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#### Abstract

For an odd prime $p$ and two positive integers $n \geqslant 3$ and $k$ with $\frac{n}{\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)}$ being odd, the paper determines the weight distribution of a class of $p$-ary cyclic codes $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with nonzeros $\alpha^{-1}$, $\alpha^{-\left(p^{k}+1\right)}$ and $\alpha^{-\left(p^{3 k}+1\right)}$, where $\alpha$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Nonlinear functions over finite fields have useful applications in coding theory and cryptography [17,2]. Some linear codes having good properties [15,17,3,12,7,5,20] were constructed from highly nonlinear functions [18,6,19,4,13,8].

Let $q$ be a power of a prime $p$, and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ be a finite field with $q^{n}$ elements. A $p$-ary [ $\left.m, l\right]$ linear code is an $l$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{m}$. The Hamming weight of a codeword $c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{m}$ is the number of nonzero $c_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. In this paper, we study a class of [ $\left.p^{n}-1,3 n\right]$ cyclic codes $\mathcal{C}$ given by

[^0]$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{\mathbf{c}(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)=\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\epsilon x+\gamma x^{p^{k}+1}+\delta x^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)\right)_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}} \mid \epsilon, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right\}
$$
where $k$ is a positive integer and $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}$ is the trace function from $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. The code $\mathcal{C}$ is constructed from the function $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\epsilon x+\gamma x^{p^{k}+1}+\delta x^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)$, which can have high nonlinearity if either $\gamma$ or $\delta$ is nonzero. It is easy to see that $\alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-\left(p^{k}+1\right)}, \alpha^{-\left(p^{3 k}+1\right)}$ and their $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-conjugates are all nonzeros of the cyclic code $\mathcal{C}$, where $\alpha$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ [17].

In this paper we assume that $p$ and $\frac{n}{\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)}$ are both odd, and we determine the weight distribution of the code $\mathcal{C}$. To this end, we will focus on determining the ranks of a class of quadratic forms and calculating two classes of exponential sums. The ranks of quadratic forms are determined through finding the number of solutions to a class of linearized polynomials

$$
L_{\gamma, \delta}(z)=\gamma z^{p^{k}}+\gamma^{p^{-k}} z^{p^{-k}}+\delta z^{p^{3 k}}+\delta^{p^{-3 k}} z^{p^{-3 k}}
$$

over the field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. By applying the theory of quadratic forms, two classes of exponential sums are evaluated and the weight distribution of the cyclic code $\mathcal{C}$ is determined. The moment identities of the exponential sums are established in this paper based on the method used in [11], which dealt with the exponential sums $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} e\left(\alpha x^{p^{k}+1}+\beta x^{2}+\gamma x\right)$ for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. Throughout the paper, we set $d=\operatorname{gcd}(k, n), s=\frac{n}{\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)}$ and $n \geqslant 3$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives some definitions and preliminaries. Section 3 studies the rank distribution of a class of quadratic forms. Section 4 determines the weight distribution of $\mathcal{C}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we always assume that $p$ is an odd prime. Identifying $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ with the $n$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, a function $f$ from $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ can be regarded as an $n$-variable polynomial on $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. The former is called a quadratic form if the latter is a homogeneous polynomial of degree two:

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant k \leqslant n} a_{j k} x_{j} x_{k}
$$

here we use a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and identify $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ with a vector $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. The rank of the quadratic form $f(x)$ is defined as the codimension of the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector space

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\left\{w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \mid f(x+w)=f(x) \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

denoted by $\operatorname{rank}(f)$. Then $|W|=q^{n-\operatorname{rank}(f)}$.
For a quadratic form $f(x)$, there exists a symmetric matrix $A$ such that $f(x)=X^{\mathrm{T}} A X$, where $X$ is written as a column vector and its transpose is $X^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. The determinant det $(f)$ of $f(x)$ is defined to be the determinant of $A$, and $f(x)$ is nondegenerate if $\operatorname{det}(f) \neq 0$. By Theorem 6.21 of [16], there exists a nonsingular matrix $B$ such that $B^{T} A B$ is a diagonal matrix. Making a nonsingular linear substitution $X=B Y$ with $Y^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=Y^{\mathrm{T}} B^{\mathrm{T}} A B Y=\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} y_{i}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r \leqslant n$ is the rank of $f(x)$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$.

Let $m$ be a positive factor of the integer $n$. The trace function $\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{n}$ from $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{n}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n / m-1} x^{p^{m i}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}
$$

Let $e(y)=e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \mathrm{Tr}_{1}^{n}(y) / p$ and $\zeta_{p}=e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / p}$.
The following lemmas will be used throughout this paper.
Lemma 1. (See Theorems 5.33 and 5.15 of [16].) Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field with $q=p^{l}$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Let $\eta$ be the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then for $a \neq 0$,

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \zeta_{p}^{\mathrm{Tr}_{1}^{r_{1}^{l}\left(a x^{2}\right)}}= \begin{cases}\eta(a)(-1)^{l-1} p^{\frac{l}{2}}, & \text { if } p \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \\ \eta(a)(-1)^{l-1}(\sqrt{-1})^{l} p^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text { if } p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2. (See Theorems 6.26 and 6.27 of [16].) Let $q$ be an odd prime power, and $f$ be a nondegenerate quadratic form in $l$ variables over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Define a function $v(\rho)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ by $v(0)=q-1$ and $v(\rho)=-1$ for $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. Then for $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ the number of solutions to the equation $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right)=\rho$ is

$$
q^{l-1}+q^{\frac{l-1}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} \rho \cdot \operatorname{det}(f)\right)
$$

for odd $l$, and

$$
q^{l-1}+v(\rho) q^{\frac{l-2}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{l}{2}} \operatorname{det}(f)\right)
$$

for even 1 .
Lemma 3. (See Theorem 5.15 of [16].) (i) Let $\zeta_{p}=e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / p}$ and $\eta$ be the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then

$$
\sum_{\rho=0}^{p-1} \eta(\rho) \zeta_{p}^{\rho}=\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p}
$$

where $\eta(0)$ is defined to be 0 .
(ii) Let the function $v(\rho)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ be defined by $v(0)=p-1$ and $v(\rho)=-1$ for $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$. Then

$$
\sum_{\rho=0}^{p-1} v(\rho) \zeta_{p}^{\rho}=p
$$

## 3. Rank distribution of a class of quadratic forms

In this section, we study the rank distribution of the quadratic forms $\operatorname{Tr}_{d}^{n}\left(\gamma x^{p^{k}+1}+\delta x^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)$ for nonzero $\gamma$ or $\delta$.

