\{n, n+1\}$.

The next result establishes some useful equivalences in $F_{2}$.
Lemma 2.2. Let $\{u, v\}$ and $\{a, b\}$ be any two bases of $F_{2}$ and $m, n \geqslant 1$ any two integers.
(a) The identities $\left[\bar{w}, w^{\prime}\right] \equiv\left[w, w^{\prime}\right] \equiv\left[w^{\prime}, w\right]$ hold for any $w, w^{\prime} \in F_{2}$.
(b) If $w \in F_{2}$, then $\{u, w\}$ is a basis for $F_{2}$ iff $w=u^{k} v^{\varepsilon} u^{l}$ for some integers $k, l$ and $\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}$.
(c) If $u^{m} \equiv a^{m}$ then $\left[u^{m}, v\right] \equiv\left[a^{m}, b\right]$.
(d) If $u^{m} \equiv a^{m}$ and $v^{n} \equiv b^{n}$ then $\left[u^{m}, v^{n}\right] \equiv\left[a^{m}, b^{n}\right]$.

Proof. Part (a) follows by direct computation, while the result in (b) is well known (cf. [9, §3.5, Problem 3]).
For part (c) we have that $u^{m}=\bar{c} a^{m \varepsilon} c=\left(\bar{c} a^{\varepsilon} c\right)^{m}$ for some $c \in F_{2}$ and $\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}$, and hence that $u=\bar{c} a^{\varepsilon} c$ (cf. [9, §1.4]). It follows that $c u \bar{c}=a^{\varepsilon}$ and $c v \bar{c}$ form a basis for $F_{2}$, and hence by (b) that $c v \bar{c}=a^{k} b^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} a^{l}$ for some integers $k, l$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime} \in\{-1,1\}$. Therefore

$$
\left[u^{m}, v\right]=\left[\bar{c} a^{m \varepsilon} c, \bar{c} a^{k} b^{\varepsilon^{\prime}} a^{l} c\right] \equiv\left[a^{m \varepsilon}, b^{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right] \equiv\left[a^{m}, b\right] .
$$

Similarly, for part (d) we continue to have $u^{m}=\bar{c} a^{m \varepsilon} c=\left(\bar{c} a^{\varepsilon} c\right)^{m}$ and also have $v^{n}=\bar{d} b^{n \delta} d=\left(\bar{d} b^{\delta} d\right)^{n}$ for some $d \in F_{2}$ and $\delta \in\{-1,1\}$, whence $u=\bar{c} a^{\varepsilon} c$ and $v=\bar{d} b^{\delta} d$ hold in $F_{2}$. It follows that $c u \bar{c}=a^{\varepsilon}$ and $c v \bar{c}=\bar{e} b^{\delta} e$ form a basis of $F_{2}$, where $e=d \bar{c}$. By (b) we must have $\bar{e} b^{\delta} e=\bar{a}^{k} b^{\delta} a^{k}$, and so $\left[u^{m}, v^{n}\right]=\left[\bar{c} a^{m \varepsilon} c, \bar{c} \bar{a}^{k} b^{\delta} a^{k} c\right] \equiv\left[a^{m \varepsilon}, b^{\delta}\right] \equiv\left[a^{m}, b^{n}\right]$ holds.

The following sequence of lemmas will establish several fundamental facts about circles in $\partial H$ which may represent primitive/power elements in $\pi_{1}(H)$. For any loop $\alpha \subset H$, denote by $[\alpha]$ the element of $\pi_{1}(H)$ representing $\alpha$ (rel some base point). We will call any disk properly embedded in $H$ which separates $H$ into two solid torus components a waist disk of $H$. Also, for a 3 -manifold $\mathcal{M}$, we will say that the pair $(\mathcal{M}, \partial \mathcal{M})$ is irreducible if $\mathcal{M}$ is irreducible and $\partial \mathcal{M}$ is incompressible in $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $H$ be a handlebody of genus two and $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ be disjoint circles embedded in $\partial H$ which are nontrivial in $H$.
(a) $\partial H \backslash \gamma$ compresses in $H$ iff $[\gamma]$ is primitive or a power in $\pi_{1}(H)$, in which case there is a waist disk of $H$ which is disjoint from $\gamma$. In particular, if $[\gamma]$ is a power in $\pi_{1}(H)$ then $[\gamma]$ is a power of a primitive element.
(b) $\gamma$ has multiplicity $n \geqslant 2$ in $H$ iff $[\gamma]$ is the $n$th power of some primitive element in $\pi_{1}(H)$; moreover, if $[\gamma]=\lambda^{n}$ for some integer $n \geqslant 1$ and some primitive element $\lambda \in \pi_{1}(H)$ then $n=\mu([\gamma])$.
(c) Suppose $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are not parallel in $\partial H$. If $[\gamma]$ is primitive or a power in $\pi_{1}(H)$ and $\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right]$ is conjugate to $[\gamma]$ in $\pi_{1}(H)$, then $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ cobound a nonseparating annulus in $H$.
(d) Suppose $\gamma^{\prime}$ does not cobound an annulus with $\gamma$ in $H$ and that, in $\pi_{1}(H)$, either [ $\gamma$ ] is primitive or a power while $\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right]$ is a power. Then there is a waist disk $D$ of $H$ which separates $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$.

Proof. Parts (a) and (b) follow from the argument used in the proof of [2, Theorem 4.1], which deals with roots in the fundamental group of a compression body; we prove them here in the context of handlebodies of genus two for the convenience of the reader.

Suppose $\partial H \backslash \gamma$ compresses in $H$ along a disk $D$. If $D$ is nonseparating then there is an embedded circle $\alpha \subset \partial H \backslash \gamma$ which intersects $\partial D$ transversely in a single point, and hence the frontier of a small regular neighborhood in $H$ of $D \cup \alpha$ is a waist disk in $H$ which compresses $\partial H \backslash \gamma$; we may thus assume $D$ is a waist disk of $H$. Cutting $H$ along $D$ produces two solid torus components, one of which, say $V$, contains $\gamma$ in its boundary; given that $\gamma$ is nontrivial in $H$, it follows that $\gamma$ must be a nonseparating circle in $\partial H$. By Van Kampen's theorem, if $\beta$ is a core of $V$ then $[\beta]$ is primitive in $\pi_{1}(H)$ and $[\gamma]=[\beta]^{k}$ for some integer $k \neq 0$. Thus $[\gamma]$ is either primitive or a power of a primitive in $\pi_{1}(H)$; moreover, it is not hard to see that if $|k| \geqslant 2$ then $V$ is the companion solid torus of $\gamma$ in $H$, so $\mu(\gamma)=|k|$. This proves one direction of part (a).

Conversely, let $M$ be the 3 -manifold obtained by adding a 2 -handle to $H$ along $\gamma$. If, in $\pi_{1}(H)$, $[\gamma]$ is primitive then $\pi_{1}(M)=\mathbb{Z}$ and so $M$ is a solid torus, while if $[\gamma]$ is a power then $\pi_{1}(M)$ has nontrivial torsion by [9, Theorems N3 and 4.12] and hence $M$ is reducible (cf. [8, Theorem 9.8]). Therefore the pair ( $M, \partial M$ ) is not irreducible, so the surface $\partial H \backslash \gamma$ compresses in $H$ by the 2-handle addition theorem (cf. [2]), and hence by the above argument [ $\gamma$ ] is a primitive or a power of a primitive in $\pi_{1}(H)$. Thus (a) holds.

For part (b), assume $\gamma$ has multiplicity $n \geqslant 2$; that is, for $A \subset \partial H$ an annular neighborhood of $\gamma$ and $A^{\prime}$ a companion annulus of $\gamma$ with $\partial A^{\prime}=\partial A, A$ and $A^{\prime}$ cobound a solid torus $V \subset H$ such that $\gamma$ runs $n$ times around $V$. Since $\gamma$ is nontrivial in $H$, and $A^{\prime}$ separates $H$, it follows that $A^{\prime}$ boundary compresses in $H$ into a nontrivial separating compression disk of $\partial H \backslash \gamma$; hence, by the first part of the argument for (a), $\gamma$ is the $n$th power of a primitive element of $\pi_{1}(H)$. Conversely, suppose $[\gamma]=\lambda^{n}$ for some integer $n \geqslant 1$ and some primitive element $\lambda \in \pi_{1}(H)$. By the first part of the argument for (a), there is a loop $\beta$ in $H$ with $[\beta]$ primitive in $\pi_{1}(H)$ and $[\gamma]=[\beta]^{\mu(\gamma)}$. Therefore the abelianization of $\pi_{1}(H) /\langle[\gamma]\rangle$ is isomorphic to both $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\mu(\gamma)}$, so $n=\mu(\gamma)$. Thus (b) follows.

In parts (c) and (d), let $F=\partial H \backslash \gamma$, so that $\gamma^{\prime} \subset F$; observe that $F$ compresses in $H$ by (a), given that [ $\gamma$ ] is either primitive or a power in $\pi_{1}(H)$. Let $M$ be the manifold obtained by attaching a 2-handle to $H$ along $\gamma^{\prime}$, so that $\gamma \subset \partial M$. In part (d), [ $\gamma^{\prime}$ ] is a power in $\pi_{1}(H)$ and so $M$ is reducible by the argument used in part (a); in part (c), since [ $\gamma$ ] is conjugate to $\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right]$ in $\pi_{1}(H)$ but $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are not parallel in $\partial H$, and $\gamma \subset \partial M$, [ $\left.\gamma\right]$ must be trivial in $\pi_{1}(M)$ but nontrivial in $\partial M$, and hence $\gamma$ bounds a nonseparating disk in $M$. Either way the pair ( $M, \partial M \backslash \gamma$ ) is not irreducible, so by the 2-handle addition theorem the surface $F \backslash \gamma^{\prime}=\partial H \backslash\left(\gamma \cup \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ compresses in $H$ along some disk $D \subset H$. In part (c) the disk $D$ must be nonseparating, so $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ lie in the boundary of the solid torus $H \backslash \operatorname{int} N(D)$ and hence cobound a nonseparating annulus in $H$; similarly, in part (d) the disk $D$ must be a waist disk of $H$ which separates $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$.

For a Seifert Klein bottle $P$ in a knot exterior $X_{K}$, recall that $T_{P}$ is the twice punctured torus obtained from the frontier of $N(P)$ in the knot exterior $X_{K}$, so $T_{P} \subset \partial X(P) \backslash \partial P$ and int $T_{P}, X(P) \backslash \partial P$ are homeomorphic surfaces. In this context, Lemma 2.3(a) has the following immediate consequence.

Corollary 2.4. An unknotted Seifert Klein bottle $P$ for a knot $K$ is $\pi_{1}$-injective in $X_{K}$ iff $[\partial P]$ is neither primitive nor a power of a primitive in $\pi_{1}(X(P))$. Specifically, $T_{P}$ is boundary compressible in $X_{K}$ iff $[\partial P]$ is primitive in $\pi_{1}(X(P))$.

Proof. Since $N(P)$ is an $I$-bundle over $P$, we have that $P$ is $\pi_{1}$-injective in $N(P)$ and $T_{P}$ is incompressible in $N(P)$; hence, by the Dehn's lemma-loop theorem [8], $P$ is $\pi_{1}$-injective in $X_{K}$ iff $T_{P}$ is geometrically incompressible in $X(P)$. Thus the first part follows from Lemma 2.3(a).

Now, given the relationship between the surfaces $T_{P}$ and $X(P) \backslash \partial P$, it is not hard to see that the boundary compressibility of $T_{P}$ in $X(P)$ is equivalent to the existence of a properly embedded disk $D$ in $X(P)$ which intersects the circle $\partial P \subset \partial X(P)$ transversely in one point; as the latter condition is equivalent to [ $\partial P$ ] being primitive in $\pi_{1}(X(P))$, the second part of the claim follows.

