

Contents lists available at [SciVerse ScienceDirect](http://SciVerse.ScienceDirect.com)

Applied Mathematics Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aml

A Tauberian theorem for the discrete M_φ summability method

İbrahim Çanak^a, Ümit Totur^{b,*}^a Ege University, Department of Mathematics, İzmir 35100, Turkey^b Adnan Menderes University, Department of Mathematics, Aydın 09010, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 June 2011

Accepted 9 October 2011

Keywords:

Tauberian theorems

Discrete methods

Power series methods

ABSTRACT

The object of this work is to retrieve the convergence of a series from its discrete M_φ summability under certain conditions. We obtain as a corollary a Tauberian theorem for the discrete logarithmic summability method.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ be a series of real numbers with partial sums (s_n) . The Cesàro means of (s_n) are defined by

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n s_k \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

The identity

$$s_n - \sigma_n = V_n \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots), \tag{1}$$

where $V_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n k a_k$, is known as the Kronecker identity.

Definition 1.1. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ converges for $0 < x < 1$ and tends to s as $x \rightarrow 1^-$ we say that (s_n) is Abel summable to s and write $s_n \rightarrow s(A)$.

Denote the space of analytic functions in $0 < x < 1$ by \mathcal{A} and the class of kernels of the integral transforms of functions in \mathcal{A} by Φ . The following properties of functions φ in Φ are needed.

- (1) There exists a number $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(\Phi) \in (0, 1)$ such that every $\varphi \in \Phi$ is analytical in $[\alpha_0, 1)$.
- (2) For every $\varphi \in \Phi$, $\varphi(x) \rightarrow \infty$, $x \rightarrow 1^-$.
- (3) Each $\varphi \in \Phi$ is zero-free in $[\alpha_0, 1)$.
- (4) For each $m \geq 1$,

$$\frac{\varphi_m(x)}{\varphi_{m-1}(x)} = o(1), \quad x \rightarrow 1^-,$$

where $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ and $\varphi_m(x) = \int_{\alpha_0}^x \varphi_{m-1}(t) dt$.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +90 256 212 8498; fax: +90 256 213 5379.

E-mail addresses: ibrahim.canak@ege.edu.tr (İ. Çanak), utotur@adu.edu.tr, utotur@yahoo.com (Ü. Totur).

For every f in \mathcal{A} and $\varphi \in \Phi$ we define

$$M(f, \varphi, x) = \frac{\int_{\alpha_0}^x f(t)\varphi(t)dt}{\varphi_1(x)}$$

if $x \neq \alpha_0$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \alpha_0} M(f, \varphi, x) = f(\alpha_0)$$

if $x = \alpha_0$.

Definition 1.2. If

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} M(f, \varphi, x) = s \tag{2}$$

then we say that (s_n) is M_φ summable to s and write $s_n \rightarrow s (M_\varphi)$.

It is plain that Abel summability implies M_φ summability, but the converse statement is not always true. If $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ then the corresponding M_φ summability method reduces to the $(A, 1)$ summability method defined in [1]. In this case, $M(f, \varphi, x) = (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n x^n$.

Discrete summability methods for power series have been extensively studied by a number of authors including Armitage and Maddox [2], and Watson [3,4].

We assume that (λ_n) satisfies $1 \leq \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots \rightarrow \infty$. Define the sequence (x_n) by $x_n = 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_n}$.

Definition 1.3. If $M(f, \varphi, x_n)$ exists for all n and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(f, \varphi, x_n) = s$ then we say that (s_n) is summable by the discrete (M_φ) method and write $s_n \rightarrow s (M_\varphi)_\lambda$.

It is clear that $(M_\varphi)_\lambda$ includes (M_φ) from Definitions 1.2 and 1.3. If (s_n) converges to s , then (s_n) is $(M_\varphi)_\lambda$ summable to s . But the converse is satisfied under some additional conditions, which are so called Tauberian conditions. In this work we recover the convergence of (s_n) from its $(M_\varphi)_\lambda$ summability under some Tauberian conditions. We obtain as a corollary a Tauberian theorem for the discrete logarithmic summability method. The proof of our theorem mimics the proofing techniques of Theorem 3 in Ishiguro [5].

