

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 77, 97-103 (1982)

On the Generic Polynomial of Degree Two Algebras

ROBERT H. OEHMKE

*Department of Mathematics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242**Communicated by E. Kleinfeld*

Received July 25, 1980

Let \mathcal{A} be a finite dimensional algebra over a field \mathcal{F} of characteristic not 2 or 3. We say that \mathcal{A} is powerassociative if for every element y of \mathcal{A} we have that $\mathcal{F}[y]$, the algebra of polynomials in y over \mathcal{F} , is associative. This provides, in particular, a well-defined notion of nilpotency of elements. If powerassociativity is preserved under scalar extensions of \mathcal{A} we say that \mathcal{A} is strictly powerassociative [1]. We assume \mathcal{A} is commutative.

An algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be of degree 2 if the maximal set of pairwise orthogonal, nonzero idempotents in any scalar extension of \mathcal{A} is of cardinality 2. If \mathcal{A} has an identity element 1 and e is an idempotent then so also is $1 - e$. If $e \neq 0, 1$ then $\{e, 1 - e\}$ is a maximal set of pairwise orthogonal, nonzero idempotents in an algebra of degree 2.

Let u_1, \dots, u_n be a basis of the vector space \mathcal{A} over \mathcal{F} and $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ and μ_1, \dots, μ_n be algebraically independent elements over \mathcal{F} in some extension field of \mathcal{F} . Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{F}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ and

$$x = \delta_1 u_1 + \dots + \delta_n u_n.$$

The element x lies in the scalar extension $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}}$ of \mathcal{A} . The algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is commutative, powerassociative, and finite dimensional and has the same basis over \mathcal{K} as \mathcal{A} does over \mathcal{F} . The element x is called a generic element of \mathcal{A} [5, 6]. As an element in the finite dimensional algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}}$ over \mathcal{K} , x satisfies a monic equation of degree n with coefficients in \mathcal{K} and hence a minimal, monic equation over \mathcal{K} . This equation will be called the generic equation of \mathcal{A} and written $m_x(\lambda) = 0$. If it is also assumed that \mathcal{A} is Jordan, i.e.,

$$w^2(yw) = (w^2y)w$$

for all w and y in \mathcal{A} , and simple then Jacobson [5] has shown that the generic polynomial, $m_x(\lambda)$, is irreducible. From the literature on simple, commutative, powerassociative algebras [2, 3, 7, 9, 10] Jacobson's results prove that all simple, commutative, powerassociative algebras of charac-

teristic not 2 or 3 have irreducible generic polynomials except possibly those of degree 2 with a non-nilstable idempotent [11]. We shall use the results of [11] to fill in this small gap in the literature and to obtain the best possible form (with respect to irreducibility) that the generic polynomial can take.

For every idempotent e of \mathcal{A} we have a vector space decomposition

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_e(1) + \mathcal{A}_e(\frac{1}{2}) + \mathcal{A}_e(0),$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_e(i) = \{y \mid y \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } ey = iy\}.$$

Various multiplicative properties have been obtained [1] for these characteristic subspaces. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_e(1) \mathcal{A}_e(\frac{1}{2}) &\subseteq \mathcal{A}_e(\frac{1}{2}) + \mathcal{A}_e(0), \\ \mathcal{A}_e(0) \mathcal{A}_e(\frac{1}{2}) &\subseteq \mathcal{A}_e(\frac{1}{2}) + \mathcal{A}_e(1). \end{aligned}$$

We shall call the idempotent e nilstable if for every $y \in \mathcal{A}_e(1)$ and $z \in \mathcal{A}_e(\frac{1}{2})$ the $\mathcal{A}_e(0)$ -component of the product yz is nilpotent.

We can now formally state the result we wish to prove.

THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{A} be a finite dimensional, commutative, strictly powerassociative, simple algebra over a field \mathcal{F} of characteristic not 2 or 3 such that in some scalar extension of \mathcal{A} there is an idempotent that is not nilstable. The generic polynomial of \mathcal{A} is irreducible or of the form*

$$(\lambda^2 - \alpha(x)\lambda + \beta(x))^r,$$

where $\alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ are forms in $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ of degrees 1 and 2, respectively, $0 < r \leq p$, and $\lambda^2 - \alpha(x)\lambda + \beta(x)$ is irreducible.