To determine the distribution, we define a related exponential sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} e\left(\epsilon x+\gamma x^{p^{k}+1}+\delta x^{p^{3 k}+1}\right), \quad \epsilon, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the possible values of the ranks are measured by evaluating the exponential sum $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$. For discussion of the exponential sum of a general quadratic form, please refer to Refs. [10] and [14].

Proposition 1. For odd $s$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$, the exponential sum $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ satisfies

$$
|S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)|=0, p^{\frac{n}{2}}, p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} \text {, or } p^{\frac{n}{2}+d} \text {. }
$$

Proof. Notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
|S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)|^{2} & =\overline{S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)} S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta) \\
& =\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} e\left(-\epsilon x-\gamma x^{p^{k}+1}-\delta x^{p^{3 k}+1}\right) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} e\left(\epsilon y+\gamma y^{p^{k}+1}+\delta y^{p^{3 k}+1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{x, z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} e\left(\epsilon z+\gamma z^{p^{k}+1}+\delta z^{p^{3 k}+1}+\gamma z^{p^{k}} x+\gamma z x^{p^{k}}+\delta z^{p^{3 k}} x+\delta z x^{3^{3 k}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} e\left(\epsilon z+\gamma z^{p^{k}+1}+\delta z^{p^{3 k}+1}\right) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} e\left(x L_{\gamma, \delta}(z)\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $y=x+z$ and

$$
L_{\gamma, \delta}(z)=\gamma z^{p^{k}}+\gamma^{p^{-k}} z^{p^{-k}}+\delta z^{p^{3 k}}+\delta^{p^{-3 k}} z^{p^{-3 k}}
$$

is a linearized polynomial in $z$. Let $V$ be the set of all roots of $L_{\gamma, \delta}(z)=0$. (By abuse of notation, we use $V$ to denote the set in despite of its dependence on $\gamma$ and $\delta$.) Thus, $V$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$-vector space. By (4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)|^{2}=p^{n} \sum_{z \in V} e\left(\epsilon z+\gamma z^{p^{k}+1}+\delta z^{3 k}+1\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(x)=\gamma x^{p^{k}+1}+\delta x^{p^{k}+1}-\delta^{p^{-k}} x^{p^{2 k}+p^{-k}}+\delta^{p^{-2 k}} x^{p^{k}+p^{-2 k}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\gamma z^{p^{k}+1}+\delta z^{p^{3 k}+1}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)+\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{-k}}=z L_{\gamma, \delta}(z) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $z \in V$, then by (8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{k}}=-\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)=d$, there is an integer $k^{\prime}$ such that $k k^{\prime} \equiv d(\bmod n)$ and hence, $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{d}}=$ $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{k k^{\prime}}}=(-1)^{k^{\prime}} \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)$, where the last equality is derived from (9). If $k^{\prime}$ is even, $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{d}}=$
$\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)$ and then $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{k}}=\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)$, which together with (9) again implies $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)=0$. If $k^{\prime}$ is odd, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{d}}=-\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the property $\operatorname{Tr}_{d}^{n}\left(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{d}^{n}\left(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{-d}}\right)$ of trace function and (8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\operatorname{Tr}_{d}^{n}\left(z L_{\gamma, \delta}(z)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{d}^{n}\left(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}_{d}^{n}\left(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{-k}}\right) \\
& =2 \operatorname{Tr}_{d}^{n}\left(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)\right) \\
& =2\left(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)+\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{d}}+\cdots+\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)^{p^{(s-1) d}}\right) \\
& =2 \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equal sign holds due to (10) and $s$ being odd. This implies $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)=0$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\gamma z^{p^{k}+1}+\delta z^{3^{k}+1}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)\right)=0$ by (7). Conversely, if $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)=0$, then by $(8), L_{\gamma, \delta}(z)=0$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)\right)=0$. Therefore, $z \in V$ if and only if $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)=0$. Further, in this case $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\gamma z^{p^{k}+1}+\right.$ $\left.\delta z^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)=0$. Thus, by (5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)|=\sqrt{p^{n} \sum_{z \in V} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}(\epsilon z)}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $V$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$-vector space, we can assume $|V|=p^{d m}$ for an integer $m \geqslant 0$.
If $m \geqslant 3$, then $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)=0$ has at least $p^{3 d}$ solutions. For a fixed $z_{0} \in V \backslash\{0\}$ and for any $z \in V$, we have $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)=\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ and $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}\left(z+z_{0}\right)=0$ since $z+z_{0}$ is also in the vector space $V$. Thus, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z+z_{0}\right)\left(z_{0} \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(z)+z \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)-z z_{0} \Phi_{\gamma, \delta}\left(z+z_{0}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least $p^{3 d}$ solutions.
By (6), Eq. (12) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{p^{-2 k}}\left(z^{p^{k}} z_{0}-z z_{0}^{p^{k}}\right)\left(z^{p^{-2 k}} z_{0}-z z_{0}^{p^{-2 k}}\right)-\delta^{p^{-k}}\left(z^{p^{2 k}} z_{0}-z z_{0}^{p^{2 k}}\right)\left(z^{p^{-k}} z_{0}-z z_{0}^{p^{-k}}\right)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has at least $p^{3 d}$ roots on variable $z$. Let $z=w z_{0}$, then

$$
\delta^{p^{-2 k}} z_{0}^{p^{k}+p^{-2 k}+2}\left(w^{p^{k}}-w\right)\left(w^{p^{-2 k}}-w\right)-\delta^{p^{-k}} z_{0}^{p^{2 k}+p^{-k}+2}\left(w^{p^{2 k}}-w\right)\left(w^{p^{-k}}-w\right)=0 .
$$

Let $u=w^{p^{-k}}-w$, the above equation can be rewritten as

$$
-\delta^{p^{-2 k}} z_{0}^{p^{k}+p^{-2 k}} u^{p^{k}}\left(u^{p^{-k}}+u\right)+\delta^{p^{-k}} z_{0}^{p^{2 k}+p^{-k}}\left(u^{p^{2 k}}+u^{p^{k}}\right) u=0
$$

which has at least $p^{2 d}$ roots on $u$ since $w^{p^{-k}}-w=u$ has at most $p^{d}$ roots on $w$ for each $u$. Define

$$
\Psi_{\delta, z_{0}}(x)=\delta^{p^{-2 k}} z_{0}^{p^{k}+p^{-2 k}} x^{p^{k}}\left(x^{p^{-k}}+x\right)-\delta^{p^{-k}} z_{0}^{p^{2 k}+p^{-k}}\left(x^{p^{2 k}}+x^{p^{k}}\right) x .
$$

