

Discrete Mathematics 263 (2003) 305-309

DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

Note

# Decomposition formulas of zeta functions of graphs and digraphs

Iwao Sato

Oyama National College of Technology, Oyama, Tochigi 323-0806, Japan

Received 14 May 2001; accepted 30 September 2002

## Abstract

We give a decomposition formula of the zeta function of a regular covering of a graph G with respect to equivalence classes of prime, reduced cycles of G. Furthermore, we give a decomposition formula of the zeta function of a g-cyclic  $\Gamma$ -cover of a symmetric digraph D with respect to equivalence classes of prime cycles of D, for any finite group  $\Gamma$  and  $g \in \Gamma$ . © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Graph covering; Digraph covering; Zeta function

## 1. Introduction

Graphs and digraphs treated here are finite and simple. Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a connected graph with vertex V(G) and arc set E(G), and D the symmetric digraph corresponding to G. Note that E(G) = E(D). We also refer D as a graph G. For  $e = (u, v) \in D(G)$ , set u = o(e) and v = t(e). Furthermore, let  $e^{-1} = (v, u)$  be the *inverse* of e = (u, v).

A path P of length n in D (or G) is a sequence  $P = (e_1, ..., e_n)$  of n arcs such that  $e_i \in E(G), t(e_i) = o(e_{i+1})(1 \le i \le n-1)$ . Set  $|P| = n, o(P) = o(e_1)$  and  $t(P) = t(e_n)$ . Also, P is called (o(P), t(P))-path. We say that a path  $P = (e_1, ..., e_n)$  has a back-tracking if  $e_{i+1}^{-1} = e_i$  for some  $i(1 \le i \le n-1)$ . A (v, w)-path is called a v-cycle (or v-closed path) if v = w. The inverse cycle of a cycle  $C = (e_1, ..., e_n)$  is the cycle  $C^{-1} = (e_n^{-1}, ..., e_1^{-1})$ .

We introduce an equivalence relation between cycles. Such two cycles  $C_1 = (e_1, ..., e_m)$  and  $C_2 = (f_1, ..., f_m)$  are called *equivalent* if  $f_j = e_{j+k}$  for all j. The inverse cycle of C is not equivalent to C. Let [C] be the equivalence class which contains a cycle

E-mail address: isato@oyama-ct.ac.jp (I. Sato).

<sup>0012-365</sup>X/03/\$ - see front matter © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(02)00789-6

C. Let  $B^r$  be the cycle obtained by going r times around a cycle B. Such a cycle is called a *multiple* of B. A cycle C is *reduced* if both C and  $C^2$  have no backtracking. Furthermore, a cycle C is *prime* if it is not a multiple of a strictly smaller cycle. Note that each equivalence class of prime, reduced cycles of a graph G corresponds to a unique conjugacy class of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(G, v)$  of G for a vertex v of G.

The (*Ihara*) zeta function of a graph G is defined to be a function of  $u \in \mathbb{C}$  with u sufficiently small, by

$$\mathbf{Z}(G, u) = \mathbf{Z}_G(u) = \prod_{[C]} (1 - u^{|C|})^{-1},$$

where [C] runs over all equivalence classes of prime, reduced cycles of G, and |C| is the length of C (see [1,11]).

Zeta functions of graphs started from zeta functions of regular graphs by Ihara [6]. In [6], he showed that their reciprocals are explicit polynomials. Hashimoto [5] treated multivariable zeta functions of bipartite graphs. Bass [1] generalized the Ihara's result on the zeta function of a regular graph to an irregular graph, and showed that its reciprocal is a polynomial. Various proofs of Bass's Theorem were given by [3,7,11]. Mizuno and Sato [9] obtained a decomposition formula for the zeta function of a regular covering of a graph.