The following result gives a simple algebraic way of determining if the manifold $T \times I, T$ a torus, is obtained by attaching a 2-handle to a genus two handlebody $H$; though the result is well known, we sketch its proof as preparation for the argument used in its generalization given in Lemma 2.6, which deals with the case of attaching a 2 -handle to a genus two sub-handlebody of $H$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $H$ be a genus two handlebody and $\mathcal{T}$ be a closed torus. Let $\gamma$ be a circle embedded in $\partial H$ and $M=H \cup_{\gamma} N(D)$ be the manifold obtained by attaching a 2-handle $N(D)$ to H along $\gamma$. Then $M \approx \mathcal{T} \times I$ iff $[\gamma] \equiv[a, b]$ for some (and hence any) basis $\{a, b\}$ of $\pi_{1}(H)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\pi_{1}(M) /\langle[\gamma]\rangle=\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, so that $\gamma$ is nontrivial in $H$. If $\partial H \backslash \gamma$ compresses in $H$ then by Lemma 2.3(a) there is a waist disk in $H$ disjoint from $\gamma$ and so $M$ is a manifold of the form $S^{1} \times D^{2} \# L$ for $L=S^{3}$ or a lens space; but then $\pi_{1}(M) /\langle[\gamma]\rangle \neq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, contradicting our hypothesis. Thus $\partial H \backslash \gamma$ is incompressible in $H$ and so the pair $(M, \partial M)$ is irreducible by the 2 -handle addition theorem, hence by [8, Theorem 12.10 ] the condition $M \approx \mathcal{T} \times I$ is equivalent to the condition $\pi_{1}(H) /\langle[\gamma]\rangle=\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. The lemma follows now from the fact (due to Nielsen, cf. [9, §4.4]) that, for any word $w$ in $\langle x, y \mid-\rangle,\langle x, y \mid-\rangle /\langle w\rangle=\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ iff $w \equiv[x, y]$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $H$ be a handlebody of genus two and $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ be disjoint circles embedded in $\partial H$ which are nontrivial in $H$; let $\mathcal{T}$ denote a closed torus.
(a) If $A_{0} \subset H$ is a companion annulus for $\gamma_{0}$ with core $\alpha_{0}$ and corresponding companion solid torus $V_{0} \subset H$, then $H^{\prime}=\operatorname{cl}\left(H \backslash V_{0}\right)$ is a genus two handlebody, and there is a common waist disk $D$ of $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ such that
(i) $H^{\prime}=W_{0} \cup_{D} W_{1}$ for some solid tori $W_{0}, W_{1}$ in $H^{\prime}$ with $D=\partial W_{0} \cap \partial W_{1}=W_{0} \cap W_{1}$ and $A_{0} \subset \partial W_{0} \backslash D$,
(ii) if $\beta_{1}$ is a core of $W_{1}$ then $\left\{w_{0}=\left[\alpha_{0}\right], w_{1}=\left[\beta_{1}\right]\right\}$ is a basis for $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$,
(iii) if $\beta_{0}$ is a core of $V_{0}$ then $\left\{u=\left[\beta_{0}\right], v=\left[\beta_{1}\right]\right\}$ is a basis for $\pi_{1}(H)$, and the inclusion map $i: H^{\prime} \subset H$ induces an injection $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} \pi_{1}(H)$ given by $w_{0} \mapsto u^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)} \equiv\left[\gamma_{0}\right]$ and $w_{1} \mapsto v$,
(iv) if $H^{\prime} \cup N\left(D\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right)$ is the manifold obtained by attaching a 2-handle $N\left(D\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right)$ along $\gamma_{2}$, then $H^{\prime} \cup N\left(D\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right) \approx \mathcal{T} \times$ I iff $\left[\gamma_{2}\right] \equiv\left[a^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, b\right]$ for some (and hence any) basis $\{a, b\}$ of $\pi_{1}(H)$ such that $\left[\gamma_{0}\right] \equiv a^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}$.
(b) Suppose $A_{0}, A_{1} \subset H$ are disjoint companion annuli for $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}$, respectively, with corresponding cores $\alpha_{0}$, $\alpha_{1}$ and companion solid tori $V_{0}, V_{1} \subset H$. Then $H^{\prime}=\operatorname{cl}\left(H \backslash\left(V_{0} \sqcup V_{1}\right)\right)$ is a genus two handlebody, and there is a common waist disk $D$ of $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ such that
(i) $H^{\prime}=W_{0} \cup_{D} W_{1}$ for some solid tori $W_{0}, W_{1}$ in $H^{\prime}$ with $D=\partial W_{0} \cap \partial W_{1}=W_{0} \cap W_{1}, A_{0} \subset \partial W_{0} \backslash D$, and $A_{1} \subset \partial W_{1} \backslash D$,
(ii) $\left\{w_{0}=\left[\alpha_{0}\right], w_{1}=\left[\alpha_{1}\right]\right\}$ is a basis for $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$,
(iii) if $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$ are cores of $V_{0}, V_{1}$, respectively, then $\left\{u=\left[\beta_{0}\right], v=\left[\beta_{1}\right]\right\}$ is a basis for $\pi_{1}(H)$ and the inclusion map $i: H^{\prime} \subset H$ induces an injection $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} \pi_{1}(H)$ given by $w_{0} \mapsto u^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)} \equiv\left[\gamma_{0}\right]$ and $w_{1} \mapsto v^{\mu\left(\gamma_{1}\right)} \equiv\left[\gamma_{1}\right]$,
(iv) if $H^{\prime} \cup N\left(D\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right)$ is the manifold obtained by attaching a 2-handle $N\left(D\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right)$ along $\gamma_{2}$, then $H^{\prime} \cup N\left(D\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right) \approx \mathcal{T} \times I$ iff $\left[\gamma_{2}\right] \equiv\left[a^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, b^{\mu\left(\gamma_{1}\right)}\right]$ for some (and hence any) basis $\{a, b\}$ of $\pi_{1}(H)$ such that $\left[\gamma_{0}\right] \equiv a^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}$ and $\left[\gamma_{1}\right] \equiv b^{\mu\left(\gamma_{1}\right)}$.

Proof. For part (a), by Lemma 2.3(a), (b), there is a waist disk $D$ for $H$ such that $H=U_{0} \cup_{D} W_{1}$ for some solid tori $U_{0}, W_{1}$ with $\gamma_{0} \subset \partial U_{0} \backslash D$. After a slight isotopy we may also assume that $A_{0} \subset U_{0}$, and then we may write $U_{0}=W_{0} \cup_{A_{0}} V_{0}$ for some solid torus $W_{0} \subset U_{0}$. Thus $H^{\prime}=\operatorname{cl}\left(H \backslash V_{0}\right)=W_{0} \cup_{D} W_{1}$ is a genus two handlebody and (i) holds.

As the circles $\gamma, \alpha \subset \partial V_{0}$ run $\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right) \geqslant 2$ around $V_{0}$, and $U_{0}=W_{0} \cup_{A_{0}} V_{0}$ is a solid torus, it follows that $\alpha_{0} \subset \partial W_{0}$ must run once around $W_{0}$ and hence that $\alpha_{0}$ is isotopic to a core of $W_{0}$; therefore, that (ii) and (iii) hold follows by Van Kampen's theorem and the fact that $\alpha_{0}$ and $\gamma_{0}$ are isotopic in $V_{0}$.

For part (a)(iv), we assume as we may that $\partial A_{0}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are disjoint in $\partial H$, whence $\gamma_{2} \subset \partial H^{\prime}$; we write [ $\left.\gamma_{2}\right]^{\prime}$, $\left[\gamma_{2}\right]$ for the elements in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right), \pi_{1}(H)$ represented by $\gamma_{2}$, respectively, so that $i_{*}\left(\left[\gamma_{2}\right]^{\prime}\right)=\left[\gamma_{2}\right]$. Recall by Lemma 2.5 that $H^{\prime} \cup$ $N\left(D\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right) \approx \mathcal{T} \times I$ iff $\left[\gamma_{2}\right]^{\prime} \equiv[x, y]$ for some and in fact any basis $\{x, y\}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, if $H^{\prime} \cup N\left(D\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right) \approx \mathcal{T} \times I$ then $\left[\gamma_{2}\right]^{\prime} \equiv\left[w_{0}, w_{1}\right]$ in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ and hence $\left[\gamma_{2}\right]=i_{*}\left(\left[\gamma_{2}\right]^{\prime}\right) \equiv\left[u^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, v\right]$ in $\pi_{1}(H)$; that $\left[\gamma_{2}\right] \equiv\left[a^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, b\right]$ holds for any basis $\{a, b\}$ of $\pi_{1}(H)$ with $\left[\gamma_{0}\right] \equiv a^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}$ now follows from Lemma 2.2(c).

Suppose now $\{a, b\}$ is any basis of $\pi_{1}(H)$ such that $\left[\gamma_{0}\right] \equiv a^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}$ and $\left[\gamma_{2}\right] \equiv\left[a^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, b\right]$ hold in $\pi_{1}(H)$; by Lemma 2.2(c), we then also have that $\left[\gamma_{2}\right] \equiv\left[u^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, v\right]$. Observe that $\left[u^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, v\right]$ is a cyclically reduced word in $\pi_{1}(H)=\langle u, v \mid-\rangle$; for definiteness, we will assume, that $\left[u^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, v\right]$ is a cyclic reduction of $\left[\gamma_{2}\right]$ in $\pi_{1}(H)=\langle u, v \mid-\rangle$.

Let $W\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)$ be a cyclic reduction of $\left[\gamma_{2}\right]^{\prime}$ in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle w_{0}, w_{1} \mid-\right\rangle$. Then, in $\pi_{1}(H)=\langle u, v \mid-\rangle, i_{*}\left(W\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)\right)=$ $W\left(i_{*}\left(w_{0}\right), i_{*}\left(w_{1}\right)\right)=W\left(u^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, v\right)$ is also a cyclically reduced word, which must then be a cyclic reduction of $\left[\gamma_{2}\right]=$ $i_{*}\left(\left[\gamma_{2}\right]^{\prime}\right)$. Thus the words $i_{*}\left(W\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)\right)$ and $\left[u^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, v\right]$ are identical except for the cyclic order of their factors. Given that $i_{*}\left(w_{0}\right)=u^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}$ and $i_{*}\left(w_{1}\right)=v$, it is not hard to see that changing the cyclic order of the $w_{0}, w_{1}$ factors in $W\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)$ will produce the identity $i_{*}\left(W\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)\right)=\left[u^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, v\right]$, so we may assume that such identity holds. As $i_{*}\left(\left[w_{0}, w_{1}\right]\right)=\left[u^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, v\right]$ holds too, so that $i_{*}\left(\left[w_{0}, w_{1}\right]\right)=i_{*}\left(W\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)\right)$, we must have $\left[w_{0}, w_{1}\right]=W\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right) \equiv\left[\gamma_{2}\right]^{\prime}$ in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Thus (a)(iv) holds.

The proof for part (b) is similar: by Lemma 2.3(d), there is a waist disk $D$ for $H$ such that $H=U_{0} \cup_{D} U_{1}$ for some solid tori $U_{0}$, $U_{1}$ with $\gamma_{0} \subset \partial U_{0} \backslash D$ and $\gamma_{1} \subset \partial U_{1} \backslash D$, and we may also assume that $A_{0} \subset U_{0}, A_{1} \subset U_{1}$. Thus $U_{0}=W_{0} \cup_{A_{0}} V_{0}$ and $U_{1}=W_{1} \cup_{A_{1}} V_{1}$ for some solid tori $W_{0} \subset U_{0}, W_{1} \subset U_{1}$, so $H^{\prime}=\operatorname{cl}\left(H \backslash\left(V_{0} \cup V_{1}\right)\right)=W_{0} \cup_{D} W_{1}$ is a genus two handlebody and (i) holds. As before, $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}$ are isotopic to cores of $W_{0}, W_{1}$, respectively, so that (ii) and (iii) hold follows by Van Kampen's theorem. The proof of (b)(iv) follows from the same argument as that of (a)(iv), using Lemma 2.2(d) instead of Lemma 2.2(c) to deduce $\left[\gamma_{2}\right] \equiv\left[u^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, v^{\mu\left(\gamma_{1}\right)}\right]$ from $\left[\gamma_{2}\right] \equiv\left[a^{\mu\left(\gamma_{0}\right)}, b^{\mu\left(\gamma_{1}\right)}\right]$.


Fig. 3. Construction of the Seifert Klein bottle $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$.

## 3. Crosscap number two knots

In this section we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for a crosscap number two hyperbolic knot to admit a $(1,1)$ decomposition. We also establish miscellaneous results that can be used to detect when a crosscap number two knot with an unknotted Seifert Klein bottle has tunnel number one or is hyperbolic, as well as means of identifying and constructing the lifts of meridians, centers, and longitudes of a Seifert Klein bottle.

## 3.1. $(1,1)$ decompositions

The following construction of a special family of Seifert Klein bottles $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$ in $S^{3}$ with boundary a (1,1)-knot is taken from [11, §1]. Let $T$ be an unknotted (i.e., Heegaard) torus embedded in $S^{3}$; we identify a small regular neighborhood of $T$ in $S^{3}$ with a product $T \times I$, where $I=[0,1]$. Thus, there are unknotted solid tori $V_{0}, V_{1} \subset S^{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{3}=V_{0} \cup_{\partial V_{0}=T \times\{0\}} T \times I \cup_{\partial V_{1}=T \times\{1\}} V_{1} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that an arc $\gamma$ embedded in $T \times I$ is monotone if the natural projection map $T \times I \rightarrow I$ is monotone on $\gamma$. We may further assume that $T \times I$ lies within a slightly larger embedding of the form $T \times[-\delta, 1+\delta]$, for some small $\delta>0$.

