

Some Properties of the Relative Rearrangement

JEAN MICHEL RAKOTOSON

*Laboratoire d'Analyse Numérique, Université Paris Sud, Bât. 425,
91405 Orsay, France*

Submitted by J. L. Brenner

Received September 4, 1985

We develop some new properties of the relative rearrangement. Some of these properties generalize well-known results known as the Hardy–Littlewood inequality. © 1988 Academic Press, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

The notion of relative rearrangement was introduced first by J. Mossino and R. Temam [7]. It has been developed in a recent paper [6], and several applications in partial differential equations can be found in [6–9]. The usual rearrangement is also a relative rearrangement as it is proved in [6]. Some properties of the relative rearrangement have been given in [5, 6, 8]. In this paper, we prove some additional properties, which generalize well-known results for the usual rearrangement.

1. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this paper, we use only Lebesgue measure. Let Ω be a bounded measurable set of \mathbb{R}^N . For any measurable subset E of Ω , we denote by $|E|$ its measure. Let u be a real measurable function defined in Ω . We will say that u has a flat region of value t if $\text{meas}\{x \in \Omega, u(x) = t\} = |u = t|$ is strictly positive. There may exist a countable family of flat regions $P_i = \{u = t_i\}$. We denote $P = \bigcup_{i \in D} P_i$ the union of all flat regions of u .

DEFINITION 1. The *decreasing rearrangement* of u is defined on $\bar{\Omega}^* = [0, |\Omega|]$ by:

$$u_*(s) = \text{Inf}\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}, |u > \theta| \leq s\}.$$

We will also consider *the increasing rearrangement* of u : $u^*(s) = u_*(|\Omega| - s)$.

Now, we recall the notion of relative rearrangement, as it appeared in [7]. Let $v \in L^1(\Omega)$, we define a function w in $\bar{\Omega}^*$ by:

$$w(s) = \begin{cases} \int_{u > u_*(s)} v(x) \, dx & \text{if } |u = u_*(s)| = 0 \\ \int_{u > u_*(s)} v(x) \, dx + \int_0^{s - |u > u_*(s)|} (v|_{P(s)})_*(\sigma) \, d\sigma & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Here the last integrand is the decreasing rearrangement of the restriction of v to the set $P(s) = \{u = u_*(s)\}$ supposed to be of positive measure.) The following theorem was proved in [6].

THEOREM 1. *Let u be a measurable function defined in Ω , v in $L^p(\Omega)$ ($1 \leq p \leq +\infty$) then:*

- (i) $w \in W^{1,p}(\Omega^*)$
- (ii) $\|dw/ds\|_{L^p(\Omega^*)} \leq \|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$,

where $\Omega^* =]0, |\Omega|[$.

DEFINITION 2 (Relative rearrangement). The function dw/ds is called the *rearrangement of v with respect to u* and is denoted v_{*u} .

We will need the following properties proved in [6] (see also [5]).

PROPOSITION 1. *If u is measurable function defined in Ω , v in $L^1(\Omega)$ then:*

- (i.1) for all constant c , $v_{*c} = v_*$, $c_{*u} = c$
- (i.2) $\int_{\Omega^*} v_{*u}(\sigma) \, d\sigma = \int_{\Omega} v(x) \, dx$

(i.3) *If we consider the relative rearrangement v_u^* (see [5, 6]) associated to the increasing rearrangement, we have*

$$v_u^* = -(-v)_{*-u}.$$

The following definitions concern the mean value operators introduced in [7].

DEFINITION 3. Let g be a measurable real function, almost everywhere defined in Ω^* . The the functions u and g , we can associate another function $M_u(g): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by:

$$\text{for a.e. } x, \quad M_u(g)(x) = \begin{cases} g(\mathbf{\beta}(u)(x)) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus P \\ \frac{1}{|P_t|} \int_{s'_t}^{s''_t} g(\sigma) \, d\sigma & \text{if } x \in P_t, \end{cases}$$

where $s'_t = |u < t|$, $s''_t = |u \leq t|$, $\mathbf{\beta}(u)(x) = |u < u(x)|$.

DEFINITION 4. Now, let us consider two measurable real functions defined in Ω . We denote by v_i the restriction of v to a flat region P_i of u . To the function g defined above, we can associate the function $M_{u,v}(g): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$M_{u,v}(g)(x) = \begin{cases} M_u(g)(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus P \\ M_{v_i}(h_i)(x) & \text{if } x \in P_i, \end{cases}$$

where $h_i(s) = g(s'_i + s)$ if $s \in [0, |P_i|]$; M_{v_i} is defined as M_u (with Ω replaced by P_i).

