

# Biplanes (79, 13, 2) with Involutory Automorphism

LJUBO MARANGUNIĆ

*Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, 41000 Zagreb, Croatia*

*Communicated by the Managing Editors*

Received July 16, 1990

We show that each (79, 13, 2) biplane admitting an involutory automorphism is isomorphic to one of the two designs constructed by Aschbacher. © 1992 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

This paper is a contribution to the investigation of possible automorphism groups of biplanes with parameters (79, 13, 2). This is the largest set of parameters for which a biplane is known to exist. In [1], Aschbacher constructs two such designs with automorphism group of order  $2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$  which are dual. It is an open question whether these designs are, up to isomorphism, the only biplanes with these parameters.

If  $G$  is a group of automorphism of a (79, 13, 2) biplane  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $|G|$ , then  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 11, 13\}$  (see [1]). Further, various authors have shown that if  $p > 3$  then  $\mathcal{D}$  is an Aschbacher biplane. See [5] for the case  $p = 5$ . The case  $p = 11$  is handled by V. Čepulić and M. Essert in a paper which has not yet appeared; see also [6]. Finally, the case  $p = 13$  is eliminated in [6]. Thus it remains to investigate the cases  $p = 2$  and 3.

In this paper we consider the case where  $\mathcal{D}$  admits an involutory automorphism. We show that each such design is an Aschbacher design. The approach is quite similar to that of [4], but is much more difficult because of a far larger number of possible orbital structures. Therefore the computing time is increased by a factor of 1200.

Our algorithm consists of two steps. The fundamental idea goes back to Janko and van Trung ([3]). In some aspects we follow the presentation and notation of Čepulić ([2]). We build at first all possible orbital structures  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ , and after that the biplanes  $\mathcal{D}$  themselves by “indexing” the “big points” of  $\mathcal{S}$ . So we begin by recalling some basic definitions and facts related to the first step.

2. BASIC NOTIONS CONCERNING TACTICAL DECOMPOSITIONS

Let  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$  be an incidence structure with point set  $\mathcal{P}$ , line set  $\mathcal{B}$ , and incidence relation  $I \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{B}$ . For  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{B}$  denote

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P \rangle &= \{y \in \mathcal{B} \mid (P, y) \in I\}, & |P| &= |\langle P \rangle|, \\ \langle x \rangle &= \{Q \in \mathcal{P} \mid (Q, x) \in I\}, & |x| &= |\langle x \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 1. A symmetric  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -block design,  $v, k, \lambda \in N$ , is an incidence structure  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$  such that:

- (i)  $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{B}| = v = k(k-1)/\lambda + 1$
- (ii)  $|x| = |P| = k$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{P}$
- (iii)  $|\langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle| = |\langle P \rangle \cap \langle Q \rangle| = \lambda$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $x \neq y$ ,  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $P \neq Q$ .

The conditions (iii) we call the *consistence conditions*.

For two symmetric designs  $\mathcal{D}_1 = (\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{B}_1, I_1)$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2 = (\mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{B}_2, I_2)$  an *isomorphism* from  $\mathcal{D}_1$  onto  $\mathcal{D}_2$  is a bijection which maps points onto points and lines onto lines preserving the incidence. An isomorphism from  $\mathcal{D}$  onto  $\mathcal{D}$  is an *automorphism* of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Similarly, *dual isomorphisms* and *dual automorphisms* are such bijections which map points onto lines and lines onto points and preserve the incidences. In the following we shall use the term *design* for symmetric block designs.

Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$  be a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -design and  $\rho$  an automorphism of  $\mathcal{D}$ , that is  $\langle \rho \rangle \leq \text{Aut } \mathcal{D}$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  we denote with  $x\rho$ ,  $P\rho$  the  $\rho$ -images of  $P$  and  $x$ , and with  $x\langle \rho \rangle$ ,  $P\langle \rho \rangle$  the  $\rho$ -orbits of  $x$  and  $P$ , respectively. By a known result the number of point orbits equals the number of line orbits. Denoting this number with  $t$  and the corresponding orbits with  $\mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{P}_r$ ,  $1 \leq i, r \leq t$ , we have

$$\mathcal{B} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^t \mathcal{B}_i, \quad \mathcal{P} = \bigsqcup_{r=1}^t \mathcal{P}_r, \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_i = x_i\langle \rho \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_r = P_r\langle \rho \rangle$  for some  $x_i \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $P_r \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $1 \leq i, r \leq t$ . We use the symbol  $\bigsqcup$  for the disjoint union of sets.

Denote  $|\mathcal{B}_i| = \Omega_i$ ,  $|\mathcal{P}_r| = \omega_r$ . From (1) and the Definition 1. (i), it follows immediately that

$$\sum_{i=1}^t \Omega_i = \sum_{r=1}^t \omega_r = v. \tag{2}$$

LEMMA 1. Let  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$  be a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -design and  $\langle \rho \rangle \leq \text{Aut } \mathcal{D}$ . Then the point orbits  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_t$ , and the line orbits  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, \mathcal{B}_t$ , are the point classes and block classes of a tactical decomposition of  $\mathcal{D}$  (see, e.g., [3]).

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{B}_i$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{P}_r$ . Then  $|\langle x \rangle \cap \mathcal{P}_r| = |\langle x \rangle g \cap \mathcal{P}_r g| = |\langle xg \rangle \cap \mathcal{P}_r|$  for all  $g \in \langle \rho \rangle$ . As  $x \langle \rho \rangle = \mathcal{B}_i$ , we see that  $|\langle x \rangle \cap \mathcal{P}_r| = \mu_{ir}$  depends on  $\mathcal{B}_i$  and  $\mathcal{P}_r$  only. Similarly,  $|\langle P \rangle \cap \mathcal{B}_i| = \Gamma_{ir}$  does not depend on the choice of  $P$ . Each line of  $\mathcal{B}_i$  contains exactly  $\mu_{ir}$  points from  $\mathcal{P}_r$ , and each point of  $\mathcal{P}_r$  lies in exactly  $\Gamma_{ir}$  lines of  $\mathcal{B}_i$ . Thus, a partition of  $\mathcal{P}$  into  $t$  point orbits and a partition of  $\mathcal{B}$  into  $t$  line orbits give a tactical decomposition of  $\mathcal{D}$ , with the corresponding  $t$  by  $t$  "multiplicity matrices"  $\mathcal{S} = [\mu_{ir}]$  and  $\mathcal{O} = [\Gamma_{ir}]$ , the remaining parameters of decomposition being  $|\mathcal{B}_i| = \Omega_i$ ,  $|\mathcal{P}_r| = \omega_r$ ,  $1 \leq i, r \leq t$ .

