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#### Abstract

F_{1}\)-ATPase is a water-soluble portion of $F_{0} F_{1}$-ATP synthase and rotary molecular motor that exhibits reversibility in chemical reactions. The rotational motion of the shaft subunit $\gamma$ has been carefully scrutinized in previous studies, but a tilting motion of the shaft has never been explicitly postulated. Here we found a change in the radius of rotation of the probe attached to the shaft subunit $\gamma$ between two different intermediate states in ATP hydrolysis: one waiting for ATP binding, and the other waiting for ATP hydrolysis and/or subsequent product release. Analysis of this radial difference indicates a $\sim 4^{\circ}$ outward tilting of the $\gamma$-subunit induced by ATP binding. The tilt angle is a new parameter, to our knowledge, representing the motion of the $\gamma$-subunit and provides a new constraint condition of the ATP-waiting conformation of $F_{1}$-ATPase, which has not been determined as an atomic structure from x-ray crystallography.


## INTRODUCTION

$\mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$-ATP synthase is a molecular motor exhibiting reversibility of ATP hydrolysis and synthesis (1-3). The $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ sector containing $\alpha_{3} \beta_{3} \gamma \delta \varepsilon$ subunits, called $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-ATPase, solely hydrolyzes ATP when isolated and the hydrolysis accompanies counterclockwise rotation of the $\gamma$-subunit when viewed from the protruded side $(4,5)$. Inversely, when the common shaft is forced to rotate in a clockwise manner by the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}$ portion using the proton-motive force across a membrane, ATP is synthesized from ADP and inorganic phosphate in three catalytic sites located at the interface between the noncatalytic $\alpha$-subunit and the catalytic $\beta$-subunit (6). The crystal structure of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-ATPase revealed that three noncatalytic $\alpha$-subunits and three catalytic $\beta$-subunits are alternately arranged around the central shaft $\gamma$-subunit and three catalytic sites are in different chemical states $(7,8)$.

The coiled-coil domain of the $\gamma$-subunit is not essential for the rotational movement, but the rotation rate is greatly reduced by truncation of the coiled-coil domain (9). This study indicates that the $\gamma$-subunit essentially mediates the cooperative reactions in the three catalytic sites, and thus $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-ATPase achieves a high efficiency of chemical reaction. Therefore, how the $\gamma$-subunit interacts with the other subunits is critical for understanding the rotation mechanism of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-ATPase. However, following the demonstration of rotation of the $\gamma$-subunit and measurement of its rotation angle by single-molecule measurement, other motions were not as widely investigated. We hypothesized that a tilting motion of the $\gamma$-subunit should occur in addition to its horizontal rotation: the x-ray crystal structure clearly indicates that the C -terminal domain in the $\beta$-subunit accompanies the bending motion in the vertical direction from the open

[^0]form $\left(\beta_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ to the closed form $\left(\beta_{\mathrm{TP}}\right)$; the short helix of the $\gamma$-subunit (residues $80-96$ in $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-ATPase from bovine mitochondria) directly interacts with the C-terminal domain in the $\beta_{\mathrm{TP}}$ subunit $(7,10)$. Here, we scrutinize the radius of rotation in an isolated $\alpha_{3} \beta_{3} \gamma$ subcomplex (hereafter referred to as $F_{1}$ ) under an optical microscope and report a change in the radius of rotation, which notably indicates a tilting motion of the $\gamma$-subunit between two chemical states.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Preparation of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{1}}$

The $\alpha_{3} \beta_{3} \gamma$ subcomplex of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-ATPase was derived from thermophilic Bacillus PS3. For the rotation assay, we used the $\alpha_{3} \beta$ (His-10 at N -terminus) $3_{3}$ $\gamma(\mathrm{S} 109 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{I} 212 \mathrm{C})$, which is referred to as the wild-type (WT) $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ in main text, and the $\alpha_{3} \beta$ (His-10 at N-terminus/E190D) $)_{3} \gamma($ S109C/I212C), which is referred to as $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\beta \mathrm{E} 190 \mathrm{D})$. These subcomplexes were expressed in Escherichia coli, purified, and biotinylated as reported elsewhere (11).