The proof of the following lemma is given in [5, 7].

LEMMA 1. Let u, v be two measurable functions from Ω into \mathbb{R} , $v \in L^p(\Omega)$ ($1 < p \leq +\infty$) and $g \in L^q(\Omega^*)$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$ then

$$M_{u,v}(g) \in L^q(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega^*} gv_u^* d\sigma = \int_{\Omega} M_{u,v}(g) v dx \quad (1)$$

Remark 1. If $v \in L^1(\Omega)$, $g \in \mathcal{C}^0(\bar{\Omega}^*)$ the relation (1) holds. In fact, if we consider first $g \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega^*)$, we can argue as in [7] to get relations (1). As $M_{u,v}$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(L^\infty(\Omega^*), L^\infty(\Omega))$ (see [5]) and the mapping $g \in L^\infty(\Omega^*) \rightarrow \int_{\Omega^*} gv_u^* d\sigma$ is continuous, we can conclude by density of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega^*)$ in $\mathcal{C}^0(\bar{\Omega}^*)$.

Remark 2. One can give an explicit expression of v_{*u} when u is a regular function.

(R.1) Assume that Ω is a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N and u is an element of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $1/|\nabla u| \in L^1(\Omega)$; then for any $v \in L^1(\Omega)$,

$$v_{*u}(s) = \frac{\int_{u=u_*(s)} (v(x) d\Gamma(x)/|\nabla u(x)|)}{\int_{u=u_*(s)} (d\Gamma(x)/|\nabla u(x)|)} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega^*, \quad (2)$$

where $d\Gamma$ denote the $(N - 1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Proof of Remark 2. We recall (see [1]) that a real t is called a regular value of u if $u^{-1}(t)$ is a compact $(N - 1)$ -dimensional manifold on which $\nabla u(x) \neq 0$.

A real t is said to be a critical value of u if it is not a regular value. The set of critical values is denoted by \mathcal{C} . According to Sard's theorem (see [1]), if $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)$ then the Lebesgue measure of \mathcal{C} is zero.

We denote by $\mu(t) = |u > t|$. We observe that u has no flat region because $1/|\nabla u|$ is in $L^1(\Omega)$ and on flat regions $\nabla u(x) = 0$ a.e. We then have

$$\text{for all } s \in \bar{\Omega}^*: \mu(u_{*}(s)) = s. \quad (3)$$

The function μ is absolutely continuous. In fact, let us take $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ($a < b$). The function u is Lipschitz and $1/|\nabla u|$ is integrable. We can use Federer's theorem [2] to get

$$\mu(a) - \mu(b) = \int_{a \leq u \leq b} dx = \int_a^b dp \int_{u=p} \frac{d\Gamma}{|\nabla u(x)|}$$

and

$$\mu'(p) = \int_{u=p} \frac{d\Gamma}{|\nabla u(x)|}.$$

These last relations prove that μ is absolutely continuous. As absolutely continuous functions map null sets into null sets, we deduce

$$\text{meas } \mu(\mathcal{C}) = |\mu(\mathcal{C})| = 0.$$

Using relation (3), we get $\{s \in \bar{\Omega}^*, u_*(s) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is included in $\mu(\mathcal{C})$. Thus, for almost every s in Ω^* , $u_*(s)$ is a regular value of u . In the following, we consider only such points s . The following computation is then true for almost every s of Ω^* .

Let $h > 0$; as u has no flat region, we get

$$w(s+h) - w(s) = \int_{u_*(s+h) \leq u \leq u_*(s)} v(x) dx.$$

One can check that for small h , $\nabla u(x) \neq 0$ for all x in the compact $K_h = \{u_*(s+h) \leq u \leq u_*(s)\}$, $1/|\nabla u| \in L^\infty(K_h)$ and $v/|\nabla u|$ is in $L^1(K_h)$. We use Federer's theorem [2] to get

$$\frac{w(s+h) - w(s)}{h} = -\frac{1}{h} \int_{u_*(s)}^{u_*(s+h)} dp \int_{u=p} \frac{v(x) d\Gamma}{|\nabla u(x)|}.$$