Theorem 1.4. Suppose that:

- (i) $\gamma_1 \leq \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \leq \gamma_2$ for some positive constants γ_1 and γ_2 .
- (ii) $n \frac{\varphi_2(x_n)}{\varphi_1(x_n)} = O(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (iii) $s_n \rightarrow s (M_\varphi)$.
- (iv) $na_n = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then $s_n \rightarrow s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} s_n - M(f, \varphi, x_n) &= \frac{1}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \int_{\alpha_0}^{x_n} s_n \varphi(t) dt - \frac{1}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \int_{\alpha_0}^{x_n} f(t) \varphi(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \int_{\alpha_0}^{x_n} (1-x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (s_n - s_k) x^k \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \int_{\alpha_0}^{x_n} (1-x) \sum_{k=0}^n (s_n - s_k) x^k \varphi(x) dx + \frac{1}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \int_{\alpha_0}^{x_n} (1-x) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (s_n - s_k) x^k \varphi(x) dx \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that both $I_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $I_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For I_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &= \frac{1}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \left| \int_{\alpha_0}^{x_n} (1-x) \sum_{k=0}^n (s_n - s_k) x^k \varphi(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \int_{\alpha_0}^{x_n} (1-x) \sum_{k=0}^n |s_n - s_k| x^k \varphi(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \int_{\alpha_0}^{x_n} (1-x) \sum_{k=0}^n |s_n - s_k| \varphi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \int_{\alpha_0}^{x_n} (1-x) \{|a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n| + |a_2 + \dots + a_n| + \dots + |a_n|\} \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n k|a_k| \right) \int_{\alpha_0}^{x_n} (1-x) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \frac{n}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n k|a_k| \right) \left(\frac{\varphi_1(x_n)}{n} + \varphi_2(x_n) \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n k|a_k| \right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} + n \frac{\varphi_2(x_n)}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have, from the condition (iv), $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n k|a_k| = o(1)$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by condition (ii), we have

$$I_1 = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3}$$

Now estimate I_2 . By condition (iv), there is an m such that $|na_n| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $k \geq m$. Assume that $k > n \geq m$. Then we have

$$|s_k - s_n| \leq \varepsilon \left\{ \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{k} \right\} = \varepsilon Q_k, \text{ say.}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \frac{1}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \int_{\alpha_0}^{x_n} (1-x) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon Q_k x^k \varphi(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \int_{\alpha_0}^{x_n} (1-x) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon Q_k x_n^k \varphi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k &= \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{k} \\ &\leq \frac{k-n}{n} \\ &< \frac{k+1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Q_k < \frac{k+1}{n}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n\varphi_1(x_n)} \left(\frac{\varphi_1(x_n)}{\lambda_n} + \varphi_2(x_n) \right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k+1)x_n^k \\ &\leq \varepsilon \frac{(\lambda_n)^2}{n\varphi_1(x_n)} \left(\frac{\varphi_1(x_n)}{\lambda_n} + \varphi_2(x_n) \right) \\ &= \varepsilon \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{n} + \frac{(\lambda_n)^2}{n} \frac{\varphi_2(x_n)}{\varphi_1(x_n)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the conditions (i) and (ii), we have

$$|I_2| \leq \varepsilon C, \tag{4}$$

for large n and some positive constant C .

Finally we have, from (3) and (4), that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(f, \varphi, x_n)$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

Using Theorem 1.4 and the Kronecker identity, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.5. *Suppose that:*

- (i) $\gamma_1 \leq \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \leq \gamma_2$ for some positive constants γ_1 and γ_2 .
- (ii) $n \frac{\varphi_2(x_n)}{\varphi_1(x_n)} = O(1), n \rightarrow \infty$.

(iii) $\sigma_n \rightarrow s (M_\varphi)_\lambda$.

(iv) $V_n = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then $s_n \rightarrow s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If we choose $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ then the corresponding M_φ summability method reduces to the logarithmic summability method. In this case we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.6. *If $na_n \rightarrow 0$ and for some positive constants γ_1 and γ_2 , $\gamma_1 \leq \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \leq \gamma_2$, then $s_n \rightarrow s (M_\varphi)_\lambda$ implies $s_n \rightarrow s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

References

- [1] Č.V. Stanojević, İ. Çanak, V.B. Stanojević, Tauberian theorems for generalized abelian summability methods, *Analysis of Divergence* (Orono, ME, 1997), Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1999, 13–26.
- [2] D.H. Armitage, I.J. Maddox, Discrete Abel means, *Analysis* 10 (1990) 177–186.
- [3] B. Watson, Discrete power series methods, *Analysis (Munich)* 18 (1) (1998) 97–102.
- [4] B. Watson, A Tauberian theorem for discrete power series methods, *Analysis (Munich)* 22 (4) (2002) 361–365.
- [5] K. Ishiguro, A Tauberian theorem for (J, p_n) summability, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 40 (1964) 807–812.