Validation of a Reverse Transcription-Polymerase Chain Reaction-Based Five-Gene Signature in Non-small Cell Lung Cancer

## To the Editor:

Last year in the *New England Journal of Medicine* Chen et al.<sup>1</sup> stated that a five-gene quantitative polymerase-chain-reaction assay stratified

Disclosure: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

patients with early-stage lung cancer into low-risk and high-risk groups. Indeed, they concluded that patient with surgically resected non-small cell lung cancer that were classified as first group might be spared from adjuvant treatment. In contrast, Michiels and Hill<sup>2</sup> observed that to correctly validate such signature a different and independent group of patients needed to be used. In addition, Dobbin<sup>3</sup> noted that the reuse of survival data to identify a predictor genes provide substantial bias. Taken together, these observations provide a rationale for an independent validation of such molecular signature. To do that, we analyzed a population of 38 non-small cell lung cancer patients with stage I disease and untreated after lobar resection. Using methods as described.<sup>1</sup> we did not find difference among 19 patients who had cancer-related death within 30

months after resection and 19 patients who were alive within 40 months after resection (5-gene high risk = 7/12 versus 8/11; Fisher's exact test p = 1).

> Luigi Ruco, MD Stefania Scarpino, PhD Guido Natoli, MD University "La Sapienza" 00139 Rome Italy luigi.ruco@uniroma1.it

## REFERENCES

- Chen HY, Yu SL, Chen CH, et al. A fivegene signature and clinical outcome in nonsmall-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2007; 356:11–20.
- Michiels S, Hill C. Five-gene signature in non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2007;356:1581–1582.
- Dobbin KK. Five-gene signature in nonsmall-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2007; 356:1582.

## ERRATUM

Results of Systematic Nodal Dissection in Typical and Atypical Carcinoid Tumors of the Lung: Erratum

In the article "Results of Systematic Nodal Dissection in Typical and Atypical Carcinoid Tumors of the Lung," which appeared in volume 4 of the *Journal* of *Thoracic Oncology* on pages 388–394, there was an error in Table 2. The corrected table is below. This error has also been noted in the online version of the article, which is available at www.jto.org.

the Lung			
Location Macroscopic and Microscopic Aspect	TC (42)	AC (12)	Total %
Central:	39	9	89
-Endoluminal	12	0	22.2
-Mural	11	1	22.2
–Peripheral	3	3	44.6
Peripheral	3	3	11

TC, Typical Carcinoid; AC, Atypical Carcinoid.

## REFERENCE

Wurtz A, Benhamed L, Conti M, Bouchindhomme, Porte H. Results of systematic nodal dissection in typical and atypical carcinoid tumors of the lung. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2009;4:388–394.

Copyright © 2009 by the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer ISSN: 1556-0864/09/0405-0670