Let us write $u_*(s+h) = u_*(s) + R(s, h)$, where $R(s, h) = h \cdot (du_*/ds) + o(h)$ (du_*/ds exist a.e. as u_* is decreasing and $R(s, h) \neq 0$, since u has no flat region). Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{w(s+h) - w(s)}{h} \\ &= -\frac{R(s, h)}{h} \cdot \frac{1}{R(s, h)} \int_{u_*(s)}^{u_*(s) + R(s, h)} dp \int_{u=p} \frac{v(x) d\Gamma}{|\nabla u(x)|}. \end{aligned}$$

And when h tends to zero,

$$v_{*u}(s) = \frac{dw}{ds} = -\frac{du_*}{ds} \int_{u=u_*(s)} \frac{v(x) d\Gamma}{u(x)} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega^*.$$

This last relation is true for all $v \in L^1(\Omega)$. In particular, if $v = 1$ ($v_{\star u} = 1$, see Proposition 1) and thus

$$\frac{du_{\star}}{ds} = -1 \Big/ \int_{u=u_{\star}(s)} \frac{d\Gamma}{|\nabla u(x)|} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega^*.$$

These last formulas lead to (2).

2. GENERAL PROPERTIES OF THE RELATIVE REARRANGEMENT

The following is a generalization of the property of contraction for $v_{\star u}$.

THEOREM 2. *Let ρ be a convex function defined in \mathbb{R} , $(v_1, v_2) \in L^\infty(\Omega) \times L^\infty(\Omega)$, u a measurable function defined in Ω . Then*

$$\int_{\Omega^*} \rho(v_{1\star u} - v_{2\star u}) \, d\sigma \leq \int_{\Omega} \rho(v_1 - v_2) \, dx.$$

This last formula is also valid for $(v_1, v_2) \in L^p(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega)$ ($1 \leq p < +\infty$) if ρ satisfies

$$\exists \alpha \geq 0, \exists \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |\rho(t)| \leq \alpha |t|^p + \beta.$$

Remark 3. According to Kranoselskii [4], the last condition for ρ is necessary and sufficient to ensure that the mapping $v \rightarrow \rho(v)$ is continuous from $L^p(\Omega)$ (resp. $L^p(\Omega^*)$) into $L^1(\Omega)$ (resp. $L^1(\Omega^*)$).

Remark 4. Under the assumptions of Remark 2, if, moreover, ρ satisfies $|\rho(t)| \leq \alpha |t| + \beta$, we have the punctual inequality,

$$\text{a.e. in } \Omega^*, \quad \rho(v_{1\star u}(s) - v_{2\star u}(s)) \leq [\rho(v_1 - v_2)]_{\star u}(s) \tag{5}$$

for any $(v_1, v_2) \in L^1(\Omega) \times L^1(\Omega)$. In fact, by relation (2),

$$v_{1\star u}(s) - v_{2\star u}(s) = \frac{\int_{u=u_{\star}(s)} (v_1 - v_2)(x) (d\Gamma(x)/|\nabla u(x)|)}{\int_{u=u_{\star}(s)} (d\Gamma(x)/|\nabla u(x)|)}.$$

Setting

$$dv = \frac{d\Gamma(x)}{|\nabla u(x)|} \cdot \left(1 \Big/ \int_{u=u_{\star}(s)} \frac{d\Gamma}{|\nabla u(x)|} \right),$$

one can use Jensen inequality to get

$$\rho(v_{1\star u}(s) - v_{2\star u}(s)) = \rho \left(\int_{u=u_{\star}(s)} (v_1 - v_2)(x) \, dv(x) \right) \leq \int_{u=u_{\star}(s)} \rho(v_1 - v_2) \, dv$$

and

$$\int_{u=u_{*u}(s)} \rho(v_1 - v_2) dv = [\rho(v_1 - v_2)]_{*u}(s) \quad (\text{by relation (2)}).$$

This ponctuel relation leads to (4), since by integration,

$$\int_{\Omega^*} \rho(v_{1*} - v_{2*}) d\sigma \leq \int_{\Omega^*} [\rho(v_1 - v_2)]_{*u}(\sigma) d\sigma = \int_{\Omega} \rho(v_1 - v_2) dx$$

(by Proposition 1(i.2)).