For $i=0,1$, let $c_{i}$ be a circle nontrivially embedded in $T \times\{i\}$. Let $R$ be a rectangle properly embedded in $T \times I$ with one boundary side along $c_{0}$ and the opposite side along $c_{1}$, such that $R \cap(T \times[0, \delta] \cup T \times[1-\delta, 1]) \subset c_{0} \times[0, \delta] \cup c_{1} \times[1-\delta, 1]$ and some core $\beta \subset T \times I$ of $R$ is monotone. The union of $R$ with the annuli $\mathcal{A}_{i}=c_{i} \times[i-\delta, i+\delta], i=0,1$, is then a pair of pants; giving one half-twist relative to $T \times\{i\}$ to each annulus piece $\mathcal{A}_{i}$, away from $R$, produces a once punctured Klein bottle $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$, where $\varepsilon_{i} \in\{+,-\}$ and the notation $c_{i}^{\varepsilon_{i}}$ stands for one of the two possible half-twists that can be performed on the annulus $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ (see Fig. 3). We remark that in [11] the knot $\partial P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$ is denoted by $K\left(c_{0}^{*}, c_{1}^{*}, R\right)$.

We say that $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$ is in vertical position if some monotone core $\beta \subset R$ is a fiber $\{q\} \times I$ of $T \times I$; in such case, $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$ can be isotoped so as to properly embed in some regular neighborhood of $c_{0} \cup(\{q\} \times I) \cup c_{1}$ in $S^{3}$. The next result states that vertical position is always attainable for any surface of the form $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$.

Lemma 3.1. Any once-punctured Klein bottle of the form $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$ can be isotoped into vertical position.


Fig. 4. A pair of disjoint centers $c_{0}, c_{1}$ in $\mathcal{P}$ and some twisted lifts $\hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1} \subset T_{\mathcal{P}}$.
Proof. Consider an arbitrary once-punctured Klein bottle of the form $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$ with $\beta$ a monotone core of $R$. We claim that the arc $\beta$ is isotopic in $T \times I$ to some (and hence any) fiber $\{q\} \times I, q \in T$; in such case, the isotopy that moves $\beta$ onto some fiber $\{p\} \times I$ can be extended to an isotopy of $c_{0} \cup \beta \cup c_{1}$ in $T \times I$, and then further extended to an isotopy of $S^{3}$ that puts $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$ in vertical position.

Given $0 \leqslant x \leqslant y \leqslant 1$ and any monotone arc $\gamma \subset T \times I$, denote the point $\gamma \cap(T \times\{x\})$ by $\gamma_{x}$ and the arc $\gamma \cap(T \times[x, y])$ by $\gamma_{[x, y]}$. Since $\beta$ is monotone in $T \times I$, there is a sufficiently large integer $n>0$ such that, for each integer $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, the $\operatorname{arc} \beta_{[(k-1) / n, k / n]}$ is isotopic, rel $\beta_{k / n}$ (i.e., fixing the set $\left\{\beta_{k / n}\right\}$ ), to the $\operatorname{arc}\left\{\beta_{k / n}\right\} \times[(k-1) / n, k / n]$ in $T \times[(k-1) / n, k / n]$.

Isotope the arc $\beta_{[0,1 / n]}$ onto the arc $\left\{\beta_{1 / n}\right\} \times[0,1 / n]$ rel $\beta_{1 / n}$, and let $\beta^{(1)}$ be the union of the $\operatorname{arcs}\left\{\beta_{1 / n}\right\} \times[0,1 / n]$ and $\beta_{[1 / n, 1]}$; clearly, $\beta^{(1)}$ and $\beta$ are isotopic in $T \times I$ rel $\beta_{[1 / n, 1]}$. Now isotope the arc $\beta_{[1 / n, 2 / n]}^{(1)}\left(=\beta_{[1 / n, 2 / n]}\right)$ onto the arc $\left\{\beta_{2 / n}\right\} \times[1 / n, 2 / n]$ in $T \times[1 / n, 2 / n]$ rel $\beta_{2 / n}$; this isotopy easily extends to an isotopy of the arcs $\beta_{[0,2 / n]}^{(1)}$ and $\left\{\beta_{2 / n}^{(1)}\right\} \times[0,2 / n]$ in $T \times[0,2 / n]$ rel $\beta_{2 / n}^{(1)}$, and produces the $\operatorname{arc} \beta^{(2)}=\left\{\beta_{2 / n}^{(1)}\right\} \times[0,2 / n] \cup \beta_{[2 / n, 1]}^{(1)}$ in $T \times I$, isotopic to $\beta^{(1)}$ rel $\beta_{[2 / n, 1]}^{(1)}=\beta_{[2 / n, 1]}$. Continuing the process in this fashion, the claim follows by induction, with $\beta$ isotopic to $\beta^{(n)}=\left\{\beta_{1}\right\} \times[0,1]$ in $T \times I$ rel $\beta_{1}$.

Assumption 3.2. In light of Lemma 3.1, any Seifert Klein bottle of the form $\mathcal{P}=P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$ constructed relatively to an unknotted $T \times I \subset S^{3}$ will be assumed to be in vertical position relatively to $T \times I$. In particular, we may always assume that $N(\mathcal{P})=N\left(c_{0} \cup\{q\} \times I \cup c_{1}\right)$ for some point $q \in T$ and that $T \times I \backslash \operatorname{int} N(\mathcal{P})$ is isotopic to $T_{0} \times I$ for the once punctured torus $T_{0}=T \backslash \operatorname{int} N(q) \subset T$ (see Fig. 3).

Now let $K$ be a knot in $S^{3}$ spanning a once-punctured Klein bottle $\mathcal{P}$. If $c_{0}, c_{1}$ are two disjoint center circles of $\mathcal{P}$, we say that $K$ admits $a\left\{\mathcal{P}, c_{0}, c_{1}\right\}$-structure if $\mathcal{P}$ is isotopic to some once-punctured Klein bottle of the form $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$. In this context, [11, Theorem 1.1] can be restated, in the case of hyperbolic non 2-bridge knots, as follows:

Theorem 3.3. ([11].) Let $K$ be a hyperbolic knot in $S^{3}$ which is not 2-bridge and bounds a Seifert Klein bottle $\mathcal{P}$. Then $K$ has a $(1,1)$ decomposition iff $K$ admits a $\left\{\mathcal{P}, c_{0}, c_{1}\right\}$-structure for some pair of disjoint centers $c_{0}, c_{1}$ of $\mathcal{P}$.

### 3.2. Twisted lifts of centers

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset X_{K}$ be any Seifert Klein bottle for a knot $K \subset S^{3}$, and let $N(\mathcal{P}) \subset X_{K}$ be its regular neighborhood. If $c_{0}$, $c_{1}$ are disjoint centers of $\mathcal{P}$, then, up to isotopy, there is a unique arc $\alpha$ properly embedded in $\mathcal{P}$ which separates $c_{0}$ from $c_{1}$, and which gives rise (via the I-bundle structure of $N(\mathcal{P})$ ) to a waist disk $D \subset N(\mathcal{P})$ with $D \cap \mathcal{P}=\alpha$, which cuts $N(\mathcal{P})$ into two solid tori $W_{0}, W_{1}$ with $c_{i} \subset W_{i}$ (see Fig. 4). Notice that such a waist disk is also unique up to isotopy, and that $B_{i}=\mathcal{P} \cap W_{i}$ is a Moebius band for $i=1,2$. Performing one half-twist to $B_{i}$ in $W_{i}$ produces an annulus in $W_{i}$; there are two ways of half-twisting $B_{i}$, and each way produces an annulus, say with boundary slope $\hat{c}_{i}$ or $\hat{c}_{i}^{\prime} \subset \partial W_{i} \backslash D$, respectively. Each of the circles $\hat{c}_{i}, \hat{c}_{i}^{\prime}$ runs once around $W_{i}$ and intersects $\partial \mathcal{P}$ transversely in one point. We call the circles $\hat{c}_{i}, \hat{c}_{i}^{\prime}$ the twisted lifts of the center $c_{i} \subset \mathcal{P}$ to $\partial N(\mathcal{P})$.

In the particular case where $\mathcal{P}=P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$ for some disjoint centers $c_{0}, c_{1} \subset \mathcal{P}$, relative to some unknotted $T \times$ $I \subset S^{3}$, so that $N(\mathcal{P})=N\left(c_{0} \cup(\{q\} \times I) \cup c_{1}\right)$, it follows that any circle of intersection $\hat{c}_{i}$ between $\partial N\left(c_{0} \cup(\{q\} \times I) \cup c_{1}\right)$ and $T \times\{i\}$ is a twisted lift of $c_{i}$ (see Fig. 3), which we call the induced twisted lift of $c_{i}$.

Back in the general case, assume further that $\mathcal{P}$ has atoroidal exterior $X(\mathcal{P}) \subset S^{3}$; as $X(\mathcal{P})$ is irreducible, companion solid tori and multiplicities of circles on $\partial X(\mathcal{P}) \subset X(\mathcal{P})$ are thus defined. So, for any pair $c_{0}, c_{1}$ of disjoint centers of $\mathcal{P}$ with twisted lifts $\hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1} \subset T_{\mathcal{P}} \subset \partial X(\mathcal{P})$, define $V\left(\hat{c}_{i}\right) \subset X(\mathcal{P})$ as the companion solid torus of $\hat{c}_{i}$ if $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{i}\right) \geqslant 2$ in $X(P)$, with $A\left(\hat{c}_{i}\right) \subset \partial W_{i}$ the annular neighborhood of $\hat{c}_{i} \subset \partial W_{i}$ such that $V\left(\hat{c}_{i}\right) \cap N(\mathcal{P})=A\left(\hat{c}_{i}\right)$, and otherwise set $V\left(\hat{c}_{i}\right)=\emptyset=A\left(\hat{c}_{i}\right)$; we now construct the manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\mathcal{P}, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{cl}\left(X(\mathcal{P}) \backslash\left(V\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right) \cup V\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)\right)\right) \subset S^{3} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D$ be a waist disk of $N(\mathcal{P})$ that separates $\hat{c}_{0}$ and $\hat{c}_{1}$ (see Fig. 4); $D$ is unique up to isotopy, and can always be chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial D \subset \partial M\left(\mathcal{P}, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\operatorname{cl}(N(\mathcal{P}) \backslash N(D))=W_{0} \sqcup W_{1}$, where $W_{0}, W_{1}$ are solid tori with $\hat{c}_{0} \subset \partial W_{0}$ and $\hat{c}_{1} \subset \partial W_{1}$. Then $U_{0}=W_{0} \cup_{A\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)} V\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)$ and $U_{1}=W_{1} \cup_{A\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)} V\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)$ are solid tori since, whenever present, each annulus $A\left(\hat{c}_{i}\right)$ runs once around $W_{i}$ (see Fig. 4); since, in light of (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{3}=U_{0} \cup_{\partial}\left(M\left(\mathcal{P}, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right) \cup N(D)\right) \cup_{\partial} U_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that $M\left(\mathcal{P}, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right) \cup N(D)$ is the exterior in $S^{3}$ of the link formed by cores of the solid tori $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$.
The manifolds $M\left(\mathcal{P}, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right)$ and $M\left(\mathcal{P}, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right) \cup N(D)$ can be readily identified whenever $\mathcal{P}$ is of the form $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$.
Lemma 3.4. Suppose $\mathcal{P}=P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$ for some disjoint centers $c_{0}, c_{1} \subset \mathcal{P}$, relative to some unknotted $T \times I \subset S^{3}$; let $\hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}$ be the induced twisted lifts, respectively, and let $D \subset N(\mathcal{P})$ be the unique waist disk that separates $\hat{c}_{0}$ and $\hat{c}_{1}$. If $X(\mathcal{P})$ is atoroidal then there is a once punctured torus $T_{0} \subset T$ such that, in $S^{3}, M\left(\mathcal{P}, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right)$ is isotopic to $T_{0} \times I$ and $M\left(\mathcal{P}, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right) \cup N(D)$ is isotopic to $T \times I$, with the isotopy carrying the circle $\partial D \subset \partial M\left(\mathcal{P}, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right)$ to a circle in $\partial\left(T_{0} \times I\right)$ isotopic to $\left(\partial T_{0}\right) \times\{0\}$.

Proof. Recall from (3.1) that $S^{3}=V_{0} \cup T \times I \cup V_{1}$; also, by Assumption 3.2, we may assume that $N(\mathcal{P})=N\left(c_{0} \cup\{q\} \times I \cup c_{1}\right)$ for some $q \in T$, so that $T \times I \backslash \operatorname{int} N(\mathcal{P})$ is isotopic to $T_{0} \times I$ for the once punctured torus $T_{0}=T \backslash$ int $N(q) \subset T$.

