

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Procedia Engineering 159 (2016) 72 - 76

Procedia Engineering

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

Humanitarian Technology: Science, Systems and Global Impact 2016, HumTech2016, 7-9 June 2016, Massachusetts, USA

Change in water activity and fungal counts of maize-pigeon pea flour during storage utilizing various packaging materials

Subuola Fasoyiro^{a,b,*}, Rebecca Hovingh^b, Hassan Gourama^c, Catherine Cutter^b

^aInstitute of Agricultural Research and Training, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ibadan, PMB 5029, Nigeria ^bDepartment of Food Science, Penn State University, University Park, PA, 16802, USA ^c Penn State Berks Campus, Readings, PA, 19610, USA

Abstract

Maize-pigeon pea fortified flour has been processed with the potential to address protein-malnutrition, especially among children. This combination is preferred since cereals lack amino acids, such as methionine, and legumes lack lysine. When mixed together, the amino acid concentrations can be complemented. However, stored maize is an excellent substrate for Aspergillus spp., especially under warm $(20-30^{\circ}C)$ and humid conditions $(70-90^{\circ}C)$. This study investigated the changes in water activity and fungal counts in maize-pigeon pea flour stored for up to 8 weeks using different packaging materials. Maize pigeon flour was processed at different concentrations of 90:10 to 70:30 from fermented, dried, and milled maize and blanched, dehulled, and milled pigeon pea seeds. The flour samples were packaged into four different packaging materials: low and high density polythene bags, as well as plastic and aluminum containers. These containers were stored under simulated tropical conditions of 28+2°C and 83+2 % relative humidity in an incubator. Water activity (aw) of the flours was determined and fungi were enumerated using Petrifilm. Initial (day 0) aw of samples ranged from 0.15 to 0.17; after 8 weeks, aw ranged from 0.20 to 0.32 in low density polyethene, while lower a_w was recorded for samples stored in the plastic and aluminum containers. Initial fungal counts ranged from 1.69 to 2.31 log₁₀ CFU/g which increased from a range of 2.45 to 2.78 log₁₀ CFU/g after 8 weeks of storage, with higher counts in samples stored in low density polythene bags. These results indicate that a_w and fungal counts of the flours increased slightly over time in the different packaging materials, but values appear to be within tolerable limits. Further research will evaluate the stability of amino acids, as well as the level of fungi and resulting aflatoxin production up to 11 months under the storage conditions, formulations, and packaging materials described above.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Peer-review under responsibility of the Organizing Committee of HumTech2016

Keywords: maize-pigeon pea flour, storage, keeping quality, food safety;

1. Introduction

Maize-pigeon pea fortified flour has been processed with the potential for addressing protein- malnutrition, especially among children under five years old (Fasoyiro *et al*, 2013). The flour can be processed into different convenience foods, ranging from main meals to various snacks. This complementation is built on the basis that cereals lack amino acids, such as methionine and legumes lack lysine, which complement each other when mixed together (Potter and Hotchkiss, 1998). Fortified foods made from a maize-legume blend can serve as cheaper, nutritious, and healthy alternatives for people who cannot afford animal

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-814-806-0102; fax: +1-814-863-6132 *E-mail address*: suf21@psu.edu

1877-7058 © 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Peer-review under responsibility of the Organizing Committee of HumTech2016

doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2016.08.066

proteins, while also serving as a good protein source for vegetarians. Food products under different processing technologies must not only be nutritious, but safe (Fasoyiro *et al*, 2010). Stored maize is an excellent substrate for mold growth, such as *Aspergillus* spp. under warm ($20-30^{\circ}C$) and humid conditions ($70-90^{\circ}C$) (Popoola, 2003). Previous studies have reported storage properties of pigeon pea seeds in different containers (Bankole *et al*, 1996). Unacceptable levels of mold growth in flours can not only cause health risks, but also nutritional and economic losses of the product. Monitoring the final water activity (a_w) of these types of foods may be used for process control in Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) programs. In such cases, samples of the food product are taken periodically and tested to ensure a_w values are within a specified range for food quality and safety. Therefore, it is important to understand what conditions will allow for the safety and quality of maize-pigeon pea flour utilized for human consumption. To our knowledge, very little research has addressed this issue. The findings from this research may provide alternative methods for the formulation of and storage conditions for pigeon-pea flours.