Remark 5. The ponctual relation (5) is not valid for any u . To see this, let us take, $u = \text{constant} = 1$, and $v_1 = v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $v_2 = 0$. Then, if it is true, we will get

$$\rho(v_{*1}) \leq [\rho(v)]_{*1}.$$

By Proposition 1(i.1), $v_{*1} = v_*$, $[\rho(v)]_{*1} = [\rho(v)]_*$; thus $\rho(v_*) \leq [\rho(v)]_*$. By equimeasurability, we deduce $\rho(v_*) = [\rho(v)]_*$ for any $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and any convex function ρ ; it is not difficult to see that this is impossible (for example, take ρ decreasing).

The proof of Theorem 2 needs the following lemma whose proof can be easily deduced from G. Chiti's result [3].

LEMMA 2. *Let ρ be a convex function defined in \mathbb{R} , u and v two measurable functions defined in Ω , $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$; then we have*

$$\int_{\Omega^*} \rho((u+v)_* - u_*) d\sigma \leq \int_{\Omega} \rho(v) dx.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Since ρ is a convex function, the mapping $v \in L^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \rho(v) dx$ is L.S.C. for the weak star topology. Hence, if (v_1, v_2) are two elements of $L^\infty(\Omega)$, we know (see [5]) that for all $\lambda > 0$ and u measurable defined in Ω ,

$$\left\| \frac{(u + \lambda v_1)_* - (u + \lambda v_2)_*}{\lambda} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \|v_1 - v_2\|_{\infty}$$

and

$$\frac{(u + \lambda v_1)_* - (u + \lambda v_2)_*}{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} v_{1*} - v_{2*} \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\Omega^*),$$

weak star.

We deduce from Lemma 2 and the remark above:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \rho(v_1 - v_2) dx &\geq \liminf_{\lambda} \int_{\Omega^*} \rho \left[\frac{(u + \lambda v_1)_* - (u + \lambda v_2)_*}{\lambda} \right] d\sigma \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega^*} \rho(v_{1*u} - v_{2*u}) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that ρ satisfies the growth condition in Theorem 2. Since the mapping $v \in L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow v_{*u} \in L^p(\Omega^*)$ is continuous (see [6]), we deduce by Remark 3 that the mapping $v \in L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow (\int_{\Omega} \rho(v) dx, \int_{\Omega^*} \rho(v_{*u}) d\sigma)$ is continuous. We can conclude using the density of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ into $L^p(\Omega)$.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\rho(t)$, $t \geq 0$, be convex, non-negative, non-decreasing, (v_1, v_2) in $L^1(\Omega) \times L^1(\Omega)$, and u a measurable function. Then,*

$$\int_{\Omega^*} \rho(|v_{1*u} - v_{2*u}|) d\sigma \leq \int_{\Omega} \rho(|v_1 - v_2|) dx.$$

Proof. We argue as in [3]. We consider the real Lipschitz functions T_n defined by

$$T_n(z) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } z \geq n \\ z & \text{if } |z| \leq n \\ -n & \text{if } z \leq -n. \end{cases}$$

Then the functions $v_{in} = T_n(v_i)$ $i=1, 2$ are in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and satisfy $|v_{1n} - v_{2n}| \leq |v_1 - v_2|$ a.e. Since the function ρ is non-decreasing, we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho(|v_{1n} - v_{2n}|) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \rho(|v_1 - v_2|) dx$$

and that the function $\rho(|t|)$ is convex; we apply Theorem 2 to get

$$\int_{\Omega^*} \rho(|v_{1n*u} - v_{2n*u}|) d\sigma \leq \int_{\Omega} \rho(|v_1 - v_2|) dx.$$

Since the sequence v_{in} tends to v_i in $L^1(\Omega)$ $i=1, 2$ and the mapping $v \in L^1(\Omega) \rightarrow v_{*u} \in L^1(\Omega^*)$ is continuous, we can substract a sequence¹ denoted also v_{in*u} which converges almost everywhere in Ω^* . We apply Fatou's lemma to get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega^*} \rho(|v_{1*u} - v_{2*u}|) d\sigma &\leq \liminf_n \int_{\Omega^*} \rho(|v_{1n*u} - v_{2n*u}|) \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \rho(|v_1 - v_2|) dx. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

¹ That is, from the sequence (v_{1n*u}, v_{2n*u}) .

These last results illustrate the convergence of the relative rearrangement in Orlicz spaces if the original functions belong to $L^1(\Omega)$ and converge in Orlicz spaces.