Similarly, for each nonzero root $u_{0}$ of $\Psi_{\delta, z_{0}}(u)=0$, the equation

$$
\left(u+u_{0}\right)\left(u_{0} \Psi_{\delta, z_{0}}(u)+u \Psi_{\delta, z_{0}}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)-u u_{0} \Psi_{z, z_{0}}\left(u+u_{0}\right)=0
$$

has at least $p^{2 d}$ solutions on $u$. By the definition of $\Psi_{\delta, z_{0}}(x)$, the above equation is equivalent to

$$
\delta^{p^{-2 k}} z_{0}^{p^{k}+p^{-2 k}}\left(u^{p^{k}} u_{0}-u u_{0}^{p^{k}}\right)\left(u^{p^{-k}} u_{0}-u u_{0}^{p^{-k}}\right)=0
$$

This shows that $u=v u_{0}$ where $v \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$. Consequently, for each given $u_{0} \neq 0$, the above equation has at most $p^{d}$ roots. This gives a contradiction and then $m \leqslant 2$.

Notice that $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}(\epsilon z)$ is a balanced or zero mapping on the vector space $V$. Therefore, $\sum_{z \in V} \zeta_{p}^{\mathrm{Tr}_{1}^{n}(\epsilon z)}=0,1, p^{d}$, or $p^{2 d}$. This finishes the proof.

Remark 1. The possible ranks of some quadratic forms can be determined by directly calculating the number of the solutions to their related linearized polynomials [21,11]. The number of the roots to the linearized polynomial $L_{\gamma, \delta}(z)$ in Proposition 1 is discussed by studying that of an associated nonlinear polynomial. The method was first presented to study a linear mapping over a finite field of characteristic 2 [9] and further used to discuss some triple error correcting binary codes with BCH parameters [1]. In Proposition 1, we applied this method to the cases of odd characteristic.

From Proposition 1, the value of the dimension $m$ determines the rank of the following quadratic form.

Corollary 1. For odd $s$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$, the quadratic form

$$
\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)=\operatorname{Tr}_{d}^{n}\left(\gamma x^{p^{k}+1}+\delta x^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)
$$

has rank s, s-1, or s-2.
When there is exactly one nonzero element in $\{\gamma, \delta\}$, the rank of $\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)$ can be determined by directly calculating the number of solutions to $L_{\gamma, \delta}(z)=0$.

Proposition 2. For odd $s$ and $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, the quadratic forms $\Omega_{\gamma, 0}(x)=\operatorname{Tr}_{d}^{n}\left(\gamma x^{p^{k}+1}\right)$ and $\Omega_{0, \delta}(x)=$ $\operatorname{Tr}_{d}^{n}\left(\delta x^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)$ have ranks.

Proof. We only give the proof of $\operatorname{rank}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)=s$ since the other case can be proven in a similar way. It is sufficient to determine the number of solutions to $\delta z^{p^{3 k}}+\delta^{p^{-3 k}} z^{p^{-3 k}}=0$. This equation has nonzero solutions if and only if $\left(\delta z^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{3 k}-1}=-1$. If the latter holds, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{3 k}-1, p^{n}-1\right)=$ $\left(p^{\operatorname{gcd}(3 k, n)}-1\right) \left\lvert\, \frac{p^{n}-1}{2}\right.$. Let $s_{1}=\frac{n}{\operatorname{gcd}(3 k, n)}$ and then

$$
p^{n}-1=\left(p^{\operatorname{gcd}(3 k, n)}-1\right)\left(p^{\left(s_{1}-1\right) \operatorname{gcd}(3 k, n)}+p^{\left(s_{1}-2\right) \operatorname{gcd}(3 k, n)}+\cdots+p^{\operatorname{gcd}(3 k, n)}+1\right) .
$$

Notice that $s_{1}$ is a factor of the odd integer $s$. As a consequence, $p^{\left(s_{1}-1\right) \operatorname{gcd}(3 k, n)}+p^{\left(s_{1}-2\right) \operatorname{gcd}(3 k, n)}+\cdots$ $+p^{\operatorname{gcd}(3 k, n)}+1$ is odd and $\frac{p^{n}-1}{2}$ cannot be divided by $p^{\operatorname{gcd}(3 k, n)}-1$. Thus, -1 is not $\left(p^{\operatorname{gcd}(3 k, n)}-1\right)$ th power of any element in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$ and then $\delta z^{p^{3 k}}+\delta^{p^{-3 k}} z^{p^{-3 k}}=0$ has only the zero solution. This finishes the proof.

Remark 2. For $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}, \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, 0}(x)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}(x)\right)$ are $p$-ary bent functions.

To study the rank distribution of the quadratic form $\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}$, for $i \in\{0,1,2\}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}=\left\{(\gamma, \delta) \mid \operatorname{rank}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}\right)=s-i,(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \backslash\{(0,0)\}\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. $\left|R_{2}\right|=\frac{\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{p^{2 d}-1}$.

Proof. If $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{2}$, then $\gamma \delta \neq 0$ by Propositions 1 and 2 , and $V$ is a two-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{0}\right\}$ be a basis of $V$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$. Then, $v_{1} v_{0}^{-1} \notin \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$ and $\left(v_{1}^{p^{4 k}} v_{0}^{p^{2 k}}-v_{1}^{p^{2 k}} v_{0}^{p^{4 k}}\right)\left(v_{1}^{p^{k}} v_{0}^{p^{2 k}}-\right.$ $\left.v_{1}^{p^{2 k}} v_{0}^{p^{k}}\right) \neq 0$. By (13),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{p^{k}-1} & =\frac{\left(v_{1}^{p^{3 k}} v_{0}^{p^{2 k}}-v_{1}^{p^{2 k}} v_{0}^{p^{3 k}}\right)\left(v_{1} v_{0}^{p^{2 k}}-v_{1}^{p^{2 k}} v_{0}\right)}{\left(v_{1}^{p^{4 k}} v_{0}^{p^{2 k}}-v_{1}^{p^{2 k}} v_{0}^{p^{4 k}}\right)\left(v_{1}^{p^{k}} v_{0}^{p^{2 k}}-v_{1}^{p^{2 k}} v_{0}^{p^{k}}\right)} \\
& =\left(\frac{v_{1}^{p^{2 k}} v_{0}^{p^{k}}-v_{1}^{p^{k}} v_{0}^{p^{2 k}}}{\left(v_{1}^{p^{2 k}} v_{0}-v_{1} v_{0}^{p^{2 k}}\right)^{p^{k}+1}}\right)^{p^{k}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\lambda \frac{v_{1}^{p^{2 k}} v_{0}^{p^{k}}-v_{1}^{p^{k}} v_{0}^{p^{2 k}}}{\left(v_{1}^{p^{2 k}} v_{0}-v_{1} v_{0}^{p^{2 k}}\right)^{p^{k}+1}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an element $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{*}$. Since $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}\left(v_{1}\right)=\gamma v_{1}^{p^{k}+1}+\delta v_{1}^{p^{3 k}+1}-\delta^{p^{-k}} v_{1}^{p^{2 k}+p^{-k}}+\delta^{p^{-2 k}} v_{1}^{p^{k}+p^{-2 k}}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=-\delta v_{1}^{p^{3 k}-p^{k}}+\delta^{p^{-k}} v_{1}^{p^{2 k}+p^{-k}-p^{k}-1}-\delta^{p^{-2 k}} v_{1}^{p^{-2 k}-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (15) and (16), $\gamma$ and $\delta$ are uniquely determined by $v_{1}, v_{0}$ and $\lambda$. Further, there are exactly $p^{d}-1$ pairs $(\gamma, \delta)$ corresponding to a given pair $\left(v_{1}, v_{0}\right)$.