For $i=0,1$, consider the annuli $A_{i} \subset \partial X(\mathcal{P})$ and $A_{i}^{\prime} \subset T \times\{i\}$ indicated in Fig. 3, with $\partial A_{i}=\partial A_{i}^{\prime}$. We then have

$$
X(\mathcal{P})=V_{0}^{\prime} \cup_{A_{0}^{\prime}} T_{0} \times I \cup_{A_{1}^{\prime}} V_{1}^{\prime},
$$

where $V_{i}^{\prime}=V_{i} \backslash \operatorname{int} N(\mathcal{P}) \subset V_{i}$ is a solid torus. Now, for $i=0,1$, the circle $\hat{c}_{i}$ is isotopic to a core of the annulus $A_{i} \subset \partial X(\mathcal{P})$; moreover, if $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{i}\right) \geqslant 2$ in $X(\mathcal{P})$ then the annulus $A_{i}^{\prime}$ is a companion annulus for a core of $A_{i}$, so we can take $V\left(\hat{c}_{i}\right)=V_{i}^{\prime}$, while if $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{i}\right)=1$ in $X(\mathcal{P})$ then the annuli $A_{i}$ and $A_{i}^{\prime}$ are isotopic in $V_{i}^{\prime} \subset X(\mathcal{P})$. It is not hard to see now that the manifold $M\left(\mathcal{P}, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right)$ may always be isotoped in $S^{3}$ onto the manifold $T_{0} \times I$ in such a way that $\partial D \subset \partial M\left(\mathcal{P}, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right)$ isotopes to a circle in $\partial\left(T_{0} \times I\right)$ isotopic to $\left(\partial T_{0}\right) \times\{0\}$, and that such an isotopy can be extended to an isotopy that maps $M\left(\mathcal{P}, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right) \cup N(D)$ onto $T \times I$.

We are now ready to give necessary and sufficient conditions for a Seifert Klein bottle $\mathcal{P}$ to be of the form $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$.
Lemma 3.5. Let $K \subset S^{3}$ be a knot, $P$ any Seifert Klein bottle in $X_{K}$ with atoroidal exterior $X(P), c_{0}, c_{1}$ any two disjoint centers of $P$, $\hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1} \subset T_{P}$ any two twisted lifts of $c_{0}, c_{1}$, respectively, and $D$ the unique waist disk of $N(P)$ that separates $\hat{c}_{0}$ and $\hat{c}_{1}$. Then, $K$ admits $a\left\{P, c_{0}, c_{1}\right\}$-structure with induced twisted lifts $\hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}$ iff the following conditions hold:
(a) the manifold $M\left(P, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right)$ is a genus two handlebody, and
(b) $M\left(P, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right) \cup N(D) \approx \mathcal{T} \times I$, where $\mathcal{T}$ is a closed torus.

Proof. If such a $\left\{c_{0}, c_{1}\right\}$-structure exists, that (a) and (b) hold follows from Lemma 3.4.
Conversely, suppose (a) and (b) hold. By (b), $M\left(P, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right) \cup N(D)=T \times I$ for some closed torus $T=T \times\{0\} \subset S^{3}$; the identity in (3.4) implies that $T$ is unknotted in $S^{3}$ and hence that $M\left(P, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right) \cup N(D)$ is the exterior of the Hopf link in $S^{3}$. We will assume that $\hat{c}_{i} \subset T \times\{i\}$ for $i=0,1$, and that $T \times I$ lies inside a slightly larger product of the form $T \times[-\delta, 1+\delta]$ for some sufficiently small $\delta>0$.

Let $N(D)$ be a small regular neighborhood of $D$ in $N(P)$ which is disjoint from $c_{i}$ and $\hat{c}_{i}$ for $i=0,1$, and such that $R=N(D) \cap P$ is a rectangle properly embedded in $N(D)$ whose core $\alpha \subset R$ is also a cocore of the 2-handle $N(D)$.

Recall from the construction of $M\left(P, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right)$ that $\mathrm{cl}(N(P) \backslash N(D))=W_{0} \sqcup W_{1}$, where $W_{0}, W_{1}$ are solid tori in $N(P)$ with $\hat{c}_{0} \subset \partial W_{0}$ and $\hat{c}_{1} \subset \partial W_{1}$, so that for $i=0,1$, we have

$$
A_{i}=W_{i} \cap\left(M\left(P, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right) \cup N(D)\right)=W_{i} \cap(T \times I)=\left(\partial W_{i}\right) \cap \partial(T \times I)
$$

is an annulus with core $\hat{c}_{i}^{\prime} \subset$ int $A_{i}$ isotopic to $\hat{c}_{i}$ in $\partial W_{i}$ and $\partial(T \times I)$. We may further assume, after an isotopy of $W_{0} \cup$ $(T \times I) \cup W_{1}$ which leaves $T \times I$ fixed, that $W_{0}=A_{0} \times[-\delta, 0]$, and $W_{1}=A_{1} \times[1,1+\delta]$ in $T \times[-\delta, 1+\delta]$.

Consider now the Moebius bands $B_{i}=P \cap W_{i}, i=0,1$; the rectangle $R$ has one boundary side on $\partial B_{0} \cap T \times\{0\}$ and its opposite side on $\partial B_{1} \cap T \times\{1\}$, with $P=B_{0} \cup R \cup B_{1}$. Since $\hat{c}_{0}$ is a twisted lift of $c_{0}$ in $N(P)$, giving one half-twist to $B_{0}$ in $W_{0}$ away from $R \subset N(D)$ produces an annulus properly embedded in $W_{0}$ with the same boundary slope as the core $\hat{c}_{0}^{\prime}$ of $A_{0}$; this annulus can be isotoped within $W_{0}$ onto the annulus $\hat{c}_{0}^{\prime} \times[-\delta, 0]$, with $c_{0} \subset B_{0}$ corresponding to the circle $\hat{c}_{0}^{\prime} \times\{-\delta / 2\}$. In a similar way, one half twist on $B_{1} \subset W_{1}$ (away from $R$ ) may be assumed to produce the annulus $\hat{c}_{1}^{\prime} \times[1,1+\delta]$, with $c_{1} \subset B_{1}$ corresponding to the circle $\hat{c}_{1}^{\prime} \times\{1+\delta / 2\}$. Finally, the rectangle $R \subset T \times I$ may be slightly isotoped within $T \times I$ so that its side $R \cap T \times\{0\}$ lies on $\hat{c}_{0}^{\prime}$ and its side $R \cap T \times\{1\}$ on $\hat{c}_{1}^{\prime}$, in such a way that $P$ may be
recovered from the pair of pants $Q=\hat{c}_{0}^{\prime} \times[-\delta, 0] \cup R \cup \hat{c}_{1}^{\prime} \times[1,1+\delta] \subset N(P)$ by performing the corresponding reverse one half twists on the annuli $\hat{c}_{0}^{\prime} \times[-\delta, 0] \subset W_{0}$ and $\hat{c}_{1}^{\prime} \times[1,1+\delta] \subset W_{1}$.

Now, the endpoints $q_{0}=\alpha \cap T \times\{0\}, q_{1}=\alpha \cap T \times\{1\}$ of $\alpha$ lie on $\hat{c}_{0}^{\prime}, \hat{c}_{1}^{\prime}$, respectively. Therefore, if $\alpha^{\prime}$ is the arc $\left\{q_{0}\right\} \times$ $[-\delta / 2,0] \cup \alpha \cup\left\{q_{1}\right\} \times[1,1+\delta / 2] \subset Q$ then $\alpha^{\prime}$ is an arc properly embedded in the product $T \times[-\delta / 2,1+\delta / 2]$ with endpoints on $c_{0} \sqcup c_{1}$ such that $\operatorname{cl}\left(T \times[-\delta / 2,1+\delta / 2] \backslash N\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is homeomorphic to $\operatorname{cl}(T \times[0,1] \backslash N(\alpha))$.

By (a), the manifold $\operatorname{cl}(T \times[0,1] \backslash N(\alpha)) \approx \operatorname{cl}(T \times[0,1] \backslash N(D))=M\left(P, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right)$ is a genus two handlebody. Since $T \times$ $[-\delta / 2,1+\delta / 2]$ and $T \times[0,1]$ are isotopic in $S^{3}$, and $T \times[0,1] \subset S^{3}$ is the exterior of the Hopf link, it follows that $\alpha^{\prime}$ is a tunnel for the Hopf link exterior $T \times[-\delta / 2,1+\delta / 2] \subset S^{3}$. As the Hopf link is a 2 -braid link, by [1, Theorem 2.1] such a tunnel arc $\alpha^{\prime}$ is unique up to isotopy, hence $\alpha^{\prime}$ can be isotoped into a fiber $\{*\} \times[-\delta / 2,1+\delta / 2]$ of $T \times[-\delta / 2,1+\delta / 2]$. Therefore $P$ is isotopic to a surface of the form $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$ and so $K$ admits a $\left\{P, c_{0}, c_{1}\right\}$-structure.

With the aid of Lemma 2.6, the conditions in Lemma 3.5 can now be expressed entirely in simple algebraic terms whenever the Seifert Klein bottle surface $P$ is unknotted; this is the main content of the next result.

Lemma 3.6. Suppose $P$ is an unknotted Seifert Klein bottle for a knot $K \subset S^{3}$. Let $c_{0}$, $c_{1}$ be disjoint centers of $P$ with corresponding twisted lifts $\hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1} \subset \partial X(P)$ and $D$ the unique waist disk of $N(P)$ that separates $\hat{c}_{0}$ and $\hat{c}_{1}$.
(a) The knot $K$ admits $a\left\{P, c_{0}, c_{1}\right\}$-structure with induced twisted lifts $\hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}$ iff one of the following set of conditions holds:
(i) $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)=1=\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)$ and $[\partial D] \equiv[u, v]$ in $\pi_{1}(X(P))$ for some (and hence any) basis $\{u, v\}$ of $\pi_{1}(X(P))$;
(ii) for some $\{i, j\}=\{0,1\}: \mu\left(\hat{c}_{i}\right)=1, \mu\left(\hat{c}_{j}\right) \geqslant 2$, and $\partial D \equiv\left[u, v^{\mu\left(\hat{c}_{j}\right)}\right]$ in $\pi_{1}(X(P))$ for some (and hence any) basis $\{u, v\}$ of $\pi_{1}(X(P))$ such that $\left[\hat{c}_{j}\right] \equiv v^{\mu\left(\hat{c}_{j}\right)}$;
(iii) $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right) \geqslant 2, \mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right) \geqslant 2$, and $\partial D \equiv\left[u^{\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)}, v^{\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)}\right]$ in $\pi_{1}(X(P))$ for some (and hence any) basis $\{u, v\}$ of $\pi_{1}(X(P))$ such that $\left[\hat{c}_{0}\right] \equiv u^{\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)}$ and $\left[\hat{c}_{1}\right] \equiv v^{\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)}$.
(b) Suppose $K$ admits $a\left\{P, c_{0}, c_{1}\right\}$-structure with induced twisted lifts $\hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}$, and let $\{i, j\}=\{0,1\}$. If $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{i}\right)=1$ in $X(P)$ then, in $\pi_{1}(X(P))$, either $\left[\hat{c}_{i}\right],\left[\hat{c}_{j}\right]$ are both primitive or $\left[\hat{c}_{j}\right]$ is a power of a primitive.

Proof. Since $X(P)$ is a genus two handlebody, part (a) follows from a direct application of Lemma 2.6 to Lemma 3.5; in particular, observe that, by Lemma 2.6 , a basis $\{u, v\}$ of $\pi_{1}(X(P))$ satisfying the condition $\left[\hat{c}_{j}\right] \equiv v^{\mu\left(\hat{c}_{j}\right)}$ in (ii) or the conditions $\left[\hat{c}_{0}\right] \equiv u^{\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)}$ and $\left[\hat{c}_{1}\right] \equiv v^{\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)}$ in (iii) always exists.

For part (b) we have that $P$ is a surface of the form $P\left(c_{0}^{\varepsilon_{0}}, c_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, R\right)$ with induced twisted lifts $\hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}$; we assume for definiteness that $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)=1$ in $X(P)$. If $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)=1$ too then $X(P)=M\left(P, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right)$; since, by Lemma 3.4, $M\left(P, \hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}\right)=T_{0} \times I$ for some once punctured torus $T_{0} \subset S^{3}$ such that $\hat{c}_{0} \subset T_{0} \times\{0\}$ and $\hat{c}_{1} \subset T_{0} \times\{1\}$, it follows that [ $\hat{c}_{0}$ ], [ $\hat{c}_{1}$ ] are primitive in $\pi_{1}(X(P))=\pi_{1}\left(T_{0} \times I\right)=\pi_{1}\left(T_{0}\right)$. Otherwise, $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right) \geqslant 2$ and so [ $\left.\hat{c}_{1}\right]$ is a power of a primitive in $\pi_{1}(X(P))$ by Lemma 2.3(b).

### 3.3. A tunnel number one criterion

The next result gives a condition for a knot with an unknotted Seifert Klein bottle to have tunnel number one.
Lemma 3.7. Let $K \subset S^{3}$ be a nontrivial knot with an unknotted Seifert Klein bottle $P$. Then $K$ has tunnel number one if some center circle of $P$ has a lift to $T_{P}$ which is primitive in $X(P)$.