Objective: This study will investigate the changes in water activity and fungal counts in various formulations of maize-pigeon pea flour stored for up to eight weeks under tropical conditions, using different packaging materials.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Flour preparation

Maize was obtained from the Department of Plant Sciences, Penn State University, while pigeon pea seeds (*Cajanus cajan*) were obtained from Barry Farms (Wapakoneta, Ohio). Maize-pigeon pea flour was processed using a modified method of Fasoyiro *et al* (2013). Maize seeds were weighed, washed, and water was added at a ratio of 1:3 (w/v). The maize was fermented by soaking at $25\pm2^{\circ}C$ for two days. The fermented water was removed and resulting seeds were washed and drained (30 min), spread thinly on an aluminum tray, and dried at $65^{\circ}C$ for 8 hr in a convection oven. The dried maize seeds were milled into flour using a grinder (Thomas-Wiley, USA, Model 4) with a 2 mm sieve. Pigeon pea seeds were weighed, washed, and water was added at ratio of 1:3 (w/v). The pigeon pea seeds were blanched (30 min) in boiling water and washed under running water to cool down the seeds to 25-30°C. The seeds were dehulled mechanically by shredding 200 g of the blanched seeds in 200 ml of water for 30 sec in a blender (Hamilton Beach, USA, Model 56250). Hulls were removed by washing the pigeon pea seeds with water and sieving. Dehulled pigeon pea seeds were allowed to drain for 30 min, spread thinly on an aluminum tray, and dried at $65^{\circ}C$ for 8 hr in a convection oven.

Flour mixtures were made as follows: milled maize flour was mixed with pigeon pea flour at ratios of 90:10, 80:20, and 70:30. Maize flour only and pigeon pea flour only were used as controls. The resulting flour products were stored using four different packaging materials: low density polythene bag (3" x 7.25", 0.057 mm thickness; with closure tape) (Nasco WHIRL-PAK, USA), high density polythene bags (6" x 10", 2 mm thickness; Associated Bag Co., USA), plastic jars (2.6"x 3.5", 8 oz white polypropylene plastic jar with white screw top), or aluminum containers (2.8" x 1.7", 6 oz silver seamless tin with slip cover top) (Freund Container and Supply, USA) for a period of eight weeks. The flour products were stored under simulated conditions of $28\pm2^{\circ}$ C in an incubator at $83\pm2^{\circ}$ relative humidity (RH) using saturated potassium chloride salt (50%).

2.2 Water activity

An Aqua Lab 4TE water activity meter (Decagon Inc., USA) was used to measure water activity of the flours (APHA method 2.532; 2015). Prior to sampling, the flour was first shaken to ensure even distribution in the various packages. A representative flour sample (3 g) was taken from the center of the package and transferred into a disposable sample container (15ml 1.53" x 0.447" white plastic cup) (Decagon Inc, USA). The water activity meter was first calibrated with 0.760 a_w (NaCl 6molar in water and 0.984 a_w KCl 0.5 molar in water) and sample readings were taken at 23 $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C. All flour samples were analyzed in duplicate and readings averaged.

2.3 Microbiology


Yeast and Mold Petrifilm (3M; Minneapolis, MN) was used for the enumeration of fungi and plates were stored at 4° C until used. Flour samples were prepared for microbial evaluation by mixing 10 g with 90 ml of Buffered Peptone Water (BPW; BBL, Cockeysville, MD). Samples were homogenized in a stomacher (Stomacher 400 Circulator, Seward, USA). Resulting stomachates were serially diluted in 9 ml of BPW and plated directly on the Petrifilm using a sterile pipette. The sample was spread across the Petrifilm using a plastic spreader following instructions by the manufacturer. Plates were incubated at 25^oC for 5 days and enumerated manually. The platings were performed in duplicate. For Petrifilm, samples were enumerated in totality and also based on morphology of the colonies (brown, green, black colonies). Samples were incubated for 5 days at 25°C prior to visual inspection and confirmation.

3. Statistical analysis


Statistical analysis was carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics version 23.0 released 2015 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY). Two-factor repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVAs) and dependent t-tests were performed for the means of water activity and Duncan test for fungal counts of the samples in the packages using alpha of 0.05.

4. Results and Discussion


Water activity is useful to predict the amount of water available for biochemical processes and for the growth of molds, yeasts, and bacteria in foods, and is usually measured within the range of 0 to 1.0 (Jay et al. 2005). In this study, initial (day 0) a_w of pigeon pea flour and maize flour were 0.11 and 0.23, respectively, and those of maize-pigeon flour samples ranged from 0.15 to 0.17. The a_w of the flours increased over 8 weeks and ranged from 0.20 to 0.32. Initial a_w of pigeon pea flour used in the mixing process was lower (0.11), while that of maize flour was higher (0.23). Figure 1a shows the change in a_w of samples stored in low density polyethylene bags. Samples in the high density polythene bag (Fig. 1b) had lower aw as compared to the low density polythene bag, while aw of samples in the aluminum and plastic containers (Figs. 1c and 1d) were not different, but lower than those in the high density polythene bag. There was a statistically different effect of time on the water activity in each sample. The combination of samples and packaging were significantly different between week1 and week8. For the samples in low density polythene package, pl-pigeon pea flour, mp911-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 90:10, mp821-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 80:20 and mp731-maize pigeon pea flour ratio 70:30 were significantly different. For the high density packages, samples mp73h-maizepigeon pea flour ratio 70:30 were significantly different while for aluminum containers, samples pt-pigeon pea flour was significantly different and mp73-miaze pigeon pea flour ratio 70:30 and for the plastic containers samples, only mp73- maize pigeon flour ration 70:30 was significantly different at alpha 0.05. A low aw for the maize-pigeon pea flour (below 0.6) suggests that the growth of foodborne bacteria (a_w needed for growth >0.75), molds (a_w needed for growth >0.60) or osmophilic yeasts (a_w needed for growth=0.61) (Jay et al, 2005) may be minimized. In another study, Lin and Beuchat (2007) reported an initial aw of 0.30 for an infant rice cereal, which also increased over time. This information suggests that the a_w in these types of products may vary with the type of food, processing method, packaging material, or storage conditions.




