

Colocalization on Grothendieck Categories with Applications to Coalgebras

C. Năstăsescu*

Facultatea de Matematică, Str. Academiei 14, R. 70109 Bucharest 1, Romania

Metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

B. Torrecillas†

Departamento de Algebra y Análisis, Universidad de Almería, 04071 Almería, Spain

Communicated by Richard G. Swan

Received June 28, 1995

INTRODUCTION

Rings and modules of quotients with respect to an additive topology \mathcal{F} or a localizing subcategory of $R - \text{Mod}$ were introduced by Gabriel in his thesis [3], and have been an important tool in ring theory for more than 20 years. If $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the localizing subcategory of $R - \text{Mod}$ associated to \mathcal{F} , then we can consider the quotient category $R - \text{Mod}/\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and the canonical functor $T_{\mathcal{F}}: R - \text{Mod} \rightarrow R - \text{Mod}/\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$ (see [3] and [7]). It is well known that $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ has a right adjoint $S_{\mathcal{F}}: R - \text{Mod}/\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow R - \text{Mod}$ (in fact the existence of such a right adjoint functor is equivalent to the fact that the subcategory we factor by is localizing; see [3]). The quotient ring $R_{\mathcal{F}}$ associated to \mathcal{F} is an approach to the quotient category in the sense that under certain conditions $R_{\mathcal{F}} - \text{Mod}$ is equivalent to the quotient category $R - \text{Mod}/\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$. This situation represents the perfect localization of rings (or the flat epimorphism of rings; see [7, p. 225]). Starting from the localization for rings, we develop a theory of “localization” for coalgebras,

* Part of this work was done while this author was visiting the University of Almería supported by DGICYT.

† Supported by the grant PB91-0706 from the DGICYT.

giving reasonable answers in this paper. More exactly, if C is a coalgebra over the field k , and \mathcal{F} is a dense subcategory (or a Serre class) of the category M^C of right C -comodules, we can consider the quotient category M^C/\mathcal{F} and the canonical functor $T: M^C \rightarrow M^C/\mathcal{F}$. The key step comes now: instead of considering that \mathcal{F} is a localizing subcategory (i.e., \mathcal{F} is closed under arbitrary direct sums or, equivalently, T has a right adjoint), we will ask \mathcal{F} to be a colocalizing subcategory (i.e., the functor T has a left adjoint H). We will see later that a colocalizing subcategory is also localizing. The colocalizing subcategory \mathcal{F} is called perfect if H is an exact functor. This situation is dual to the perfect localization of rings (or the flat epimorphism of rings).

This paper is divided into five sections. In Section 1 we give some properties of left coflat monomorphisms of coalgebras. A study of colocalization in an abelian category is made in Section 2. We apply these results, in Section 3, to the category M^C of right C -comodules, introducing the quotient coalgebra with respect to a colocalization as an analogue of the quotient ring. We also give the main properties of the quotient coalgebra. In Section 4 we study perfect colocalization on M^C , proving that a perfect colocalization is given by a (left) coflat monomorphism. It follows from Section 1 that we can associate a perfect colocalization to any coflat monomorphism of coalgebras. The last section is concerned with applications. A relevant example is the Goldie torsion theory, to which case we apply our theory.

NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let k be a field. By k -space (k -map) we mean a k -vector space (k -linear map). All unadorned tensor products, Hom, etc., will be over k . The reader is referred to the books [1] and [8] for notions and notations concerning coalgebras and comodules. The category of k -coalgebras is denoted by \mathbf{Cog}_k . If C is a coalgebra, the categories of right (resp. left) C -comodules is denoted by \mathbf{M}^C (resp. ${}^C\mathbf{M}$). The fact that a k -space M is an object of such a category is denoted by M_C (resp. ${}_C M$). If $M, N \in \mathbf{M}^C$, the k -space of C -comodules maps between M and N is denoted by $\text{Com}_C(M, N)$. If C, D are coalgebras, the category of (C, D) -bicomodules (i.e., left C -comodules, right D -comodules with compatible structures) is denoted by ${}^C\mathbf{M}^D$; an object in this category is represented by ${}_C M_D$.

We will freely use "sigma notation": $\Delta(c) = \sum c_1 \otimes c_2$ for the comultiplication of a coalgebra C and $\rho(m) = \sum m_0 \otimes m_1$ for the structure map of a right C -comodule M .

For any abelian category \mathcal{A} we denote $Z(\mathcal{A})$ its centre, i.e., the commutative ring of all natural morphisms of the identity functor $1_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

\mathcal{A} is called a k -abelian category whenever there exists a (preserving unit) ring morphism $\sigma: k \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{A})$. Giving such a σ is equivalent to defining on any $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$ a k -space structure such that the composition maps $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(N, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, P)$ are k -bilinear. \mathbf{M}^C , ${}^C\mathbf{M}$, and ${}^C\mathbf{M}^D$ are instances of k -abelian categories.

An abelian category \mathcal{A} is called locally finite if it has a family of generators of finite length (see [7]). Following Takeuchi [9], a k -abelian category \mathcal{A} is of finite type if \mathcal{A} is locally finite and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$ is finite dimensional (over k) for any objects M, N of finite length. (It is easily seen that this last property is equivalent to $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is finite dimensional for any simple object M of \mathcal{A} .) We recall from [9, Theorem 5.1] that a k -abelian category is of finite type if and only if it is k -equivalent to a category \mathbf{M}^C for some coalgebra C . If \mathcal{A} is of finite type, an object $M \in \mathcal{A}$ is quasifinite if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(S, M)$ is finite dimensional for all simple objects S of \mathcal{A} (or equivalently $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, M)$ is finite dimensional for all objects $X \in \mathcal{A}$ of finite length).

Let C be an arbitrary coalgebra, M be a right C -comodule, and N be a left C -comodule. The cotensor product $M \square_C N$ is the kernel of the k -map $\rho_M \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \rho_N: M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes C \otimes N$. Following [2], the cotensor product is a left exact functor and preserves inductive limits $\mathbf{M}^C \times {}^C\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_k$ (\mathbf{M}_k is the category of k -spaces). Moreover the mappings $m \otimes c \rightarrow \epsilon(c)m$ and $c \otimes n \rightarrow \epsilon(c)n$ yield natural isomorphisms $M \square_C C \simeq M$ and $C \square_C N \simeq N$. The cotensor product is associative. If $N \in \mathbf{M}^C$ has finite dimension, then $N^* = \text{Hom}(N, k)$ has a natural structure of left C -comodule and $M \square_C N^* \simeq \text{Com}_C(N, M)$. A left C -comodule M is called left coflat if the functor $-\square_C M: \mathbf{M}^C \rightarrow k\text{-Mod}$ is exact. It is proved by Takeuchi that M is left coflat if and only if M is an injective object of ${}^C\mathbf{M}$ (cf. [2]).