3. A GENERALIZATION OF THE HARDY-LITTLEWOOD INEQUALITY

Before proving the result of generalization, we will need some lemmas:

LEMMA 3. *Let $v \in L^p(\Omega)$ ($1 \leq p \leq +\infty$) then there exists a sequence $v_n \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that v_n has no flat region and v_n tends to v in $L^p(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Let $P = \bigcup_{i \in D} P_i$, where $P_i = \{v = \theta_i\}$, $|P_i| \neq 0$, and $\theta_i \neq 0$. We denote by χ_A the characteristic function of a measurable set A . We put $\lambda_n(x) = (1/n) \cdot (1/(1 + |x|))$ for any $x \in \Omega$. We observe that

$$|\{x \in \Omega, \lambda_n(x) = \alpha\}| = |\{x \in \Omega, e^{-\lambda_n(x)} = \beta\}| = 0 \quad \forall (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We define

$$v_n(x) = e^{-\lambda_n(x)\chi_P(x)}(v(x) + \lambda_n(x) \chi_{\{v=0\}}(x)).$$

One can check that

$$|v_n(x) - v(x)| \leq \frac{1}{n} (|v(x)| + 1).$$

So, v_n tends to v in $L^p(\Omega)$ ($1 \leq p \leq +\infty$).

Let us prove that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $|v_n = t| = 0$. We remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \{v_n = t\} &= \{x \in \Omega \setminus P, v(x) \neq 0, v_n(x) = t\} \\ &\cup \left(\bigcup_{i \in D} \{x \in P_i, v_n(x) = t\} \right) \\ &\cup \{x \in \Omega, v(x) = 0, v_n(x) = t\}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce then:

$$\begin{aligned} |v_n = t| &= |\{x \in \Omega \setminus P, v(x) \neq 0, v(x) = t\}| \\ &+ \sum_{i \in D} \left| \left\{ x \in P_i, e^{-\lambda_n(x)} = \frac{t}{\theta_i} \right\} \right| \\ &+ |\{x \in \Omega, v(x) = 0, \lambda_n(x) = t\}|. \end{aligned}$$

By the remark above, each term of the summation vanishes. ■

LEMMA 4. Let $v \in L^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, such that v has no flat region then

(i) for all $a \in \bar{\Omega}^*$, there exists a measurable set $E(a)$ such that

$$w(a) = \int_{E(a)} v(x) \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad |E(a)| = a.$$

In addition,

(ii) if $a < b$ then $E(a) \subset E(b)$.

Proof. (i) Let $a \in \bar{\Omega}^*$. If $|u = u_*(a)| = 0$, we set $E(a) = \{u > u_*(a)\}$. Then $|u > u_*(a)| = |u \geq u_*(a)| = a$.

If $|u = u_*(a)| \neq 0$, we denote v_a the restriction of v to the set $\{u = u_*(a)\}$; then by equimeasurability,

$$\int_0^{a - |u > u_*(a)|} (v_a)_*(\sigma) \, d\sigma = \int_{v_a > (v_a)_*(a - |u > u_*(a)|)} v(x) \, dx.$$

The set $\{v_a > (v_a)_*(a - |u > u_*(a)|)\}$ and $\{u > u_*(a)\}$ are disjoint. Hence, we have

$$|E(a)| = |u > u_*(a)| + |v_a > (v_a)_*(a - |u > u_*(a)|)| = a,$$

if we set $E(a) = \{u > u_*(a)\} \cup \{v_a > (v_a)_*(a - |u > u_*(a)|)\}$.

(ii) Let $a < b$. If $u_*(a) = u_*(b)$, then $v_a = v_b = k$; we deduce

$$k_*(a - |u > u_*(a)|) \geq k_*(b - |u > u_*(b)|)$$

so $E(a) \subset E(b)$.

If $u_*(a) > u_*(b)$: $E(a) \subset \{u \geq u_*(a)\} \subset \{u > u_*(b)\} \subset E(b)$.

Remark 6. If $]a, b[\cap]c, d[= \emptyset$ and if we set

$$E(a, b) = E(b) \setminus E(a)$$

$$E(c, d) = E(d) \setminus E(c),$$

then

$$E(a, b) \cap E(c, d) = \emptyset.$$

The following lemma is crucial to prove the result of generalization.