Proof. If \mathcal{A} is simple then \mathcal{A} contains an identity element 1 [1]. Also the only simple non-nilstable algebras of this type are of characteristic $p \neq 0$ [10, 11]. Hence we shall assume $p \neq 0$. It has been shown [12] that the generic polynomial, $m_x(\lambda)$, is of the form

$$(\lambda^{2q} - \alpha(x)\lambda^q + \beta(x))^t,$$

where q is a power of p , t is a nonnegative integer and $\alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ are homogeneous forms over \mathcal{F} in $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$ of degrees q and $2q$, respectively, and $\lambda^{2q} - \alpha(x)\lambda^q + \beta(x)$ is irreducible over \mathcal{K} . In the subsequent work we do not need to work with the minimal polynomial. In fact the exponent t can be replaced by any positive integer s such that $t \leq s$. Hence we shall assume t is a power of p . We then have that exponentiation by t will be linear. Since

the generic polynomial remains the same in scalar extensions we can assume \mathcal{F} is algebraically closed.

Every element

$$x_0 = \delta_{10}u_1 + \dots + \delta_{n0}u_n$$

can be considered as a specialization of x . If we write $\alpha(x_0)$ and $\beta(x_0)$ for the elements of \mathcal{F} obtained when $\delta_{10}, \dots, \delta_{n0}$ are substituted for $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ then x_0 satisfies the equation

$$[x^{2q} - \alpha(x_0)x^q + \beta(x_0)]^t = 0$$

and the polynomial on the left has the same irreducible factors as the minimal polynomial satisfies by x_0 [5, 6]. Since \mathcal{A} has an idempotent $e \neq 0, 1$ we can take $x_0 = e - f$, where $f = 1 - e$. The minimal polynomial satisfied by x_0 is

$$\lambda^2 - 1$$

with the two distinct irreducible factors $\lambda - 1$ and $\lambda + 1$. Thus the generic polynomial $m_x(\lambda)$ must also have two distinct roots.

We let \mathcal{L} be an extension of \mathcal{K} that splits the polynomial

$$\lambda^2 - \alpha(x)\lambda + \beta(x) = (\lambda - b)(\lambda - a),$$

where $a, b \in \mathcal{L}$ and $a' \neq b'$. It follows easily that

$$e_x = \frac{x^{qt} - a^t}{b^t - a^t} \quad \text{and} \quad f_x = \frac{x^{qt} - b^t}{a^t - b^t}$$

are a pair of nonzero orthogonal idempotents whose sum is 1. These two elements lie in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$. We define

$$z_x = e_x - f_x = \frac{2x^{qt} - \alpha(x)^t}{b^t - a^t}.$$

If we let $L(z_x)$ be the linear transformation induced by left multiplication by z_x then $L(z_x)^2$ has two characteristic subspaces, $\mathcal{A}_{e_x}(1) + \mathcal{A}_{f_x}(1)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{e_x}(\frac{1}{2})$, corresponding to the characteristic values 1 and 0.

Let $\bar{z}_x = (b^t - a^t)z_x$. We have $(b^t - a^t)^2 = (b^t + a^t)^2 - 4a^tb^t = \alpha(x)^{2t} - 4\beta(x)^t$; an element of \mathcal{K} . Also $\bar{z}_x = 2x^{qt} - \alpha(x)^t$ is in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}}$. The linear transformation $L(\bar{z}_x)^2$ on the vector space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{K}}$ has two characteristic subspaces $G_{\mathcal{K}}(1, 0)$ and $G_{\mathcal{K}}(\frac{1}{2})$ corresponding to the characteristic values $\alpha(x)^{2t} - 4\beta(x)^t$ and 0. It follows readily that

$$\begin{aligned} [G_{\mathcal{K}}(1, 0)]_{\mathcal{L}} &= G_{\mathcal{L}}(1, 0), \\ [G_{\mathcal{K}}(\frac{1}{2})]_{\mathcal{L}} &= G_{\mathcal{L}}(\frac{1}{2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $G_{\mathcal{F}}(1, 0)$ and $G_{\mathcal{F}}(\frac{1}{2})$ are the characteristic subspaces of $L(\bar{z}_x)^2$ acting on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. We shall let $y = \varepsilon_1 u_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n u_n$ and $r = \mu_1 \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_n \mu_n$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} y^* &= yL(\bar{z}_x)^2, \\ r^* &= rL(\bar{z}_x)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let e be a specialization of x that is an idempotent of \mathcal{A} not equal to 1 or 0. All of the elements of $\mathcal{A}_e(1) + \mathcal{A}_e(0)$ and $\mathcal{A}_e(\frac{1}{2})$ can be obtained by a suitable specialization of y^* and $r - r^*$, respectively. If x is specialized to e then the corresponding specialization of \bar{z}_x is $e - f$, where $f = 1 - e$. If the assumption is made that e_x is nilstable then there is a nonnegative integer u such that