Cycles, prime cycles and reduced cycles in a simple digraph which is not symmetric are defined similarly to the case of a symmetric digraph. Let D be a connected digraph, and  $N_m$  the number of all cycles with length m in D (we do not require that cycles are reduced). Then, the *zeta function* of a digraph D is defined to be a function of  $u \in \mathbb{C}$  with u sufficiently small, by

$$\mathbf{Z}(D, u) = \mathbf{Z}_D(u) = \exp\left(\sum_{m \ge 1} \frac{N_m}{m} u^m\right).$$

Let *D* have *n* vertices  $v_1, ..., v_n$ . The *adjacency matrix*  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(D) = (a_{ij})$  of *D* is the square matrix of order *n* such that  $a_{ij} = 1$  if there exists an arc starting at the vertex  $v_i$  and terminating at the vertex  $v_j$ , and  $a_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. Mizuno and Sato [10] gave a determinant expression and an Euler product expression of the zeta function of a digraph:  $\mathbf{Z}(D, u)^{-1} = \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}(D)u) = \prod_{[C]} (1 - u^{|C|})$ , where [C] runs over all equivalence classes of prime cycles of *D*.

Kotani and Sunada [7] treated zeta functions of strongly connected digraphs. In [7], they stated a connection between zeta functions of graphs and that of strongly connected digraphs, and gave a new proof of Bass's Theorem by using the connection. Let G = (V, E) be a connected non-circuit graph. Then the *oriented line graph*  $L(\vec{G}) = (V_L, E_L)$  of G is defined as follows:

$$V_L = E; E_L = \{(e_1, e_2) \in E \times E | \bar{e}_1 \neq e_2, t(e_1) = o(e_2) \}.$$

There exist no reduced cycles in the oriented line graph. Thus, there is a one-to-one correspondence between prime cycles in  $L(\vec{G})$  and prime, reduced cycles in G, and so  $\mathbf{Z}_{G}(u) = \mathbf{Z}_{L(\vec{G})}(u)$ .

306

#### 2. Zeta functions of regular coverings of graphs

Let G be a graph and  $\Gamma$  a finite group. Let E(G) be the arc set of the symmetric digraph corresponding to G. Then a mapping  $\alpha: E(G) \to \Gamma$  is called an *ordinary voltage assignment* if  $\alpha(v,u) = \alpha(u,v)^{-1}$  for each  $(u,v) \in E(G)$ . The pair  $(G,\alpha)$  is called an *ordinary voltage graph*. The *derived graph*  $G^{\alpha}$  of the ordinary voltage graph  $(G,\alpha)$  is defined as follows:  $V(G^{\alpha}) = V(G) \times \Gamma$  and  $((u,h),(v,k)) \in E(G^{\alpha})$  if and only if  $(u,v) \in E(G)$  and  $k = h\alpha(u,v)$ . The *natural projection*  $\pi: G^{\alpha} \to G$  is defined by  $\pi(u,h)=u,(u,h) \in V(G^{\alpha})$ . The graph  $G^{\alpha}$  is called a *derived graph covering* of G with voltages in  $\Gamma$  or a  $\Gamma$ -covering of G. The natural projection  $\pi$  commutes with the right multiplication action of the  $\alpha(e), e \in E(G)$  and the left action of  $\Gamma$  on the fibers:  $g(u,h)=(u,gh), g \in \Gamma$ , which is free and transitive. Thus, the  $\Gamma$ -covering  $G^{\alpha}$  is a  $|\Gamma|$ -fold regular covering of G with covering transformation group  $\Gamma$ . Furthermore, every regular covering of a graph G is a  $\Gamma$ -covering of G for some group  $\Gamma$  (see [4]).

Let G be a connected graph,  $\Gamma$  a finite group and  $\alpha: E(G) \to \Gamma$  an ordinary voltage assignment. Then we define the *net voltage*  $\alpha(P)$  of each path  $P = (v_1, \dots, v_l)$  of G by  $\alpha(P) = \alpha(v_1, v_2) \cdots \alpha(v_{l-1}, v_l)$ . We denote the order of  $g \in \Gamma$  by ord(g).