Let now $M \in {}^C\mathbf{M}^D$. Then M_D is quasifinite if and only if the functor $-\square_C M: \mathbf{M}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^D$ has a left adjoint denoted by $h_{-D}(M, -)$ ([9]). The functor $h_{-D}(M, -)$ is called the co-hom functor. The following description is given in [9]: if $Y \in \mathbf{M}^D$, then

$$h_{-D}(M, Y) = \lim_{\rightarrow i} \text{Com}_D(Y_i, M)^* = \lim_{\rightarrow i} (M \square_D Y_i^*)^*,$$

where $(Y_i)_i$ is the family of finite dimensional subcomodules of Y_D . We have in particular that $h_{-D}(D, Y) \simeq Y$ for any $Y \in \mathbf{M}^D$. The functor $h_{-D}(M, -)$ is right exact and commutes with inductive limits; it is an exact functor if and only if M_D is injective. If M_D is quasifinite, then $e_{-D}(M) = h_{-D}(M, M)$ has a natural structure of coalgebra, called the co-endomorphism coalgebra of M (see [9]); M becomes then an $(e_{-D}(M), D)$ -bicomodule. For any (bi)comodules M_C, N_D , and ${}_D X_C$, with quasifinite M_C , there exists a canonical map $\delta: h_{-C}(M, N \square_D X) \rightarrow N \square_D h_{-C}(M, X)$,

which is an isomorphism if either N_D is injective or M_C is quasifinite and injective (see [9, 1.13]).

1. COFLAT MONOMORPHISMS

Let $\varphi: C \rightarrow D$ be a coalgebra morphism. Then any $M \in \mathbf{M}^C$ (with the structure map $\rho_M: M \rightarrow M \otimes C$) becomes a right D -comodule by $(1 \otimes \varphi)\rho_M: M \rightarrow M \otimes D$. This defines an exact functor $(-)_\varphi: \mathbf{M}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^D$. In particular, C itself may be regarded as a left and right D -comodule. Since $C \in {}^D\mathbf{M}^C$, we can consider $N^\varphi = N \square_D C \in \mathbf{M}^C$ for any $N \in \mathbf{M}^D$, which is a right adjoint of $(-)_\varphi$ by [2, Proposition 6]. We observe that $(-)_\varphi = - \square_C C$, where C is considered a (C, D) -bicomodule. C is a (C, C) -bicomodule, thus it is also a (D, D) -bicomodule via φ . Let now $M \in \mathbf{M}^C$. Since $(1_M \otimes \varphi \otimes 1_C)(\rho_M \otimes 1_C)\rho_M = (1_M \otimes \varphi \otimes 1_C)(1_M \otimes \Delta)\rho_M$, we have that $\rho_M(M) \subseteq M_\varphi \square_D C$. Denote by $\bar{\rho}_M: M \rightarrow M_\varphi \square_D C$ the co-restriction of ρ_M . Clearly the maps $\{\bar{\rho}_M, M \in \mathbf{M}^C\}$ define a natural morphism $\bar{\rho}: \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{M}^C} \rightarrow (-)^\varphi \circ (-)_\varphi$.

For $M = C$ we have $\rho_C = \Delta_C$; this yields a canonical morphism $\bar{\Delta}: C \rightarrow C \square_D C$, which is a (C, C) -bicomodule morphism. Let

$$C \square_D C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_1} \\ \xrightarrow{p_2} \end{array} C$$

be the restriction of the canonical maps $C \otimes C \rightarrow C$ defined by $c_1 \otimes c_2 \rightarrow \epsilon(c_1)c_2$ (resp. $c_1 \otimes c_2 \rightarrow c_1\epsilon(c_2)$). Clearly p_1 is a (D, C) -bicomodule morphism and p_2 is a (C, D) -bicomodule morphism. Also $p_1\bar{\Delta} = p_2\bar{\Delta} = 1_C$.

A characterization of monomorphism in the category of \mathbf{Cog}_k was given in [5, Theorem 3.5]. Assume now that φ is left coflat morphism, i.e., the functor $- \square_D C$ is exact. In this case $\mathcal{T}_\varphi = \{M \in \mathbf{M}^D \mid M \square_D C = 0\}$ is a localizing subcategory of \mathbf{M}^D . Let $T: \mathbf{M}^D \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^D/\mathcal{T}_\varphi$ be the canonical functor. By [5, Theorem 4.2], there exists a subcoalgebra A of D such that $\mathcal{T}_\varphi = \{M \in \mathbf{M}^D \mid \rho_M(M) \subseteq M \otimes A\}$. Moreover, A is a co-idempotent coalgebra, i.e., $A = A \wedge A$.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *If $\varphi: C \rightarrow D$ is a left coflat monomorphism, then*

- (1) $\text{Ker } \varphi$ and $\text{Coker } \varphi$ belong to \mathcal{T}_φ ;
- (2) D/A is quasifinite in \mathbf{M}^D ;
- (3) If $E(D/A)$ is the injective envelope of D/A in \mathbf{M}^D and $j: D/A \rightarrow E(D/A)$ is the inclusion map, then $\text{Coker } j$ belongs to \mathcal{T}_φ ;
- (4) $T(D_D)$ is a quasifinite injective cogenerator of the quotient category $\mathbf{M}^D/\mathcal{T}_\varphi$.

Proof. (1) We consider the functors

$$\mathbf{M}^C \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{(-)_\varphi} \\ \xrightarrow{(-)_\varphi} \end{array} \mathbf{M}^D \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{T} \\ \xrightarrow{S} \end{array} \mathbf{M}^D / \mathcal{F}_\varphi,$$

where S is the right adjoint of T . If $F = T \circ (-)_\varphi$ and $G = (-)_\varphi \circ S$, then by [5, Theorem 6.1], F and G give an equivalence of categories between \mathbf{M}^C and $\mathbf{M}^D / \mathcal{F}_\varphi$. Since φ is a monomorphism, we obtain by [5, Theorem 3.5] that $\text{Ker } \varphi \square_D C = 0$, hence $\text{Ker } \varphi \in \mathbf{M}^D / \mathcal{F}_\varphi$. Next consider the exact sequence in \mathbf{M}^D , $C \rightarrow_\varphi D \rightarrow \text{Coker } \varphi \rightarrow 0$. Applying $-\square_D C$ we get $C \square_D C \rightarrow_{\varphi \square_D} D \square_D C \rightarrow \text{Coker } \varphi \square_D C \rightarrow 0$. Identifying $D \square_D C$ with C , $\varphi \square_D C$ is replaced by $p_1: C \square_D C \rightarrow C$. Since $p_1 \circ \bar{\Delta} = 1_C$ and $\bar{\Delta}$ is an isomorphism, we obtain that p_1 is an isomorphism; therefore $\text{Coker } \varphi \square_D C = 0$ and $\text{Coker } \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_\varphi$.

