

Original

## Predictors of rescue percutaneous coronary intervention after pharmacoinvasive strategy in women

José Marconi Almeida Sousa<sup>a</sup>, Adriano Henrique Pereira Barbosa<sup>a</sup>, Adriano Caixeta<sup>a</sup>, Pedro Ivo de Marqui Moraes<sup>a</sup>, Daniel Garoni Peternelli<sup>a</sup>, Guilherme Melo Ferreira<sup>a</sup>, Eryca Vanessa<sup>a</sup>, Helena Nogueira Soufen<sup>a</sup>, Iran Gonçalves<sup>a</sup>, Silvio Reggi<sup>a</sup>, Antônio Célio Camargo Moreno<sup>b</sup>, Antônio Carlos Camargo Carvalho<sup>a</sup>, Claudia M. Rodrigues Alves<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Disciplina de Cardiologia, Escola Paulista de Medicina, Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP), São Paulo, SP, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Setor de Autarquias, Prefeitura Municipal de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 5 November 2014

Accepted 16 January 2015

#### Keywords:

Myocardial infarction  
Thrombolytic therapy  
Angioplasty

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Pharmacoinvasive therapy (PIT) is feasible in patients with acute myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation (STEMI) when timely primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is unavailable. In this study, we compared women who underwent successful reperfusion PIT with those who required rescue PCI, to identify potential predictors of thrombolytic failure.

**Methods:** From January 2010 to November 2014, 327 consecutive women with STEMI were referred to a tertiary hospital, 206 after successful thrombolysis (63%) and 121 who required rescue PCI. The groups were compared regarding demographic, clinical and angiographic outcomes, and clinical (TIMI, GRACE, and ZWOLLE CADILLAC) and bleeding (CRUSADE) risk scores. A multivariate logistic regression model was used to identify predictors of thrombolytic failure.

**Results:** There was no significant difference between the demographic characteristics or the medical history of the groups. Rescue PCI group had significantly higher values of the evaluated scores. Clinical hospital complications and mortality (2.5% vs. 22.0%;  $p < 0.0001$ ) were more frequent in rescue PCI group. The independent variables associated with rescue PCI were pain-to-needle time  $> 3$  h (OR: 3.07, 95%CI: 1.64 to 5.75;  $p < 0.0001$ ), ZWOLLE score (OR: 1.25; 95%CI: 1.14 to 1.37;  $p = 0.0001$ ) and creatinine clearance (OR: 1.009, 95%CI: 1.0 to 1.02;  $p = 0.04$ ).

**Conclusions:** Women with STEMI who underwent PIT and who required rescue PCI had significantly higher mortality compared to those who achieved initial success of PIT with elective PCI. Pain-to-needle time  $> 3$  h, ZWOLLE score and creatinine clearance were independent predictors of the need for rescue PCI.

© 2015 Sociedade Brasileira de Hemodinâmica e Cardiologia Intervencionista. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Fatores preditivos de intervenção coronária percutânea de resgate após estratégia fármaco-invasiva em mulheres

### RESUMO

**Introdução:** A estratégia fármaco-invasiva (EFI) é viável em pacientes com infarto agudo do miocárdio com supradesnivelamento do segmento ST (IAMCST), quando a intervenção coronária percutânea (ICP) primária em tempo hábil não é possível. Neste estudo, comparamos mulheres submetidas à EFI com sucesso para perfusão àquelas que necessitaram de ICP de resgate, para identificar possíveis preditores de insucesso do trombolítico.

**Métodos:** De janeiro de 2010 a novembro de 2014, 327 mulheres com IAMCST e EFI foram encaminhadas ao hospital terciário, sendo 206 após trombólise com sucesso (63%) e 121 que necessitaram de ICP de resgate. Os grupos foram comparados quanto a variáveis demográficas, desfechos clínicos e angiográficos, e escores de risco clínico (TIMI, GRACE, ZWOLLE e CADILLAC) e de sangramento (CRUSADE). Um modelo de regressão logística multivariada foi utilizado para identificar preditores de insucesso do trombolítico.

#### Palavras-chave:

Infarto do miocárdio  
Terapia trombolítica  
Angioplastia

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rbc.2015.05.002>

\* Corresponding author: Rua Botucatu, 720, Vila Clementino, CEP: 04023-062, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

E-mail: [cmralves@uol.com.br](mailto:cmralves@uol.com.br) (C.M.R. Alves).