## Microscopy

The rotation of a polystyrene bead on the $\gamma$-subunit was visualized by center-stop darkfield microscopy (11) using an inverted microscope (IX71; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with an objective lens (Plan Apo $100 \times$ or $60 \times$, NA 1.45; Olympus), a center stop (circular reticle with $\varphi=500$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$; Qioptiq Photonics GmbH \& Co KG, Göttingen, Germany), a halogen lamp and a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Luca; Andor Technologies, Belfast, Ireland /CCD-300-RCX; Dage-MTI, Michigan City, IN). The rotation of a gold nanoparticle was visualized by objective-type total internal reflection darkfield microscopy (12) using an inverted microscopy (IX71; Olympus) with an objective lens (Plan Apo $60 \times$, NA 1.45; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan), a laboratory-made perforated mirror ( $\varphi=6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), a 532-nm laser (Compass 215M-50; Coherent, Santa Clara, CA), and a CCD camera (Luca; Andor Technologies).

## Rotation assay

The methods for rotation assay were described previously $(11,12)$, except that the present assay mixture contained 10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 8.0 ,
$1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, and ATP, along with an ATP-regenerating system $(0.020 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ creatine kinase and $0.082 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ creatine phosphate). Observations were made at $24 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## RESULTS

## Change in radius of rotation of the mutant $F_{\mathbf{1}}$

In the rotation assay, we used a single polystyrene bead or a spherical nanoparticle as a probe. Unlike an actin filament or duplex bead, these probes are symmetrical about all ( $x, y$, and $z$ ) axes and therefore their projected shapes onto the $x-y$ plane are not changed when the $\gamma$-subunit is tilted, which enables us to measure the radius of rotation quantitatively. $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ from thermophilic Bacillus PS3 $\left(\mathrm{TF}_{1}\right)$ was used. The $\gamma$-subunit was biotinylated to attach a streptavidin-coated probe specifically. The resulting $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-probe conjugates were immobilized on a glass and imaged using darkfield microscopy ( 11,12 ).

In ATP hydrolysis, the unitary step of $120^{\circ}$ consists of an $80^{\circ}$ substep triggered by ATP binding and a $40^{\circ}$ substep after the catalytic events (chemical cleavage of ATP and release of the product Pi ) (13-16). The dwells before the $80^{\circ}$ substeps were hence named ATP-waiting dwells, and those before the $40^{\circ}$ substeps, catalytic dwells. To distinguish between these dwells, we used the mutant $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\beta \mathrm{E} 190 \mathrm{D})$, which cleaves ATP 100 -fold more slowly (its reported ATP cleavage rate is $\sim 3 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(16)$ ), so that the $80^{\circ}$ and $40^{\circ}$ substep can be observed at the video rate. As with the rotation assay of $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\beta \mathrm{E} 190 \mathrm{D})$ previously reported (16), the alternating steps of $\sim 80^{\circ}$ and $\sim 40^{\circ}$ were observed (Fig. 1 A inset). The plots of the position of the $220-\mathrm{nm}$ polystyrene bead clearly showed six dwelling points, identifying three ATP-waiting dwells and three catalytic dwells (Fig. 1 B). We found that a circle circumscribing
the triangle formed by the three catalytic dwells was clearly outside the circle circumscribing the three ATP-waiting dwells (Fig. $1 B$ ). We also confirmed this finding by calculating the distances between the dwelling point in each dwell and the circumcenter of ATP-waiting dwells. The mean distance of the catalytic dwells (at $80^{\circ}, 200^{\circ}, 320^{\circ}$ ) tended to be larger than that of the ATP-waiting dwells (at $0^{\circ}$, $120^{\circ}, 240^{\circ}$ ) (Fig. 1 C).

We observed the rotations of 93 molecules and measured, in each molecule, these two circumradii, each of which included three averaged points of dwells that were $\sim 120^{\circ}$ apart. The radius of rotation of catalytic dwells was always larger than that of ATP-waiting dwells, and the difference was estimated to be $2.6 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{~nm}$ (mean $\pm$ SD, Fig. $1 D$ ). Possible explanations for this $2.6-\mathrm{nm}$ displacement include translation, or, alternatively, tilting of the $\gamma$-subunit at the transition from the ATP-waiting dwell to the catalytic dwell. We considered this point from known atomic structures of the $\alpha_{3} \beta_{3} \gamma$ complex. Because an atomic structure of $\mathrm{TF}_{1}$ has not been reported, the crystal structures of bovine mitochondrial $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-ATPase were used to measure the cavity size of the $\alpha_{3} \beta_{3}$ cylinder $(7,10)$. The distance between $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ of E 292 of the $\alpha$-subunit and $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ of A278 of the $\beta$-subunit at the opposite side was typically only 1.7 nm (Fig. S1 and Fig. S2 in the Supporting Material). Considering this cavity size of the $\alpha_{3} \beta_{3}$ cylinder and the diameter of the $\gamma$-subunit itself, we surmise that the radial displacement of 2.6 nm is not attributable to a translation of the coiled-coil domain of the $\gamma$-subunit. Furthermore, because the domain of the $\gamma$-subunit that protrudes from the $\alpha_{3} \beta_{3}$ cylinder has been suggested to be relatively rigid or stably folded (17), this part of the $\gamma$-subunit is unlikely to undergo such a large conformational change that can result in the $\sim 3-\mathrm{nm}$