(4) Since $A \in \mathcal{F}_\varphi$, then $T(D_D) = T(D/A)$. Since $\text{Ker } \varphi, \text{Coker } \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_\varphi$, we obtain that $T(C_D) = T(D_D)$. Therefore $F(C_C) = T(C_D) = T(D/A) = T(D_D)$. However, F is an equivalence; hence $F(C_C)$ is a quasifinite injective cogenerator. Thus $T(D_D)$ has the same properties.

(2) Let M be a simple object in \mathbf{M}^D . Then $\text{Com}_D(M, D/A) = 0$ if $M \in \mathcal{F}_\varphi$. Assume that M is \mathcal{F}_φ -torsion-free. Then the canonical morphism

$$\text{Com}_D(M, D/A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}^D / \mathcal{F}_\varphi}(T(M), T(D/A)),$$

$f \rightarrow Tf$, is injective. Since $T(M)$ is simple in $\mathbf{M}^D / \mathcal{F}_\varphi$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}^D / \mathcal{F}_\varphi}(T(M), T(D/A))$ is finite dimensional. It follows that D/A is quasifinite.

(3) Applying T to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow D/A \rightarrow_j E(D/A) \rightarrow \text{Coker } j \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T(D/A) \rightarrow_{T(j)} T(E(D/A)) \rightarrow T(\text{Coker } j) \rightarrow 0$. Since $T(D/A) \simeq T(D_D)$ is injective, the essential monomorphism $T(j)$ is an isomorphism; therefore $T(\text{Coker } j) = 0$, i.e., $\text{Coker } j \in \mathcal{F}_\varphi$.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let C be a coalgebra and \mathcal{F} a localizing subcategory of \mathbf{M}^C . Then the quotient category $\mathbf{M}^C / \mathcal{F}$ is a k -abelian category of finite type.*

Proof. Let $T: \mathbf{M}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^C / \mathcal{F}$ be the canonical functor and S its right adjoint. Following [9], it is sufficient to prove that $\text{End}_{\mathbf{M}^C / \mathcal{F}}(X)$ is finite dimensional for any simple object $X \in \mathbf{M}^C / \mathcal{F}$. The functor S is fully faithful; thus $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}^C / \mathcal{F}}(X, X) \simeq \text{Com}_C(S(X), S(X))$. However, $S(X)$ contains a nonzero simple object M . Since $T(S(X)) \simeq X$, we have that $S(X)/M \in \mathcal{F}$ and $T(M) \simeq X$. Finally $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}^C / \mathcal{F}}(X, X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}^C / \mathcal{F}}(T(M), X) \simeq \text{Com}_C(M, S(X)) \simeq \text{Com}_C(M, M)$, which is finite dimensional.

2. COLOCALIZATION IN ABELIAN CATEGORIES

Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and \mathcal{C} a dense subcategory (or a Serre class) of \mathcal{A} , i.e., for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{A} , $M \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $M', M'' \in \mathcal{C}$ (see [3, p. 365]). We can construct the quotient category \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} and the canonical exact functor $T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}$. We recall from [3] that the objects of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} are just the objects of \mathcal{A} , and if $M, N \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}}(M, N) = \lim_{\rightarrow M', N'} \{ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M', N/N') \mid M/M' \in \mathcal{C}, N' \in \mathcal{C} \}.$$

The quotient category \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} is abelian. The dense subcategory \mathcal{C} is called localizing if T has a right adjoint. In the case where \mathcal{A} is a Grothendieck category, the dense subcategory \mathcal{C} is localizing if and only if \mathcal{C} is closed under arbitrary direct sums.

We are interested in the situation where \mathcal{C} is a colocalizing subcategory, i.e., the canonical functor T has a left adjoint $H: \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. The following result is not new; it is an exercise in [3, p. 369].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a colocalizing subcategory of the abelian category \mathcal{A} and let X be an object of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C} . The following assertions hold:*

(a) *If $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ is a quotient object of $H(X)$, then $Y = 0$.*

(b) *If $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow_f P \rightarrow H(X) \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence in \mathcal{A} and $M \in \mathcal{C}$, then f splits.*

(c) *The canonical morphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(H(X), M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}}(TH(X), T(M))$ sending f to $T(f)$ is an isomorphism for any $M \in \mathcal{A}$.*

(d) *If $\Psi: 1_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow T \circ H$ and $\Phi: H \circ T \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ are the natural morphisms defined by the adjunction, then Ψ is an isomorphism and, for any $M \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker} \Phi(M) \rightarrow HT(M) \xrightarrow{\Phi(M)} M \rightarrow \mathrm{Coker} \Phi(M) \rightarrow 0$$

with $\mathrm{Ker} \Phi(M), \mathrm{Coker} \Phi(M) \in \mathcal{C}$.

(e) *The functor H is fully faithful.*

Proof. Everything follows by [3, Lemmas 1 and 2 and Proposition 3, pp. 370, 371] using the dual category \mathcal{A}^o . In this case \mathcal{C} remains a Serre class in \mathcal{A}^o , but H becomes a right adjoint of the canonical functor $T: \mathcal{A}^o \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^o/\mathcal{C}$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let \mathcal{E} be a colocalizing subcategory of \mathcal{A} . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) $M \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(H(X), M) = \mathbf{0}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{E}$.
- (2) If \mathcal{A} satisfies $AB3^*$ (i.e., \mathcal{A} has arbitrary direct products), then \mathcal{E} is closed to direct products.
- (3) If moreover \mathcal{A} is a Grothendieck category, then \mathcal{E} is also a localizing subcategory.

Proof. (1) If $M \in \mathcal{E}$, the desired relation follows by Proposition 2.1(a). Conversely, if $M \notin \mathcal{E}$, then $T(M) \neq \mathbf{0}$. Hence $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{E}}(T(M), T(M)) \neq \mathbf{0}$. However, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{E}}(T(M), T(M)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(HT(M), M) = \mathbf{0}$, providing a contradiction.

(2) Directly from (1).

(3) Let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of objects of \mathcal{E} . We have by (2) that $\prod_{i \in I} M_i \in \mathcal{E}$, and since $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is a subobject of $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$, we obtain that $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \in \mathcal{E}$, i.e., \mathcal{E} is a localizing subcategory.