Peer Review under the responsibility of Sociedade Brasileira de Hemodinâmica e Cardiologia Intervencionista.

**Resultados:** Não houve diferença significativa entre as características demográficas ou os antecedentes clínicos dos grupos. O grupo ICP de resgate apresentou valores significativamente maiores dos escores avaliados. Complicações clínicas hospitalares e mortalidade (2,5% vs. 22,0%;  $p < 0,0001$ ) foram mais frequentes no grupo ICP de resgate. As variáveis independentes associadas à ICP de resgate foram tempo dor-agulha  $> 3$  horas (OR 3,07; IC95% 1,64-5,75;  $p < 0,0001$ ), escore ZWOLLE (OR 1,25; IC95% 1,14-1,37;  $p = 0,0001$ ) e *clearance* de creatinina (OR 1,009; IC95% 1,0-1,02;  $p = 0,04$ ).

**Conclusões:** Mulheres com IAMCST submetidas à EFI e que necessitaram de ICP de resgate tiveram mortalidade significativamente maior quando comparadas àquelas que obtiveram sucesso inicial da EFI com ICP eletiva. Tempo dor-agulha  $> 3$  horas, escore de ZWOLLE e *clearance* de creatinina foram preditores independentes da necessidade de ICP de resgate.

© 2015 Sociedade Brasileira de Hemodinâmica e Cardiologia Intervencionista. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob a licença de CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Introduction

Although primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is the gold standard for patients with ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction (STEMI), low availability still prevents its broad use, as recommended by the most contemporary guidelines.<sup>1,2</sup> Therefore, pharmacoinvasive therapy (PIT) has shown to be a feasible and valuable option in terms of public health, with efficacy results similar to those of primary PCI in several studies, and in national and international registries.<sup>3-5</sup> In brief, PIT is the rapid application of a fibrin-specific thrombolytic therapy in primary care, followed by transfer to cardiac catheterization in 3-24 h and performance of PCI in the culprit artery, if applicable. However, its weak point is thrombolytic therapy failure in one-third of cases. In the STREAM randomized trial,<sup>4</sup> which compared PIT with primary PCI in almost 1,900 patients, rescue PCI occurred in 36% of cases.

STEMI is the leading cause of death among Western women and is already a leading cause of death among women in Brazil.<sup>6,7</sup> The authors recently analyzed mortality data and major cardiac events in women with STEMI submitted to PIT and observed mortality rates twice as high as those observed in men.<sup>8</sup> However, in the multivariate analysis, gender was not a risk factor in itself, but rather the fact that women presented more risk factors.

The present analysis compared women with STEMI submitted to PIT who achieved successful lytic reperfusion with women who required rescue PCI, identifying possible predictors of thrombolytic therapy failure.

## Methods

From January 2010 to November 2014, 1,261 patients were prospectively included in the Sao Paulo ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI) Registry, as specified in a previously published protocol<sup>3</sup> and also in clinicaltrials.org NCT 02090712. In this registry, patients with STEMI were treated with up to 12 h of evolution using preferably primary PCI, but performing PIT if PCI was not available. Of these, 327 women (26% of the cohort) were treated with PIT and early elective catheterization (PIT,  $n = 206$ ) or rescue PCI after failed thrombolysis (rescue PCI,  $n = 121$ ). PIT success was defined as systematic cardiac catheterization and elective PCI, if necessary, performed 3 to 24 hours after thrombolytic use. The criteria to define reperfusion failure were persistent chest pain in pre-thrombolysis levels, and persistent ST-segment elevation  $> 50\%$  of the original elevation or early relapse or symptom worsening, with or without hemodynamic instability. These two groups were compared for demographic variables, clinical outcomes (mortality at catheterization and in-hospital mortality), pain-to-needle and door-to-needle time, risk scores (TIMI, GRACE, ZWOLLE, CADILLAC),<sup>9,10</sup> risk of bleeding (CRUSADE),<sup>11</sup> and complications such as congestive

heart failure (CHF), cardiogenic shock, total atrioventricular block (TAVB), major and minor bleeding, and stroke. Left ventricular ejection fraction was obtained in the echocardiographic assessment performed within the first 48 hours.