In the following we state some important relations among the parameters of our tactical decomposition. By counting the incidences of lines in  $\mathcal{B}_i$  and points in  $\mathcal{P}_r$  in two ways, we obtain

$$\Omega_i \mu_{ir} = \omega_r \Gamma_{ir}, \quad 1 \leq i, r \leq t. \quad (3)$$

From Definition 1 (ii) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^t \mu_{ir} &= k & 1 \leq i \leq t, \\ \sum_{i=1}^t \Gamma_{ir} &= \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega_r} \mu_{ir} = k, & 1 \leq r \leq t. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_r = P \langle \rho \rangle$ . Denote the points of  $\mathcal{P}_r$  with  $P_r, P_r \rho, \dots, P_r \rho^{|\rho|-1}$  or, abbreviated in a customary manner, as  $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{|\rho|-1}$ . In this context one often speaks about  $r$  as about "big point" (also: *orbital number*), which is supplied with indices. Now, for each orbit  $\mathcal{P}_r = \{r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{|\rho|-1}\}$  the automorphism group  $\langle \rho \rangle$  is represented as a permutation group on the indices  $0, 1, \dots, |\rho| - 1$ . The same holds for the line orbits.

From the rows of a multiplicity matrix  $[\mu_{ir}]$ , denoted with  $[\mu_{ir}]_i$ , we derive so-called *orbital lines*, denoted with  $\hat{x}_i$ , as the multisets  $\langle \hat{x}_i \rangle$  consisted of big points: inside a multiset  $\langle \hat{x}_i \rangle$  a big point  $r$  occurs  $\mu_{ir}$  times. So we call  $\mu_{ir}$  the *multiplicity* of the big point  $r$  inside the orbital line  $\hat{x}_i$ . The sets containing all big points and orbital lines we denote with  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ , respectively.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$  be a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -design, and  $\langle \rho \rangle \leq \text{Aut } \mathcal{D}$ . We assume other notation to be as stated above. It holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^t \mu_{ir} \Gamma_{jr} &= \lambda \Omega_j + \delta_{ij}(k - \lambda), \\ \sum_{i=1}^t \Gamma_{ir} \mu_{is} &= \lambda \omega_s + \delta_{rs}(k - \lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq t$ ,  $1 \leq r, s \leq t$ ,  $\delta_{ij}, \delta_{rs}$  being the correspondent Kronecker symbols.

*Proof.* See, e.g., [2].

DEFINITION 2. We denote

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] &\equiv \sum_{r=1}^t \mu_{ir} \Gamma_{jr} = \sum_{r=1}^t \frac{\Omega_j}{\omega_r} \mu_{ir} \mu_{jr}, & 1 \leq i, j \leq t, \\ [\hat{T}_r, \hat{T}_s] &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^t \Gamma_{ir} \mu_{is} = \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega_r} \mu_{ir} \mu_{is}, & 1 \leq r, s \leq t, \end{aligned} \tag{**}$$

and call these expressions the *game products*.

### 3. BASIC PROPERTIES OF (79, 13, 2)-ORBITAL STRUCTURES

Let  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$  be a (79, 13, 2)-biplane, and let  $\rho$  be an involutory automorphism acting on  $\mathcal{D}$ . By the fundamental result about involution acting on biplanes obtained by M. Aschbacher in [1],  $\rho$  fixes exactly one point on any fixed line. So  $\rho$  can operate on  $\mathcal{D}$  with exactly seven fixed points (and, hence, with exactly seven fixed lines) and 36 orbits of length 2. We have in this case  $t = 43$ ,  $\Omega_i = \omega_r = 1$  for  $1 \leq i, r \leq 7$ ;  $\Omega_i = \omega_r = 2$  for  $8 \leq i, r \leq 43$ . We denote, in the usual way, fixed points and lines by  $\infty_r$  and  $(p_\infty)_i$ ,  $r, i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ . Thus, if  $\infty_1, \dots, \infty_7, \mathcal{P}_8, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{43}$  and  $(p_\infty)_1, \dots, (p_\infty)_7, \mathcal{B}_8, \dots, \mathcal{B}_{43}$  are the  $\langle \rho \rangle$ -orbits of points and lines in a defined order, we obtain the corresponding big point set  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$  and orbital line set  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{P}} &= \{ \infty_1, \dots, \infty_7, 8, \dots, 43 \}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{B}} &= \{ (p_\infty)_1, \dots, (p_\infty)_7, \hat{x}_8, \dots, \hat{x}_{43} \}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\rho$  we can write

$$\rho = (\infty_1) \cdots (\infty_7)(8_0 8_1) \cdots (43_0 43_1)$$

DEFINITION 3. Let  $\Omega_i, \omega_r, t$  be as stated above. Let any  $t$  by  $t$  matrix  $\mathcal{S} = [\mu_{ir}]$  satisfying the conditions (3), (4), and (\*) be called the (79, 13, 2)-orbital structure for lines with respect to  $\langle \rho \rangle$ , for a potential (79, 13, 2)-design  $\mathcal{D}$ .

For two orbital structures  $\mathcal{S}_1 = [\mu'_{ir}]$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2 = [\mu''_{ir}]$  with big point sets  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_2$  and orbital line sets  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_1$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$ , respectively, an isomorphism from  $\mathcal{S}_1$  onto  $\mathcal{S}_2$  is a bijection  $\sigma$  which maps big points from  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1$  onto big points of  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_2$ , orbital lines from  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_1$  onto orbital lines of  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$ , preserving the entries:  $\mu''_{\sigma(i)\sigma(r)} = \mu'_{ir}$ . If there is an isomorphism from  $\mathcal{S}_1$  onto  $\mathcal{S}_2$  then we say that  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  are isomorphic and write  $\mathcal{S}_1 \cong \mathcal{S}_2$ .

Now we try to construct all the orbital structures  $\mathcal{S} = [\mu_{ir}]$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  with respect to  $\langle \rho \rangle$ . We use the previously introduced terminology. Let  $\mathcal{F}(\rho)$  be the structure consisting of orbital lines  $(p_\infty)_1, \dots, (p_\infty)_7$ . Obviously, up to isomorphism,  $\mathcal{F}(\rho)$  is uniquely determined as shown in (Table I).