$$[|y^*(r - r^*)|^*]^u = 0$$

and therefore

$$[|y_0^*(r_0 - r_0^*)|^*]^u = 0$$

for all specializations y_0 and r_0 of y and r , respectively. Thus all idempotents of \mathcal{A} would be nilstable, which contradicts our original assumptions. Therefore we must have that e_x is not a nilstable idempotent and the splitting of the polynomial $\lambda^{2qt} - \alpha(x)^t \lambda^{qt} + \beta(x)^t$ gives rise to this non-nilstable idempotent in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Next we shall show that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is simple. Assume I is an ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and, as an algebra over \mathcal{L} , has dimension $m < n$. Select a basis v_1, \dots, v_m of I in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Since \mathcal{F} is an infinite field there must be a specialization such that not all the v_i 's specialize to 0. Let this specialization of the v_i 's generate the subspace J of \mathcal{A} . This subspace will be of dimension less than or equal to m and greater than 0. Since I is closed under multiplication by elements in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ it is certainly closed under multiplication by elements in $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Therefore so also is J closed under multiplication by elements of \mathcal{A} . Thus J is an ideal of \mathcal{A} and we have a contradiction. Thus $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is simple.

We can now use the results of [11] to determine the structure of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{Z} \cdot \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}_{e_x(\frac{1}{2})}.$$

The subspace \mathcal{B} is a commutative, associative \mathcal{D} -simple algebra [11] for a nontrivial set of derivations \mathcal{D} used in defining the multiplication of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Let \mathcal{N} equal the set of all nilpotent elements in \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{N}^p the p th power of all the elements in \mathcal{N} . Since the characteristic of F is p , \mathcal{N}^p forms a subalgebra

of \mathcal{B} . Let I be $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{N}^p$, the set of all sums of products of an element in \mathcal{B} times an element in \mathcal{N}^p . Clearly I is an ideal of \mathcal{B} . Also, if $D \in \mathcal{L}$ then $D(y) = 0$ for any $y \in \mathcal{N}^p$. Therefore I is a \mathcal{L} -admissible ideal of \mathcal{B} and we must have $I = 0$ or \mathcal{B} . Since the elements of I are nilpotent we must have $I = 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{N}^p = 0$ and the nilindex of any nilpotent element of \mathcal{B} is less than or equal to p .

The subspace \mathcal{N} is the radical of \mathcal{B} . Therefore \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{N} is a semisimple algebra over \mathcal{K} . Since \mathcal{B} has only one idempotent \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{N} must be a field. If b and b' are elements of \mathcal{B} such that

$$b = b' + n$$

for some $n \in \mathcal{N}$ then $b^p = b'^p$. Therefore the mapping $b + \mathcal{N} \rightarrow b^p$ is well-defined and a homomorphism of rings. If $b + \mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0$ then $b^p = 0$ and $b \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence we have an isomorphism. Therefore $\mathcal{B}^p \cong \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{N}$ and \mathcal{B}^p is a field. Actually \mathcal{B}^p is an extension of \mathcal{K}^p . Let $M = \mathcal{K}^p(x^{pq})$. Since all nilpotent elements of \mathcal{B} have nilindex less than or equal to p the same must be true of $G_x(1, 0)$. Therefore x satisfies an equation of the form

$$[x^{2q} - \alpha(x)x^q + \beta(x)]^p = 0.$$

Therefore M is quadratic over \mathcal{K}^p . Now if $x^p - \alpha(x)^p = 0$ has a solution γ in M then this solution must lie in \mathcal{K}^p . Thus γ and $\alpha(x)$ would be in \mathcal{K} and we would have to have $\gamma = \alpha(x)$. A similar argument can be made relative to $\beta(x)$. Thus we can adjoin $\alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ to M without danger of duplicating roots. If $q > 1$ we have the relationship

$$[x^{2q} - \alpha(x)x^q + \beta(x)]^p = 0$$

in $M(\alpha(x), \beta(x))$ and hence

$$x^{2q} - \alpha(x)x^q + \beta(x) = 0.$$

If $q = 1$ then of course we have $[x^2 - \alpha(x)x + \beta(x)]^t = 0$, where $t \leq p$. Thus we have completed the proof of the theorem.

We shall now give two examples of algebras of the type under consideration.

Let \mathcal{B} be the associative algebra with identity generated by the nilpotent element n ($n^p = 0$) over the algebraically closed field \mathcal{F} . Let \mathcal{A} be the vector space with basis over \mathcal{F} consisting of

$$1, b, \dots, b^{p-1}, z, b \circ z, \dots, b^{p-1} \circ z, w, b \circ w, \dots, b^{p-1} \circ w$$

and with products defined by

$$\begin{aligned} b^0 &= 1, & b^i b^j &= b^{i+j}, & (b^i \circ z)(b^j \circ z) &= b^{i+j} \circ z, & b^i(b^j \circ z) &= b^{i+j} \circ z, \\ b^i(b^j \circ w) &= (b^{i+j} \circ w) + ib^{i+j-1} \circ z, & (b^i \circ z)(b^j \circ w) &= -ib^{i+j-1}, \\ (b^i \circ w)(b^j \circ w) &= j(j-1)b^{i+j-2} + i(i-1)b^{i+j-2} - ij b^{i+j-2}. \end{aligned}$$

This multiplication satisfies the condition of [11, Theorem 8] with D_0 defined by $D_0(b) = 1$ and $b_{00} = 0$.