**Theorem 1.** Let G be a connected graph,  $\Gamma$  a finite group with n elements, and  $\alpha: E(G) \to \Gamma$  an ordinary voltage assignment. Suppose that the  $\Gamma$ -covering  $G^{\alpha}$  of G is connected. Then the reciprocal of the zeta function of  $G^{\alpha}$  is

$$\mathbf{Z}(G^{\alpha},u)^{-1} = \prod_{[C]} (1-u^{|C|\operatorname{ord}(\alpha(C))})^{n/\operatorname{ord}(\alpha(C))},$$

where [C] runs over all equivalence classes of prime, reduced cycles of G.

**Proof.** Let C be any prime, reduced cycle of  $G^{\alpha}$  and  $\pi(C) = C_0^k$ , where  $C_0$  is a prime, reduced cycle of G and  $\pi: G^{\alpha} \to G$  is the natural projection. Let  $m = \operatorname{ord}(\alpha(C_0))$ . By [4, Theorem 2.1.3], the preimage of  $C_0$  in  $G^{\alpha}$  is the union of n/m disjoint cycles with length  $m|C_0|$ , and so k=m. Therefore, it follows that

$$\mathbf{Z}(G^{\alpha}, u)^{-1} = \prod_{[C_0]} (1 - u^{|C_0| \operatorname{ord}(\alpha(C_0))})^{n/\operatorname{ord}(\alpha(C_0))},$$

where  $[C_0]$  runs over all equivalence classes of prime, reduced cycles of G.  $\Box$ 

## 3. Zeta functions of cyclic $\Gamma$ -covers

Let *D* be a symmetric digraph and  $\Gamma$  a finite group. A function  $\alpha: E(D) \to \Gamma$  is called *alternating* if  $\alpha(y,x) = \alpha(x,y)^{-1}$  for each  $(x,y) \in E(D)$ . For  $g \in \Gamma$ , a *g*-cyclic  $\Gamma$ -cover  $D_g(\alpha)$  of *D* is the digraph defined as follows (see [8]):  $V(D_g(\alpha)) = V(D) \times \Gamma$ , and  $((v,h),(w,k)) \in E(D_g(\alpha))$  if and only if  $(v,w) \in E(D)$  and  $k = h\alpha(v,w)g^{-1}$ . The *natural projection*  $\pi: D_g(\alpha) \to D$  is a function from  $V(D_g(\alpha))$  onto V(D) which erases the second coordinates. A digraph D' is called a cyclic  $\Gamma$ -cover of *D* if D' is a *g*-cyclic  $\Gamma$ -cover of *D* for some  $g \in \Gamma$ .

The pair  $(D, \alpha)$  of D and  $\alpha$  can be considered as the ordinary voltage graph  $(\tilde{D}, \alpha)$  of the underlying graph  $\tilde{D}$  of D. Thus the 1-cyclic  $\Gamma$ -cover  $D_1(\alpha)$  corresponds to the  $\Gamma$ -covering  $\tilde{D}^{\alpha}$ , where 1 is the unit of  $\Gamma$ .

Let *D* be a connected symmetric digraph,  $\Gamma$  a finite group and  $\alpha: E(D) \to \Gamma$  an alternating function. Furthermore, let  $g \in \Gamma$ . Then we define the function  $\alpha_g: E(D) \to \Gamma$  as follows:  $\alpha_g(v, w) = \alpha(v, w)g^{-1}, (v, w) \in E(D)$ . For each path  $P = (v_1, \dots, v_l)$  of *D*, let  $\alpha_g(P) = \alpha(v_1, v_2)g^{-1} \cdots \alpha(v_{l-1}, v_l)g^{-1}$ . Note that, if  $g^2 \neq 1$ , then  $\alpha_g$  is not alternating, and so  $D_q(\alpha)$  is not a  $\Gamma$ -covering of the underlying graph of *D*.