FIGURE 1 Observation of rotation of the $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\beta$ E190D $)$ with a single $220-\mathrm{nm}$ polystyrene bead at $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP at 30 frames per second. (A) Time course of rotation. Inset, magnified trajectory from the area indicated by brown lines. The horizontal gray lines are $80^{\circ}$ and $40^{\circ}$ apart. (B) Trace of a single bead rotation (purple solid circle) in $\sim 1800$ consecutive frames. Blue and red dotted lines represent the circumscribed circles of the three ATP-waiting dwells and the three catalytic dwells, respectively. ( $C$ ) Mean distances in each dwell from the circumcenter of the ATP-waiting dwells. The ATP-waiting dwells and the catalytic dwells are indicated by blue and red solid circles, respectively. ( $D$ ) Histogram of the difference between circumradii of the ATP-waiting dwells and the catalytic dwells.
extension that is necessary to result in the observed change of rotation radius (Fig. S2). Therefore, the tilting motion of the $\gamma$-subunit is the most probable cause for the change in the radius of rotation between the two different chemical states (Fig. S2).

## Change in radius of rotation of the WT $\mathrm{F}_{1}$

Next, we performed an experiment using the WT $\mathrm{F}_{1}$. In the WT $\mathrm{F}_{1}$, the catalytic dwelling points cannot be observed at the video rate because the time constant of the catalytic dwell is only a few milliseconds (15). Instead, we analyzed the position of long pauses (the time constant of $30 \sim 100 \mathrm{~s}$ ) caused by ADP inhibition, which occasionally occurs at the same angle as the catalytic dwells (18). The probe position in the ADP inhibited state was obviously outside the circumscribed circle of the ATP-waiting dwells (Fig. 2 A). The radial displacement of the ADP inhibited state was $2.3 \pm$ 3.7 nm (mean $\pm \mathrm{SD} ; n=18$ in 16 molecules, paired $t$ test $p<0.02$ ) (Fig. $2 B$ ), comparable to the results for $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\beta \mathrm{E} 190 \mathrm{D})$. Furthermore, we also performed the experiment using ATP $\gamma S$, in which the catalytic dwell was extended to $\sim 70 \mathrm{~ms}$ (16), instead of ATP. The rotation trajectory in the presence of ATP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ was similar to that of $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\beta \mathrm{E} 190 \mathrm{D})$ (Fig. $2 C$, see also Fig. $1 B$ ). The difference


FIGURE 2 Observation of rotation of the WT $\mathrm{F}_{1}$. (A) Trace of consecutive rotation, and the pause due to ADP-inhibition (arrow), observed with a single $220-\mathrm{nm}$ polystyrene bead at $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP at 30 frames per second. (B) Histogram of the displacement of the pause of ADP inhibition from the circumradius of the ATP-waiting dwells. (C) Trace of rotation of the WT observed with a single $108-\mathrm{nm}$ polystyrene bead at $1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ATP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ at 200 frames per second. ( $D$ ) Histogram of difference between circumradii of the ATP-waiting dwells and the catalytic dwells in the presence of ATP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$.
in the radius of rotation between the ATP-waiting dwells and catalytic dwells was $2.5 \pm 1.7 \mathrm{~nm}$ (mean $\pm$ SD; $n=$ 32 molecules, Fig. 2 D). Therefore, the radial displacement in rotation is a general feature of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$. Furthermore, we note that in this particular case (Fig. $2 C$ ) the two circles were not concentric, but whether or not the circles are concentric does not affect the result that the circumradius of the catalytic dwells is larger than that of the ATP-waiting dwells (see also Fig. S3).