On the other hand, for any $v_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$ and $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$, let $v_{1}=\beta v_{0}$. If $\delta$ and $\gamma$ are defined by (15) and (16), respectively, then $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}\left(v_{1}\right)=0$. In the sequel, we will prove $v_{0} L_{\gamma, \delta}\left(v_{0}\right)=0$.

From (15), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}=\frac{\lambda\left(\beta^{p^{2 k}}-\beta^{p^{k}}\right)}{\left(\beta^{p^{2 k}}-\beta\right)^{p^{k}+1}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)\left(\beta-\beta^{p^{2 k}}\right)=\frac{\lambda\left(\beta^{p^{2 k}}-\beta^{p^{k}}\right)}{\left(\beta-\beta^{p^{2 k}}\right)^{p^{k}}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)\left(\beta^{p^{2 k}}-\beta\right)^{p^{k}}=\frac{\lambda\left(\beta^{p^{2 k}}-\beta^{p^{k}}\right)}{\beta^{p^{2 k}}-\beta}
$$

Thus, by (16) and (17),

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{0} L_{\gamma}, \delta & \left(v_{0}\right) \\
= & \gamma v_{0}^{p^{k}+1}+\left(\gamma v_{0}^{p^{k}+1}\right)^{p^{-k}}+\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}+\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{-3 k}} \\
= & \left(-\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right) \beta^{p^{3 k}-p^{k}}+\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{-k}} \beta^{p^{2 k}+p^{-k}-p^{k}-1}-\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{-2 k}} \beta^{p^{-2 k}-1}\right) \\
& +\left(-\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{-k}} \beta^{p^{2 k}-1}+\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{-2 k}} \beta^{p^{k}+p^{-2 k}-1-p^{-k}}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{-3 k}} \beta^{p^{-3 k}-p^{-k}}\right)+\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}+\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{-3 k}} \\
= & \left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)\left(1-\beta^{p^{k k}-p^{k}}\right)+\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{-k}}\left(\beta^{p^{2 k}+p^{-k}-p^{k}-1}-\beta^{p^{2 k}-1}\right) \\
& +\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{-2 k}}\left(\beta^{p^{k}+p^{-2 k}-1-p^{-k}}-\beta^{p^{-2 k}-1}\right)+\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{-3 k}}\left(1-\beta^{p^{-3 k}-p^{-k}}\right) \\
= & \beta^{-p^{k}}\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)\left(\beta-\beta^{p^{2 k}}\right)^{p^{k}}+\beta^{p^{2 k}-p^{k}-1}\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{-k}}\left(\beta-\beta^{p^{2 k}}\right)^{p^{-k}} \\
& +\beta^{p^{-2 k}-1-p^{-k}}\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{-2 k}}\left(\beta^{p^{2 k}}-\beta\right)^{p^{-k}}+\beta^{-p^{-k}}\left(\delta v_{0}^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)^{p^{-3 k}}\left(\beta^{p^{2 k}}-\beta\right)^{p^{-3 k}} \\
= & \lambda\left(\frac{\beta^{p^{2 k}-p^{k}}-1}{\beta-\beta p^{2^{k}}}+\frac{\beta^{p^{2 k}-1}-\beta^{p^{2 k}-p^{k}}}{\beta-\beta p^{2 k}}+\frac{\beta^{p^{-2 k}-p^{-k}}-\beta^{p^{2 k}-1}}{\beta-\beta^{p^{2 k}}}+\frac{1-\beta^{p^{-2 k}-p^{-k}}}{\beta-\beta^{p^{-2 k}}}\right) \\
= & \lambda\left(\frac{-\beta^{-1}\left(\beta-\beta^{p^{2 k}}\right)}{\beta-\beta p^{2 k}}+\frac{\beta^{-1}\left(\beta-\beta^{p^{-2 k}}\right)}{\beta-\beta^{p^{-2 k}}}\right) \\
= & \lambda\left(-\beta^{-1}+\beta^{-1}\right) \\
= & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows $L_{\gamma, \delta}\left(v_{0}\right)=0$, and hence $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}\left(v_{0}\right)=0$. Thus $\left\{v_{1}, v_{0}\right\}$ is a basis of the $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$-vector space consisting of all solutions to $\Phi_{\gamma, \delta}(x)=0$.