Proof. Since $P$ is unknotted, it follows from (2.1) that $N(P) \cup X(P)$ is a genus two Heegaard decomposition of $S^{3}$. Let $c_{0}$ be any center circle of $P$, and let $c_{1}$ be the only other center circle of $P$ which is disjoint from $c_{0}$. Then $N(P)=W_{0} \cup_{D} W_{1}$, where $W_{0}, W_{1} \subset N(P)$ are solid tori and $D$ is the (unique) waist disk $D$ of $N(P)$ which separates $c_{0}, c_{1}$. Finally, let $B\left(c_{0}\right)$ be the Moebius band in $N(P)$ constructed in Section 2.1, so that $\partial B\left(c_{0}\right) \subset T_{P}$ is the lift of $c$, and let $V\left(c_{0}\right)$ be a regular neighborhood of $B\left(c_{0}\right)$ in $N(P)$ disjoint from $\partial P ; V(c)$ is a solid torus.

If $\partial B\left(c_{0}\right)$ is primitive in $X(P)$ then $H_{1}=X(P) \cup V\left(c_{0}\right)$ is a genus two handlebody, while clearly $H_{2}=\operatorname{cl}(N(P) \backslash$ $\left.V\left(c_{0}\right)\right) \subset S^{3}$ is also a genus two handlebody; thus $H_{1} \cup H_{2}$ is a Heegaard decomposition for $S^{3}$. From the representation of $N(P), D, \partial P$ shown in Fig. 4 it is not hard to see that $\left[\partial P\right.$ ] is primitive in $\pi_{1}\left(H_{2}\right)$, which implies that there is a properly embedded disk $D_{0}$ in $H_{2}$ which intersects $\partial P$ transversely in one point. Therefore the knot $\partial P$, and hence $K$, has tunnel number one.

### 3.4. Hyperbolicity

Lemma 3.8. Let $k \subset S^{3}$ be a knot which is a (possibly trivial) torus knot or a 2-bridge knot. Let $P$ be a Seifert Klein bottle for $k$ with meridian circle $m$, and let $T_{P}$ be the frontier of $N(P)$ in $X_{k}$.
(a) If $k$ is a trivial or 2-bridge knot then $m$ is a trivial knot in $S^{3}$.


Fig. 5. The torus knots $T(3,7)$ and $T(3,5)$.
(b) If $k$ is nontrivial then $P$ is unknotted; moreover, if $k$ is a torus knot then
(i) $T_{P}$ is not $\pi_{1}$-injective in $X_{k}$,
(ii) $T_{P}$ is boundary compressible in $X_{k}$ iff $k=T(3,5), T(3,7)$, or $T(2, n)$ for some odd integer $n \neq \pm 1$, in which case

$$
m= \begin{cases}\text { trivial knot, } & k \neq T(3,7) \\ T(2,3), & k=T(3,7)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If $k$ is a 2-bridge knot then it follows from [11, Theorem 1.2(a)] that $k$ is a plumbing of an annulus $A$ and a Moebius band $B$, which are unknotted and unlinked in $S^{3}$. Moreover, $k$ bounds a unique Seifert Klein bottle $P$ by [7], namely the one obtained as the plumbing of $A$ and $B$, so $P$ is unknotted. This proves part (a) for $k$ a 2-bridge knot; since the meridian circle $m$ of $P$ is the core of $A$, it also follows that $m$ is a trivial knot in $S^{3}$.

The only nontrivial torus knots that bound a Moebius band are those of the form $T(2, n)$ for some odd integer $n \neq \pm 1$. Hence, any nontrivial torus knot $k$ which is not of the form $T(2, n)$ and bounds a Seifert Klein bottle has crosscap number two, so it follows from [16, Corollary 1.6] that $P$ is unique in $X_{k}$ up to isotopy and that $P$ is unknotted and not $\pi_{1}$-injective in $X_{k}$; moreover, by the argument used in the proof of [16, Corollary 1.6], $T_{P}$ is boundary compressible in $X_{k}$ iff $k$ is a torus knot of the form $T(5,3)$ or $T(3,7)$. Projections of the knots $T(3,5)$ and $T(3,7)$ spanning their unique Seifert Klein bottle $P$ are shown in Fig. 5; it can be seen that indeed the meridian circle $m$ of $P$ is the trivial knot and the trefoil $T(2,3)$, respectively $(T(3,5)$ can also be drawn as the pretzel $(-2,3,5)$, again showing the meridian circle of its Seifert Klein bottle is trivial).

We now deal with the cases where $k$ is either a nontrivial torus knot of the form $T(2, n)$, or $k$ is the trivial knot with a Seifert Klein bottle whose boundary slope, relative to a standard meridian-longitude pair $\mu, \lambda \subset \partial X_{k}$, is not $0 / 1$. These cases have in common that any Seifert Klein bottle $P$ in $X_{k}$, and hence the associated surface $T_{P}$, boundary compress in $X_{k}$ : this follows from [12, Lemma 4.2] if $k=T(2, n)$, while if $k$ is trivial then $X_{k}$ is a solid torus and hence the surface $P$ must boundary compress in $X_{k}$. In either case, $P$ boundary compresses into a Moebius band $B \subset X_{k}$ such that $\Delta(\partial P, \partial B)=2$. If $k=T(2, n)$ then $B$ is unique and so, for a fixed boundary slope, $P$ is obtained by adding a band (rectangle) in $\partial X_{k}$ to the Moebius band $B$, and hence $P$ is unique up to isotopy in $X_{k}$; if $k$ is the trivial knot we will see below that though $B$ may not be unique, the surfaces $P$ are unique relative to their boundary slopes.

Using a standard meridian-longitude pair $\mu, \lambda$ in $\partial X_{k}$, we may assume that $\partial P=a \mu+\lambda$ and

$$
\partial B= \begin{cases}2 \mu+b \lambda(b=\text { odd }), & k=\text { trivial } \\ 2 n \mu+\lambda, & k=T(2, n)\end{cases}
$$

Since $\Delta(\partial P, \partial B)=2$, we must then have

$$
a= \begin{cases} \pm 4 \text { and } b=\mp 1, & k=\text { trivial } \\ 2 n \pm 2, & k=T(2, n)\end{cases}
$$

The knot $k=T(2, n)$ can be represented as the pretzel knots $(n-2,1,-2)$ or ( $n+2,-1,2$ ), and in these projections $k$ spans a Seifert Klein bottle with boundary slope $2 n-2,2 n+2$, respectively, all having meridian circle a trivial knot. Since the Seifert Klein bottle for $k$ is unique for each of these slopes, it follows that the meridian circle of any Seifert Klein bottle bounded by $k=T(2, n)$ is a trivial knot.

If $k$ is the trivial knot then it follows from the above computation that $k$ bounds two Seifert Klein bottles with boundary slopes distinct from 0/1: $P_{1}$ with boundary slope +4 , which boundary compresses to the unique Moebius band $B_{1} \subset X_{k}$ such that $\partial B_{1}=2 \mu-\lambda$, and $P_{2}$ with boundary slope -4 , which boundary compresses to the unique Moebius band $B_{2} \subset X_{k}$ such that $\partial B_{2}=2 \mu+\lambda$. Therefore $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are unique relative to their boundary slope; the trivial knot $k$ can be represented as the pretzel knots $(0,1,1)$ or $(0,-1,-1)$ and in these projections $k$ spans the unique Seifert Klein bottles with boundary slopes $4,-4$, respectively, both of which have the trivial knots as meridian circle.

Therefore part (b) holds, and for part (a) only the case when $k$ is trivial and $P$ has boundary slope $0 / 1$ remains. But in this last case, if $D$ is the meridian disk of the solid torus $X_{k}$ then $\partial D$ and $\partial P$ both have the same boundary slope and so $P$ and $D$ can be isotoped in $X_{k}$ so that $\partial D$ and $\partial P$ are disjoint, and hence so that $P \cap D$ intersect transversely and minimally in circles only. Necessarily, $P \cap D$ is nonempty and consists of orientation preserving circles in $P$, i.e. of meridians or longitudes of $P$ (cf. Section 2.1). As an innermost disk $D^{\prime}$ of $P \cap D \subset D$ compresses $P$ along $\partial D^{\prime}$, the circle $\partial D$ must be a
meridian of $P$, for otherwise $\partial D$ would be a longitude of $P$ that bounds some Moebius band $B \subset P$, and $B \cup_{\partial} D^{\prime}$ would be a closed projective plane in $S^{3}$, an impossibility. Hence the meridian circle of $P$ is a trivial knot in $S^{3}$.

The above lemma can be used to give conditions under which a knot bounding a Seifert Klein bottle $P$ is hyperbolic in terms of the lifts of the meridians and the longitudes of $P$.

Lemma 3.9. Let $K$ be a nontrivial knot with Seifert Klein bottle $P$ such that $X(P)$ is atoroidal. Let $m_{1}, m_{2}$ be the lifts of the meridian circle $m$ of $P$ and $\mathcal{L}$ the collection of lifts of longitudes of $P$. If, in $X(P), \mu\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)=1$ for each $\ell^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}$ and either $\mu\left(m_{1}\right)=1$ or $\mu\left(m_{2}\right)=1$, then $X_{K}$ is atoroidal, and in such case,
(a) if $P$ is $\pi_{1}$-injective in $X_{K}$ then $K$ is hyperbolic,
(b) if the frontier $T_{P}$ of $N(P)$ is boundary compressible in $X_{K}$ and the meridian circle $m$ of $P$ is a nontrivial knot in $S^{3}$ then either $K$ is hyperbolic and not 2-bridge, or $K=T(3,7)$ and $m=T(2,3)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3(b), no element of $\mathcal{L}$, nor say $m_{2}$, has a companion annulus in $X(P)$.
Suppose $T$ is an essential torus in $X_{K}$. Since, by [12, Lemma 4.2], the fact that $K$ is a nontrivial knot implies that the surface $P$ is incompressible in $X_{K}$, and both $N(P)$ and $X(P)$ are atoroidal, we may assume $T$ intersects $P$ transversely and minimally with $P \cap T$ a nonempty collection of circles which are nontrivial in both $P$ and $T$. Necessarily, $P \cap T \subset T$ is a family of mutually parallel nontrivial circles, so we may assume that $T \cap N(P)$ is a collection of annuli which are fibered under the $I$-bundle structure of $N(P)=P \tilde{x} I$, i.e. $T \cap N(P)=(T \cap P) \tilde{x} I \subset N(P)$, and that each component of $T \cap X(P)$ is an annulus. Moreover, each circle in $P \cap T \subset P$ is either a meridian circle, a longitude circle, or a circle parallel to $\partial P$. It is not hard to see that if all circles $P \cap T \subset P$ are parallel to $\partial P$ then $T$ must be parallel to $\partial X_{K}$, which is not the case. Therefore there is a component $A$ of $T \cap X(P)$ such that at least one of its boundary components $\partial_{1} A, \partial_{2} A$ is not parallel to $\partial P$ in $P$. By [16, Lemma 2.3] we then have that, in $\partial X(P)$, the circles $\partial_{1} A, \partial_{2} A$ are either both parallel to $m_{1}$, both parallel to $m_{2}$, or both parallel to some element of $\mathcal{L}$. Given our hypothesis on $\mathcal{L}$ and $m_{1}, m_{2}$, since $|P \cap T|$ is minimal, this implies necessarily that $A$ is a companion annulus in $X(P)$ for $m_{1}$. By minimality of $|P \cap T|$, there are two annular components $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime}$ of $T \cap N(P)$ with, say, $\partial_{1} A=\partial_{1} A^{\prime}, \partial_{2} A=\partial_{1} A^{\prime \prime}$, and with $\partial_{2} A, \partial_{2} A^{\prime \prime} \subset \partial N(P)=\partial X(P)$ parallel to $m_{2}$. Applying [16, Lemma 2.3] again, it follows that some component of $T \cap X(P)$ must be a companion annulus of $m_{2}$ in $X(P)$, which by hypothesis is not the case.

Therefore $X_{K}$ is atoroidal and so by [15] the knot $K$ is either a hyperbolic or torus knot, hence part (a) holds by Lemma 3.8(b)(i). Suppose now $T_{P}$ is boundary compressible in $X_{K}$. If $m$ is a nontrivial knot then $K$ is not a 2-bridge knot by Lemma 3.8(a), and if $K$ is a torus knot then by Lemma 3.8(b)(ii) $K$ must be the $T(3,7)$ torus knot and $m=T(2,3)$; hence (b) holds.

### 3.5. Meridians, centers, longitudes, and their lifts

Our first result gives a criterion to identify the lifts of a meridian or longitude circle of a once punctured Klein bottle $P$; along with Lemma 2.3(c), this result will provide a simple algebraic way of identifying the lifts of the meridian.