Denote  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty = \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \dots, \infty_7\}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1 = \{8, 9, \dots, 28\}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_2 = \{29, 30, \dots, 43\}$ . We observe that

$$\text{Aut } \mathcal{F}(\rho) = G_1 \times G_2 \cong S_{15} \times S_7,$$

where  $G_1 = \sum_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_2} \cong S_{15}$  is the symmetric group on  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_2$ , and  $G_2 = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4, \sigma_5, \sigma_6 \rangle \cong S_7$  is the subgroup of the symmetric group  $\sum_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_x \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{P}}_1}$ , with generators:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= (\infty_1 \infty_2)(9 \ 14)(10 \ 15)(11 \ 16)(12 \ 17)(13 \ 18), \\ \sigma_2 &= (\infty_1 \infty_3)(8 \ 14)(10 \ 19)(11 \ 20)(12 \ 21)(13 \ 22), \\ \sigma_3 &= (\infty_1 \infty_4)(8 \ 15)(9 \ 19)(11 \ 23)(12 \ 24)(13 \ 25), \\ \sigma_4 &= (\infty_1 \infty_5)(8 \ 16)(9 \ 20)(10 \ 23)(12 \ 26)(13 \ 27), \\ \sigma_5 &= (\infty_1 \infty_6)(8 \ 17)(9 \ 21)(10 \ 24)(11 \ 26)(13 \ 28), \\ \sigma_6 &= (\infty_1 \infty_7)(8 \ 18)(9 \ 22)(10 \ 25)(11 \ 27)(12 \ 28). \end{aligned}$$

TABLE I  
The Structure  $\mathcal{F}(\rho)$

level	
1	$\infty_1$ 8 8 9 9 10 10 11 11 12 12 13 13
2	$\infty_2$ 8 8 14 14 15 15 16 16 17 17 18 18
3	$\infty_3$ 9 9 14 14 19 19 20 20 21 21 22 22
4	$\infty_4$ 10 10 15 15 19 19 23 23 24 24 25 25
5	$\infty_5$ 11 11 16 16 20 20 23 23 26 26 27 27
6	$\infty_6$ 12 12 17 17 21 21 24 24 26 26 28 28
7	$\infty_7$ 13 13 18 18 22 22 25 25 27 27 28 28

Using (\*\*) and (\*) we have for  $i > 7$ :

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_i] = \sum_{r=1}^{43} \frac{\Omega_i}{\omega_r} \mu_{ir}^2 = \sum_{r=1}^7 2 \cdot \mu_{ir}^2 + \sum_{r=8}^{43} 1 \cdot \mu_{ir}^2 = 15. \quad (5)$$

Combined with  $\sum_{r=1}^{43} \mu_{ir} = 13$ , from (5) we obtain two types of nontrivial orbital lines:

(a) lines with two fixed points and 11 multiplicities equal 1 among nonfixed points, and

(b) lines without fixed points, with one multiplicity equal 2 and with 11 multiplicities equal 1, among nonfixed points.

Analogously, we apply:  $[\hat{T}_r, \hat{T}_r] = 2\omega_r + 11$  for  $1 \leq r \leq 43$ . Taking into account that  $\sum_{i=1}^7 (\Omega_i/\omega_r) \mu_{ir}^2$  is already determined by  $\mathcal{F}(\rho)$  we obtain

$$\sum_{i=8}^{43} \mu_{ir}^2 = \begin{cases} 6, & 1 \leq r \leq 7, \\ 11, & 8 \leq r \leq 28, \\ 15, & 29 \leq r \leq 43. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

We also count  $\sum_{i=1}^{43} \Gamma_{ir} = \sum_{i=1}^7 (\Omega_i/\omega_r) \mu_{ir} + \sum_{i=8}^{43} (\Omega_i/\omega_r) \mu_{ir} = 13$ , thus obtaining

$$\sum_{i=8}^{43} \mu_{ir} = \begin{cases} 6, & 1 \leq r \leq 7, \\ 11, & 8 \leq r \leq 28, \\ 13, & 29 \leq r \leq 43. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

From (6) and (7) we conclude for the nontrivial part of  $[\mu_{ir}]$ , consisting of the rows  $[\mu_{ir}]_i$  with  $i > 7$ : the first seven columns have six units, the columns  $8 \leq r \leq 28$  have 11 units, and the columns  $29 \leq r \leq 43$  have one entry equal to two and 11 units, the remaining entries being zero in all the considered cases.

All the above conclusions imply that inside an orbital structure  $\mathcal{S}$  there are 21 orbital lines of type (a) and 15 orbital lines of type (b). Each type (a) orbital line contains a pair of fixed points  $\infty_r, \infty_s$ ,  $r, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$ ,  $r < s$ . Note that a pair  $\infty_r, \infty_s$  cannot appear twice inside  $\mathcal{S}$ , since the game product  $[\hat{T}_r, \hat{T}_s]$  would exceed  $\lambda\omega_s = 2$ . In type (b) orbital lines big points 29, 30, ..., 43 appear with multiplicity 2. If we denote the set containing type (a) orbital lines with  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_1$ , and the set containing type (b) orbital lines with  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$ , we can set

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{P}}_1 \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{P}}_2, \quad \hat{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{F}(\rho) \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{B}}_2.$$

## 4. CONSTRUCTION OF (79, 13, 2)-ORBITAL STRUCTURES

In the following we shall assume for orbital structures  $\mathcal{S}$  to be written as sets or orbital lines  $\hat{x}_i$  represented as sequences of their  $k = 13$  big points from  $\langle \hat{x}_i \rangle$ . Without loss of generality we assume that the first seven levels of  $\mathcal{S}$  coincide with  $\mathcal{F}(\rho)$ . Next, we introduce canonical form of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**DEFINITION 4.** Let  $\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$ . Then there is a unique sequence  $\tilde{x}$  of length  $k$  consisted of big points from  $\langle \hat{x} \rangle$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}(1) = \infty_r, \quad \tilde{x}(2) = \infty_s, \quad r < s, \quad & \text{for } \hat{x} \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1, \\ \tilde{x}(1) = \tilde{x}(2), & \text{for } \hat{x} \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_2, \end{aligned}$$

and big point sequence  $\tilde{x}(3), \tilde{x}(4), \dots, \tilde{x}(13)$  is ordered lexicographically. The sequence  $\tilde{x}$  will be called the *canonical form* of  $\hat{x}$ .

Obviously, each canonical line  $\hat{x}$  is uniquely determined within an orbital structure by its beginning pair  $(\tilde{x}(1), \tilde{x}(2))$ . So we can establish an correspondence  $l: \hat{x} \rightarrow l(\hat{x})$  between the orbital lines from the set  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$  and their ordinal numbers 8, 9, ..., 43: if a sequence  $\tilde{x}(1), \tilde{x}(2)$  corresponding to  $\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$  precedes lexicographically a sequence  $\tilde{y}(1), \tilde{y}(2)$  corresponding to  $\hat{y} \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$ , we set  $l(\hat{x}) < l(\hat{y})$ . The number  $l(\hat{x})$  will be called the *orbital level* of  $\hat{x}$ . A line  $\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$  with the orbital level  $l$  we denote with  $\hat{x}_l$ .

In the following we shall identify  $\tilde{x}$  with  $\hat{x}$ .