There are totally $\frac{\left(p^{n}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-p^{d}\right)}{\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)\left(p^{2 d}-p^{d}\right)}$ two-dimensional vector subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$, thus,

$$
\left|R_{2}\right|=\left(p^{d}-1\right) \times \frac{\left(p^{n}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-p^{d}\right)}{\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)\left(p^{2 d}-p^{d}\right)}=\frac{\left(p^{n}-1\right)\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)}{p^{2 d}-1} .
$$

The values of $S(0, \gamma, \delta)$ can be discussed in terms of $\operatorname{rank}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$ as below.
For $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{0}, \operatorname{rank}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}\right)=s$ and by a nonsingular linear substitution as in (2), $\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{s} h_{i} y_{i}^{2}$, where $h_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{*}$ and $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{s}$. Then by Lemma 1 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(0, \gamma, \delta) & =\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)\right)} \\
& =\sum_{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{s} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(h_{1} y_{1}^{2}+h_{2} y_{2}^{2}+\cdots+h_{s} y_{s}^{2}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{y_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}} \zeta_{p}^{\mathrm{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(h_{i} y_{i}^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& = \begin{cases}\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(\eta\left(h_{i}\right)(-1)^{d-1} p^{\frac{d}{2}}\right), & p \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \\
\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(\eta\left(h_{i}\right)(-1)^{d-1}(\sqrt{-1})^{d} p^{\frac{d}{2}}\right), & p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}(-1)^{d-1} \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} h_{i}\right) p^{\frac{n}{2}}, & p \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \\
(-1)^{d-1} \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} h_{i}\right)(\sqrt{-1})^{n} p^{\frac{n}{2}}, & p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S(0, \gamma, \delta) & =\sum_{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{s} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}} \zeta_{p}^{\mathrm{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(h_{1} y_{1}^{2}+h_{2} y_{2}^{2}+\cdots+h_{s-1} y_{s-1}^{2}\right)} \\
& =p^{d} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \sum_{y_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}} \zeta_{p}^{\mathrm{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(h_{i} y_{i}^{2}\right)} \\
& = \begin{cases}\eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} h_{i}\right) p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}, & p \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \\
\eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} h_{i}\right)(\sqrt{-1})^{n-d} p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}, & p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

for $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{1}$, and

$$
S(0, \gamma, \delta)= \begin{cases}(-1)^{d-1} \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s-2} h_{i}\right) p^{\frac{n}{2}+d}, & p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)  \tag{20}\\ (-1)^{d-1} \eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s-2} h_{i}\right)(\sqrt{-1})^{n-2 d} p^{\frac{n}{2}+d}, & p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

for $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{2}$.
From (18), (19) and (20), for $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{i}$ with $i \in\{0,2\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(0, \gamma, \delta)=\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p^{d}-1}{2}}} \theta_{i} p^{\frac{n+i d}{2}}, \quad \theta_{i} \in\{ \pm 1\} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(0, \gamma, \delta)=\theta_{1} p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}, \quad \theta_{1} \in\{ \pm 1\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two subsets $R_{i, j}$ of $R_{i}$ for $i \in\{0,1,2\}$ are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i, j}=\left\{(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{i} \mid \theta_{i}=j\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j= \pm 1$.
The following result can be obtained based on equalities (18), (20) and the fact that $s$ is odd.
Lemma 5. For $i \in\{0,2\},\left|R_{i, 1}\right|=\left|R_{i,-1}\right|$.
Proof. For $i \in\{0,2\}$, let $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{i}$ and $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{*}$ such that $\eta(u)=-1$. Then

$$
\Omega_{u \gamma, u \delta}(x)=\operatorname{Tr}_{d}^{n}\left(u \gamma x^{p^{k}+1}+u \delta x^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)=u \operatorname{Tr}_{d}^{n}\left(\gamma x^{p^{k}+1}+\delta x^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)=u \Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)
$$

By (18) and (20),

$$
S(0, u \gamma, u \delta)=\eta(u)^{s-i} S(0, \gamma, \delta)=(-1)^{s-i} S(0, \gamma, \delta)=-S(0, \gamma, \delta) .
$$

The above equality shows that for $j \in\{1,-1\}$, if $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{i, j}$, then $(u \gamma, u \delta) \in R_{i,-j}$. This finishes the proof.

## Proposition 3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} S(0, \gamma, \delta)=p^{2 n} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} S(0, \gamma, \delta)^{2}= \begin{cases}p^{2 n}\left(2 p^{n}-1\right), & p^{d} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)  \tag{ii}\\ p^{2 n}, & p^{d} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The result in (i) can be directly verified, and we only give the proof of (ii).
Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} S(0, \gamma, \delta)^{2} & =\sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta_{p}^{\mathrm{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\gamma\left(x^{p^{k}+1}+y^{p^{k}+1}\right)\right)} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \zeta_{p}^{\mathrm{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\delta\left(x^{p^{3 k}+1}+y^{p^{3 k}+1}\right)\right)} \\
& =p^{2 n}\left|T_{1}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T_{1}$ consists of all solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ to the equation $x^{p^{k}+1}+y^{p^{k}+1}=0$ since $x^{p^{k}+1}+$ $y^{p^{k}+1}=0$ implies $x^{p^{3 k}+1}+y^{p^{3 k}+1}=0$.

If $x y=0,(x, y)=(0,0)$ is the only solution of $x^{p^{k}+1}+y^{p^{k}+1}=0$.
If $x y \neq 0$, we have $\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{p^{k}+1}=-1$. If this equation has solution, say $\frac{x}{y}=\alpha^{j}$ for a primitive element $\alpha$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ and $1 \leqslant j<p^{n}-1$, then $j\left(p^{k}+1\right) \equiv \frac{p^{n}-1}{2}\left(\bmod p^{n}-1\right)$. This equality holds if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{k}+1, p^{n}-1\right) \left\lvert\, \frac{p^{n}-1}{2}\right.$. Notice that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{k}+1, p^{n}-1\right)=2$ and $s$ is odd. Consequently, $\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{p^{k}+1}=-1$ has solutions if and only if $p^{n} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Further, in this case the number of solutions is equal to 2 . Thus, $x^{p^{k}+1}+y^{p^{k}+1}=0$ has $2\left(p^{n}-1\right)$ solutions if $p^{n} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, and no solution if $p^{n} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

The above analysis and the equality $p^{n} \equiv p^{d}(\bmod 4)$ finish the proof.

With the above preparations, the rank distribution of $\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)$ can be determined as below.
Proposition 4. (i) For $i \in\{0,1,2\}$ and $j \in\{1,-1\}, R_{i, j}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|R_{0,1}\right|=\left|R_{0,-1}\right|=\frac{\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \\
\left|R_{1,1}\right|=\frac{\left(p^{n-d}+p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \\
\left|R_{1,-1}\right|=\frac{\left(p^{n-d}-p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \\
\left|R_{2,1}\right|=\left|R_{2,-1}\right|=\frac{\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(ii) For odd $s$, when $(\gamma, \delta)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$, the rank distribution of the quadratic form $\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)$ is given as follows:

$$
\begin{cases}s, & \frac{\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{p^{2 d}-1} \text { times } \\ s-1, & p^{n-d}\left(p^{n}-1\right) \text { times } \\ s-2, & \frac{\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{p^{2 d}-1} \text { times }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. By Propositions 1, 2, 3, Lemmas 4 and 5 , we have the following identities of parameters $\left|R_{i, j}\right|$ with $i \in\{0,1,2\}$ and $j \in\{ \pm 1\}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|R_{0}\right|+\left|R_{1}\right|+\left|R_{2}\right|=p^{2 n}-1, \\
p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}\left(\left|R_{1,1}\right|-\left|R_{1,-1}\right|\right)+p^{n}=\sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} S(0, \gamma, \delta), \\
(-1)^{\frac{p^{d}-1}{2}} p^{n}\left|R_{0}\right|+p^{n+d}\left|R_{1}\right|+(-1)^{\frac{p^{d}-1}{2}} p^{n+2 d}\left|R_{2}\right|+p^{2 n}=\sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} S(0, \gamma, \delta)^{2}, \\
\left|R_{0,1}\right|=\left|R_{0,-1}\right|, \\
\left|R_{2,1}\right|=\left|R_{2,-1}\right|=\frac{\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This finishes the proof.