Lemma 3.10. Let $P$ be a once punctured Klein bottle and $H=P \tilde{\times}$ I. If $A$ is an incompressible annulus properly embedded in $H$ with $\partial A \subset \partial H \backslash \partial P$, and $A$ is not parallel into $\partial H$, then $A$ can be isotoped in $H$ so that $A \cap P$ is either one meridian (if $A$ is nonseparating) or one longitude (if $A$ is separating) circle of $P$; in particular, $\partial A$ are the lifts of the circle $A \cap P$ to $\partial H$.

Proof. Let $A_{P}$ be an annular regular neighborhood of $\partial P$ in $\partial H, T$ be the twice punctured torus $\partial H \backslash$ int $A_{P}$, and $M$ be the manifold obtained by cutting $H$ along $P$, so that $M=T \times I$ with $T$ corresponding to $T \times 0$.

Isotope $A$ and $P$ in $H$ so as to intersect transversely and minimally. If $A \cap P=\emptyset$ then $A$ lies in $M=T \times I$ with $\partial A \subset T \times 0$; but then $A$ is parallel into $T \times 0$ in $M$ (cf. [17, Corollary 3.2]), and hence $A$ is parallel into $\partial H$ in $H$, contradicting our hypothesis; thus $A \cap P \neq \emptyset$. Since $A$ is orientable, $A \cap P$ consists of a collection of circles which preserve orientation in $P$, i.e., of longitudes of $P$ only or meridians of $P$ only. If $\alpha, \beta$ are distinct components of $A \cap P$ which cobound an annulus $A^{\prime} \subset A$ with $P \cap \operatorname{int} A^{\prime}=\emptyset$, then the annulus $A^{\prime} \cap M$ has both of its boundary components in $T \times 1$, and hence $A^{\prime}$ is parallel into $T \times 1$ in $T \times I$. It follows that $A^{\prime}$ is parallel into $P$ in $H$, contradicting the fact that $A \cap P$ is minimal. Therefore, $A \cap P$ consists of a single circle $\gamma$, a meridian or longitude of $P$, and so $\partial A$ are the lifts of $\gamma$ to $\partial H$.

Now let $m$ be the meridian circle of $P$, and let $c, \ell$ be a center and a longitude circle of $P$, respectively. If $c(n), \ell(n) \subset P$ denote the circles obtained by Dehn-twisting $n$-times the circles $c, \ell$ along $m$, it follows from [16, Lemma 3.1] that the collections $\{c(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{\ell(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ consist of all center and longitude circles of $P$ up to isotopy.

This fact generalizes into the following result, which describes the construction of the twisted lifts of all centers of $P$ and of all lifts of longitudes; its proof follows easily from the fact that Dehn-twisting $P \tilde{\times} I$ along the fibered annulus $A(m) \subset P \tilde{\times} I$ defined in Section 2.1 is an automorphism of $H$ that fixes $m$ and maps $P \subset H$ into itself.


Fig. 6.


Fig. 7.
Lemma 3.11. Let $P$ be a once punctured Klein with meridian circle $m \in P$ and fibered annulus $A(m) \subset H=P \tilde{\times} I$. Let $c_{0}$, $c_{1}$ be a pair of disjoint center circles of $P$ with $c_{0}^{\prime}, c_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ their twisted lifts to $\partial H$, respectively, and let $\ell^{\prime} \subset \partial H$ be the lift of any longitude of $P$.

Let $c_{0}(n), c_{1}(n) \subset P$ and $c_{0}^{\prime}(n), c_{0}^{\prime \prime}(n), c_{1}^{\prime}(n), c_{1}^{\prime \prime}(n), \ell^{\prime}(n) \subset \partial H$ be the circles obtained from $c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{0}^{\prime}, c_{0}^{\prime \prime}, c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ell^{\prime}$ after Dehn twisting $H$ n-times along $A(m)$. Then,
(a) the collection $\left\{\left(c_{0}(n), c_{1}(n)\right) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ consists of all pairs of disjoint centers of $P$, and the twisted lifts of each $c_{i}(n)$ are the circles $c_{i}^{\prime}(n), c_{i}^{\prime \prime}(n)$;
(b) the collection $\left\{\ell^{\prime}(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ consists of all lifts of longitudes of $P$.

## 4. The knots $K(p, q)$

We begin this section by providing a detailed construction of the family of knots $K(p, q)$ given in Section 1 . Let $H$ be a genus two handlebody standardly embedded in $S^{3}$ with a complete system of meridian disks $D_{0}, D_{1}$, as shown in Fig. 6, and let $c_{0}^{\prime}, c_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ be the four circles embedded in the boundary $\partial H$ shown in the same figure; notice that any pair formed by one circle in $c_{0}^{\prime}, c_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and one in $c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ give rise to a basis of $\pi_{1}(H)$. The homological sums $\ell_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\ell_{1}^{\prime}$ of the pairs of curves $c_{0}^{\prime}, c_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, respectively, indicated in Fig. 7, bound disjoint Moebius bands $B_{0}, B_{1}$ in $H$, respectively.

A waist disk $D_{2}$ of $H$ separating $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ can be constructed from the meridian disk $D_{0}$ of $H$ in Fig. 9, which is disjoint from $B_{1}$ and intersects $B_{0}$ in a single essential arc, by taking the frontier of a regular neighborhood of $D_{0} \cup c_{1}^{\prime}$ (or of $\left.D_{0} \cup c_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in $H$; such a waist disk of $H$ is unique up to isotopy.

We now connect the Moebius bands $B_{0}, B_{1}$ with the rectangle $R$ shown in Fig. 8 and produce a properly embedded Seifert Klein bottle $P(0,0)$ in $H$ whose boundary $\partial P(0,0)$ is isotopic to the knot $K(0,0)$ shown in Fig. 1; notice the cores $c_{0}, c_{1}$ of $B_{0}, B_{1}$, respectively, are disjoint centers of $P(0,0)$. The fact that $R$ intersects $D_{2}$ transversely in a single arc implies that $H=P(0,0) \tilde{x} I$, whence $H^{\prime}=X(P(0,0))$, by Section 3.2 that the twisted lifts of the centers $c_{0}, c_{1}$ are the pairs $c_{0}^{\prime}, c_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, respectively, and by Section 2.1 that $\ell_{0}^{\prime}=\partial B_{0}$ and $\ell_{1}^{\prime}=\partial B_{1}$ are the lifts of the longitudes of $P$ corresponding to the centers $c_{0}, c_{1}$, respectively. In particular, $P(0,0)$ is unknotted.

Finally, consider the circles $m_{0}, m_{1}$ shown in Fig. 10, which are disjoint from $K(0,0)$. Relative to the base of $\pi_{1}(H)$ dual to the meridian disks $D_{0}, D_{1}, m_{0}, m_{1}$ give rise to conjugate primitive words and so $m_{0}, m_{1}$ cobound a nonseparating annulus in $A(0,0)$ in $H$ by Lemma 2.3(c). By Lemma 3.10, it follows that $m_{0}, m_{1}$ are the lifts of the meridian $m$ of $P(0,0)$, so $A(0,0)$ can be isotoped so as to intersect $P(0,0)$ in $m$; thus $A(0,0)$ is the fibered annulus generated by $m$ in $H=P \tilde{x} I$.


Fig. 8. The Seifert Klein bottle bounded by $K(0,0)$ in $H$.
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Fig. 10.
By Lemma 3.11, Dehn twisting $H n$ times along $A(0,0)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ gives rise to the collections $\left\{\left(c_{0}^{\prime}(n), c_{0}^{\prime \prime}(n)\right) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(c_{1}^{\prime}(n), c_{1}^{\prime \prime}(n)\right) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ of twisted lifts of disjoint pairs of centers of $P$, as well as to the collection $\left\{\ell^{\prime}(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ of all lifts of longitudes of $P$ (with $c_{0}^{\prime}$ giving rise to $c_{0}^{\prime}(n), \ell_{0}^{\prime}$ to $\ell_{0}^{\prime}(n)$, etc.). At the same time the waist disk $D_{2} \subset H$ gives rise to a waist disk $D_{2}(n)$ separating the twisted lifts $c_{0}^{\prime}(n) \cup c_{0}^{\prime \prime}(n)$ and $c_{1}^{\prime}(n) \cup c_{1}^{\prime \prime}(n)$.

Remark 4.1. Any once punctured Klein bottle with $H$ as regular neighborhood can be constructed following the procedure outlined above for $P(0,0)$. That is, once the pairs of circles $c_{0}^{\prime}, c_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ (such that any pair formed by one circle in $c_{0}^{\prime}, c_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and one in $c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is a basis for $\left.\pi_{1}(H)\right)$, and the disk $D_{2}$ separating them are given, any rectangle $R \subset H$ that intersects $D_{2}$ in one arc may be used to join the Moebius bands $B_{0}, B_{1}$; the latter condition on $R$ guarantees that $H=P \tilde{\times} I$. In general, different rectangles $R$ will give rise in $H$ to nonisotopic once punctured Klein bottles whose meridians induce nonisotopic fibered annuli.

For any integers $p, q$, the Seifert Klein bottle $P(p, q)$ and the knot $K(p, q)=\partial P(p, q)$ are then obtained by Dehn twisting the pair $(P(0,0), K(0,0)) p$ and $q$ times along the disks $D_{0}, D_{1}$ of Fig. 1, respectively, as explained in Section 1 . We will denote the pairs of twisted lifts of centers of $P(p, q)$ by $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q), c_{0}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q)$ and $c_{1}^{\prime}(n, p, q), c_{1}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q)$, by $D_{2}(n, p, q) \subset H$


Fig. 11. The knot $K(-1,1) \subset \partial H$.
the waist disk that separates these pairs, by $\ell^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ the lifts of the longitudes of $P(p, q)$, and by $A(p, q) \subset H$ the fibered annulus in $H=P(p, q) \tilde{x} I$ induced by the meridian circle $m$ of $P(p, q)$ (with $c_{0}^{\prime}(n)$ giving rise to $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ after the Dehn twists along $D_{0}, D_{1}$, etc.).

By construction we have $H=N(P(p, q))=P(p, q) \tilde{x} I$ and $H^{\prime}=X(P(p, q))$, so $P(p, q)$ is unknotted. Let $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)=\langle x, y\rangle$, where $x, y$ is the base dual to the complete disk system of $H^{\prime}$ indicated in Fig. 1 (with the disks, and hence the circles $x, y$, oriented by the arrow head and arrow tail shown in the same figure). It is not hard to see that the words in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)=$ $\langle x, y \mid-\rangle$ corresponding to the circles constructed above, up to equivalence, are the ones given in the next lemma; for convenience, we may denote the elements $\left[c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q)\right]$, $\left[c_{0}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q)\right]$, etc., of $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ simply by $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q), c_{0}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q)$, etc.

Lemma 4.2. $\operatorname{In} \pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)=\langle x, y \mid-\rangle$,
(i) $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q) \equiv x^{p}\left(x^{p+1} y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y\right)^{n}$;
(ii) $c_{0}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q) \equiv x^{p} y x y\left(\bar{y} x^{p} y x^{p} y^{q} x^{p} y x^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p}\right)^{n}$;
(iii) $c_{1}^{\prime}(n, p, q) \equiv y \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y}^{q}\left(y^{q+1} x^{p} y x^{p+1} y x^{p}\right)^{n}$;
(iv) $c_{1}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q) \equiv \bar{y}^{q} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p}\left(x^{p} y x^{p} y^{q} x^{p} y x^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y}\right)^{n}$;
(v) $\ell_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q) \equiv\left(x^{p+1} y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y\right)^{n} x^{p+1} y\left(\bar{y} x^{p} y x^{p} y^{q} x^{p} y x^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p}\right)^{n} x^{p} y$;
(vi) $\ell_{1}^{\prime}(n, p, q) \equiv\left(y^{q+1} x^{p} y x^{p+1} y x^{p}\right)^{n} y \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y}^{q} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p}\left(x^{p} y x^{p} y^{q} x^{p} y x^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y}\right)^{n} \bar{y}^{q}$;
(vii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial D_{2}(n, p, q) \equiv & \left(x^{p} y x^{p+1} y x^{p} y^{q+1}\right)^{n}\left(\bar{y}^{q} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} y x^{p} y \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p}\right)^{n}\left(y x^{p} y \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y}^{q} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p}\right)^{n} x^{p} y x^{p}\left(y^{q} x^{p} y x^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} x^{p} y x^{p}\right)^{n} \bar{y} \\
& \cdot\left(\bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p+1} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y}^{q+1}\right)^{n} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p}\left(x^{p} y x^{p} y^{q} x^{p} y x^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y}\right)^{n} y ;
\end{aligned}
$$

(viii) $m_{0}(p, q) \equiv x^{p} y x^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} x^{p} y x^{p} y^{q}$;
(ix) $m_{1}(p, q) \equiv x^{p} y x^{p+1} y x^{p} y^{q+1}$;
(x) $\partial P(p, q) \equiv x^{p} y x^{2 p+1} y x^{p} y^{q} x^{p} y x^{p} y^{q}$.