From now on, we assume in this section that \mathcal{A} is an abelian category with $AB3^*$, and \mathcal{E} is a colocalization subcategory of \mathcal{A} . If $M \in \mathcal{A}$ we can define $s(M) = \bigcap_i M_i$, where M_i are the subobjects of M with the property that $M/M_i \in \mathcal{E}$. Since \mathcal{E} is closed to direct product, we obtain from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M/s(M) \rightarrow \prod_i M/M_i$ that $M/s(M) \in \mathcal{E}$. The correspondence $M \rightarrow s(M)$ defines a subfunctor of the identity functor. Indeed, if $u: M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism in \mathcal{A} , we obtain from $s(M) \subseteq M \rightarrow_u N \rightarrow_\pi N/s(N)$ that $\text{Im}(\pi \circ u) \subseteq N/s(N)$, hence $\text{Im}(\pi \circ u) \in \mathcal{E}$. Therefore $\text{Ker}(\pi \circ u) \supseteq s(M)$. Thus $(\pi \circ u)(s(M)) = \mathbf{0}$, showing that $u(s(M)) \subseteq s(N)$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let $M \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists $X \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{E}$ such that $M \simeq H(X)$ if and only if $s(M) = M$ and M is \mathcal{E} -projective, i.e., for any diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & M & & \\
 & & \downarrow f & & \\
 P & \xrightarrow{u} & P' & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{0}
 \end{array}$$

with $\text{Ker } u \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists $g: M \rightarrow P$ such that $f = ug$.

Proof. If $M \simeq H(X)$, Proposition 2.1(a) shows that $s(M) = M$. That M is \mathcal{E} -projective follows from the fact that H is a left adjoint of T .

Conversely, $\text{Coker } \phi(M) \in \mathcal{E}$ and $s(M) = M$ imply that $\text{Coker } \phi(M) = 0$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & M \\ & & \downarrow 1_M \\ HT(M) & \xrightarrow{\phi(M)} & M \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where $\text{Ker } \phi(M) \in \mathcal{E}$ shows that there is $g: M \rightarrow HT(M)$ such that $\phi(M)g = 1_M$. Hence $HT(M) \simeq M \oplus \text{Ker } \phi(M)$; that is, $\text{Ker } \phi(M)$ is a quotient object of $HT(M)$. Therefore $\text{Ker } \phi(M) = 0$ and $HT(M) \simeq M$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let \mathcal{E} be a colocalizing subcategory of \mathcal{A} and $M \in \mathcal{A}$. The following properties hold:*

(1) $\text{Im } \phi(M) = s(M)$ for any $M \in \mathcal{A}$.

(2) If \mathcal{E} is closed under taking injective envelopes, then $\phi(M)$ is a monomorphism for any M .

Proof. (1) Let $u: M \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism, where $Y \in \mathcal{E}$. Since $\text{Im}(u \circ \phi(M)) \subseteq Y$, we obtain that $\text{Im}(u \circ \phi(M)) = 0$. Hence $\text{Im } \phi(M) \subseteq \text{Ker } u$. Thus we have that $\text{Im } \phi(M) \subseteq s(M)$. On the other hand $s(M) \subseteq \text{Im } \phi(M)$, since $\text{Coker } \phi(M) \in \mathcal{E}$.

(2) We consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \phi(M) & \xrightarrow{i} & HT(M) & \xrightarrow{\phi(M)} & M, \\ & & \downarrow j & & & & \\ & & E(\text{Ker } \phi(M)) & & & & \end{array}$$

where $E(\text{Ker } \phi(M)) \in \mathcal{E}$ is the injective envelope of $\text{Ker } \phi(M)$. There is some $u: HT(M) \rightarrow E(\text{Ker } \phi(M))$ such that $ui = j$. Proposition 2.1(a) shows that $u = 0$. Hence $j = 0$ and $\text{Ker } \phi(M) = 0$.

3. COLOCALIZATION IN THE CATEGORY \mathbf{M}^C

Let C be a k -coalgebra and \mathcal{T} a localizing subcategory of \mathbf{M}^C . We know [5] that there exists a subcoalgebra A of C such that $\mathcal{T}_A = \{M \in \mathbf{M}^C \mid \rho_M(M) \subseteq M \otimes A\} = \{M \in \mathbf{M}^C \mid A^\perp M = 0\}$, where $A^\perp = \{f \in C^* \mid f(A) = 0\}$ is a two-sided ideal of the dual algebra C^* . Moreover, A is a co-idempotent subcoalgebra, i.e., $A = A \wedge A$.

We denote by \mathcal{F}_A the localizing subcategory associated to a co-idempotent subcoalgebra A . It is also proved in [5] that \mathcal{F}_A is a T.T.F. class, i.e., \mathcal{F}_A is closed under direct products (cf. [7, VI.8]). Let us consider the canonical functor $T_A: \mathbf{M}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$, which has a right adjoint $S_A: \mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^C$, since \mathcal{F}_A is localizing.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *With the above notation, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) T_A has left adjoint H_A (i.e., \mathcal{F}_A is a colocalizing subcategory).
- (2) C/A is a quasifinite right C -comodule.

Proof. By Proposition 1.2. Hence $\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$ is a k -abelian category of finite type, then [9, Theorem 5.1] there exists a coalgebra D such that $\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$ is equivalent via the pair of functors

$$\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A \xrightleftharpoons[G]{F} \mathbf{M}^D.$$

Since T_A is exact and commutes with direct sums, the functor $F \circ T_A: \mathbf{M}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^D$ also has these properties. By [9, Proposition 2.1] we obtain that $F \circ T_A \simeq - \square_D P$, where $P_D = F(T_A(C_C))$. Now by [9, Proposition 1.9] $- \square_D P$ has a left adjoint if and only if P_D is quasifinite in \mathbf{M}^D . Since F is an equivalence, P_D is quasifinite if and only if $T_A(C_C)$ is quasifinite in $\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$. Clearly $T_A(C_C) = T_A(C/A)$. If X is a simple object in $\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$, then there exists a simple object M in \mathbf{M}^C such that $X = T_A(M)$. Then $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A}(X, T_A(C/A)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A}(T_A(M), T_A(C/A)) \simeq \text{Com}_C(M, S_A T_A(C/A)) \simeq \text{Com}_A(M, C/A)$, since C/A is an essential subobject of $S_A T_A(C/A)$. We conclude that $T_A(C_C)$ is quasifinite in $\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$ if and only if C/A is so.

Remark 3.2. With the above notations $T_A(C_C)$ is a cogenerator of the category $\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$. Indeed, if $X \in \mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$, then $X = T_A(M)$ for some $M \in \mathbf{M}^C$. We have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow (C_C)^{(I)}$ in \mathbf{M}^C for some set I . Since T_A is exact and commutes with direct sums, we obtain an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_A(M) \rightarrow T_A((C_C)^{(I)})$ in $\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$. Thus $T_A(C_C)$ is a cogenerator in $\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$.

