Genes &

Diseases

Genes & Diseases (2016) 3, 3-6



journal homepage: http://ees.elsevier.com/gendis/default.asp

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

COMMENTARY

Transition to resistance: An unexpected role of () CossMark the EMT in cancer chemoresistance

Available online 28 January 2016

KEYWORDS

Breast cancer; Cancer metastasis; Chemoresistance; Drug resistance; EMT; Pancreatic cancer; Targeted therapies **Abstract** Two recent studies provide intriguing evidence that challenges the role of the epithelial—mesenchymal transition (EMT) as a critical mediator of cancer metastasis, while revealing an unexpected role in cancer drug resistance.^{1,2} While these findings may not settle the EMT's role in metastasis, these studies suggest that targeting the EMT may inhibit both cancer metastasis and chemoresistance.

Copyright © 2016, Chongqing Medical University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/).

The phenomenon whereby epithelial cells can lose their epithelial characteristics and acquire mesenchymal characteristics was first described in the early 1980s.³ This dramatic cell transposition process, known as the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), not only plays critical roles in governing embryonic development and maintaining adult tissue hemostasis (e.g., via regulating wound healing and stem cell behavior), but also contributes to many pathological conditions, such as fibrosis and cancer progression.^{4–7} The EMT process is regulated by several key transcription factors, such as SNAIL, zinc-finger E-boxbinding (ZEB) and basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors, which are further controlled by multiple signaling pathways, such as the TGF β and WNT pathways, in response to extracellular cues.^{5–9}

It is well accepted that the EMT plays an important role in cancer metastasis. It has been considered that the nonmotile epithelial cancer cells at the primary site first acquire the migratory characteristics of mesenchymal cells

Peer review under responsibility of Chongqing Medical University.

and then undergo a reverse mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET) when they seed at a secondary site.^{5,8,10} The metastatic tumors formed at the secondary site display the same epithelial cell phenotype as the cancer cells at the primary site, leaving little evidence of their transient mesenchymal state. This notion of an EMT-induced early stage of metastasis has been supported by numerous *in vitro* studies and mouse models of metastatic human cancers, but the clinical evidence supporting the occurrence of EMT in tumor specimens has been limited. However, two recent studies presented data that highlighted an unexpected role of the EMT in cancer drug resistance, while challenging the role of the EMT as a critical process for cancer metastasis.^{1,2}

In one study, Fischer et al created two mouse models of mammary tumors that develop spontaneous metastases, which were traced by Cre-mediated switching of fluorescent markers.¹ The transgenic mice expressing either *PyMT* or the *Neu* oncogene in the mammary gland harbored a Cre-switchable fluorescent marker in the cells expressing fibroblast-specific protein 1 (*Fsp1*; indicating early EMT) which changed from red fluorescent protein (RFP) to green

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gendis.2016.01.002

^{2352-3042/}Copyright © 2016, Chongqing Medical University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

fluorescent protein (GFP). Thus, GPF-positive cells were indicative of the EMT, and persisted in the progeny of these cells after they reverted to an epithelial fate because their GFP expression was not reversible. They found that the development of primary tumors and spontaneous lung metastases in these tri-PvMT and tri-Neu mouse models was indistinguishable from that in controls. However, the tumor cells in the lung metastases were RFP-positive, indicating that they had never undergone the EMT or expressed Fsp1. Using the tri-PyMT mouse model that switched from RFPpositive to GFP-positive when vimentin (Vim, another EMT marker) was expressed, the authors found that the lung metastases in the tri-PyMT/Vim mice were also RFP-positive. Furthermore, the authors orthotopically injected tri-PyMT or tri-PyMT/Vim tumor cells into wild-type mice and showed that inhibiting the EMT by expressing miR-200, which targets transcription factors required for the EMT (Zeb1 and Zeb2), failed to inhibit metastasis following orthotopic injection. Surprisingly, while the treatment of mice bearing orthotopic tri-PyMT tumors with cyclophosphamide reduced primary tumor size, many metastatic tumors in the treated mice contained a significant number of GFP-positive cells, which expressed many factors implicated in proliferation and the resistance to chemotherapy, suggesting that these metastatic cells may become more resistant to cyclophosphamide in an EMT-dependent manner.

In a companion study, Zheng et al reported similar findings using tissue-specific deletion of the EMT-inducing transcription factors Snail or Twist to assess the consequences of the EMT in a mouse model of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), in which mice develop metastatic tumors due to the expression of mutant p53 and KRAS-G12D in pancreatic cells.² When these mice were crossed with mice lacking the EMT transcription factor Snail1 or Twist1, there were similar numbers of metastases in the liver, lungs and spleen in these EMT-deficient mice and the EMT-competent mice. Moreover, the authors showed that suppressing the EMT had no effect on the number of circulating tumor cells, the ability of tumor cells to form tumor spheres or colonize the lung following intravenous injection, or the overall frequency of metastasis. However, suppressing the EMT in these PDAC mice reduced the tumor progression and increased the survival of mice when they were treated with gemcitabine. Using another PDAC model which expressed mutant KRAS and lacked TGF-B receptor 2 (TGF β R2), the authors also found that knocking out Snai1 did not prevent the development of metastatic PDAC, while it enhanced the sensitivity of their tumors to gemcitabine, suggesting a role for the EMT in cancer drug resistance.