By (14), (18)-(23) and Proposition 4, an immediate result is given as below.

Corollary 2. For odd $s$, when $(\gamma, \delta)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$, the exponential sum $S(0, \gamma, \delta)$ defined in (3) has the following distribution:

$$
\begin{cases}\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p^{d}-1}{2}}} p^{\frac{n}{2}}, & \frac{\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times }, \\ -\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p^{d}-1}{2}}} p^{\frac{n}{2}}, & \frac{\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}, & \frac{\left(p^{n-d}+p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \text { times, } \\ -p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}, & \frac{\left(p^{n-d}-p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \text { times, } \\ \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p^{d}-1}{2}} p^{\frac{n+2 d}{2}},} & \frac{\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ -\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p^{d}-1}{2}}} p^{\frac{n+2 d}{2}}, & \frac{\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times. }\end{cases}
$$

## 4. Weight distribution of the $\boldsymbol{p}$-ary code $\mathcal{C}$

This section studies the distribution of the exponential sum $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ and the weight distribution of the code $\mathcal{C}$.

If either $\gamma$ or $\delta$ is nonzero, then $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)\right)$ is also a quadratic form. By (1), Propositions 1,2 and Corollary 1, $\operatorname{rank}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}\right)\right)=d \cdot \operatorname{rank}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}\right)=n, n-d$, or $n-2 d$.

For $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, let $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho)$ denote the number of solutions to $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}(\epsilon x)=\rho$. Then, (3) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)=\sum_{\rho=0}^{p-1} N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho) \zeta_{p}^{\rho} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, and $\epsilon=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i} \alpha_{i}$ with $\epsilon_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then the matrix $C=\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}\right)\right)_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}$ is nonsingular. Let $D^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ and $X=B Y$ be defined as in Section 2, then $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}(\epsilon \mathcal{X})=D^{\mathrm{T}} C X$. Denote $D^{\mathrm{T}} C B=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$, and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}(\epsilon x) & =Y^{\mathrm{T}} B^{\mathrm{T}} A B Y+D^{\mathrm{T}} C B Y \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} y_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} y_{i} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

By application of the quadratic form theory, the distribution of $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ is discussed and the weight distribution of $\mathcal{C}$ is determined.

Theorem 1. For two positive integers $n$ and $k$ with $d=\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)$, if $s$ is odd, then when $(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, the exponential sum $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ defined in (3) has the following distribution:

$$
\begin{cases}p^{n}, & 1 \text { time, } \\ 0, & \left(p^{n}-1\right)\left(p^{2 n-d}-p^{2 n-2 d}+p^{2 n-3 d}-p^{n-2 d}+1\right) \text { times, } \\ \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho}, & \frac{\left(p^{n-1}+\eta(-\rho) p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ -\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho}, & \frac{\left(p^{n-1}-\eta(-\rho) p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho}, & \frac{\left(p^{n-d-1}+v(\rho) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}+p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \text { times, } \\ -p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho}, & \frac{\left(p^{n-d-1}-v(\rho) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \text { times, } \\ \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p^{\frac{n+2 d}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho},} & \frac{\left(p^{n-2 d-1}+\eta(-\rho) p^{\frac{n-2 d-1}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ -\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p^{\frac{n+2 d}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho},} & \frac{\left(p^{n-2 d-1}-\eta(-\rho) p^{\frac{n-2 d-1}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times }\end{cases}
$$

for odd d, and

$$
\begin{cases}p^{n}, & 1 \text { time, } \\ 0, & \left(p^{n}-1\right)\left(p^{2 n-d}-p^{2 n-2 d}+p^{2 n-3 d}-p^{n-2 d}+1\right) \text { times } \\ p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho}, & \frac{\left(p^{n-1}+v(\rho) p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ -p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho}, & \frac{\left(p^{n-1}-v(\rho) p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho}, & \frac{\left(p^{n-d-1}+v(\rho) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}+p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \text { times, } \\ -p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho}, & \frac{\left(p^{n-d-1}-v(\rho) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \text { times, } \\ p^{\frac{n+2 d}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho}, & \frac{\left(p^{n-2 d-1}+v(\rho) p^{\frac{n-2 d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times } \\ -p^{\frac{n+2 d}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho}, & \frac{\left(p^{n-2 d-1}-v(\rho) p^{\frac{n-2 d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times }\end{cases}
$$

for even $d$, where $\rho=0,1, \ldots, p-1, \eta$ is the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $v(0)=p-1, v(\rho)=-1$ for $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$.

Proof. Since $s$ is odd, the integer $n-d$ is always even. If $d$ is odd, then $n$ and $n-2 d$ are both odd. The proof in this case is divided into the following subcases.
(i) For $(\gamma, \delta)=(0,0), S(\epsilon, 0,0)=0$ for $\epsilon \neq 0$, and $p^{n}$ for $\epsilon=0$.
(ii) For $(\gamma, \delta) \neq(0,0)$, the discussion is divided into three subcases.