For the rest of the section we assume that C/A is quasifinite in \mathbf{M}^C . With the above notations we have two pairs of adjoint functors:

$$\mathbf{M}^C \xrightleftharpoons[H_A]{T_A} \mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A \xrightleftharpoons[G]{F} \mathbf{M}^D$$

and the isomorphisms $H_A \circ T_A \simeq (H_A \circ G) \circ (F \circ T_A)$ and $T_A \circ H_A \simeq (F \circ T_A) \circ (H_A \circ G) \simeq 1_{\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A}$. Moreover $H_A \circ G$ is a left adjoint of the functor $F \circ T_A$. Therefore the object $(H_A \circ T_A)(C_C)$ does not depend on the choice of the coalgebra D and the functors F, G . Let us denote $P_D =$

$(F \circ T_A)(C_C)$. Since C/A is quasifinite, P_D is a quasifinite D -comodule; also P_D is a cogenerator of \mathbf{M}^D . We obtain by [9, Proposition 1.10] that $H_A \circ G$ is the co-hom functor sending $Y_D \in \mathbf{M}^D$ to $h_{-D}(P_D, Y_D)$. In particular $(H_A \circ T_A)(C_C) \simeq h_{-D}(P_D, P_D) = e_{-D}(P_D)$, which has a natural coalgebra structure. This coalgebra structure does not depend on the choice of D . Indeed, D' is another coalgebra such that there exists an equivalence $U: \mathbf{M}^D \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{D'}$ such that $F' = U \circ F$. If $P_{D'} = (F' \circ T_A)(C_C)$, then $P_{D'} = U(P_D)$. Since U is an equivalence we clearly have $e_{-D}(P_D) \simeq e_{-D'}(P_{D'})$.

As a consequence we can assume that $\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A = \mathbf{M}^D$. Since T_A is exact and commutes with inductive limits, we have that $T_A = -\square_C P_D$, where $P_D = T_A(C_C)$ and $H_A = h_{-D}(P_D, -)$. If $X_D \in \mathbf{M}^D$ the natural morphism $\psi(X): X_D \rightarrow h_{-D}(P_D, X_D) \square_C P_D$ is an isomorphism (from Section 2). In fact $\psi(X)$ is just the natural morphism defined in [9, 1.7]. Let $M \in \mathbf{M}^C$. From Section 2 we have the canonical map $\phi(M): h_{-d}(P_D, M \square_C P_D) \rightarrow M$, with $\text{Ker } \phi(M), \text{Coker } \phi(M) \in \mathcal{F}$. We can write $M = \bigcup_\lambda M_\lambda$, where M_λ s are the finite dimensional subcomodules of M . Then $M \square_C P_D = \lim_{\rightarrow \lambda} (M_\lambda \square_C P_D)$. Since the functor $h_{-D}(P_D, -)$ commutes with inductive limits, we obtain that $h_{-D}(P_D, M \square_C P_D) = \lim_{\rightarrow \lambda} h_{-D}(P_D, M_\lambda \square_C P_D)$. On the other hand the functor T_A sends any simple object S of \mathbf{M}^C either to 0 or a simple object of $\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$. Therefore $T_A(M)$ has finite dimension for any finite dimensional $M \in \mathbf{M}^C$. However, $T_A = -\square_C P_D$; thus $M_\lambda \square_C P_D$ is a finite dimensional D -comodule for any λ . Hence $h_{-D}(P_D, M \square_C P_D) = \lim_{\rightarrow \lambda} \text{Com}_D(M_\lambda \square_C P_D, P_D)^*$.

On the other hand $M = h_{-C}(C, M) = \lim_{\rightarrow \lambda} \text{Com}_C(M_\lambda, C)^*$. Since $P_D = C \square_C P_D$, then we have for each λ a natural morphism $\text{Com}_C(M_\lambda, C) \rightarrow \text{Com}_D(M_\lambda \square_C P_D, P_D)$, $u \rightarrow u \square_C 1_{P_D}$. By dualizing we obtain a natural morphism $\text{Com}_D(M_\lambda \square_C P_D, P_D)^* \rightarrow \text{Com}_C(M_\lambda, C)^*$. Taking inductive limits we just obtain the morphism $\phi(M): h_{-D}(P_D, M \square_C P_D) \rightarrow M$. In particular, for $M = C_C$ we obtain the morphism $\phi(C): e_{-D}(P_D) \rightarrow C$. Since $T_A = -\square_C P_D$, it follows from the above facts that $\phi(C)$ is a coalgebra morphism. By [9, 1.13] we have a natural morphism $\delta: h_{-D}(P_D, M \square_C P_D) \rightarrow M \square_C h_{-D}(P_D, P_D) = M \square_C e_{-D}(P_D)$. Then for any $M \in \mathbf{M}^C$, the morphism $\phi(M)$ can be obtained as follows: $h_{-D}(P_D, M \square_C P_D) \xrightarrow{\delta} M \square_C h_{-D}(P_D, P_D) = M \square_C e_{-D}(P_D) \xrightarrow{1 \square_C \phi(C)} M \square_C C \simeq M$. Hence $\phi(M) = (1 \square_C \phi(C)) \circ \delta$. Moreover $HT(M) = h_{-D}(P_D, M \square_C P_D)$ is a right $e_{-D}(P_D)$ -comodule, and the right C -comodule structure that $HT(M)$ has via $\phi(C)$ is just the original C -comodule structure. Summarizing we have the following result:

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Assume that C/A is quasifinite in \mathbf{M}^C . With the preceding notation we have:*

(1) $E = (H_A \circ T_A)(C)$ has a natural coalgebra structure and $\phi(C): E \rightarrow C$ is a coalgebra morphism. Moreover $\text{Ker } \phi(C), \text{Coker } \phi(C) \in \mathcal{F}_A$.

(2) $\text{Im } \phi(C) = s(C) = \bigcap_{f \in \text{Com}_C(C, A)} \text{Ker } f = A^\perp C$, where A is regarded as a right C -comodule.

(3) $(H_A \circ T_A)(M)$ has a natural right E -comodule structure for any $M \in \mathbf{M}^C$.

Proof. It remains to show only the second assertion. Clearly $s(C) \subseteq \bigcap_{f \in \text{Com}_C(C, A)} \text{Ker } f$.

Conversely, let us take some $M \in \mathcal{F}_A$ and $g: C \rightarrow M$ a comodule morphism. We have a monomorphism $u: M \rightarrow A^{(I)} \subseteq A^I$ for some set I . Then $\text{Ker } g = \bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(\pi_i u g)$, where π_i is the canonical projection. Hence $\bigcap_{f \in \text{Com}_C(C, A)} \text{Ker } f \subseteq \bigcap_{g \in \text{Com}_C(C, M), M \in \mathcal{F}_A} \text{Ker } g = s(C)$. Next $A^\perp(C/A^\perp C) = 0$ implies that $C/A^\perp C \in \mathcal{F}_A$. Thus $s(C) \subseteq A^\perp C$.

On the other hand if $f: C \rightarrow A$ is a comodule morphism then $f(A^\perp C) \subseteq A^\perp f(C) \subseteq A^\perp A = 0$. Hence $A^\perp C \subseteq \text{Ker } f$. This shows that $A^\perp C \subseteq s(C)$, proving the desired equality.

The coalgebra E is called the *quotient coalgebra* with respect to the localizing subcategory \mathcal{F}_A .