**DEFINITION 5.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be an orbital structure of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then there is a unique sequence  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  of the length  $t - 7 = 36$  consisted of canonical lines  $\hat{x}_r \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$ , such that the corresponding sequence consisted of orbital levels of  $\hat{x}_r$  is ordered lexicographically. The sequence  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  will be called the *canonical form* of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

In our further explanation we deal with canonical structures  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  only, and identify  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  with  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**DEFINITION 6.** Let  $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1 \sqcup \hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$  be two canonical lines corresponding to a same orbital level, i.e.,  $l(\hat{x}) = l(\hat{y})$ . Then  $\hat{x}$  *precedes*  $\hat{y}$ ,  $\hat{x} \preceq \hat{y}$ , if  $\tilde{x}$  precedes  $\tilde{y}$  lexicographically. As usual  $\hat{x} < \hat{y}$  will stand for  $\hat{x} \preceq \hat{y}$  and  $\hat{x} \neq \hat{y}$ .

**DEFINITION 7.** Let  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  be orbital structures and  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2$  their canonical forms. We define that  $\mathcal{S}_1$  *precedes*  $\mathcal{S}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_1 \preceq \mathcal{S}_2$ , if  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_1$  precedes  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_2$ .

in terms of the canonical precedence of their orbital lines. As usual  $\mathcal{S}_1 < \mathcal{S}_2$  will stand for  $\mathcal{S}_1 \leq \mathcal{S}_2$  and  $\mathcal{S}_1 \neq \mathcal{S}_2$ .

LEMMA 3. An orbital structure  $\mathcal{S} = [\mu_{ir}]$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  can be represented by block matrices  $[\mu_{ir}] = [N_{mn}]$ ,  $m, n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , where

$N_{11}$  is the 7 by 7 identity matrix  $I_7$ ,

$N_{12}$  is the 7 by 21 matrix:

$$N_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$N_{13}$  is the 7 by 15 zero matrix,

$N_{21} = \frac{1}{2} N_{12}^T$ ,

$N_{22}$  is a 21 by 21 incidence matrix with exactly six units in each row and exactly six units in each column,

$N_{23}$  is a 21 by 15 incidence matrix with exactly five units in each row and exactly seven units in each column,

$N_{31} = N_{13}^T$ ,

$N_{32}$  is a 15 by 21 incidence matrix with exactly seven units in each row and exactly five units in each column,

$N_{33} = 2I_{15} + N_{33}^*$ , where

$N_{33}^*$  is a 15 by 15 incidence matrix with exactly four units in each row and exactly four units in each column.

*Proof.* From the game products  $[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j]$  and  $[\hat{T}_r, \hat{T}_s]$ , defined by (\*\*) and (\*), by a some rearrangement one obtains

$$\sum_{r=8}^{43} \mu_{ir} \mu_{jr} = 2(2-f), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 48, \quad i \neq j, \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{i=8}^{43} \mu_{ir} \mu_{is} = \omega_r \omega_s - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^7 \mu_{ir} \mu_{is}, \quad i \leq r, s \leq 48, \quad r \neq s, \quad (9)$$

where  $f \in \{0, 1\}$  is the number of common fixed points from the orbital lines  $\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j$ .



Applying (8) with fixed  $i \in \{8, 9, \dots, 28\}$ , and changing  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$ , one obtains, in a similar manner as in [4], a system consisting of seven equations. Adding up these equations and dividing by 4 we obtain

$$\sum_{j=8}^{28} \mu_{ij} = 6, \quad 8 \leq i \leq 28.$$

Thus, the block matrix  $N_{22}$  has six units in each row. Analogously, applying (9) with fixed  $s \in \{8, 9, \dots, 28\}$  and changing  $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$  one obtains:  $\sum_{r=8}^{28} \mu_{rs} = 6$ , for  $8 \leq s \leq 28$ , and thus  $N_{22}$  has six units in each column. The rest of the proof is analogous.

We also observe that the application of (9) with  $r = 1$ ,  $s \in \{29, 30, \dots, 43\}$  gives the equations:

$$\sum_{i=8}^{13} \mu_{is} = 2, \quad 29 \leq s \leq 43. \quad (10)$$

Thus, by selecting the rows  $i = 8, 9, \dots, 13$  and the columns  $r = 29, 30, \dots, 43$  of  $[\mu_{ir}]$  one produces a 6 by 15 incidence matrix with five units in each row and two units in each column. We denote this matrix by  $M = [v_{ir}]$ .

Denote  $\hat{x}_i^{(\infty)}$ ,  $\hat{x}_i^{(1)}$ , and  $\hat{x}_i^{(2)}$  the “sublines” of an orbital line  $\hat{x}_i$ , defined by:

$$\langle \hat{x}_i^{(\infty)} \rangle = \langle \hat{x}_i \rangle \cap \hat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty, \quad \langle \hat{x}_i^{(1)} \rangle = \langle \hat{x}_i \rangle \cap \hat{\mathcal{P}}_1, \quad \langle \hat{x}_i^{(2)} \rangle = \langle \hat{x}_i \rangle \cap \hat{\mathcal{P}}_2. \quad (11)$$

Obviously, we have

$$\langle \hat{x}_i \rangle = \langle \hat{x}_i^{(\infty)} \rangle \sqcup \langle \hat{x}_i^{(1)} \rangle \sqcup \langle \hat{x}_i^{(2)} \rangle. \quad (12)$$

By solving the system of equations considered in the proof of Lemma 3, we actually search for lines  $\hat{x}_i$  of the  $i$ -th level which are consistent with all the fixed lines  $\hat{x}_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 7$ . A decomposition of  $\hat{x}_i$  given by (12) implies the fact that possible solutions of  $\hat{x}_i$  can be obtained by “combining” the components  $\hat{x}_i^{(1)}$  and  $\hat{x}_i^{(2)}$ . One obtains 167 possible solutions for sublines  $\hat{x}_i^{(1)}$  and  $\binom{15}{5}$  for sublines  $\hat{x}_i^{(2)}$ , for all  $i \in \{8, 9, \dots, 28\}$ . So we can compute the number  $N_1$  of possibilities for  $\hat{x}_i$  on the level  $l$ , for  $8 \leq l \leq 28$ :

$$N_1 = 167 \cdot \binom{15}{5} = 501,501.$$

In a similar manner we obtain 465 distinct sublines  $\hat{x}_i^{(1)}$  for  $29 \leq l \leq 43$ . The number  $N_2$  of possibilities for  $\hat{x}_i$  on the level  $l$ , for  $29 \leq l \leq 43$ , equals:

$$N_2 = 465 \cdot \binom{14}{4} = 465,465.$$

Now we can sketch an algorithm for constructing all nonisomorphic orbital structures  $\mathcal{S}$  in the canonical form.