Lemma 4.3. The knot $K(p, q)$ is trivial if $(p, q)=(0,0),(0,1)$, and a torus knot if $p=0$ and $q \neq-1,0($ with $K(0, q)=T(2,2 q-1))$ or $(p, q)=(-1,1)$ (with $K(-1,1)=T(5,8)$ ). In all other cases, $K(p, q)$ is a hyperbolic tunnel number one knot which is not 2-bridge, and its Seifert Klein bottle $P(p, q)$ is $\pi_{1}$-injective in the knot exterior iff $(p, q)$ is not a pair of the form $(-1,2),(-2,1)$, or $(p, 0)$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $K(0,0)$ and $K(0,1)$ are trivial knots, $K(-1,1)=T(5,8)$ (see Fig. 11), and $K(0, q)=T(2,2 q-1)$ for all $q$. From now on we assume that $(p, q)$ is not a pair of the form $(0,0),(0,1),(-1,1)$, or $(0, q)$.

By Lemma 3.7, since $\ell_{0}^{\prime}(0, p, q) \equiv x\left(x^{p} y\right)^{2}$ is primitive in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, all the knots $K(p, q)$ have tunnel number one.
By Corollary 2.4, the Seifert Klein bottle $P(p, q)$ is $\pi_{1}$-injective iff $\left[\partial P(p, q)\right.$ ] is not primitive nor a power in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 4.2(x), $\partial P(p, q) \equiv x^{p} y x^{2 p+1} y x^{p} y^{q} x^{p} y x^{p} y^{q}$, so $\partial P(p, 0) \equiv x\left(x^{2 p} y\right)^{3}$ is a primitive word. Suppose now $q \neq 0$. If $p \neq-1$ then by Lemma $2.1 \partial P(p, q)$ is primitive/power iff $q=1$; since $\partial P(p, 1) \equiv x^{p+1}\left(x^{p} y\right)^{5}$, it follows that $\partial P(p, 1)$ is primitive/power iff $|p+1| \leqslant 1$ iff $p=-2$ (as $p \neq 0,-1$ ), in which case $\partial P(-2,1)$ is primitive. If $p=-1$ then $\partial P(-1, q)=$ $\bar{x} y \bar{x} y \bar{x} y^{q} \bar{x} y \bar{x} y^{q}$, so by Lemma 2.1, as $q \neq 0, \partial P(-1, q)$ is a power iff $q=1$ (which corresponds to the torus knot $K(-1,1)=$ $T(5,8)$ ) and primitive iff $q=2$. Therefore, $P(p, q)$ is $\pi_{1}$-injective iff $(p, q)$ is not one of the pairs $(-1,2),(-2,1)$, or $(p, 0)$.

Now, for $p \neq 0$, the circle $m_{1}(p, q)$ is a positive or negative braid on $s=3$ strings with $c=6|p|$ crossings (see Fig. 10), whence its genus is $g=(c-s+1) / 2=3|p|-1 \geqslant 2$ (cf. [14]), and so the meridian circle of $P(p, q)$ is a nontrivial knot which is distinct from the genus one knot $T(2,3)$. Since $\partial P(-1,2), \partial P(-2,1), \partial P(p, 0)$ are all primitive in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ by the argument above, the hyperbolicity of $K(p, q)$ for $(p, q) \neq(0,0),(0,1),(-1,1),(0, q)$ can be established via Lemma 3.9 by
checking that $\mu\left(\ell_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q)\right)=1=\mu\left(\ell_{1}^{\prime}(n, p, q)\right)$ and either $\mu\left(m_{0}(p, q)\right)=1$ or $\mu\left(m_{1}(p, q)\right)=1$ hold in $H^{\prime}=X(P(p, q))$ for all $n$ and the allowed values of $(p, q)$.

By Lemma 4.2, $m_{0}(p, q) \equiv x^{p} y x^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} x^{p} y x^{p} y^{q} \in \pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. As $p \neq 0$, if $q \neq 0$ then the word for $m_{0}(p, q)$ is cyclically reduced, while the cyclic reduction of $m_{0}(p, 0)$ is $x^{2 p} y x^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} x^{p} y$; in either case the word for $m_{0}(p, q)$ contains both $y$ and $\bar{y}$ factors and so it is neither primitive nor a power by Lemma 2.1; thus $\mu\left(m_{0}(p, q)\right)=1$ for all $p, q$ by Lemma 2.3(b).

Consider now $\ell_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q) \equiv\left(x^{p+1} y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y\right)^{n} x^{p+1} y\left(\bar{y} x^{p} y x^{p} y^{q} x^{p} y x^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p}\right)^{n} x^{p} y \in \pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Clearly $\ell_{0}^{\prime}(0, p, q) \equiv x\left(x^{p} y\right)^{2}$ is primitive. If $|n| \geqslant 2$ then, since $p \neq 0$, it is not hard to see that the cyclic reduction of the word $\ell_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ contains both $y$ and $\bar{y}$ factors, while for $n= \pm 1$ the word $\ell_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ contains both $y^{n}$ and $y^{3 n}$ factors. Hence in all cases $\mu\left(\ell_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q)\right)=1$ by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3(b).

Finally, $\ell_{1}^{\prime}(0, p, q) \equiv \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y}^{q} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y}^{q-1} \in \pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. For $n=0$, if $|p| \geqslant 2$ then $\ell_{1}^{\prime}(0, p, q)$ contains at least three factors $\bar{x}^{p}$; if $|p|=1$ then $\ell_{1}^{\prime}(0, p, 0)$ contains both $y$ and $\bar{y}$ factors, $\ell_{1}^{\prime}(0, p, 1)=\bar{x}^{p}\left(\bar{x}^{p} y\right)^{3}$ is primitive, and for any $q \neq 0,1$ the word $\ell_{1}^{\prime}(0, p, q)$ contains three different powers $\bar{y}, \bar{y}^{q}, \bar{y}^{q-1}$. Finally, for $|n|=1$ we have $\ell_{1}^{\prime}(n, p, q) \equiv\left(x\left(x^{p} y\right)^{2}\right)^{|n|}$, a primitive word, and for $|n| \geqslant 2$ the word $\ell_{1}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ contains both $y$ and $\bar{y}$ factors. Thus again $\mu\left(\ell_{1}^{\prime}(n, p, q)\right)=1$ in all cases.

## Lemma 4.4.

(a) The boundary slope of the surface $P(p, q)$ is $r=4 q-36 p$.
(b) If $(p, q)$ is not a pair of the form $(0,0),(0,1),(-1,1),(0, q)$ then, except for $K(-1,2)(r)=S^{2}(2,2,3), K(-2,1)(r)=$ $S^{2}(2,2,7)$, and $K(p, 0)(r)=S^{2}(2,2,|6 p-1|)$, the manifold $K(p, q)(r)$ is irreducible and toroidal.

Proof. Since $\partial P(p, q)$ lies in $\partial H$, the boundary slope of $\partial P(p, q)$ coincides with the linking number between $\partial P(p, q)$ and a parallel copy in $\partial H$. From Fig. 1 we can thus see that the boundary slope of $\partial P(p, q)$ is $r=0$, and that the above linking number decreases by $6^{2}=36$ with each positive Dehn twist along $D_{0}$, and increases by $2^{2}=4$ with each positive Dehn twist along $D_{1}$. Thus the boundary slope of $P(p, q)$ is $r=4 q-36 p$ and (a) holds.

For part (b) suppose $(p, q) \neq(0,0),(0,1),(-1,1),(0, q)$, and denote $P(p, q)$ by $P$ for simplicity. Let $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{N}$ be the manifolds obtained by attaching 2-handles to $H^{\prime}=X(P)$ and $N(P)$ along $\partial P$, respectively; thus, if $r$ is the boundary slope of $P$ then $K(p, q)(r)=\tilde{X} \cup_{\partial} \tilde{N}$. We will consider $N(P)$ as a Seifert fibered space over a disk with two singular fibers of indices 2 , 2; in particular, the pair $(\tilde{N}, \partial \tilde{N})$ is irreducible, and the circle $\ell_{0}^{\prime}(0, p, q) \subset \partial \tilde{N}$ is a fiber of $\tilde{N}$ disjoint from $\partial P(p, q)$.

By Lemma 4.3, if $(p, q) \neq(-1,2),(-2,1),(p, 0)$ then the surface $P$ is $\pi_{1}$-injective in the exterior of $K(p, q)$, which implies that the surface $T_{P}$ is incompressible in $H^{\prime}=X(P)$ and hence, by the 2-handle addition theorem, that the pair $(\tilde{X}, \partial \tilde{X})$ is irreducible. Therefore $K(r)=\tilde{X} \cup_{\partial} \tilde{N}$ is irreducible and $\partial \tilde{X}=\partial \tilde{N}$ is an incompressible torus in $K(r)$.

Now, by Lemma 4.2, $[\partial P(p, 0)] \equiv x\left(x^{2 p} y\right)^{3}$ is primitive in $\pi_{1}\left(H_{\tilde{N}}^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{1}(X(P))$ and so $\tilde{X}$ is a solid torus; thus the Seifert fibration of $\tilde{N}$ extends to a Seifert fibration of $K(p, 0)(r)=\tilde{X} \cup_{\partial} \tilde{N}$ over the 2 -sphere with fibers of indices 2 , 2 , $n$, where $n=|6 p-1|$ is the order of the cyclic group

$$
\pi_{1}(\tilde{X}) /\left\langle\left[\ell_{0}^{\prime}(0, p, 0)\right]\right\rangle=\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right) /\left\langle[\partial P(p, 0)],\left[\ell_{0}^{\prime}(0, p, 0)\right]\right\rangle=\mathbb{Z}_{|6 p-1|}
$$

Therefore $K(p, 0)(r)=S^{2}(2,2,|6 p-1|)$. The identities $K(-1,2)(r)=S^{2}(2,2,3)$ and $K(-2,1)(r)=S^{2}(2,2,7)$ follow in a similar way.

We now classify the words $\left[c_{i}^{\prime}(n, p, q)\right]$ and $\left[c_{i}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q)\right]$ which are primitive or a power in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$; we will need this information to discern which knots $K(p, q)$ admit a $(1,1)$ decomposition.

Lemma 4.5. In $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, for $p \neq 0$,
(a) • $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ is primitive iff $(n, p, q)=(0, \pm 1, q),(1,-2,0),(1,-1,1),(1, p,-1),(-1,2,0),(-1,1,1),(2,-1,0),(-2,1,0)$, or $(n, p, q)=(n, 1,-1)$ for all $n$;

- $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ is a power iff $(n, p, q)=(0, p, q)$ for $|p| \geqslant 2$, or $(n, p, q)=(1,-1,0),(-1,1,0)$;
(b) • $c_{0}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q)$ is primitive iff $(n, p, q)=(0,2, q),(1, p, 0),(1,-1,2),(1,-2,1)$;
- $c_{0}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q)$ is a power iff $(n, p, q)=(0,1, q),(1,-1,1)$;
(c) $\bullet c_{1}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ is primitive iff $(n, p, q)=(0, \pm 1,1),(0, \pm 1,3),(-1,2,0),(-1,-1,2)$, or $(-1, p, 1)$;
- $c_{1}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ is a power iff $(n, p, q)=(-1,1,0)$ or $(0, p, 2)$;
(d) • $c_{1}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q)$ is primitive iff $(n, p, q)=(0, \pm 1,2)$ or $(0, p, 0)$;
$\bullet c_{1}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q)$ is a power iff $(n, p, q)=(0, p, 1)$.
Proof. The words for $c_{i}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ and $c_{i}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q)$ in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)=\langle x, y \mid-\rangle$ are given in Lemma 4.2. It is easy to see that in the given cases the words $c_{i}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ and $c_{i}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q)$ are indeed primitive or powers as claimed. In order to establish the converse statements we apply Lemma 2.1; the fact that a word of the form $u^{s} v^{t}$ in the free group $\langle u, v \mid-\rangle$ is primitive iff $|s|=1$ or $|t|=1$, and a power iff $s=0,|t| \geqslant 2$ or $|s| \geqslant 2, t=0$, will also be of use.

So suppose some word $c_{i}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ or $c_{i}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q)$ is primitive or a power in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. We consider the case of the word $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q) \equiv x^{p}\left(x^{p+1} y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y\right)^{n}$ in part (a) in full detail; the other parts of the lemma follow along entirely similar lines, so their proof will be omitted.
(1) For $n=0, c_{0}^{\prime}(0, p, q) \equiv x^{p}$. Thus $c_{0}^{\prime}(0, p, q)$ is primitive iff $p= \pm 1$ and a power iff $|p| \geqslant 2$.
(2) For $n=1, c_{0}^{\prime}(1, p, q) \equiv x^{2 p+1} y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y$.