We recall that a coalgebra C is called *right semiperfect* if the category \mathbf{M}^C has enough projective objects (see [4]). The next result characterizes the localizing subcategories for these coalgebras.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *If C is a right semiperfect coalgebra, then C/A is a right quasifinite comodule, i.e., \mathcal{F}_A is a colocalizing subcategory.*

Proof. Let M be a simple object of \mathbf{M}^C . Since C is right semiperfect, M has a projective cover $P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ [4]. Moreover, since M is finite dimensional, P is also finite dimensional. The fact that C is quasifinite yields that $\text{Com}_C(P, C)$ is finite dimensional. The exact sequence $C \rightarrow C/A \rightarrow 0$ produces the exact sequence $\text{Com}_C(P, C) \rightarrow \text{Com}_C(P, C/A) \rightarrow 0$ (since P is projective). Hence $\text{Com}_C(P, C/A)$ is finite dimensional. From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Com}_C(M, C/A) \rightarrow \text{Com}_C(P, C/A)$, we conclude that $\text{Com}_C(M, C/A)$ is finite dimensional. Thus C/A is quasifinite.

4. PERFECT (EXACT) COLOCALIZATION

Through this section we keep the notations of Section 3.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

(1) *The functor $T_A: \mathbf{M}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$ has an exact left adjoint $H_A: \mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^C$.*

(2) (i) *C/A is quasifinite right C -comodule and (ii) $\text{Coker } j \in \mathcal{F}_A$, where $j: C/A \rightarrow E(C/A)$ is the inclusion morphism of C/A into its injective envelope.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Clearly (i) follows from Proposition 3.1. Since H_A is exact, then T_A carries injective objects to injective objects. In particular $T_A(C_C)$ is injective. However, $T_A(C_C) = T_A(C/A)$; therefore $T_A(A/C)$ is injective. The morphism j is essential, so $T_A(j)$ is essential. Since $T_A(E(C/A))$ is injective, we obtain that $T_A(j)$ is an isomorphism. Thus $\text{Coker } j \in \mathcal{F}_A$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). By Proposition 3.1, T_A has a left adjoint H_A . Let $Q \in \mathbf{M}^C$ be an injective object. Then Q is a direct summand of $(C_C)^{(I)}$ for some nonempty set (I) . Since T_A commutes with direct sums, we obtain that $T_A(Q)$ is a direct summand of $(T_A(C_C))^{(I)}$. Now $T_A(C_C) = T_A(C/A)$ and by (ii) they are equal to $T_A(E(C/A))$. Since $E(C/A)$ is \mathcal{F} -torsion-free, we obtain that $T_A(E(C/A))$ is injective in \mathcal{F}_A (see [3, Chapter III]). We have seen that T_A carries injectives to injectives; therefore H_A is an exact functor.

The colocalizing subcategory \mathcal{F} of \mathbf{M}^C will be called *perfect* (or *exact*) if any of the equivalent conditions in the last proposition holds.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *The condition $\text{Coker } j \in \mathcal{F}$ is satisfied in the following cases:*

- (1) C is a hereditary coalgebra (i.e., $\text{gl.dim } C \leq 1$, [6]).
- (2) \mathcal{F}_A is closed under injective envelopes.
- (3) The quotient category $\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$ is a semisimple category.

Proof. (1) C/A is a right (and left) injective C -comodule since C is a hereditary coalgebra.

(2) Since \mathcal{F} is closed under injective envelopes, we obtain that A is an injective right C -comodule and $C_C = A \oplus C/A$. Therefore C/A is also an injective right C -comodule.

(3) \mathcal{F}_A semisimple implies that $T_A(j)$ is an isomorphism; therefore $\text{Coker } j \in \mathcal{F}$.

We can state now the main result of this section.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let C be a coalgebra and A be a co-idempotent subcoalgebra such that \mathcal{F}_A is a colocalizing subcategory (i.e., C/A is a quasifinite right C -comodule). Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{F}_A is a perfect colocalizing subcategory.
- (2) If E is the quotient coalgebra associated to \mathcal{F}_A , then the natural coalgebra morphism $\varphi: E \rightarrow C$ is a left coflat monomorphism.

Moreover, if (1) and (2) hold, the localizing subcategory \mathcal{F}_φ associated to φ is \mathcal{F}_A .

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1). Follows from Propositions 1.1 and 4.1.

(1) \Rightarrow (2). With the notations from Section 3, we have $T_A = -\square_C P_D$. Since $\text{Ker } \varphi, \text{Coker } \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_A$, then $\text{Ker } \varphi \square_C P_D = 0$. Since P_D is injective and quasifinite, [9, Proposition 1.14] shows that the natural morphism (*)

$$\delta: h_{-D}(P_D, M \square_C P_D) \rightarrow M \square_C e_{-D}(P_D)$$

is an isomorphism for any $M_C \in \mathbf{M}^C$. Then P_D is an injective cogenerator. Hence $M \square_C P_D = 0$ if and only if $M \square_C E = 0$. Indeed, $M \square_C P_D = 0$ implies $M \square_C E = 0$. The converse follows from the fact that $h_{-D}(P_D, X) = 0$ if and only if $X = 0$. This is clear since $h_{-D}(P_D, X) = \lim_{\rightarrow i \in I} \text{Com}_C(X_i, P_D)^*$, where $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$ and $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ is the family of all finite dimensional subcomodules of X (ordered by inclusion). If $X \neq 0$, since P_D is an injective cogenerator we have $\text{Com}_C(X_i, P_D)^* \neq 0$ for some $i \in I$. However, this implies that $h_{-D}(P_D, X) \neq 0$.

Since $\text{Ker } \varphi \square_C P_D = 0$, then $\text{Ker } \varphi \square_C E = 0$. By [5, Proposition 3.5] we obtain that φ is a monomorphism in the category \mathbf{Cog}_k .

Let us consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M}^E & \xrightarrow{h_{-D}(P_D, -)} & \mathbf{M}^D \\ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ -\square_C E \\ \downarrow \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} (-)_\varphi \\ \nearrow T_A \end{array} & \\ M^C & & \end{array}$$

The isomorphism (*) shows that $-\square_C E \simeq h_{-D}(P_D, -) \circ T_A$. Since $h_{-D}(P_D, -)$ is an equivalence [9, Theorem 3.5], then the functor $-\square_C E$ is exact, i.e., E is a left coflat C -comodule.

The isomorphism (*) also shows that $\mathcal{F}_\varphi = \{M \in \mathbf{M}^C \mid M \square_C E = 0\} = \{M \in \mathbf{M}^C \mid M \square_C P_D = 0\} = \text{Ker } T_A = \mathcal{F}_A$.