## Definitions

Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) flow and myocardial blush were assessed as previously reported.<sup>12,13</sup> Creatinine clearance was estimated according to the Cockcroft-Gault formula.<sup>14</sup> Renal failure was defined as the presence of creatinine clearance estimated at  $< 60$  mL/min. Bleeding severity was established according to the Bleeding Academic Research Consortium (BARC) criteria.<sup>15</sup> Patients considered as having major bleeding were those with BARC  $\geq 3$ ; minor bleeding, those with BARC  $< 3$ . Death during catheterization was defined as death that occurred in the hemodynamics laboratory, during the index procedure.

## Statistical analysis

Data were prospectively stored in an Excel™ spreadsheet (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, USA) and submitted to statistical analysis using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS), version 22.0. Continuous variables were expressed as means and standard deviations, and categorical variables as absolute numbers and percentages. Categorical variables were compared using Pearson's chi-squared test, while numerical variables with normal distribution were compared using Student's *t*-test or the Mann-Whitney test, when applicable. Moreover, stepwise logistic regression was performed to evaluate independent predictors of rescue PCI. Statistically significant variables in the univariate analysis were included in the regression, in addition to those considered important as rescue PCI predictors, such as pain-to-needle and door-to-needle time. Interactions between the several risk scores, age, and renal failure were corrected. *P*-values  $< 0.05$  were considered as statistically significant.

## Results

The rate of need for rescue PCI in this analysis was 37.0%. Age in the overall group ranged from 24 to 86 years, with a mean of  $59.9 \pm 11.9$  years. There were no significant differences in any demographic variable or clinical history between the two groups (Table 1).

On admission, mean blood pressure and heart rate ( $76.5 \pm 15$  bpm vs.  $78 \pm 21$  bpm;  $p = 0.36$ ) were similar; however, patients from the rescue PCI group showed lower systolic blood pressure ( $132.8 \pm 24.6$  mmHg vs.  $126 \pm 31$  mmHg,  $p = 0.03$ ). Mean door-to-needle time ( $1.9 \pm 2.0$  hours vs.  $2.0 \pm 3.0$  hours;  $p = 0.82$ ) and pain-to-needle time ( $8.3 \pm 13.6$  hours vs.  $7.9 \pm 16.7$  hours,  $p = 0.85$ ) were also the same in both groups. Mean time between the onset of thrombolysis and coronary

angiography was  $18.6 \pm 17.0$  hours in PIT group vs.  $7.3 \pm 6.5$  hours in the rescue PCI group ( $p < 0.001$ ).

Regarding the mortality risk scores (TIMI, GRACE, ZWOLLE and CADILLAC) or bleeding score (CRUSADE), significantly higher values were observed in the rescue PCI group (Table 2).

The mean left ventricular ejection fraction was  $53.0 \pm 11.5\%$  in the PIT group vs.  $47.4 \pm 10.7\%$  in the rescue PCI group ( $p < 0.0001$ ). As for the distribution of culprit arteries, no significant difference was found in the incidence of lesions occurring in the territory of the left anterior descending artery (31% vs. 42.5%), left circumflex artery (11% vs. 6.5%), or right coronary artery (52% vs. 39%) between the PIT and rescue PCI groups, respectively ( $p = 0.35$ ).

During the in-hospital evolution, severe complications of AMI were significantly more frequent in patients from the rescue PCI group (Table 3). Only one patient in each group had a hemorrhagic stroke.

Table 4 shows the initial and final flow angiographic variables, demonstrating a significant reduction in procedural success in the rescue PCI group (reduction in TIMI 2 or 3 frequency at the end of the procedure and of myocardial blush flow 2 or 3).

In-hospital mortality (all-cause) occurred in 2.5% of the PIT group and in 22% of the rescue PCI group ( $p < 0.0001$ ), with a trend for higher mortality related to catheterization.

Independent variables associated with the need for rescue PCI were pain-to-needle time  $> 3$  hours, with an odds ratio of 3.07 (95%CI: 1.645–5.751;  $p < 0.0001$ ), ZWOLLE score, with an odds ratio of 1.25 (95%CI: 1.139–1.370;  $p = 0.0001$ ), and creatinine clearance, with an odds ratio of 1.009 (95%CI: 1.0–1.019;  $p = 0.04$ ).