- If $q \neq-1$ then $x^{2 p+1} y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y$ is cyclically reduced, so by Lemma 2.1 either all exponents of $x$ are 1 or all -1 , or all exponents of $y$ are 1 or all -1 ; that is, either $p=-1$ or $q=0$. By Lemma 2.1 , the word $c_{0}^{\prime}(1,-1, q) \equiv \bar{x} y \bar{x} y^{q+1} \bar{x} y$ is primitive iff $q=1$ and a power iff $q=0$, while the word $c_{0}^{\prime}(1, p, 0) \equiv x^{2 p+1} y x^{p} y x^{p} y=x^{p+1}\left(x^{p} y\right)^{3}$ is primitive iff $p+1= \pm 1$ iff $p=-2($ as $p \neq 0)$ and a power iff $p=-1$.
- If $q=-1$ then $c_{0}^{\prime}(1, p,-1) \equiv x^{2 p+1} y x^{2 p} y$ is primitive for all $p$.

Remark. For the rest of the argument in this proof, we will implement the strategy used in (2) and will not explicitly indicate the use of Lemma 2.1 for the sake of brevity.
(3) For $n=-1, c_{0}^{\prime}(-1, p, q) \equiv x y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y$.

- If $q \neq-1$ then $x y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y$ is cyclically reduced, hence either $p=1$ or $q=0$. The word $c_{0}^{\prime}(-1,1, q) \equiv x y x y^{q+1} x y$ is primitive iff $q=1$ and a power iff $q=0$, while the word $c_{0}^{\prime}(-1, p, 0) \equiv x y x^{p} y x^{p} y$ is primitive iff $p=2$ and a power iff $p=1$.
- If $q=-1$ then the word $c_{0}^{\prime}(-1, p,-1) \equiv x y x^{2 p} y \equiv x^{2 p-1}(x y)^{2}$ is primitive iff $p=1$ (as $\left.p \neq 0\right)$ and never a power.
(4) For $n \geqslant 2, c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q) \equiv x^{p}\left(x^{p+1} y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y\right)^{n}$.
- If $p \neq-1$ and $q \neq-1$ then $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q) \equiv x^{p}\left(x^{p+1} y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y\right)^{n}$ is cyclically reduced and $x$ appears with the three distinct exponents $2 p+1, p+1, p$, so $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ is never primitive nor a power.
- If $p=-1, c_{0}^{\prime}(n,-1, q) \equiv \bar{x}\left(y \bar{x} y^{q+1} \bar{x} y\right)^{n}$ is cyclically reduced and $y$ appears with exponents $1,2, q+1$, hence we must have $q+1=0,1,2$, i.e. $q=-1,0,1$.
- If $q=-1$ then $c_{0}^{\prime}(n,-1,-1) \equiv \bar{x}\left(y \bar{x}^{2} y\right)^{n}=\bar{x} y \bar{x}^{2} y^{2} \bar{x}^{2} y\left(y \bar{x}^{2} y\right)^{n-2}$ is cyclically reduced and both $\bar{x}$ and $y$ appear with exponents 1 and 2 , so $c_{0}^{\prime}(n,-1,-1)$ is never primitive nor a power.
- If $q=0$ then $c_{0}^{\prime}(n,-1,0) \equiv \bar{x}(y \bar{x} y \bar{x} y)^{n} \equiv u^{n-1} v^{3}$ for the basis $u=y \bar{x} y \bar{x} y$ and $v=y \bar{x}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, hence $c_{0}^{\prime}(n,-1,0)$ is primitive iff $n=2$ and never a power.
- If $q=1$ then $c_{0}^{\prime}(n,-1,1) \equiv \bar{x}\left(y \bar{x} y^{2} \bar{x} y\right)^{n} \equiv u^{2 n-1} v^{2}$ for the basis $u=y \bar{x} y$ and $v=y \bar{x}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, hence $c_{0}^{\prime}(n,-1,1)$ is neither primitive nor a power.
- For $q=-1$ and $p \neq-1$ the word

$$
c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p,-1) \equiv x^{p}\left(x^{p+1} y x^{2 p} y\right)^{n}=x^{2 p+1} y x^{2 p} y x^{p+1} y x^{2 p} y\left(x^{p+1} y x^{2 p} y\right)^{n-2}
$$

is cyclically reduced and $x$ appears with exponents $2 p+1,2 p, p+1$, which are mutually distinct for $p \neq 1$, in which case $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p,-1)$ is neither primitive nor a power, while for $p=1$ the word $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, 1,-1) \equiv x\left(x^{2} y\right)^{2 n}$ is always primitive.
(5) For $n \leqslant-2$,

$$
c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q) \equiv \bar{x}^{p}\left(x^{p+1} y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y\right)^{|n|}=x y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y x^{p+1} y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y\left(x^{p+1} y x^{p} y^{q+1} x^{p} y\right)^{|n|-2} .
$$

- If $q \neq-1$ then the word for $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ is cyclically reduced and $x$ appears with exponents $p+1, p, 1$ while $y$ appears with exponents $q+1,1$, so we must have $p=1$ and $q=0$; thus $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, 1,0) \equiv \bar{x}\left(x^{2} y x y x y\right)^{|n|} \equiv u^{|n|-1} v^{3}$ for the basis $u=x^{2} y x y x y$ and $v=x y$ of $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, so $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, 1,0)$ is primitive iff $n=-2$ and never a power.
- If $q=-1$ then $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, p, q) \equiv x y x^{2 p} y x^{p+1} y x^{2 p} y\left(x^{p+1} y x^{2 p} y\right)^{|n|-2}$ and $x$ appears with exponents $2 p, p+1$, 1 , so $p=1$ again; clearly $c_{0}^{\prime}(n, 1,-1) \equiv \bar{x}\left(x^{2} y\right)^{2|n|}$ is always primitive.

Therefore part (a) holds.

The following lemma is the last result needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 4.6. If $K(p, q)$ is a hyperbolic knot then $K(p, q)$ is a $(1,1)$-knot iff $(p, q)$ is a pair of the form $(-1,0),(1, q)$, or $(p, 1),(p, 2)$ for $p \neq 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, $(p, q)$ is not a pair of the form $(0,0),(0,1),(-1,1)$, or $(0, q)$. That $K(p, q)$ admits a ( 1,1 ) decomposition for $(p, q)=(p, 1),(p, 2),(1, q)$, and $(-1,0)$ follows directly from Lemma 3.6(a) using the following choices for $\hat{c}_{0}, \hat{c}_{1}$ and the fact that $H^{\prime}=X(P(p, q))$ :
(1) $\hat{c}_{0}=c_{0}^{\prime}(0, p, 1)$ and $\hat{c}_{1}=c_{1}^{\prime \prime}(0, p, 1)$; then $\left[\hat{c}_{0}\right] \equiv x^{p},\left[\hat{c}_{1}\right] \equiv\left(y x^{p}\right)^{2}$, whence $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)=|p|$ and $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)=2$, and $\left[\partial D_{2}(0, p, 1)\right] \equiv\left(y x^{p}\right)^{2}\left(\bar{y} \bar{x}^{p}\right)^{2} \equiv\left[x^{|p|}, v^{2}\right]$ for the basis $\left\{x, v=y x^{p}\right\}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$;
(2) $\hat{c}_{0}=c_{0}^{\prime}(0, p, 2)$ and $\hat{c}_{1}=c_{1}^{\prime}(0, p, 2)$; then $\left[\hat{c}_{0}\right] \equiv x^{p},\left[\hat{c}_{1}\right] \equiv\left(y x^{p}\right)^{2}$, so again $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)=|p|$ and $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)=2$ while $\left[\partial D_{2}(0, p, 2)\right] \equiv\left(y x^{p}\right)^{2}\left(\bar{y} \bar{x}^{p}\right)^{2} \equiv\left[x^{|p|}, v^{2}\right]$ for the basis $\left\{x, v=y x^{p}\right\}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$;
(3) $\hat{c}_{0}=c_{0}^{\prime \prime}(0,1, q)$ for $q \neq 1,2$ (the cases $q=1,2$ follow from (1) and (2) above); then $\left[c_{0}^{\prime \prime}(0,1, q)\right]=(y x)^{2}$, so $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)=2$, while $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)=1$ by Lemma $4.5(\mathrm{c})$ and $\left[\partial D_{2}(0,1, q)\right] \equiv\left[u^{2}, y\right]$ for the basis $\{u=y x, y\}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$;
(4) $\hat{c}_{0}=c_{0}^{\prime}(1,-1,0)$; then $\left[\hat{c}_{0}\right] \equiv(y \bar{x})^{3}$, so $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)=3$, and $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)=1$ by Lemma 4.5(c) while $\left[\partial D_{2}(1,-1,0)\right] \equiv$ $(y \bar{x})^{2} y(x \bar{y})^{3} \bar{x} \equiv\left[u^{3}, y\right]$ for the basis $\{u=y \bar{x}, y\}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$.

Conversely, assume that $K(p, q)$ admits a $(1,1)$ decomposition and the pair $(p, q)$ is not of the form $(-1,0),(1, q)$, or $(p, 1),(p, 2)$ for $p \neq 0$ (recall also that $(p, q)$ is not a pair of the form $(0,0),(0,1),(-1,1)$, or $(0, q))$; we show this situation contradicts Lemma 3.6(a). The following fact will be useful in the sequel.

Claim 4.7. If $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)=1=\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)$ then $n \neq-1,0$.
Proof. For $n=-1,0$ the word for $\left[\partial D_{2}(n, p, q)\right]$ in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ has the following cyclic reductions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\partial D_{2}(0, p, q)\right] \equiv\left(y x^{p}\right)^{2}\left(\bar{y} \bar{x}^{p}\right)^{2},} \\
& {\left[\partial D_{2}(-1, p, q)\right] \equiv x^{p} y x^{p} y^{q} x^{p} y x^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y}^{q} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y}^{q} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} y x^{p} y^{q} y x y x^{p} y^{q}, \quad q \neq 0,} \\
& {\left[\partial D_{2}(-1, p, 0)\right] \equiv x^{2 p} y x^{2 p} y x^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} \bar{y} \bar{x} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{2 p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{2 p} \bar{y} \bar{x}^{p} y x^{p} y x y .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left[\partial D_{2}(n, p, q)\right] \not \equiv[x, y]$ for $n=-1,0$, so the claim follows by Lemma 3.6(a)(i).
We now consider two cases:

Case 1. $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)=1$.
By Lemmas 2.3(b) and $3.6(\mathrm{~b})$, in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right),\left[\hat{c}_{0}\right]$ is not a power and $\left[\hat{c}_{1}\right]$ is either primitive with $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)=1$ or a power with $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right) \geqslant 2$. We thus consider the following subcases.

Subcase 1.1. $\left[\hat{c}_{1}\right]$ is primitive, $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)=1$.
As $\hat{c}_{1}=c_{1}^{\prime}(n, p, q)$ or $c_{1}^{\prime \prime}(n, p, q)$ gives rise to a primitive word in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ then $n=-1,0$ by Lemma 4.5 , contradicting Claim 4.7.

Subcase 1.2. $\left[\hat{c}_{1}\right]$ is a power, $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right) \geqslant 2$.
By Lemma 4.5, $\hat{c}_{1}$ must be one of $c_{1}^{\prime}(-1,1,0), c_{1}^{\prime}(0, p, 2)$, or $c_{1}^{\prime \prime}(0, p, 1)$, contradicting our hypothesis that $(p, q)$ is not of the form $(1, q),(p, 1),(p, 2)$.

Case 2. $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right) \geqslant 2$.
Then $\left[\hat{c}_{0}\right]$ is a power in $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$; by Lemma 4.5, with the given restrictions on the pair $(p, q)$, we must have $\hat{c}_{0}=c_{0}^{\prime}(0, p, q)$ for $|p| \geqslant 2$ and $q \neq 1,2$, and hence either $\left[\hat{c}_{1}\right]=\left[c_{1}^{\prime}(0, p, q)\right] \equiv y^{q-1} x^{p} y x^{p}$ or $\left[\hat{c}_{1}\right]=\left[c_{1}^{\prime \prime}(0, p, q)\right] \equiv y^{q} x^{p} y x^{p}$.

Now, by Lemma 2.1, for any $p \neq 0, y^{q-1} x^{p} y x^{p}$ is a power iff $q=2$ while $y^{q} x^{p} y x^{p}$ is a power iff $q=1$; thus $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{1}\right)=1$ in all cases. However, $\mu\left(\hat{c}_{0}\right)=|p| \geqslant 2$ since $\left[\hat{c}_{0}\right]=\left[c_{0}^{\prime}(0, p, q)\right] \equiv x^{p}$, while $\left[\partial D_{2}(0, p, q)\right] \equiv\left(y x^{p}\right)^{2}\left(\bar{y} \bar{x}^{p}\right)^{2} \not \equiv\left[x^{p}, y\right]$ for the basis $\{x, y\}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, contradicting Lemma 3.6(a)(ii).
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