LEMMA 5. Let u be a real measurable function defined in Ω and $v \in L^1(\Omega)$ then for all measurable sets E in Ω^* ; we have

$$\int_E v_{*u}(\sigma) \, d\sigma \geq \int_0^{|E|} v^*(\sigma) \, d\sigma \left(= \int_{|\Omega| - |E|}^{|\Omega|} v_{*}(\sigma) \, d\sigma \right),$$

where v^* denote the increasing rearrangement of v .

Proof Lemma 5. As the mappings $v \in L^1(\Omega) \rightarrow v_1^*$ or $v_{*u} \in L^1(\Omega^*)$ are continuous, thanks to Lemma 3, we can restrict to the case when v has no flat region.

Let \mathcal{O} be an open set of Ω^* then \mathcal{O} is the union (at most countable) of his disjoint connected components: $\mathcal{O} = \bigcup_{i \in D}]a_i, b_i[$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{dw}{ds} \, d\sigma = \sum_{i \in D} \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \frac{dw}{ds} \, d\sigma.$$

According to Lemma 4,

$$\sum_{i \in D} \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \frac{dw}{ds} \, d\sigma = \sum_{i \in D} \int_{E(a_i, b_i)} v(x) \, dx.$$

Since $E(a_i, b_i) \cap E(a_j, b_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ (see Remark 5), we deduce, via the Hardy–Littlewood inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{dw}{ds} \, d\sigma &= \int_{\bigcup_{i \in D} E(a_i, b_i)} v(x) \, dx \geq \int_0^{|\bigcup_{i \in D} E(a_i, b_i)|} v^*(\sigma) \, d\sigma, \\ \left| \bigcup_{i \in D} E(a_i, b_i) \right| &= \sum_{i \in D} (b_i - a_i) = |\mathcal{O}|, \\ \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{dw}{ds} \, d\sigma &\geq \int_0^{|\mathcal{O}|} v^*(\sigma) \, d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

If E is a measurable set of Ω^* , then there exists a sequence \mathcal{O}_p of open sets such that $E \subset \mathcal{O}_{p+1} \subset \mathcal{O}_p$ and $|\mathcal{O}_p| \rightarrow_{p \rightarrow +\infty} |E|$. Then by (6)

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_p} \frac{dw}{ds} \, d\sigma \geq \int_0^{|\mathcal{O}_p|} v^*(\sigma) \, d\sigma.$$

When we pass to the limit,

$$\int_E v_{*u}(\sigma) \, d\sigma = \int_E \frac{dw}{ds} \, d\sigma \geq \int_0^{|E|} v^*(\sigma) \, d\sigma.$$

The following theorem is the generalization of the Hardy–Littlewood inequality.

THEOREM 3. *Let u be a real measurable function on Ω , v_1 in $L^p(\Omega)$, and $v_2 \in L^q(\Omega^*)$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, then*

$$\int_{\Omega^*} v_{1*} v_2 \, d\sigma \leq \int_{\Omega^*} v_1^* v_2^* \, d\sigma \left(= \int_{\Omega^*} v_{1*} v_{2*} \, d\sigma \right). \quad (7)$$

Remark 7. If we change v_1 into $-v_1$, v_2 into $-v_2$, and u into $-u$, using Proposition 1(i.3), we get

$$\int_{\Omega^*} v_{1u}^* v_2 \, d\sigma \leq \int_{\Omega^*} v_1^* v_2^* \, d\sigma. \quad (8)$$

The proof of this theorem needs the following lemma whose proof is in [5].

LEMMA 6. *Let $f \in L^\infty(\Omega^*)$, $a \leq f \leq b$, $g \in L^1(\Omega^*)$; then*

$$\int_{\Omega^*} fg \, d\sigma = b \int_{\Omega^*} g \, d\sigma - \int_a^b dt \int_{f < t} g \, d\sigma.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. We begin with the case $v_1 \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $v_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega^*)$; then $v_{1*} \in L^1(\Omega^*)$ and, by Lemma 6,

$$\int_{\Omega^*} v_{1*} v_2 \, d\sigma = b \int_{\Omega^*} v_{1*} \, d\sigma - \int_a^b dt \int_{v_2 < t} v_{1*} \, d\sigma.$$

By Proposition 1(i.2) and equimeasurability,

$$\int_{\Omega^*} v_{1*} \, d\sigma = \int_{\Omega^*} v_1^* \, d\sigma.$$

By Lemma 4,

$$\int_{v_2 < t} v_{1*} \, d\sigma \geq \int_0^{[v_2^* < t]} v_1^*(\sigma) \, d\sigma = \int_{v_2^* < t} v_1^*(\sigma) \, d\sigma.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega^*} v_{1*} v_2 \, d\sigma &\leq b \int_{\Omega^*} v_1^* \, d\sigma - \int_a^b dt \int_{v_2^* < t} v_1^*(\sigma) \, d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\Omega^*} v_1^* v_2^* \, d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

The result for $q < +\infty$ easily follows by density.