Key: ml-maize flour, pl-Pigeon pea flour, mp911-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 90:10, mp821-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 80:20, mp731-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 70:30 in low density polythene bags



Key: mh-maize flour, ph- pigeon pea flour, mp91h-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 90:10, mp82h-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 80:20, mp73h-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 70:30 in high density polythene bags




Key: mt- maize flour, pt- pigeon pea flour, mpt91t-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 90:10, mp82t-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 80:20, mp73t-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 70:30 in aluminum containers



Key: mc-maize flour, pc-pigeon pea flour, mc91-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 90:10, mc82-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 80:20, mc73-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 70:30 in plastic containers

In this study, fungal counts ranged initially (day 0) from 1.69 to 2.31 \log_{10} CFU/g and ranged from 2.45 to 2.78 \log_{10} CFU/g after 8 weeks, irrespective of the mixing ratio. Figure 2 demonstrates growth and the variety of fungal growth on Petrifilm. When examining the plots of samples over time, the fungal count generally increased from first week to the eighth week. Highest fungal count was recorded for samples MP73 (Maize-pigeon pea flour; ratio 70:30) in low density bag. There was a statistically significant effect of type of sample on fungal counts. Sample mp91- maize pigeon pea flour ratio 90:10 was not significantly different from m-maize flour and mp82-maize pigeon pea flour in the different packages. Sample mp73-maize pigeon pea flour ratio 70:30 was not significantly different from p-pigeon pea flour in the different packages. These findings indicate that average fungal counts differ at least for one of the samples. There was no main effect of packaging on fungal counts indicating that fungal counts were significantly different from each other in all the packages at alpha 0.05. More research is needed to determine the genus and species of the fungal organisms.

Based on these preliminary results, low a_w in the samples correlated with low fungal counts up to eight weeks of storage in the conditions and packaging materials described. While fungi can still grow, these lower a_w levels may not allow for rapid proliferation of fungal organisms. Lower a_w and fungal counts recorded in this study demonstrate that the flour samples remain somewhat stable up to eight weeks.



Key: m-maize flour, p-pigeon pea flour, mp91-maize-pigeon flour ratio 90:10, mp82-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 80:20, mp73-maize-pigeon pea flour ratio 70:30, LDPE-low density polythene bag, HPDE-high density polythene bag, AC- aluminum container, PC- plastic container

5. Conclusion

Based on the findings from this study, maize-pigeon pea flours could maintain good keeping qualities with the different packaging materials during storage up to 8 weeks. Further research will evaluate the stability of amino acids, as well as the level of fungi and resulting aflatoxin production up to 11 months under the storage conditions and packaging materials described previously.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the funding of this project by the Schlumberger Foundation, Faculty for the Future Grant (Boston, MA) and the Department of Food Science in the College of Agricultural Sciences at Penn State University, University Park, PA.

References

[1] APHA. Compendium of Methods of Microbiological Examination of Foods. 5th ed. Salfinger, Y and Tortorello, ML editors, American Public Health Association, Washington DC; 2015. p. 21-23.

[2] Bankole SA, Ezeigbe DA, Eniokumehin AO. Mycoflora and aflatoxin product in pigeon pea stored in jute sacks and iron bin. Mycopathogenia 1996:32;155-159.

[3] Fasoyiro SB, Obatolu VA, Ashaye OA, Adegoke GO, Farinde, EO. Microbial hazards of locally processed soy-cheese in Nigeria. Nutrition and Food Science 2010;40:591-597.

[4] Fasoyiro SB, Arowora KA. Chemical, pasting and sensory properties of whole fermented (*Ogi*) fortified with pigeon pea flour. WASET International Journal of Agricultural, Biosystems Science and Engineering 2013;7:32-34.

[5] Jay JM, Loessner MJ, and Golden DA. Modern Food Microbiology. 7th ed. New York: Springer; 2005. p. 45-47.

[6] Lin L, Beuchat LR. Survival of *Enterobacter sakazakii* in infant cereal as affected by composition, water activity, and temperature. Food Microbiology 2007;24:767-777.

[7] Popoola AR, Adeoti AYA, Idakwo PY. Incidence of moulds in some varieties of stored cowpeas and peanuts in Maiduguri. Nigeria ASSET Series 2003;3:163-169.

[8] Potter NN, Hotchkiss JH. Food Science. 5th ed. New York: Springer; 1998. p. 402.