## Evaluation of the tilt angle of the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$-subunit

We assume hereafter that the main cause of the change in radius of rotation is the tilting of the shaft, and quantitatively evaluate the angle of the tilting. To do this, markers with different diameters were employed in addition to the $220-\mathrm{nm}$ polystyrene bead: a $108-\mathrm{nm}$ single polystyrene bead, $489-\mathrm{nm}$ single polystyrene bead, and $40-\mathrm{nm}$ Au nanoparticle. Fig. 3 summarizes the relationship between the circumradius of the ATP-waiting dwells and that of the catalytic dwells in all experiments using $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\beta \mathrm{E} 190 \mathrm{D})$. We evaluated the tilt angle of the $\gamma$-subunit by trigonometry (Fig. 3 A ), and estimated the angles as $0.8^{\circ}, 1.3^{\circ}, 2.6^{\circ}$, and $3.7^{\circ}$ with $489-\mathrm{nm}, 220-\mathrm{nm}, 108-\mathrm{nm}$, and $40-\mathrm{nm}$ markers, respectively (Fig. 3, B-E). The results showed that the $\gamma$-subunit tilted up to $\sim 4^{\circ}$ at the transition from the ATPwaiting dwell to the catalytic dwell, and notably, the rotation of the shaft itself was not disturbed even when the angle was reduced to $\sim 1^{\circ}$, which was likely due to hindrance of the large markers. In the case of the WT $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ in the presence of ATP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ with a single $108-\mathrm{nm}$ polystyrene bead, the tilt angle of the $\gamma$-subunit was $2.8^{\circ}$, which is consistent with that of $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\beta \mathrm{E} 190 \mathrm{D})$ with a single $108-\mathrm{nm}$ bead (Fig. S4). Note that in all observed molecules, the triangles of the ATPwaiting dwells or the catalytic dwells were acute triangles, meaning all circumcenters of the ATP-waiting dwells or the catalytic dwells were inside the triangles.

## DISCUSSION

This study shows that the radius of rotation of the $\gamma$-subunit is different between the ATP-waiting dwells and the catalytic dwells. This finding was confirmed not only by analysis of the ATP-driven rotation of the $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\beta \mathrm{E} 190 \mathrm{D})$ mutant, which cleaved ATP 100-fold more slowly, but also by examination of the ATP $\gamma$ S-driven rotation of the $\mathrm{WT} \mathrm{F}_{1}$. The circumradius of the three dwelling points of the probe at the catalytic dwell was larger than that at the ATP-waiting dwell (Figs. 1 and 2), and this tendency was quantitatively confirmed by four markers with different diameters (Fig. 3). We conclude that our observation was most likely attributable to the tilting of the shaft, because 1 ), the radius change was dependent on the size of the marker; and 2), other factors, such as a shift or an elongation of the subunit parallel to the surface, were improbable when we take the
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FIGURE 3 Evaluation of the tilt angle of the $\gamma$-subunit. (A) Schematic diagrams of the geometry of the rotation assay. The relationship of the circumradius of the ATP-waiting dwells ( $x \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and that of catalytic dwells ( $y \mathrm{~nm}$ ) was expressed by the formula $y=x \cos \theta+\sqrt{b^{2}-x^{2}} \sin \theta$, where $\theta$ is the tilt angle from the ATP-waiting dwell to the catalytic dwell, and $b$ is the radius of the probe including the size of streptavidin ( 5 nm ) and the linker length of biotinylation ( 1 nm ). $(B-E)$ Relationship between the circumradius of the ATPwaiting dwells and that of the catalytic dwells. Each point represents one molecule. The red line represents the fitting line by the formula. The black line represents the line $y=x$, meaning that the circumradius of the catalytic dwells is equal to that of the ATP-waiting dwells.
protein size and structure into account. We also found that dwelling points at the ADP-inhibition state were located outside the circumradius of the three ATP-waiting dwells (Fig. 2, $A$ and $B$ ). It has been reported that rotation angles are similar at the states between ADP inhibition and catalytic dwell $(16,18)$. Here, our results indicate that the tilting direction is also the same between these two different chemical states. This similarity would be important when atomic structures of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-ATPase revealed by crystallography are compared and assessed in terms of their chemical states.

The crucial characteristic of radius change revealed herein has presumably been overlooked in previous contributions, although it can be observed in rotation traces. Many of the researchers in this field prefer to use asymmetric probes, such as an actin filament of micrometer
size $(4,5)$, a bead duplex $(11,14,15,18)$, or magnetic beads (13), to increase the resolution in the rotational direction of the shaft. These probes have been proven to efficiently amplify the rotation radius because of their large size; however, on the other hand, they hinder quantification of the tilting motions because of their asymmetric shapes. In the case of a bead duplex, for example, even though the first bead can precisely bind to the tip of the shaft with a certain specific angle, the orientation of the second bead to the first one should be nonspecifically set by chance. Hence, the centroid of the bead duplex can locate anywhere around the rotation axis, as the size of each marker is far larger than a single protein. This constraint to use asymmetric probes makes it impossible to detect a change in tilt against the rotation axis at the molecular scale.