## Discussion

This study, which included 327 women submitted to PIT, showed that the population in need of rescue PCI had a higher number of adverse events and significantly higher number of deaths than the population submitted to clinically successful PIT. Although these

**Table 1**  
Demographic and clinical data.

Variable	PIT (n = 206)	Rescue PCI (n = 121)	p-value
Age, years	$59.6 \pm 11.5$	$60.4 \pm 12.6$	0.52
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$26.3 \pm 4.9$	$26.7 \pm 5.5$	0.44
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	74 (35.9)	45 (37.2)	0.81
Arterial hypertension, n (%)	145 (70.4)	88 (73.3)	0.57
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	128 (62.4)	82 (68.3)	0.28
Smoking, n (%)	129 (63.2)	74 (61.7)	0.77
Family history of CAD, n (%)	58 (28.2)	35 (29.2)	0.84
Previous myocardial infarction, n (%)	17 (8.3)	12 (10.0)	0.59
Previous stroke, n (%)	12 (5.8)	7 (5.8)	0.99
Previous CABG, n (%)	7 (3.4)	3 (2.5)	0.38
Obesity, n (%)	50 (24.3)	32 (26.7)	0.63
Alcohol consumption, n (%)	9 (4.4)	6 (5.0)	0.80
Peripheral vascular disease, n (%)	10 (4.9)	11 (9.2)	0.12
Chronic renal failure, n (%)	23 (11.2)	17 (14.0)	0.44

PIT: pharmacoinvasive therapy; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; CAD: coronary artery disease; CABG: coronary artery bypass graft.

**Table 2**  
Comparison of mean values of risk scores between groups.

Variable	PIT (n = 206)	Rescue PCI (n = 121)	p-value
TIMI score	$3.8 \pm 2.2$	$5.2 \pm 2.3$	$< 0.0001$
GRACE score	$142 \pm 32$	$171 \pm 48.6$	$< 0.0001$
ZWOLLE score	$3.4 \pm 3$	$6.6 \pm 4.8$	$< 0.0001$
CADILLAC score	$3.2 \pm 2.8$	$4.5 \pm 3.7$	0.003
CRUSADE score	$33.3 \pm 13$	$37.8 \pm 14.1$	0.004

PIT: pharmacoinvasive therapy; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention.

**Table 3**  
In-hospital clinical evolution.

Outcome	PIT (n = 206)	Rescue PCI (n = 121)	p-value
In-hospital death, n (%)	5 (2.4)	27 (22.3)	$< 0.0001$
Death during catheterization, n (%)	2 (1.0)	5 (4.1)	0.06
CHF, n (%)	35 (17.0)	60 (49.6)	$< 0.0001$
Cardiogenic shock, n (%)	8 (3.9)	34 (28.3)	$< 0.0001$
Total atrioventricular block, n (%)	7 (3.4)	22 (18.2)	$< 0.0001$
Intra-aortic balloon, n (%)	4 (1.9)	25 (20.7)	$< 0.0001$
Major bleeding, n (%)	5 (2.4)	10 (8.3)	0.02
Minor bleeding, n (%)	9 (4.4)	3 (2.5)	0.38

PIT: pharmacoinvasive therapy; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; CHF: congestive heart failure.

**Table 4**  
Comparison of TIMI flow and myocardial blush pre- and post-percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

Variable	PIT (n = 206)	Rescue PCI (n = 121)	p-value
TIMI pre-PCI 0 or 1, n (%)	28 (13.9)	68 (56.7)	$< 0.0001$
TIMI post-PCI 2 or 3, n (%)	183 (92.9)	100 (85.5)	$< 0.03$
Blush pre-PCI 0 or 1, n (%)	55 (27.2)	85 (70.8)	$< 0.0001$
Blush post-PCI 2 or 3, n (%)	168 (85.3)	62 (53)	$< 0.0001$

PIT: pharmacoinvasive therapy.

data are known in overall post-thrombolysis treatment, this is one of the first reports in a population submitted to PIT, confirming that the results can be superimposed to those of the population treated without PIT.

The low representation of women in several studies on coronary artery disease hinders the use of conclusions obtained from these studies in the treatment of this important segment of the population. Therefore, the analysis of large registries, such as the present, which included all patients receiving treatment after clinical indication (all-comers), helps make better use of resources and treatments, as well as understanding possible treatment failure mechanisms.