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let C be a right semiperfect coalgebra and suppose that \mathcal{F}_A is a localizing subcategory of \mathbf{M}^C . If $\mathbf{M}^C/\mathcal{F}_A$ is a semisimple category, then \mathcal{F}_A is a perfect colocalizing subcategory.*

Proof. By Propositions 4.2 and 3.4.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let C be a hereditary coalgebra. If \mathcal{F}_A is a colocalizing subcategory in \mathbf{M}^C , then \mathcal{F}_A is a perfect localizing subcategory.*

COROLLARY 4.6. *Let \mathcal{F}_A be a localizing subcategory closed under injective envelopes. Then \mathcal{F}_A is a perfect colocalizing subcategory. Moreover the associated quotient coalgebra is a subcoalgebra E of C such that E is a left coflat C -comodule and $E \cap A = 0$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{T}_A is closed under injective envelopes, then $A = E(A)$, where A is regarded as a right C -comodule. Then $C = A \oplus C/A$ in \mathbf{M}^C . Hence C/A is an injective and quasifinite right C -comodule. This means that \mathcal{T}_A is a perfect colocalizing subcategory. The second part follows from Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 4.3. Also $E \cap A = 0$ since A and E are subcoalgebras with $A \square_C E = 0$.

5. GOLDIE TORSION THEORY

Let \mathcal{A} be a Grothendieck category and \mathcal{P} be the class of all objects of \mathcal{A} of the form M/L , where $M, L \in \mathcal{A}$ and L is an essential subobject of M . Then \mathcal{P} is closed under homomorphic images. Let \mathcal{G} be the smallest localizing subcategory of \mathcal{A} containing \mathcal{P} . It is easily seen that \mathcal{G} is the class of all $M \in \mathcal{A}$ with the property that M/M' has a subobject belonging to \mathcal{P} for any $M' \subset M$. The localizing subcategory \mathcal{G} is called the *Goldie torsion theory* of \mathcal{A} . If $M \in \mathcal{G}$, since M is essential in $E(M)$ i.e., $E(M)/M \in \mathcal{P}$, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E(M) \rightarrow E(M)/M \rightarrow 0$ yields that $E(M) \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus \mathcal{G} is closed under injective envelopes.

We focus our attention on the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{M}^C$, C a coalgebra. Let us denote by $\text{Spec}(C)$ the class of all types of simple right C -comodules, i.e., $\text{Spec}(C) = \{[S] \mid S \in \mathbf{M}^C, S \text{ is a simple right comodule}\}$, where $[S] = \{S' \in \mathbf{M}^C \mid S' \simeq S\}$. Clearly $\text{Spec}(C)$ is a set. If X is a subset of $\text{Spec}(C)$, we denote by \mathcal{E}_X the smallest localizing subcategory containing X . It is easily seen that $\mathcal{E}_X = \{M \in \mathbf{M}^C \mid \text{for any strict subobject } M' \text{ of } M, M/M' \text{ has a subobject isomorphic with an object in } X \text{ for any } X' \subseteq M\}$. We denote by P the set of all types of simple projective objects of \mathbf{M}^C . The following example shows that it is possible to have $P = \emptyset$.

EXAMPLE 5.1. Let $S = \{c_0, c_1, \dots\}$ be a countable set and $C = kS$, the free k -module of basis S . Then C is a coalgebra with the comultiplication $\Delta(c_n) = \sum_{i+j=n} c_i \otimes c_j$ and co-unit $\epsilon(c_n) = \delta_{n,0}$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$. The dual algebra C^* is isomorphic to the algebra $k[[x]]$ of formal series in the indeterminate X . Also \mathbf{M}^C is isomorphic to the category Tors of all torsion modules over the algebra $k[[x]]$, but the only projective object of Tors is zero.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *The Goldie torsion theory \mathcal{G} of \mathbf{M}^C is generated by the simple objects of \mathbf{M}^C , which are not projective, i.e., $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{E}_{\text{Spec}(C)-P}$. In particular if $P = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{G} = \mathbf{M}^C$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \mathbf{M}^C$ be a simple projective object such that $M \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $M \simeq X/Y$, where Y is essential in X . The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow X/Y \rightarrow 0$ shows that Y is a direct summand of X . Hence $X = Y$ and

$M = 0$, which is a contradiction. It remains to show that any simple M with $M \notin \mathcal{G}$ is projective. Let us consider the following diagram in \mathbf{M}^C :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & M & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow f & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } u & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{u} & X'' \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

Consider the pullback diagram of $M \amalg_{X''} X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } q_M & \longrightarrow & M \amalg_{X''} X & \xrightarrow{q_M} & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow q_X & & \downarrow f \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } u & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{u} & X'' \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

This shows that $\text{Ker } q_M$ is not essential in $M \amalg_{X''} X$. However, M is simple. Then there exists a morphism $v: M \rightarrow M \amalg_{X''} X$ such that $q_M v = 1_M$. If $g = q_X v$, then $ug = uq_X v = fq_M v = f$. Thus M is projective.

Since \mathcal{G} is closed under injective envelopes, Corollary 4.6 shows that \mathcal{G} is a perfect colocalizing subcategory. We denote $E = s_{\mathcal{G}}(C)$. Then $\mathcal{G} = \{M \in \mathbf{M}^C \mid M \square_C E = 0\}$ and E is a subcoalgebra with E a left coflat C -comodule.

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let C be a coalgebra, \mathcal{G} the Goldie torsion theory, and $E = s_{\mathcal{G}}(C)$. The following properties hold:*

- (1) E is a cosemisimple subcoalgebra of C .
- (2) $\mathbf{M}^C / \mathcal{G}$ is equivalent to \mathbf{M}^E .
- (3) E is the sum of all simple subcoalgebras B of C with the property that B is a sum of minimal left co-ideals isomorphic with simple left C -comodules of the form $M^* = \text{Hom}(M, k)$, where M is a simple projective right C -comodule.

Proof. (1) and (2). Any object of $\mathbf{M}^C / \mathcal{G}$ is injective (i.e., $\mathbf{M}^C / \mathcal{G}$ is a spectral category, [7]) and contains a nonzero simple object. Thus $\mathbf{M}^C / \mathcal{G}$ is a semisimple category. Since \mathcal{G} is a perfect colocalizing subcategory, $\mathbf{M}^C / \mathcal{G}$ is equivalent to \mathbf{M}^E . Hence \mathbf{M}^E is also a semisimple category and E is a cosemisimple coalgebra.

(3) Let E' be the sum of all the coalgebras with the mentioned property in (3). If M is a projective right C -comodule, then M^* is an injective left C -comodule. Therefore E' is an injective left C -comodule and it is left coflat. Let $\mathcal{G}' = \{M \in \mathbf{M}^C \mid M \square_C E' = 0\}$, which is clearly a perfect colocalizing subcategory. Since $s_{\mathcal{G}}(C) = E$ and $s_{\mathcal{G}'}(C) = E'$, we only

have to prove that $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}'$. Let $M \in \mathbf{M}^C$. Then $M \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if $\text{Com}_C(S, M) = 0$ for any simple projective right comodule S . However, $\text{Com}_C(S, M) = M \square_C S^*$. Thus $M \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if $M \square_C S^* = 0$ for any projective right comodule S , which coincides with $M \square_C E' = 0$, i.e., $M \in \mathcal{G}'$.