Remark 8. If $v_2 \geq 0$ is only measurable, $v_1 \geq 0$, and $v_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$, the relation (7) (or (8)) remains valid. In fact, there exists an increasing sequence $v_{2n} \in L^\infty(\Omega^*)$ such that

$$\lim_n v_{2n}(\sigma) = v_2(\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq v_{2n}(\sigma) \leq v_2(\sigma) \text{ a.e.}$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega^*} v_{2n}(\sigma) v_{1*u}(\sigma) \, d\sigma \leq \int_{\Omega^*} v_{2n}^* v_1^* \, d\sigma \leq \int_{\Omega^*} v_2^*(\sigma) v_1^*(\sigma) \, d\sigma.$$

As $v_1 \geq 0$ implies $v_{1*u} \geq 0$ (see [6]), then by Fatou's lemma,

$$\int_{\Omega^*} v_{1*u} v_2 \, d\sigma \leq \int_{\Omega^*} v_1^* v_2^* \, d\sigma.$$

Remark 9. As a corollary, we recover the well-known Hardy–Littlewood theorem: For all $v \in L^p(\Omega)$, $h \in L^q(\Omega)$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} hv \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega^*} h^* v^* \, d\sigma.$$

Proof. We begin with the case $h \in \mathcal{C}^0(\bar{\Omega})$ and $v \in L^1(\Omega)$. Using Remark 1 and Theorem 3,

$$\int_{\Omega} M_{h,v}(h^*) v \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega^*} h^* v^* \, d\sigma. \tag{9}$$

By Definition 4,

$$M_{h,v}(h^*)(x) = \begin{cases} h^*(\mathbf{\beta}(h)(x)) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus P \\ M_{v_i}(g)(x) & \text{if } x \in P_i = \{h = t_i\} \end{cases}$$

$$g(s) = h^*(s'_i + s) \quad \text{for } s \in [0, s''_i - s'_i]$$

$$s'_i = |h < t_i|, \quad s''_i = |h \leq t_i|.$$

Then, we have $g(s) = h^*(s'_i + s) = h^*(s'_i) = h^*(\mathbf{\beta}(h)(x))$. In any case $M_{h,v}(h^*)(x) = h^*(\mathbf{\beta}(h)(x)) = h(x)$ (since h is continuous). By (9), we get the Hardy–Littlewood inequality.

By density, the inequality remains valid for $h \in L^q(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^p(\Omega)$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $q > 1$, and then for $q = 1$. ■

REFERENCES

1. TH. BROCKER, "Differential Germs and Catastrophes," London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Sér. Vol. 17, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York/London, 1975.
2. H. FEDERER, "Geometric Measure Theory," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1969.
3. G. CHITI, Rearrangements of functions and convergence in Orlicz spaces, *Appl. Anal.* **9** (1979).
4. M. A. KRANOSSEL'SKII, "Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Integral Equations," Pergamon, Oxford/London/New York/Paris, 1964.
5. J. MOSSINO, "Inégalités Isopérimétriques et applications en physique," Collection Travaux en cours, Herman, Paris, 1984.
6. J. MOSSINO AND J. RAKOTOSON, Isoperimetric inequalities in parabolic equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm Sup. Pisa*, in press.
7. J. MOSSINO AND R. TEMAM, Directional derivative of the increasing rearrangement mapping and application to a queer differential equation in plasma physics, *Duke Math. J.* **41** (1981), 475-495.
8. J. M. RAKOTOSON, "Sur une synthèse des modèles locaux et non locaux en physique des plasmas," Thèse 3ème cycle, Orsay, 1984.
9. J. M. RAKOTOSON, Time behaviour of solutions of parabolic problems, *Appl. Anal.*, in press.