Both of these reports provide convincing evidence linking the EMT to cancer drug resistance, which may be caused by an enhancement of cancer cell survival, cell fate transition, and/or up-regulation of drug resistance-related genes. At the same time, both studies challenge the current prevailing view of the EMT's role in cancer metastasis. However, the EMT is a highly complex cellular process that is regulated by multiple signaling molecules and transcription factors. In fact, the precise mechanism(s) underlying the EMT are still not fully understood. Thus, the possible limitations of the tumor models used by Fischer et al and Zheng et al need to be considered before EMT's role in cancer metastasis and tumor invasion can be dismissed outright.

First, tracing the EMT switch phenotype on the basis of the expression of a single gene may not fully represent the complicated nature of the process. Second, an effective suppression of the EMT may not be accomplished by simply inactivating either Snail or Twist, because both are known to function redundantly in many contexts. Third, the acute nature of the transgenic tumor models used in these studies may over-exaggerate the tumorigenic and metastatic processes that occur in the clinical setting, as the EMT may provide a metastatic advantage to slower-growing tumors. Fourth, the transgenic tumors used in these studies may have lacked intra-tumoral heterogeneity because they were driven by the expression of a few cancer-initiating genes, which may further affect the impact of the EMT on the tumors' invasiveness and metastatic potential. Fifth, without fully understanding the molecular mechanisms governing the EMT, it may not be possible to recapitulate the full process of the EMT that may occur spontaneously in cancer cells using highly simplified mouse tumor models. Lastly, it is worth noting that cancer metastasis and cancer drug resistance are two complex and poorly understood processes, which often co-exist clinically. Thus, there are tremendous challenges ahead to overcome these hurdles in order to achieve effective cancer treatment. Nonetheless, the good news coming from these studies is that targeting the EMT may be able to "kill two birds with one stone", providing a better therapeutic outcome.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors' research was supported in part by research grants from the National Institutes of Health (AT004418 and AR50142 to TCH and RCH), and the 973 Program of the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST) of China (#2011CB707900 to TCH). MKM was a recipient of a Howard Hughes Medical Institute Medical Research Fellowship.

References

- 1. Fischer KR, Durrans A, Lee S, et al. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition is not required for lung metastasis but contributes to chemoresistance. *Nature*. 2015;527:472–476.
- Zheng X, Carstens JL, Kim J, et al. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition is dispensable for metastasis but induces chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer. *Nature*. 2015;527: 525–530.
- 3. Hay ED. An overview of epithelio-mesenchymal transformation. *Acta Anat*. 1995;154:8–20.
- 4. Thiery JP, Acloque H, Huang RY, Nieto MA. Epithelial-mesenchymal transitions in development and disease. *Cell*. 2009;139: 871–890.

- De Craene B, Berx G. Regulatory networks defining EMT during cancer initiation and progression. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2013;13: 97–110.
- Lamouille S, Xu J, Derynck R. Molecular mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2014;15:178–196.
- 8. Thiery JP, Sleeman JP. Complex networks orchestrate epithelial-mesenchymal transitions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2006;7:131–142.
- 9. Yang K, Wang X, Zhang H, et al. The evolving roles of canonical WNT signaling in stem cells and tumorigenesis: implications in targeted cancer therapies. *Lab Invest*. 2016;96:116–136.
- Polyak K, Weinberg RA. Transitions between epithelial and mesenchymal states: acquisition of malignant and stem cell traits. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2009;9:265–273.

Jing Wang Qiang Wei

Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Diagnostic Medicine, and the Affiliated Hospitals of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400016, China

Xin Wang

Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Department of Surgery, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China

Shengli Tang

Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Department of General Surgery, the Affiliated Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan 430071, China

Hao Liu

Fugui Zhang Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Diagnostic Medicine, and the Affiliated Hospitals of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400016, China

Maryam K. Mohammed

Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60637, USA Jiayi Huang Dan Guo Minpeng Lu Feng Liu

Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Diagnostic Medicine, and the Affiliated Hospitals of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400016, China

Jianxiang Liu

Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Union Hospital of Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science & Technology, Wuhan 430022, China

Chao Ma

Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Department of Neurosurgery, the Affiliated Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan 430071, China

Xue Hu

Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Diagnostic Medicine, and the Affiliated Hospitals of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400016, China

Rex C. Haydon

Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Tong-Chuan He*

Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Diagnostic Medicine, and the Affiliated Hospitals of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400016, China

Hue H. Luu**

Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

**Corresponding author. Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, 5841 South Maryland Avenue, MC 3079,

Chicago, IL 60637, USA. Tel.: +1 773 702 0550; fax: +1 773 702 4765.

E-mail address: luuhue@uchicago.edu (H.H. Luu)

18 January 2016

*Corresponding author. Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Chicago Medical Center, 5841 South Maryland Avenue, MC 3079, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. Tel.: +1 773 702 7169; fax: +1 773 834 4598.

E-mail address: tche@uchicago.edu (T.-C. He)