In the case of $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{0}$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, let $y_{i}=z_{i}-\frac{b_{i}}{2 a_{i}}$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i} y_{i}^{2}+b_{i} y_{i}\right)=\rho$ is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} z_{i}^{2}=\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}+\rho$, where $\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_{i}^{2}}{4 a_{i}}$. Let $\Delta_{0}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$, then Lemma 2 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho)=p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}+\rho\right) \Delta_{0}\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the matrix $C B$ in (25) is nonsingular. As a consequence, $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}$ as $\epsilon$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} . \lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}$ is also a quadratic form with $n$ variables $b_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Again by Lemma 2 , as $\epsilon$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_{i}^{2}}{4 a_{i}}=\rho^{\prime} \quad \text { occurring } p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \rho^{\prime} \Delta_{0}\right) \text { times } \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\rho^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ since $\eta\left(\left(4^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right)^{-1}\right)=\eta\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right)$.
By (24), (26) and Lemma 3(i), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)=\eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Delta_{0}\right) p^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} \zeta_{p}^{-\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (27), as $\epsilon$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)=\eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Delta_{0}\right) \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho} \quad \text { occurring } p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \rho \Delta_{0}\right) \text { times. } \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{1}$, the rank of $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)\right)$ is $n-d$, and then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}(\epsilon x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} a_{i} y_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} y_{i}
$$

If there exists some $b_{i} \neq 0$ for $n-d<i \leqslant n$, then for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}, N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho)=p^{n-1}$ and $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)=0$. Since the matrix $C B$ is nonsingular, there are exactly $p^{n}-p^{n-d}$ choices for $\epsilon$ such that there is at least one $b_{i} \neq 0$ with $n-d<i \leqslant n$, as $\epsilon$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.

If $b_{i}=0$ for all $n-d<i \leqslant n$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{n-d}\left(a_{i} y_{i}^{2}+b_{i} y_{i}\right)=\rho$ is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} a_{i} z_{i}^{2}=\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}+\rho$, where $\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \frac{b_{i}^{2}}{4 a_{i}}$ and $z_{i}=y_{i}+\frac{b_{i}}{2 a_{i}}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-d$. Let $\Delta_{1}=\prod_{i=1}^{n-d} a_{i}$, then for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and even $n-d$, by Lemma 2 ,

$$
N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho)=p^{d}\left(p^{n-d-1}+v\left(\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}+\rho\right) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_{1}\right)\right)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho)=p^{n-1}+v\left(\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}+\rho\right) p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_{1}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2 , when $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n-d}\right)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n-d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \frac{b_{i}^{2}}{4 a_{i}}=\rho^{\prime} \quad \text { occurring } p^{n-d-1}+v\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_{1}\right) \text { times } \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\rho^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then by (24) and (30),

$$
S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)=\eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_{1}\right) p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{-\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}}
$$

since $\sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} v\left(\rho+\lambda_{\gamma, \delta, \epsilon}\right) \zeta_{p}^{\rho+\lambda_{\gamma, \delta, \epsilon}}=p$ by Lemma 3(ii). Notice that $v(-\rho)=v(\rho)$ for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$. By (31), when ( $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n-d}$ ) runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n-d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)=\eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_{1}\right) p^{\frac{n+d}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho} \quad \text { occurring } p^{n-d-1}+v(\rho) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_{1}\right) \text { times } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$.
In the case of $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{2}$, the rank of $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)\right)$ is $n-2 d$ and

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{d}\left(\Omega_{\gamma, \delta}(x)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}(\epsilon x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-2 d} a_{i} y_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} y_{i}
$$

Similarly, if there exists some $b_{i} \neq 0$ with $n-2 d<i \leqslant n$, then $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho)=p^{n-1}$ for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)=0$. When $\epsilon$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, there are $p^{n}-p^{n-2 d}$ choices for $\epsilon$ such that there is at least one $b_{i} \neq 0$ with $n-2 d<i \leqslant n$.

If $b_{i}=0$ for all $n-2 d<i \leqslant n$, a similar analysis shows that for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, by Lemma 2 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho)=p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n+2 d-1}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-2 d-1}{2}}\left(\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}+\rho\right) \Delta_{2}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-2 d} \frac{b_{i}^{2}}{4 a_{i}}$ and $\Delta_{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{n-2 d} a_{i}$. When $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n-2 d}\right)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n-2 d}$, by Lemma 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-2 d} \frac{b_{i}^{2}}{4 a_{i}}=\rho^{\prime} \quad \text { occurring } p^{n-2 d-1}+p^{\frac{n-2 d-1}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-2 d-1}{2}} \rho^{\prime} \Delta_{2}\right) \text { times } \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\rho^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Thus, by Lemma $3(\mathrm{i})$, (24) and (33), we have

$$
S(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon)=\eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-2 d-1}{2}} \Delta_{2}\right) \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} p^{\frac{n}{2}+d_{C_{p}}^{-\lambda_{\gamma, \delta, \epsilon}} .}
$$

Consequently, when $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n-2 d}\right)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n-2 d}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)=\eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-2 d-1}{2}} \Delta_{2}\right) \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} p^{\frac{n}{2}+d} \zeta_{p}^{\rho} \\
& \quad \text { occurring } p^{n-2 d-1}+p^{\frac{n-2 d-1}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-2 d+1}{2}} \rho \Delta_{2}\right) \text { times } \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$.

From the above analysis, $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)=p^{n}$ if and only if $\epsilon=\gamma=\delta=0$, and $S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)=0$ occurs $p^{n}-$ $1+\left(p^{n}-p^{n-d}\right)\left|R_{1}\right|+\left(p^{n}-p^{n-2 d}\right)\left|R_{2}\right|=\left(p^{n}-1\right)\left(p^{2 n-d}-p^{2 n-2 d}+p^{2 n-3 d}-p^{n-2 d}+1\right)$ times. By (28) and Corollary 2, for $i \in\{1,-1\}$, there are $\left|R_{0, i}\right|$ pairs $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ such that $\eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Delta_{0}\right)=i$. Thus for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)= \pm \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} p^{\frac{n}{2}} \zeta_{p}^{\rho} \\
& \quad \text { occurring }\left(p^{n-1} \pm p^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \eta(-\rho)\right)\left|R_{0, \pm 1}\right| \text { times }
\end{aligned}
$$

when $(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. The other cases can be similarly analyzed.
For the even case of $d$, the integers $n, n-2 d$ are also even. This case has a difference from the odd case of $d$ only in the application of Lemma 2 . It can be proven in a similar way and we omit the proof here.

Notice that the weight of the codeword $\mathbf{c}(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ is equal to $p^{n}-1-\left(N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0)-1\right)=p^{n}-$ $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0)$. Consequently, the values $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0)$ for any given $\epsilon, \gamma, \delta$ are needed to determine the weight distribution.