Although widely known as a population at high risk of death during STEMI, when adjusted for age and comorbidities, the importance of the female gender as a risk factor for mortality is still debatable.<sup>16</sup> The risk reduction provided by thrombolysis in women with STEMI is also lower than in men,<sup>17,18</sup> which may be due to older age at presentation, delay in seeking medical care and the emergency room, and more difficult diagnosis when compared to men.<sup>19</sup>

The present study's rate for rescue PCI was 37%. In the STREAM trial, the most important comparison study between PIT and primary PCI, 1,892 patients were randomized with up to 3 hours after myocardial infarction onset. For the combined endpoint of death/shock/CHF or reinfarction at 30 days, the groups had similar results. In that study, although with much shorter time until treatment than the present study, the rate for rescue PCI was 36.3%. In the NCDR<sup>TM</sup> registry, 41.5% of patients receiving fibrinolysis required rescue PCI.<sup>20</sup>

With transfer times that remain long between the primary hospital and the tertiary center, which are characteristic of the authors' experience, the present study's rescue PCI rate might include possible cases of early reocclusion. However, of more concern is the possibility that this similarity in the need for rescue PCI among this population and that in literature represents a diagnostic underestimation that must be explored.

In general, as shown above, the present study's rate of bleeding/vascular complications is low and comparable to that in literature (4.6%),<sup>21</sup> especially when considering the procedures performed via femoral artery only. Similar to other experiences, the present population had a moderate risk of bleeding based on the CRUSADE score and the frequency of bleeding was correctly predicted.<sup>22</sup> However, this score has not been adequately tested for this scenario (PIT and

rescue PCI). The higher frequency of major bleeding in the rescue PCI group (2.4% vs. 8.3%) may be secondary to multiple mechanisms, such as earlier catheterization, longer and more complex procedures, or more frequent use of antithrombotic drugs (data not reported here). The similar frequency of major bleeding when comparing primary PCI and PIT has already been established,<sup>4</sup> but the increased risk associated with the need for rescue PCI has been reported in another large registry, reaching 13%.<sup>20</sup>

In a previous publication from the authors' experience, with a population of 469 patients, including 140 women, female patients showed higher mortality than males (9.3% vs. 4.9%;  $p = 0.07$ ), but gender was not a predictor of death or major adverse events in the multivariate analysis, with the difference in the incidence of death due to more frequent comorbidities in women.<sup>8</sup> In the present study, it was observed that the group undergoing thrombolysis without success and submitted to rescue PCI had a demographic and clinical profile similar to that of women with clinically successful reperfusion. Both groups were characterized by the high frequency of known risk markers, such as diabetes, renal failure, and previous coronary heart disease (infarction or myocardial revascularization). The present population also had a higher risk profile than that in literature.<sup>4,23</sup> In the authors' overall experience, including both genders, total mortality for PIT is approximately 6%,<sup>8,24</sup> and was 9.7% in the group of women included here. Mortality rates below 5% were observed in the STREAM and in the CAPTIM studies, which randomized patients with up to 3 hours and 6 hours of evolution, respectively.<sup>4,23</sup> Particularly in the PIT group, consisting only of women with successful thrombolysis and early PCI, a mortality rate of 2.5% was observed, which reinforces this approach for a large portion of these patients. Associated with the finding of ischemia time longer than 3 hours as an independent predictor of reperfusion failure (OR: 3.07, 95%CI: 1.645 - 5.751;  $p < 0.0001$ ), it also demonstrates the need for policies directed at the early use of thrombolytic agents, preferably in the emergency units, outpatient clinics, or pre-hospital admission emergency rooms or ambulances, because by increasing the rates of successful lytic reperfusion and preserving viable myocardium, surely there will be a decrease in mortality.

In addition to time of ischemia and presence of renal dysfunction, the latter a known predictor of mortality and complications in cardiovascular disease, the ZWOLLE score was a predictor of rescue PCI. The finding of higher risk scores in women compared to men is well known.<sup>8,25</sup> The ZWOLLE score, a validated scoring system for identifying low-risk patients after primary PCI who would be eligible for early discharge,<sup>9</sup> was a predictor of unsuccessful thrombolysis in the group of female individuals. As one of the score components includes time of ischemia, perhaps this was the main score component that influenced its importance in this population. It is considered that patients with scores  $\leq 3$  would be subject to early discharge after primary PCI; even considering a high-risk population, as shown here, the PIT group score was very close to this value, suggesting that this index also deserves validation in the group of post-thrombolysis patients.