ALGORITHM—STEP 1. We build the *partial orbital structures*, level by level. A partial scheme of  $l$ th level, denoted  $S(l)$ , is any  $l$  by  $l$  matrix satisfying the consistence conditions (\*) for rows, and not violating the consistence conditions (\*) for columns. Let  $S^{(l)}$  be the set of all possible partial schemes  $S(l)$ . In our case the sets  $S^{(1)}, S^{(2)}, \dots, S^{(7)}$  are trivial. We construct  $S^{(l)}$  from  $S^{(l-1)}$ ,  $8 \leq l \leq 43$ , by joining to each  $S(l-1) \in S^{(l-1)}$  all possible canonical lines  $\hat{x}_l$ . Let the consistencies among  $S(l-1)$  and some  $\hat{x}_l$  be satisfied. Then we include  $S(l) = S(l-1) \cup \hat{x}_l$  into  $S^{(l)}$  if it cannot be eliminated by finding a scheme  $S(l) \sigma$  isomorphic to  $S(l)$ , which precedes  $S(l)$ . We try to reach the elimination by means of automorphisms  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{F}(\rho)$ . If  $S(l) \sigma < S(l)$  (in terms of the precedence of partial schemes considered as parts of the whole orbital structures  $\mathcal{S}$ )  $S(l)$  is omitted. In this way we ensure the elimination of a lot of isomorphic orbital structures, retaining only those among them which are first in terms of the defined precedence.

Let  $\hat{S}(l)$  be the set of lines of a scheme  $S(l)$ , i.e.,  $\hat{S}(l) = \{\hat{x}_i \mid i = 8, 9, \dots, l\}$ . Then a decomposition of  $\hat{x}_i$  given by (12) enables us to consider the subline sets:  $\hat{S}_1(l) = \{\hat{x}_i^{(1)} \mid i = 8, 9, \dots, l\}$  and  $\hat{S}_2(l) = \{\hat{x}_i^{(2)} \mid i = 8, 9, \dots, l\}$ . We denote the corresponding substructures by  $S_1(l)$  and  $S_2(l)$ , respectively.

(I) *Generating new schemes  $S(l)$  for  $8 \leq l \leq 13$ .* For a chosen subline  $\hat{x}_l^{(1)}$  we generate one by one  $\binom{15}{5}$  the lexicographically ordered sublines  $\hat{x}_l^{(2)}$ . When a first subline  $\hat{x}_l^{(2)}$  appears such that the whole line  $\hat{x}_l$  ( $\langle \hat{x}_l \rangle = \langle \hat{x}_l^{(\infty)} \rangle \sqcup \langle \hat{x}_l^{(1)} \rangle \sqcup \langle \hat{x}_l^{(2)} \rangle$ ) is consistent with the corresponding scheme  $S(l-1)$ , a new scheme  $S(l)$  is produced. All forthcoming consistent schemes  $\bar{S}(l)$  with the same  $\hat{x}_l^{(1)}$  are isomorphic to  $S(l)$ , since Eq. (10) and Lemma 3 of [4] imply the existence of such an automorphism  $\delta \in G_1$  which maps the scheme  $\bar{S}_2(l)$  onto  $S_2(l)$ . Therefore we interrupt generating the remaining sublines  $\hat{x}_l^{(2)}$  and repeat the same procedure with the next subline  $\hat{x}_l^{(1)}$ , exhausting all 167 possibilities.

(II) *Elimination of isomorphic schemes for  $8 \leq l \leq 14$ .* We search for a  $\sigma \in G_2$  which gives  $S_1(l) \sigma < S_1(l)$ . When such a  $\sigma$  is found,  $S(l)$  is omitted, because from Eq. (10) and Lemma 3 of [4] there follows the existence of such an automorphism  $\delta \in G_1$  which maps the scheme  $S_2(l) \sigma$  onto  $S_2(l) \sigma \delta = S_2(l)$ , i.e.,  $S \sigma \delta < S$ .

(III) *Generating new schemes for  $l \geq 14$ .* We must examine all 501,501 possibilities for  $\hat{x}_l^{(1)}$  on each level  $14 \leq l \leq 28$ , and all 465,465 possibilities for  $\hat{x}_l^{(1)}$  on each level  $29 \leq l \leq 43$ .

(IV) *Elimination of isomorphic schemes for  $14 \leq l \leq 28$ :*

- (a) We use  $G_i \subseteq G_1$ : the set of transpositions over  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$ ,  $|G_i| = \binom{15}{5} = 105$ .
- (b) Denote with  $S_1^*(14)$  and  $S_2^*(14)$  the substructures obtained by selecting from the schemes  $S_1(l)$  and  $S_2(l)$   $\sigma$ , respectively, their lines with the orbital levels  $\leq 14$ . By finding a  $\sigma \in G_2$  which gives  $S_2^*(14) < S_1^*(14)$ ,  $S(l)$  is omitted by the same argument as in case (II). We use (IV) (b) only on the level  $l = 28$ , since a searching for an adequate  $\sigma \in G_2$  on the levels  $l < 28$  consumes too much computing time.

Applying the algorithm we obtain, with the help of a computer, as the only solutions (up to isomorphism) two orbital structures:  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$ . This result is reached after 3700 h of continuous computing on a computer Dynatech DCS-1/320. The greatest number of schemes we observe on level 16, where we count approximately 420,000,000 (not necessarily non-isomorphic) schemes. On level 28 this number reduces to six and, after applying (IV) (b), to only two nonisomorphic schemes.

## 5. FINAL RESULTS

Let  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$  be a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -design and  $\langle \rho \rangle < \text{Aut } \mathcal{D}$ . We have shown (by Lemmas 1 and 2) that the existence of the orbital structure  $\mathcal{S}$  with respect to  $\langle \rho \rangle$  is the necessary condition for the existence of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Applying Step 1 of our algorithm we found all the possible solutions for  $\mathcal{S}$ . Now we try to construct the designs by “indexing” the big points of  $\mathcal{S}$ . This problem in the general case need not have a solution, and if a solution exists it need not be unique. We now give a brief description of our algorithm for constructing all possible solutions.

ALGORITHM—STEP 2. Let  $\mathcal{S} = [\mu_{j,r}]$  be the orbital structure under consideration. For the  $j$ th row in  $\mathcal{S}$  we construct lines  $x_j$  from the line orbit  $\mathcal{B}_j$ , by supplying orbital numbers of  $\hat{x}_j$  with indices  $\in \{0, 1, \dots, |\rho| - 1\}$ . For  $x'_j, x''_j$  corresponding to the same  $\hat{x}_j$  we define:  $x'_j$  precedes  $x''_j$ ,  $x'_j < x''_j$ , if the sequence of indices of big points corresponding to  $x'_j$  precedes that of  $x''_j$  lexicographically. Among the lines of the orbit  $\mathcal{B}_j$  we take out as its *representative* the first in terms of the defined precedence, thus obtaining  $\tilde{x}_j$ —the canonical form of  $x_j$ . In the following we identify  $\tilde{x}_j$  with  $x_j$  and call it the *canonical line*. The set of all  $j$ th level canonical lines we denote  $x^{(j)}$ .