For  $h \in \Gamma$ , the *permutation matrix*  $\mathbf{P}_h = (p_{ij})$  of h in  $\Gamma$  is the square matrix of order n such that  $p_{ij} = 1$  if  $g_i h = g_j$ , and  $p_{ij} = 0$  otherwise, where  $n = |\Gamma|$  and  $\Gamma = \{g_1 = 1, g_2, ..., g_n\}$ . A cyclic permutation  $(h_1 \ h_2 \dots h_m)$  is the permutation such that  $h_1 \rightarrow h_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow h_m \rightarrow h_1$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let D be a connected symmetric digraph,  $\Gamma$  a finite group with n elements,  $g \in \Gamma$  and  $\alpha: E(D) \to \Gamma$  an alternating function. Then the reciprocal of the zeta function of  $D_q(\alpha)$  is

$$\mathbf{Z}(D_g(\alpha), u)^{-1} = \prod_{[C]} (1 - u^{|C|\operatorname{ord}(\alpha_g(C))})^{n/\operatorname{ord}(\alpha_g(C))},$$

where [C] runs over all equivalence classes of prime cycles of D.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3 and Corollary 3 in [10], we have

$$\mathbf{Z}(D_g(\alpha), u)^{-1} = \prod_{[C]} \prod_{\rho} \det(\mathbf{I} - \rho(\alpha_g(C))u^{|C|})^f,$$

where  $\rho$  runs over all irreducible representations of  $\Gamma$  and  $f = \deg \rho$ . The property of the right regular representation of a finite group implies that

$$\prod_{\rho} \det(\mathbf{I} - \rho(\alpha_g(C))u^{|C|})^f = \det(\mathbf{I}_n - \sigma(\alpha_g(C))u^{|C|}) = \det(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P}_{\alpha_g(C)}u^{|C|}),$$

where  $\mathbf{P}_h$  is the permutation matrix of h in  $\Gamma$ , and  $\sigma$  is the right regular representation of  $\Gamma$  (see [2]).

Let  $\gamma = \alpha_g(C)$ ,  $H = \langle \gamma \rangle$  the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\gamma$ ,  $m = \operatorname{ord}(\gamma)$  and k = n/m. Furthermore, Let  $\{h_1 = 1, h_2, \dots, h_k\}$  be a set of all representatives of  $\Gamma/H$ . Then the disjoint cycle decomposition of  $\sigma(\gamma)$  is

$$\sigma(\gamma) = (1 \ \gamma \cdots \gamma^{m-1})(h_2 \ h_2 \gamma \cdots h_2 \gamma^{m-1}) \cdots (h_k \ h_k \gamma \cdots h_k \gamma^{m-1}).$$

Thus,

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P}_{\gamma} u^{|C|}) = \det(\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{P}_{\gamma}' u^{|C|})^k = (1 - u^{|C|m})^k,$$

where  $\mathbf{P}'_{\gamma}$  is the permutation matrix of  $\gamma$  in *H*. Therefore, the result follows.  $\Box$ 

308

# Acknowledgements

Iwao Sato was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Science Research (C).

## References

- [1] H. Bass, The Ihara-Selberg zeta function of a tree lattice, Internat. J. Math. 3 (1992) 717-797.
- [2] M. Burrow, Representation Theory of Finite Group, Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- [3] D. Foata, D. Zeilberger, A combinatorial proof of Bass's evaluations of the Ihara-Selberg zeta function for graphs, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351 (1999) 2257–2274.
- [4] J.L. Gross, T.W. Tucker, Topological Graph Theory, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1987.
- [5] K. Hashimoto, Zeta Functions of Finite Graphs and Representations of p-Adic Groups, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 15, Academic Press, New York, 1989, pp. 211–280.
- [6] Y. Ihara, On discrete subgroups of the two by two projective linear group over *p*-adic fields, J. Math. Soc. Japan 18 (1966) 219–235.
- [7] M. Kotani, T. Sunada, Zeta functions of finite graphs, J. Math. Sci. U. Tokyo 7 (2000) 7-25.
- [8] H. Mizuno, I. Sato, Characteristic polynomials of some covers of symmetric digraph, Ars Combinatoria 45 (1997) 3–12.
- [9] H. Mizuno, I. Sato, Zeta functions of graph coverings, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 80 (2000) 247-257.
- [10] H. Mizuno, I. Sato, Zeta functions of digraphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 336 (2001) 181-190.
- [11] H.M. Stark, A.A. Terras, Zeta functions of finite graphs and coverings, Adv. Math. 121 (1996) 124-165.