

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 140, 360–361 (1991)

## A Note on a Result of J. Ax

SUDESH K. KHANDUJA

*Department of Mathematics, Panjab University,  
Chandigarh-160014, India**Communicated by Walter Feit*

Received September 26, 1989

James Ax has proved [1, Sect. 2, Proposition 2'] that if  $(K, V)$  is a henselian rank one valued field which is perfect of characteristic  $p > 0$  and if  $\alpha$  is an element of an algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$ , then there exists  $a \in K$  such that  $V(\alpha - a) \geq \Delta(\alpha)$ , where

$$\Delta(\alpha) = \min\{\bar{V}(\alpha' - \alpha) : \alpha' \text{ runs over } K\text{-conjugates of } \alpha, \bar{V} \text{ is an extension of } V \text{ to } \bar{K}\}.$$

We wish to point out in this note that this result is false by giving a simple counterexample.

Let  $k_0$  be the algebraic closure of the finite field  $F_p$  of  $p$  elements and  $K_0 = k_0((T))$  be the field of Laurent series in  $T$  with valuation  $v_0$  given by  $v_0(T) = 1$ . Fix an algebraic closure  $L$  of  $K_0$  with a valuation  $v$  such that  $v$  extends  $v_0$  and the value group of  $v$  is contained in the set of rational numbers. Let  $K$  be the inseparable closure of  $K_0$  in  $L$ . It is readily verified (cf. [2, Chap. II, Sect. 4]) that  $K$  is the union of the fields  $k_0((T^{p^{-n}}))$ ,  $n$  running over all natural numbers.  $K$  satisfies the hypothesis of Ax's proposition: it is perfect and being an algebraic extension of a complete rank 1 valued field, is henselian. Let  $\alpha$  be an element of  $L$ , satisfying the relation  $\alpha^p - \alpha - T^{-1} = 0$ . Then  $v(\alpha) = -1/p$  and  $\alpha$  is not in  $K$  in view of a lemma proved below. So the conjugates of  $\alpha$  are  $\alpha, \alpha + 1, \dots, \alpha + p - 1$  and  $\Delta(\alpha) = 0$ . We claim that there does not exist an element  $a$  in  $K$  for which  $v(\alpha - a) \geq 0$ ; for if there exists such an element  $a$  in  $K$ , then  $v(a) = v(\alpha) = -1/p$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} v(\alpha^p - a - T^{-1}) &= v(\alpha^p - a - T^{-1} - (\alpha^p - \alpha - T^{-1})) \\ &\geq v(\alpha - a) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the following lemma.

**LEMMA.** *If  $z$  is any element of  $K$  ( $K$  as constructed above) with  $v(z) = -1/p$ , then  $v(z^p - z - T^{-1}) < 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $z \in k_0((T^{p^{-n}}))$ ; then we can write

$$z = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq -p^{n-1}} a_i T^{ip^{-n}}, \quad a_i \neq 0 \text{ if } i = -p^{n-1}.$$

Let  $i_0 p^{-n}$  be the largest among the negative exponents of  $T$  occurring in  $z$ . Now looking at the finitely many negative exponents of  $T$  in  $z^p - z - T^{-1}$ , one has

$$v(z^p - z - T^{-1}) \leq i_0 p^{-n} < 0.$$

#### REFERENCES

1. J. AX, Zeroes of polynomials over local fields—The Galois action, *J. Algebra* **15** (1970), 417–428.
2. J. P. SERRE, "Local Fields," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.