After finding  $x^{(j)}$ , we build the partial designs. A *partial design of  $j$ th level*, denoted  $\Delta_j$ , is an incidence structure  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}^{(j)}, I)$  with  $|\mathcal{B}^{(j)}| = j$  canonical lines, such that  $|\langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle| = \lambda$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{B}^{(j)}$ ,  $x \neq y$ . With  $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}$  we denote the set of all  $j$ th level partial designs  $\Delta_j$  which we construct

TABLE II

An Aschbacher's Design Constructed under the Assumption of Involution Acting

Orbital level														
1	$\infty_1$	8 <sub>0</sub>	8 <sub>1</sub>	9 <sub>0</sub>	9 <sub>1</sub>	10 <sub>0</sub>	10 <sub>1</sub>	11 <sub>0</sub>	11 <sub>1</sub>	12 <sub>0</sub>	12 <sub>1</sub>	13 <sub>0</sub>	13 <sub>1</sub>	
2	$\infty_2$	8 <sub>0</sub>	8 <sub>1</sub>	14 <sub>0</sub>	14 <sub>1</sub>	15 <sub>0</sub>	15 <sub>1</sub>	16 <sub>0</sub>	16 <sub>1</sub>	17 <sub>0</sub>	17 <sub>1</sub>	18 <sub>0</sub>	18 <sub>1</sub>	
3	$\infty_3$	9 <sub>0</sub>	9 <sub>1</sub>	14 <sub>0</sub>	14 <sub>1</sub>	19 <sub>0</sub>	19 <sub>1</sub>	20 <sub>0</sub>	20 <sub>1</sub>	21 <sub>0</sub>	21 <sub>1</sub>	22 <sub>0</sub>	22 <sub>1</sub>	
4	$\infty_4$	10 <sub>0</sub>	10 <sub>1</sub>	15 <sub>0</sub>	15 <sub>1</sub>	19 <sub>0</sub>	19 <sub>1</sub>	23 <sub>0</sub>	23 <sub>1</sub>	24 <sub>0</sub>	24 <sub>1</sub>	25 <sub>0</sub>	25 <sub>1</sub>	
5	$\infty_5$	11 <sub>0</sub>	11 <sub>1</sub>	16 <sub>0</sub>	16 <sub>1</sub>	20 <sub>0</sub>	20 <sub>1</sub>	23 <sub>0</sub>	23 <sub>1</sub>	26 <sub>0</sub>	26 <sub>1</sub>	27 <sub>0</sub>	27 <sub>1</sub>	
6	$\infty_6$	12 <sub>0</sub>	12 <sub>1</sub>	17 <sub>0</sub>	17 <sub>1</sub>	21 <sub>0</sub>	21 <sub>1</sub>	24 <sub>0</sub>	24 <sub>1</sub>	26 <sub>0</sub>	26 <sub>1</sub>	28 <sub>0</sub>	28 <sub>1</sub>	
7	$\infty_7$	13 <sub>0</sub>	13 <sub>1</sub>	18 <sub>0</sub>	18 <sub>1</sub>	22 <sub>0</sub>	22 <sub>1</sub>	25 <sub>0</sub>	25 <sub>1</sub>	27 <sub>0</sub>	27 <sub>1</sub>	28 <sub>0</sub>	28 <sub>1</sub>	
8	$\infty_1$	$\infty_2$	8 <sub>0</sub>	19 <sub>0</sub>	20 <sub>0</sub>	24 <sub>0</sub>	27 <sub>0</sub>	28 <sub>0</sub>	29 <sub>0</sub>	30 <sub>0</sub>	31 <sub>0</sub>	32 <sub>0</sub>	33 <sub>0</sub>	
9	$\infty_1$	$\infty_3$	9 <sub>0</sub>	15 <sub>0</sub>	17 <sub>0</sub>	25 <sub>0</sub>	26 <sub>0</sub>	27 <sub>0</sub>	29 <sub>1</sub>	34 <sub>0</sub>	35 <sub>0</sub>	36 <sub>0</sub>	37 <sub>0</sub>	
10	$\infty_1$	$\infty_4$	13 <sub>0</sub>	16 <sub>0</sub>	17 <sub>0</sub>	21 <sub>0</sub>	22 <sub>0</sub>	23 <sub>0</sub>	30 <sub>0</sub>	31 <sub>1</sub>	34 <sub>1</sub>	38 <sub>0</sub>	39 <sub>0</sub>	
11	$\infty_1$	$\infty_5$	12 <sub>0</sub>	14 <sub>0</sub>	18 <sub>0</sub>	22 <sub>0</sub>	23 <sub>1</sub>	24 <sub>0</sub>	32 <sub>1</sub>	35 <sub>1</sub>	36 <sub>0</sub>	40 <sub>0</sub>	41 <sub>0</sub>	
12	$\infty_1$	$\infty_6$	11 <sub>0</sub>	14 <sub>0</sub>	15 <sub>0</sub>	20 <sub>0</sub>	25 <sub>1</sub>	28 <sub>1</sub>	38 <sub>1</sub>	39 <sub>0</sub>	40 <sub>1</sub>	42 <sub>0</sub>	43 <sub>0</sub>	
13	$\infty_1$	$\infty_7$	10 <sub>0</sub>	16 <sub>0</sub>	18 <sub>1</sub>	19 <sub>1</sub>	21 <sub>1</sub>	26 <sub>0</sub>	33 <sub>0</sub>	37 <sub>1</sub>	41 <sub>0</sub>	42 <sub>1</sub>	43 <sub>0</sub>	
14	$\infty_2$	$\infty_3$	10 <sub>0</sub>	11 <sub>1</sub>	16 <sub>1</sub>	22 <sub>0</sub>	24 <sub>0</sub>	28 <sub>1</sub>	34 <sub>1</sub>	35 <sub>0</sub>	38 <sub>1</sub>	41 <sub>1</sub>	42 <sub>1</sub>	
15	$\infty_2$	$\infty_4$	11 <sub>0</sub>	12 <sub>1</sub>	15 <sub>0</sub>	21 <sub>1</sub>	22 <sub>0</sub>	27 <sub>1</sub>	33 <sub>0</sub>	36 <sub>1</sub>	37 <sub>0</sub>	40 <sub>0</sub>	43 <sub>1</sub>	
16	$\infty_2$	$\infty_5$	10 <sub>0</sub>	13 <sub>0</sub>	14 <sub>0</sub>	21 <sub>0</sub>	25 <sub>0</sub>	26 <sub>0</sub>	29 <sub>0</sub>	30 <sub>1</sub>	36 <sub>1</sub>	39 <sub>1</sub>	42 <sub>0</sub>	
17	$\infty_2$	$\infty_6$	9 <sub>0</sub>	13 <sub>1</sub>	18 <sub>0</sub>	19 <sub>1</sub>	23 <sub>0</sub>	26 <sub>0</sub>	31 <sub>0</sub>	34 <sub>1</sub>	35 <sub>1</sub>	40 <sub>1</sub>	43 <sub>1</sub>	
18	$\infty_2$	$\infty_7$	9 <sub>0</sub>	12 <sub>1</sub>	17 <sub>0</sub>	20 <sub>0</sub>	23 <sub>1</sub>	25 <sub>1</sub>	32 <sub>1</sub>	37 <sub>1</sub>	38 <sub>0</sub>	39 <sub>1</sub>	41 <sub>1</sub>	
19	$\infty_3$	$\infty_4$	8 <sub>0</sub>	12 <sub>1</sub>	18 <sub>0</sub>	20 <sub>0</sub>	25 <sub>0</sub>	26 <sub>1</sub>	30 <sub>1</sub>	31 <sub>1</sub>	40 <sub>1</sub>	41 <sub>0</sub>	42 <sub>1</sub>	
20	$\infty_3$	$\infty_5$	12 <sub>0</sub>	13 <sub>1</sub>	15 <sub>0</sub>	16 <sub>0</sub>	19 <sub>0</sub>	28 <sub>1</sub>	31 <sub>1</sub>	32 <sub>0</sub>	37 <sub>1</sub>	39 <sub>1</sub>	43 <sub>1</sub>	