Unlike the international experience of randomized studies,<sup>1,2</sup> this registry still observed that the pain-to-needle and door-to-needle times were high and represented a significant limitation for further improvement of results. However, they were also the expression of the public health care reality in a large urban city in Brazil.

Indicators of reperfusion after thrombolytic therapy are not lower in female than in male patients. In a large angiographic review of patients enrolled in four studies of the TIMI group, including 2,596 patients, the degree of myocardial perfusion (blush) and the corrected TIMI frame count were similar between the genders<sup>25</sup> after thrombolysis and after PCI. The authors concluded that differences in reperfusion did not account for the difference in mortality. In the present study, 71% of patients with rescue PCI showed initial blush of 0 or 1 (consistent with a diagnosis of unsuccessful thrombolysis) and

in 47%, this abnormal flow was maintained after PCI, although the rate of TIMI 2 or 3 and the absence of residual lesion (successful PCI) were present in 85.5%. In those receiving late treatment, such as the present patients, the rate of inadequate reperfusion was, therefore, higher and more evident.

### Limitations

As in any retrospective analysis, the data were subject to varying confounders, which can alter the results. The differences regarding patterns of medication use were not analyzed, which could certainly affect reperfusion results. No detailed data on the angiographic analysis, location, and complexity of the culprit lesion were supplied in this publication.

### Conclusions

This study demonstrated that female patients submitted to rescue percutaneous coronary intervention showed high frequency of heart failure and cardiogenic shock, and that bleeding rates were higher than those observed in the overall population. The degree of myocardial perfusion (blush) was significantly reduced in patients after rescue percutaneous coronary intervention. As previously demonstrated by this group, the occurrence of inadequate reperfusion is an important predictor of death and, here, it may have been determinant of mortality rates in the percutaneous coronary intervention rescue group, which was almost ten-fold higher than the mortality rate observed in the successful pharmacoinvasive therapy group. It is speculated that this inadequate reperfusion may be related to long pain-to-needle time and pain-to-rescue PCI time.

### Funding sources

None declared.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

### References

1. Task Force on the management of ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC); Steg PG, James SK, Atar D, Badano LP, Blömstrom-Lundqvist C, et al. ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation. *Eur Heart J*. 2012;33(20):2569-619.
2. American College of Emergency Physicians; Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions; O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, Casey DE Jr., et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61(4):e78-140.
3. Caluza ACV, Barbosa AH, Gonçalves I, Oliveira CAL de, Matos LN de, Zeefried C, et al. ST-Elevation myocardial infarction network: systematization in 205 cases reduced clinical events in the public health care system. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2012;99(5):1040-8.
4. Armstrong PW, Gershlick AH, Goldstein P, Wilcox R, Danays T, Lambert Y, et al. Fibrinolysis or primary PCI in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368(15):1379-87.
5. Danchin N, Puymirat E, Steg PG, Goldstein P, Schiele F, Belle L, et al. Five-year survival in patients with ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction according to modalities of reperfusion therapy: the French Registry on Acute ST-Elevation and Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (FAST-MI) 2005 Cohort. *Circulation*. 2014;129(16):1629-36.
6. Panchoy SB, Shantha GPS, Patel T, Cheskin LJ. Sex differences in short-term and long-term all-cause mortality among patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction treated by primary percutaneous intervention: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2014;174(1):1822-30.