Theorem 2. For two integers $n$ and $k$ with $d=\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)$, if $s=n / d$ is odd, then the weight distribution of the code $\mathcal{C}$ is given by

$$
\begin{cases}0, & 1 \text { time, } \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}, & \left(p^{n}-1\right)\left(1+p^{2 n-1}+(p-1) p^{2 n-d-1}-p^{2 n-2 d}\right. \\ & \left.+(p-1) p^{2 n-3 d-1}+p^{n-1}-(p-1) p^{n-2 d-1}\right) \text { times, } \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)\left(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)\left(p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ (p-1)\left(p^{n-1}-p^{\left.\frac{n+d-2}{2}\right),}\right. & \frac{\left(p^{n-d-1}+(p-1) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}+p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \text { times, } \\ (p-1)\left(p^{n-1}+p^{\left.\frac{n+d-2}{2}\right),},\right. & \frac{\left(p^{n-d-1}-(p-1) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \text { times, } \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)\left(p^{n-d-1}+p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \text { times, } \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)\left(p^{n-d-1}-p^{\left.\frac{n-d-2}{2}\right)\left(p^{n-d}+p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}\right.}{2} \text { times, } \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n+2 d-1}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)\left(p^{n-2 d-1}+p^{\frac{n-2 d-1}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n+2 d-1}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)\left(p^{n-2 d-1}-p^{\left.\frac{n-2 d-1}{2}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}\right.}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times }\end{cases}
$$

for odd d, and

$$
\begin{cases}0, & 1 \text { time, } \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}, & \left(p^{n}-1\right)\left(p^{2 n-d}-p^{2 n-2 d}+p^{2 n-3 d}-p^{n-2 d}+1\right) \text { times, } \\ (p-1)\left(p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right), & \frac{\left(p^{n-1}+(p-1) p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ (p-1)\left(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right), & \frac{\left(p^{n-1}-(p-1) p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)\left(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)\left(p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ (p-1)\left(p^{n-1}-p^{\left.\frac{n+d-2}{2}\right),},\right. & \frac{\left(p^{n-d-1}+(p-1) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}+p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \text { times, } \\ (p-1)\left(p^{n-1}+p^{\left.\frac{n+d-2}{2}\right),},\right. & \frac{\left(p^{n-d-1}-(p-1) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \text { times, } \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)\left(p^{n-d-1}+p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \text { times, } \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)\left(p^{n-d-1}-p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}+p^{\frac{n-d}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2} \text { times, } \\ (p-1)\left(p^{n-1}-p^{\left.\frac{n+2 d-2}{2}\right),},\right. & \frac{\left(p^{n-2 d-1}+(p-1) \frac{n-2 d-2}{2}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, } \\ (p-1)\left(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n+2 d-2}{2}}\right), & \left.\frac{\left(p^{n-2 d-1}-(p-1) p^{2-2 d-2}\right.}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right) \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n+2 d-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)\left(p^{n-2 d-1}+p^{\frac{n-2 d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times, }, \\ (p-1) p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n+2 d-2}{2}}, & \frac{(p-1)\left(p^{n-2 d-1}-p^{\frac{n-2 d-2}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n-d}-1\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)} \text { times }\end{cases}
$$

for even $d$, as $(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.
Proof. We also only give the proof for odd $d$, and omit the proof of the other case.
(i) For $(\gamma, \delta)=(0,0), N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0)=p^{n-1}$ for $\epsilon \neq 0$, and $p^{n}$ for $\epsilon=0$.
(ii) For $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{0}$. Notice that there are $\frac{p-1}{2}$ square and non-square elements in $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$, respectively. As $\epsilon$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, by (26) and (27),

$$
N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0)=p^{n-1} \quad \text { occurring } p^{n-1} \text { times }
$$

and

$$
N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0)=p^{n-1} \pm p^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Delta_{0}\right) \quad \text { occurring } \frac{p-1}{2}\left(p^{n-1} \pm p^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Delta_{0}\right)\right) \text { times. }
$$

For $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{1}$, if there exists some $b_{i} \neq 0$ for $n-d<i \leqslant n$, then for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}, N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho)=p^{n-1}$. If $b_{i}=0$ for all $n-d<i \leqslant n$, when ( $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n-d}$ ) runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n-d}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0)=p^{n-1}+(p-1) p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_{1}\right) \\
& \quad \text { occurring } p^{n-d-1}+(p-1) p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_{1}\right) \text { times }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0)=p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n+d-2}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_{1}\right) \\
& \quad \text { occurring }(p-1)\left(p^{n-d-1}-p^{\frac{n-d-2}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-d}{2}} \Delta_{1}\right)\right) \text { times. }
\end{aligned}
$$

For $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{2}$, if there exists some $b_{i} \neq 0$ with $n-2 d<i \leqslant n$, then $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(\rho)=p^{n-1}$ for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$.

If $b_{i}=0$ for all $n-2 d<i \leqslant n$, when $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n-2 d}\right)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n-2 d}$,

$$
N_{\gamma, \delta, \epsilon}(0)=p^{n-1} \quad \text { occurring } p^{n-2 d-1} \text { times }
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{\gamma, \delta, \epsilon}(0)=p^{n-1} \pm p^{\frac{n+2 d-1}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-2 d-1}{2}} \Delta_{2}\right) \\
& \quad \text { occurring } \frac{p-1}{2}\left(p^{n-2 d-1} \pm p^{\frac{n-2 d-1}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{n-2 d-1}{2}} \Delta_{2}\right)\right) \text { times. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We only give the frequencies of the codewords with weight $(p-1) p^{n-1}$ and $(p-1) p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. Other cases can be similarly analyzed. The weight of $\mathbf{c}(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ is equal to $(p-1) p^{n-1}$ if and only if $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0)=p^{n-1}$. By the above analysis and Proposition 4, the frequency is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{n}- & 1+p^{n-1}\left|R_{0}\right|+\left(p^{n}-p^{n-d}\right)\left|R_{1}\right|+\left(p^{n}-p^{n-2 d}+p^{n-2 d-1}\right)\left|R_{2}\right| \\
= & \left(p^{n}-1\right)\left(p^{2 n-1}+(p-1) p^{2 n-d-1}-p^{2 n-2 d}+(p-1) p^{2 n-3 d-1}\right. \\
& \left.+p^{n-1}-(p-1) p^{n-2 d-1}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The weight of $\mathbf{c}(\epsilon, \gamma, \delta)$ is equal to $(p-1) p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ if and only if $N_{\epsilon, \gamma, \delta}(0)=p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. The corresponding frequency is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{p-1}{2}\left(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)\left|R_{0,1}\right|+\frac{p-1}{2}\left(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)\left|R_{0,-1}\right| \\
& =\frac{(p-1)\left(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)\left(p^{n+2 d}-p^{n+d}-p^{n}+p^{2 d}\right)\left(p^{n}-1\right)}{2\left(p^{2 d}-1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$
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