21	$\infty_3$	$\infty_6$	8 <sub>0</sub>	10 <sub>1</sub>	18 <sub>1</sub>	21 <sub>0</sub>	23 <sub>0</sub>	27 <sub>1</sub>	32 <sub>1</sub>	33 <sub>0</sub>	36 <sub>0</sub>	38 <sub>1</sub>	39 <sub>1</sub>	
22	$\infty_3$	$\infty_7$	11 <sub>0</sub>	13 <sub>1</sub>	14 <sub>1</sub>	17 <sub>0</sub>	23 <sub>0</sub>	24 <sub>0</sub>	29 <sub>0</sub>	30 <sub>1</sub>	33 <sub>1</sub>	40 <sub>0</sub>	43 <sub>0</sub>	
23	$\infty_4$	$\infty_5$	9 <sub>0</sub>	10 <sub>1</sub>	17 <sub>1</sub>	18 <sub>0</sub>	20 <sub>1</sub>	28 <sub>1</sub>	29 <sub>0</sub>	33 <sub>0</sub>	35 <sub>0</sub>	38 <sub>0</sub>	43 <sub>0</sub>	
24	$\infty_4$	$\infty_6$	9 <sub>0</sub>	13 <sub>0</sub>	14 <sub>1</sub>	16 <sub>1</sub>	24 <sub>0</sub>	27 <sub>1</sub>	29 <sub>1</sub>	32 <sub>0</sub>	37 <sub>1</sub>	41 <sub>0</sub>	42 <sub>0</sub>	
25	$\infty_4$	$\infty_7$	8 <sub>0</sub>	11 <sub>1</sub>	14 <sub>1</sub>	19 <sub>0</sub>	26 <sub>0</sub>	28 <sub>1</sub>	32 <sub>1</sub>	34 <sub>0</sub>	35 <sub>1</sub>	36 <sub>1</sub>	39 <sub>0</sub>	
26	$\infty_5$	$\infty_6$	8 <sub>0</sub>	11 <sub>0</sub>	17 <sub>1</sub>	19 <sub>1</sub>	22 <sub>0</sub>	25 <sub>0</sub>	30 <sub>0</sub>	33 <sub>1</sub>	34 <sub>0</sub>	37 <sub>1</sub>	41 <sub>1</sub>	
27	$\infty_5$	$\infty_7$	8 <sub>0</sub>	9 <sub>0</sub>	15 <sub>1</sub>	21 <sub>1</sub>	24 <sub>1</sub>	27 <sub>0</sub>	31 <sub>1</sub>	34 <sub>1</sub>	38 <sub>1</sub>	40 <sub>0</sub>	42 <sub>0</sub>	
28	$\infty_6$	$\infty_7$	10 <sub>0</sub>	12 <sub>1</sub>	15 <sub>0</sub>	16 <sub>1</sub>	20 <sub>1</sub>	22 <sub>1</sub>	29 <sub>0</sub>	30 <sub>0</sub>	31 <sub>1</sub>	35 <sub>1</sub>	36 <sub>0</sub>	
29	29 <sub>0</sub>	29 <sub>1</sub>	11 <sub>0</sub>	12 <sub>0</sub>	16 <sub>1</sub>	18 <sub>1</sub>	19 <sub>0</sub>	21 <sub>1</sub>	25 <sub>0</sub>	32 <sub>1</sub>	34 <sub>1</sub>	38 <sub>0</sub>	40 <sub>1</sub>	
30	30 <sub>0</sub>	30 <sub>1</sub>	9 <sub>0</sub>	11 <sub>0</sub>	15 <sub>1</sub>	18 <sub>1</sub>	21 <sub>0</sub>	23 <sub>1</sub>	28 <sub>1</sub>	32 <sub>0</sub>	35 <sub>1</sub>	37 <sub>0</sub>	42 <sub>1</sub>	
31	31 <sub>0</sub>	31 <sub>1</sub>	10 <sub>0</sub>	11 <sub>0</sub>	14 <sub>1</sub>	17 <sub>1</sub>	21 <sub>0</sub>	25 <sub>1</sub>	27 <sub>0</sub>	32 <sub>1</sub>	35 <sub>0</sub>	41 <sub>0</sub>	43 <sub>1</sub>	
32	32 <sub>0</sub>	32 <sub>1</sub>	10 <sub>0</sub>	13 <sub>1</sub>	15 <sub>1</sub>	17 <sub>0</sub>	20 <sub>1</sub>	22 <sub>0</sub>	26 <sub>1</sub>	33 <sub>0</sub>	34 <sub>0</sub>	40 <sub>1</sub>	42 <sub>0</sub>	
33	33 <sub>0</sub>	33 <sub>1</sub>	9 <sub>0</sub>	12 <sub>0</sub>	14 <sub>0</sub>	16 <sub>1</sub>	23 <sub>0</sub>	25 <sub>1</sub>	28 <sub>0</sub>	31 <sub>1</sub>	34 <sub>0</sub>	36 <sub>1</sub>	42 <sub>1</sub>	
34	34 <sub>0</sub>	34 <sub>1</sub>	10 <sub>0</sub>	12 <sub>0</sub>	14 <sub>1</sub>	18 <sub>0</sub>	20 <sub>0</sub>	24 <sub>1</sub>	27 <sub>1</sub>	30 <sub>0</sub>	37 <sub>0</sub>	39 <sub>1</sub>	43 <sub>0</sub>	
35	35 <sub>0</sub>	35 <sub>1</sub>	8 <sub>0</sub>	13 <sub>0</sub>	16 <sub>0</sub>	20 <sub>1</sub>	21 <sub>1</sub>	24 <sub>0</sub>	25 <sub>1</sub>	33 <sub>1</sub>	37 <sub>0</sub>	39 <sub>1</sub>	40 <sub>1</sub>	
36	36 <sub>0</sub>	36 <sub>1</sub>	11 <sub>0</sub>	13 <sub>0</sub>	17 <sub>0</sub>	18 <sub>0</sub>	19 <sub>0</sub>	20 <sub>1</sub>	24 <sub>1</sub>	31 <sub>0</sub>	37 <sub>1</sub>	38 <sub>1</sub>	42 <sub>1</sub>	
37	37 <sub>0</sub>	37 <sub>1</sub>	8 <sub>0</sub>	10 <sub>0</sub>	14 <sub>0</sub>	22 <sub>1</sub>	23 <sub>0</sub>	26 <sub>1</sub>	28 <sub>1</sub>	29 <sub>1</sub>	31 <sub>0</sub>	38 <sub>0</sub>	40 <sub>0</sub>	
38	38 <sub>0</sub>	38 <sub>1</sub>	12 <sub>0</sub>	13 <sub>0</sub>	14 <sub>1</sub>	15 <sub>0</sub>	19 <sub>1</sub>	26 <sub>1</sub>	27 <sub>0</sub>	30 <sub>1</sub>	33 <sub>0</sub>	35 <sub>1</sub>	41 <sub>1</sub>	
39	39 <sub>0</sub>	39 <sub>1</sub>	9 <sub>0</sub>	11 <sub>1</sub>	15 <sub>0</sub>	18 <sub>1</sub>	22 <sub>0</sub>	24 <sub>1</sub>	26 <sub>1</sub>	29 <sub>0</sub>	31 <sub>0</sub>	33 <sub>1</sub>	41 <sub>0</sub>	
40	40 <sub>0</sub>	40 <sub>1</sub>	9 <sub>0</sub>	10 <sub>0</sub>	16 <sub>0</sub>	17 <sub>1</sub>	19 <sub>0</sub>	27 <sub>1</sub>	28 <sub>0</sub>	30 <sub>1</sub>	36 <sub>0</sub>	39 <sub>0</sub>	41 <sub>1</sub>	
41	41 <sub>0</sub>	41 <sub>1</sub>	8 <sub>0</sub>	13 <sub>1</sub>	15 <sub>0</sub>	20 <sub>1</sub>	21 <sub>0</sub>	23 <sub>1</sub>	28 <sub>0</sub>	29 <sub>1</sub>	34 <sub>1</sub>	36 <sub>1</sub>	43 <sub>0</sub>	
42	42 <sub>0</sub>	42 <sub>1</sub>	8 <sub>0</sub>	12 <sub>0</sub>	17 <sub>0</sub>	19 <sub>1</sub>	22 <sub>1</sub>	23 <sub>1</sub>	27 <sub>1</sub>	29 <sub>0</sub>	35 <sub>0</sub>	39 <sub>0</sub>	43 <sub>1</sub>	
43	43 <sub>0</sub>	43 <sub>1</sub>	8 <sub>0</sub>	9 <sub>1</sub>	16 <sub>1</sub>	22 <sub>0</sub>	24 <sub>1</sub>	25 <sub>1</sub>	26 <sub>0</sub>	30 <sub>1</sub>	32 <sub>0</sub>	36 <sub>0</sub>	38 <sub>0</sub>	