7. Departamento de Informática do Sistema Único de Saúde (DATASUS). Mortalidade - Brasil [Internet]. Brasília, DF: Ministério da Saúde; s/d [cited 2015 Mar 9]. Available from: <http://tabnet.datasus.gov.br/cgi/tabcgi.exe?sim/cnv/obt10uf.def>
8. Lanaro E, Caixeta A, Soares JA, Alves CMR, Barbosa AHP, Souza JAM, et al. Influence of gender on the risk of death and adverse events in patients with acute myocardial infarction undergoing pharmacoinvasive strategy. *J Thromb Thrombolysis*. 2014;38(4):510-6.
9. De Luca G, Suryapranata H, van 't Hof AW, de Boer MJ, Hoorntje JC, Dambrink JH, et al. Prognostic assessment of patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with primary angioplasty: implications for early discharge. *Circulation*. 2004;109(22):2737-43.
10. Elbarouni B, Goodman SG, Yan RT, Welsh RC, Kornder JM, Deyoung JP, et al.; Canadian Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE/GRACE(2)) Investigators. Validation of the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Event (GRACE) risk score for in-hospital mortality in patients with acute coronary syndrome in Canada. *Am Heart J*. 2009;158(3):392-9.
11. Subherwal S, Bach RG, Chen AY, Gage BF, Rao S V, Newby LK, et al. Baseline risk of major bleeding in non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction: the CRUSADE (Can Rapid risk stratification of Unstable angina patients Suppress ADverse outcomes with Early implementation of the ACC/AHA Guidelines) Bleeding Score. *Circulation*. 2009;119(14):1873-82.
12. The Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) trial. Phase I findings. TIMI Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1985;312(14):932-6.
13. Gibson CM, Cannon CP, Murphy SA, Ryan KA, Mesley R, Marble SJ, et al. Relationship of TIMI myocardial perfusion grade to mortality after administration of thrombolytic drugs. *Circulation*. 2000;101(2):125-30.
14. Cockcroft DW, Gault MH. Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. *Nephron*. 1976;16(1):31-41.
15. Mehran R, Rao SV, Bhatt DL, Gibson CM, Caixeta A, Eikelboom J, et al. Standardized bleeding definitions for cardiovascular clinical trials: a consensus report from the Bleeding Academic Research Consortium. *Circulation*. 2011;123(23):2736-47.
16. Mallik S, Vaccarino V. Outcomes of thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction in women. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 2004;47(1):58-71.
17. Bhan V, Cantor WJ, Yan RT, Mehta SR, Morrison LJ, Heffernan M, et al. Efficacy of early invasive management post-fibrinolysis in men versus women with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a subgroup analysis from Trial of Routine Angioplasty and Stenting after Fibrinolysis to Enhance Reperfusion in Acute Myocardial Infarction (TRANSFER-AMI). *Am Heart J*. 2012;164(3):343-50.
18. Stone GW, Grines CL, Browne KF, Marco J, Rothbaum D, O'Keefe J, et al. Comparison of in-hospital outcome in men versus women treated by either thrombolytic therapy or primary coronary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 1995;75(15):987-92.
19. White HD, Barbash GI, Modan M, Simes J, Diaz R, Hampton JR, et al. After correcting for worse baseline characteristics, women treated with thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction have the same mortality and morbidity as men except for a higher incidence of hemorrhagic stroke. The Investigators of the International Tissue Plasminogen Activator/Streptokinase Mortality Study. *Circulation*. 1993;88(5 Pt 1):2097-103.
20. Vora AN, Holmes DN, Rokos I, Roe MT, Granger CB, French WJ, et al. Fibrinolysis use among patients requiring interhospital transfer for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction care: a report from the US National Cardiovascular Data Registry. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2014;175(2):207-15.
21. Gomes Jr. MPM, Falcão FJA, Alves CMR, Sousa JMA, Herrmann JL, Moreno ACC, et al. Complicações vasculares em pacientes submetidos a intervenção coronária percutânea precoce por via femoral após fibrinólise com tenecteplase. Registro de 199 pacientes. *Rev Bras Cardiol Invasiva*. 2012;20(3):274-81.
22. Ariza-Solé A, Sánchez-Elvira G, Sánchez-Salado JC, Lorente-Tordera V, Salazar-Mendiguchía J, Sánchez-Prieto R, et al. CRUSADE bleeding risk score validation for ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Thromb Res*. 2013;132(6):652-8.
23. Bonnefoy E, Steg PG, Boutitie F, Dubien PY, Lapostolle F, Roncalli J, et al. Comparison of primary angioplasty and pre-hospital fibrinolysis in acute myocardial infarction (CAPTIM) trial: a 5-year follow-up. *Eur Heart J*. 2009;30(13):1598-606.
24. Falcão FJ, Alves CM, Barbosa AH, Caixeta A, Sousa JM, Souza JA, et al. Predictors of in-hospital mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing pharmacoinvasive treatment. *Clinics*. 2013;68(12):1516-20.
25. Murphy SA, Chen C, Cannon CP, Antman EM, Gibson CM. Impact of gender on angiographic and clinical outcomes after fibrinolytic therapy in acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2002;90(7):766-70.