The next result gives a sufficient condition in order to have that the coalgebra E is a direct summand (as coalgebra) of C . Let \mathcal{A} be a Grothendieck category and let \mathcal{E} be a localizing subcategory of \mathcal{A} . We denote by $t_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$ the sum of all subobjects $N \subseteq M$ such that $N \in \mathcal{E}$. Since C is closed under direct sums and quotients it is clear that $t_{\mathcal{E}}(M) \in \mathcal{E}$. Also $t_{\mathcal{E}}(M/t_{\mathcal{E}}(M)) = 0$. Therefore the left exact functor $t_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a radical [7].

LEMMA 5.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a locally finite Grothendieck category and let \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 be two localizing subcategories of \mathcal{A} with the following properties:*

- (1) $\mathcal{E}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2 = 0$.
- (2) *If S is a simple object in \mathcal{A} , then $S \in \mathcal{E}_1$ or $S \in \mathcal{E}_2$.*
- (3) \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are closed under injective envelopes.

Then we have the decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, where $M_1 = t_{\mathcal{E}_1}(M)$ and $M_2 = t_{\mathcal{E}_2}(M)$.

Proof. By (1) and (2) clearly $M_1 \cap M_2 = 0$. Assume that $M_1 \oplus M_2 \neq M$. Then there exists a nonzero simple object $S \in \mathcal{E}$ and $M' \subseteq M$ such that $M_1 \oplus M_2 \subseteq M'$ and $M'/M_1 \oplus M_2 \simeq S$. Since $M_i = t_{\mathcal{E}_i}(M)$ for $i = 1, 2$, we can assume $M' = M$.

Let N_1 be a maximal subobject with the properties $M_1 \subseteq N_1$ and $N_1 \cap M_2 = 0$ (N_1 always exists by Zorn's lemma). If $X \subseteq N_1$ is a nonzero simple object, then $X \cap M_2 = 0$. Hence $X \in \mathcal{E}_1$ and $X \subseteq M_1$. Thus N_1 is an essential extension of M_1 and by (3) it follows that $N_1 \in \mathcal{E}_1$. We obtain that $M_1 = N_1$. Analogously it results that M_2 is maximal with the property that $M_2 \cap M_1 = 0$.

Assume now that $S \in \mathcal{E}_1$. Since M_1 is maximal with the property $M_1 \cap M_2 = 0$, then the canonical monomorphism $0 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M/M_1$ is essential. By (3) it follows that $M/M_1 \in \mathcal{E}_2$. The exact sequence $M/M_1 \rightarrow M/M_1 \oplus M_2 \simeq S \rightarrow 0$ yields $S \in \mathcal{E}_2$. Hence $S \in \mathcal{E}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2 = 0$. This is a contradiction.

If C is a coalgebra with the property that the Goldie torsion theory \mathcal{G} of \mathbf{M}^C is exactly the whole category \mathbf{M}^C , then we say that C is a *singular right coalgebra*. Following [1] or [8], $C^* = \text{Hom}_k(C, k)$ has a natural ring structure. Indeed if $f, g \in C^*$, then $fg = (f \otimes g)\Delta$ (here $k \otimes k \simeq k$). The co-unit ϵ is the identity element of this ring. In [1] or [8] it is proved that the category \mathbf{M}^C is isomorphic with a subcategory of left C^* -modules,

denoted by $\text{Rat}(C^* - \text{Mod})$. The objects of $\text{Rat}(C^* - \text{Mod})$ are called left rational C^* -modules. In the case when $\dim C < \infty$, then \mathbf{M}^C is isomorphic to $C^* - \text{Mod}$. In this case C is a singular right coalgebra if and only if the ring C^* is left singular [7]. We have the very satisfactory decomposition:

PROPOSITION 5.5. *If the ring C^* is reduced (i.e., C^* has no nonzero nilpotent elements), then the coalgebra C is a direct sum of two subcoalgebras $C = D \oplus E$, where D is right singular coalgebra and E is cosemisimple.*

Proof. We first show that if S is a simple projective right C -comodule, then S is also an injective object in \mathbf{M}^C . By [2, Proposition 4] it follows that S is a left projective simple C^* -module. Therefore $C^* = I \oplus J$, where I and J are left ideals in C^* and $I \simeq S$ (as left C^* -modules). Then there exist two orthogonal idempotents $e, f \in C^*$ such that $1 = e + f$, $I = C^*e$, $J = C^*f$, and $ef = fe = 0$. If $b \in J$ then $b = \lambda f$ for some $\lambda \in C^*$. Since $(e\lambda f)^2 = e\lambda f e\lambda f = 0$, by hypothesis $e\lambda f = 0$; hence $IJ = 0$. Analogously we have $JI = 0$; hence I and J are two-sided ideals. Since $JS = 0$, then S is a left C^*/J -module. However, $C^*/J \simeq I \simeq S$ is a division ring; therefore S is a left (and right) projective module over the ring C^*/J . On the other hand the canonical ring morphism $\pi: C^* \rightarrow C^*/J$ is left and right flat. Hence S is also left injective as the C^* -module. Thus S is injective in \mathbf{M}^C .

We denote by \mathcal{E} the localizing subcategory of \mathbf{M}^C generated by simple projective right C -comodules. By Proposition 5.2 it follows that $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{E} = 0$. The preceding result implies that \mathcal{E} is closed under injective envelopes. Now we can apply Lemma 5.4, $C = D \oplus E$ where $D = t_{\mathcal{E}}(C_C)$ and $E = t_{\mathcal{E}^c}(C_C)$. By [5, Theorem 4.2], D and E are subcoalgebras. Clearly D is a right singular coalgebra and E is a cosemisimple coalgebra.

REFERENCES

1. E. Abe, "Hopf Algebras," Cambridge Univ. Press, 1977.
2. Y. Doi, Homological coalgebra, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **33** (1981), 31–50.
3. P. Gabriel, Des catégories abéliennes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **90** (1962), 323–448.
4. I-P. Lin, Semiperfect coalgebras, *J. Algebra* **49** (1977), 357–373.
5. C. Năstăsescu and B. Torrecillas, Torsion theories for coalgebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **97** (1994), 203–220.
6. C. Năstăsescu, B. Torrecillas, and Y. Zhang, Hereditary coalgebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **24** (1996) 1521–1528.
7. B. Stenström, "Rings of Quotients," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1975.
8. M. E. Sweedler, "Hopf Algebras," Benjamin, New York, 1969.
9. M. Takeuchi, Morita theorems for categories of comodules, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **24** (1977), 629–644.
10. B. Torrecillas, F. Van Oystaeyen, and Y. Zhang, Coflat monomorphism of coalgebras, preprint.