We define the subspace N_i to be generated by all $n^j, n^j \circ z, n^j \circ w$ for $j \geq i$. If $i \geq 2$ it is straightforward to show that $N_i^2 \subseteq N_{i+1}$. Hence all the elements of N_i are nilpotent for $i \geq 2$. Now let $y = \lambda(z + n \circ w) + \delta n + \mu(n \circ z) + m$, where $m \in N_2$ and λ, δ, μ are in \mathcal{F} . We have $y^2 = 4\delta\lambda n \circ z + m'$, where $m' \in N_2$. If $\lambda = 0$ in the expression of y then $y^2 \in N_2$. Since λ is the coefficient of $z + n \circ w$ we see immediately that $(y^2)^2 \in N_2$. Thus y^2 is nilpotent and so also is y .

Now let $x = \delta_1 + \delta_2 z + \delta_3 w + \delta_4 n + \delta_5 n \circ z + \delta_6(n \circ w) + m_2$, where $m_2 \in N_2$. We determine the coefficients of $1, z, n \circ w$ and w in the expression $x^2 - \alpha(x)x + \beta(x)$, where $\alpha(x) = 2\delta_1$ and $\beta(x) = \delta_1^2 - \delta_2^2 + 2\delta_3\delta_5$. Again by a straightforward computation we have that the coefficients of 1 and w are 0 and that the coefficients of z and $n \circ w$ are equal in this expression. Thus, if we let $y = x^2 - \alpha(x)x + \beta(x)$ we have shown that y is nilpotent. Hence the generic polynomial for this algebra is

$$[x^2 - \alpha(x)x + \beta(x)]^p.$$

For a second example we let \mathcal{F} be a field with an element a such that $x^p - a$ is irreducible over \mathcal{F} . Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{F}(v)$, where v is a root of $x^p - a = 0$ in some extension field of \mathcal{F} . Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B} \circ w + \mathcal{B} \circ z$. We define a linear transformation D on \mathcal{B} by $D(v^i) = iv^{i-1}$ for all $i \geq 0$. It is easily shown that since $D(v^{i+p}) = D(av^i)$, D is well-defined and a derivation on \mathcal{B} . We use this derivation on \mathcal{B} and define a multiplication on \mathcal{A} according to Theorem 8 [11]. Now assume the generic polynomial of \mathcal{A} is a divisor of

$$[x^2 - \alpha(x)x + \beta(x)]^p.$$

Since $v \in \mathcal{A}$ then specializing x to v we see that $[v^2 - \alpha(v)v + \beta(v)]^p = 0$. But v lies in the field $\mathcal{F}(v)$. Since $\alpha(v)$ and $\beta(v)$ are in \mathcal{F} we see that v lies in a quadratic extension of \mathcal{F} . This contradicts our choice of v as a root of the irreducible equation $x^p - a = 0$. Thus the generic polynomial of \mathcal{A} must be of the form $x^{2q} - \alpha(x)x^q + \beta(x)$.

These two examples demonstrate that each of two types of possible polynomials in the Theorem can occur.

REFERENCES

1. A. A. ALBERT, A theory of power-associative commutative algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **69** (1950), 503–527.
2. A. A. ALBERT, On commutative power-associative algebras of degree two. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1953), 323–343.
3. A. A. ALBERT. On partially stable algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **84** (1957), 430–443.
4. A. A. ALBERT, Addendum to the paper on partially stable algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **87** (1958), 57–62.
5. N. JACOBSON. Generic norm of an algebra, *Osaka J. Math.* **15** (1963), 25–50.
6. N. JACOBSON, “Structure and Representation of Jordan Algebras.” Colloquium Publications, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968.
7. L. A. KOKORIS, Power-associative commutative algebras of degree two, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **38** (1952), 534–537.
8. L. A. KOKORIS. New results on power-associative algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **77** (1954), 363–373.
9. L. A. KOKORIS. Simple power-associative algebras of degree two. *Ann. of Math.* **64** (1956), 544–550.
10. L. A. KOKORIS. Flexible nilstable algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 335–340.
11. R. H. ОЕНМКЕ. On commutative algebras of degree two, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **105** (1962), 295–313.
12. R. H. ОЕНМКЕ, On the generic polynomial of an algebra. *Scripta Math.* **29** (1972), 331–336.