FIGURE 4 Structure of the $\alpha$-subunits (light orange), the $\beta$-subunits (orange), and the $\gamma$-subunit (cyan) of bovine mitochondrial $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-ATPase $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{1}\right)$ (PDB 1e79 (10.)). The short helix of the $\gamma$-subunit (residues 80-96 in $\mathrm{BF}_{1}$ ) and the DELSEED (DELSDED in the thermophilic Bacillus PS3 $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-ATPase) region of the $\beta$-subunit (residues 394400 in $\mathrm{BF}_{1}$ ) are indicated by a space filling model. Two biotinylated residues of the $\gamma$-subunit identified by sequence alignment are indicated by green spheres (residue 101 (biotin1) and 200 (biotin2) in $\mathrm{BF}_{1}$ ). Note that the actual biotinylated site for biotin1 is residue 99 in $\mathrm{BF}_{1}$, but because of the partial gap in the x-ray crystal structure, we alternatively show the closest neighbor residue 101.

Our findings also indicate that the markers we used here preferentially attach to the specific side of the tip of the $\gamma$-subunit. To make a firm link between the shaft and a marker, we used a mutant that contains two cysteines (15): one at the end of the longer helix that comprises the coiledcoil structure protruding cylinder (biotin2 in Fig. 4); and one at the top side of the short helix, which directly interacts with the DELSEED region in the C-terminal domain of the $\beta$-subunit (biotinl in Fig. 4). These two cysteines were successfully biotinylated, and therefore bound to the avidin coated on the surface of a single marker so as to make the curvature of the marker fit with their surfaces. The configuration of the attachment in our experimental procedure would be well reproducible, which made it possible for us to measure the change in radius. Note that if there were a variety of orientations for the shaft-marker binding, the radius of the rotation could be both larger and smaller when the shaft tilts, because the radius change depends on the geometry of the center of the marker against the rotation axis.

Our results indicate that the $\gamma$-subunit tilts $\sim 1-4^{\circ}$ at the transition from the ATP-waiting dwell to the catalytic dwell. This estimation depends on the size of the markers (Fig. 3); the tilting angle decreased to $\sim 1^{\circ}$ when the diameter was increased to 500 nm , which is 50 times larger than the size of a single $F_{1}$ molecule. The apparent tilting angle could be underestimated for larger probes, presumably because their stochastic collision with the glass substrate under Brownian motion decreases the deviation toward the glass and thus makes the estimation of the average angle smaller. Here, we conclude that the tilting angle was $4^{\circ}$, as estimated from the smallest marker, which had a diameter of 40 nm , close to the protein scale. In addition, the rotation rates are slower for the larger probes (see Table S1). Note that the effect of viscous friction on the probe against the medium is negligible in our measurement $(5,15)$; the rotational motion of the probe during each step was much faster than the time resolution of our measurement. Therefore, we surmise that consecutive rotation could be affected to some extent by larger probes, perhaps in the form of their stochastic collision to a substrate surface. Evaluation of the
tilting angle and rotation rate is thus beneficial to check whether nanoparticle markers work properly to detect the intact behavior of molecules.

We found that the binding of ATP and the subsequent hydrolysis not only caused the $\gamma$ shaft to take $+80^{\circ}$ and $+40^{\circ}$ rotational steps in the rotational direction (13-16), but also $+4^{\circ}$ and $-4^{\circ}$ movements in the tilting direction, respectively. However, our results could not be tested against known atomic structures because the previously reported crystal structures of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-ATPase were found to mimic the conformation in the catalytic dwell or in the ADP inhibition state $(11,19,20)$, and thus the ATP-waiting conformation of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$-ATPase remains unresolved. Okazaki and Takada (21) analyzed all the previously reported atomic structures using principal compartment analysis, and reported that the tilting angle of the $\gamma$ shaft varies $-2^{\circ}$ to $+3^{\circ}$ around the averaged atomic structure, with its angle being particularly dependent on the conformational changes of the $\beta$-subunit. Although their analysis did not include the ATP-waiting conformation, we believe that their result supports our conclusion. Here, we present, to our knowledge, a new constraint condition of the ATP-waiting conformation. Our previous study also revealed the previously undescribed conformational set of three $\beta$-subunits in the ATP-waiting dwell (11). As additional constraint conditions are revealed in future studies, we will gain needed information for constructing a conformation model in the ATP-waiting dwell.

## SUPPORTING MATERIAL

A table and four figures are available at http://www.biophysj.org/biophysj/ supplemental/S0006-3495(11)01074-5.
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