in our procedure. For two such partial designs  $\Delta'_j$  and  $\Delta''_j$  we say  $\Delta'_j$  precedes  $\Delta''_j$ ,  $\Delta'_j < \Delta''_j$ , if there exists some  $q$ ,  $q \leq j$ , such that

(i) corresponding  $i$ th level canonical lines of  $\Delta'_j$  and  $\Delta''_j$  coincide for  $1 \leq i < q$ , and

(ii)  $q$ th level canonical line of  $\Delta'_j$  precedes that of  $\Delta''_j$ .

In our case partial designs  $\mathcal{D}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{D}^{(7)}$  are trivial. We construct  $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}$  from  $\mathcal{D}^{(j-1)}$ ,  $8 \leq j \leq 43$ , in the following way: To each partial design  $\Delta_{j-1} \in \mathcal{D}^{(j-1)}$  we join all possible  $j$ th level canonical lines  $x_j$  which intersect with each line of  $\Delta_{j-1}$  in exactly two points. In such a way we obtain one by one potential partial designs  $\Delta_j = \Delta_{j-1} \cup x_j$  of the  $j$ th level. Then we try to eliminate  $\Delta_j$  by searching for a design  $\Delta_j \alpha$  that is isomorphic to  $\Delta_j$ , which precedes  $\Delta_j$ . Denote with  $S$  the stabilizer of all  $t = 43$  point orbits of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Obviously,  $\alpha \in S$  fixes all the orbital lines of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Now, we include  $\Delta_j$  into  $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}$  if it cannot be eliminated by finding an  $\alpha \in S$  such that  $\Delta_j \alpha < \Delta_j$  in terms of the above defined precedence of partial designs. At the end of this procedure,  $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}$  will be the set of all possible designs with the orbital structure  $\mathcal{S}$ , admitting the given automorphism group  $\langle \rho \rangle$ .

The described procedure is also carried out by computer. It turns out that both orbital structures  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  can be supplied by the indices in unique manner up to isomorphism. So we obtain biplane  $\mathcal{D}_1$  by indexing  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and biplane  $\mathcal{D}_2$  by indexing  $\mathcal{S}_2$  as the only solutions and conclude that they represent a pair of nonisomorphic Aschbacher's designs which are mutually dual. The biplane  $\mathcal{D}_1$ , lexicographically the first, is enclosed in Table II, by writing down only the  $\langle \rho \rangle$ -orbit representatives.

So we proved the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a biplane (79, 13, 2) admitting an involutory automorphism. Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is unique, up to isomorphism and duality, and represents an Aschbacher's design with the full automorphism group of the order 110.*

Together with previously mentioned results this yields

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\mathcal{D}$  is a biplane (79, 13, 2) that is not isomorphic to an Aschbacher's design, then the full automorphism group of  $\mathcal{D}$  is either trivial or a 3-group.*

## REFERENCES

1. M. ASCHBACHER, On collineation groups of symmetric block designs, *J. Combin. Theory* **11** (1971), 272–281.
2. V. ČEPULIĆ, On symmetric block designs (45, 12, 3) with automorphisms of order 5, to appear in *Ars Combinatoria*.

3. Z. JANKO AND T. TRUNG, Construction of a new symmetric block design for  $(78, 22, 6)$  with the help of tactical decompositions, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **40** (1985), 451–455.
4. L.J. MARANGUNIĆ, Biplanes  $(56, 11, 2)$  with involutory collineation fixing 6 points. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **54** (1990), 149–163.
5. B. SHITA, “Klasifikacija nekih dvoravnina sa kolineacijama malih redova,” Doctoral Dissertation, University of Prishtina, Yugoslavia, 1988.
6. D. ULZENHEIMER, Gruppentheoretische Konstruktionmethoden für Symmetrische Block-plane, Diplomarbeit, Heidelberg, 1985.