Genetic Diversity of *Musa balbisiana* Colla in Indonesia Based on AFLP Marker

FAJARUDIN AHMAD^{1,2}, RITA MEGIA^{1*}, YUYU SURYASARI POERBA²

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematic and Natural Science, Bogor Agricultural University, Darmaga Campus, Bogor 16680, Indonesia ²Research Center for Biology, Indonesia Institute of Science (LIPI), Jalan Raya Jakarta Bogor Km. 46, Cibinong 16911, Indonesia

Received June 24, 2013/Accepted December 30, 2013

Musa balbisiana Colla, known as Pisang Klutuk/Pisang Batu, is important for banana breeding program due to its B genome and often considered to be resistant to pest, disease and drought. Banana is a big and tall herb up to 7-9 m in height. The study was conducted to assess genetic diversity of 21 accessions of *M. balbisiana* cultivars/ varieties from Indonesia based on Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP) markers in order to provide basic information to support banana breeding program. Analyses of similarity index of Nei and Li and clustering based on UPGMA was conducted using NTSYS program. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was conducted by MINITAB 14. Twenty two primer pairs of AFLP markers produced 485 AFLP bands varying from 51-3206 bp in size and 46.18% of the AFLP bands were polymorphic. Genetic diversity among the 21 accessions of *M. balbisiana* was 13.8%. The genetic diversity of wild *M. balbisiana* was 12.9%, higher than the genetic diversity of cultivated *M. balbisiana* and cultivated *M. balbisiana* could not be separated into different clusters. Both cluster analysis and PCA produced the same three groups of the accessions. The PCA analyses showed that 17 AFLP bands were responsible for the grouping.

Keywords: amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), Musa balbisiana Colla, genetic diversity, Indonesia

INTRODUCTION

Recent banana genetic diversity is a result of adaptation and domestication process during thousand of years. Center of origin and center of banana biodiversity is in South Asia and South East Asia. Indonesia, as one of the centers of banana biodiversity, holds precious source of genetic resources which is important for developing banana breeding program to fulfill food demand.

Musa balbisiana Colla is one progenitor of cultivated banana which contains B genome with a basic chromosome number is 2n = 22 (Davey *et al.* 2013). *M. balbisiana* and *M. acuminata* (A genome) formed triploid hybrid (AAB, ABB) and tetraploid hybrid (AAAB, AABB, ABBB) (Teo *et al.* 2005). B genome corresponds to abiotic stress or drought tolerant (Liu *et al.* 2010; Vanhove *et al.* 2012), vigour (Tripathi & Tripathi 2009) and have demonstrated resistance to *Xanthomonas* (Tripathi *et al.* 2007; Kumakech *et al.* 2013).

Taxonomically, *Musa balbisiana* Colla is classified into genus *Musa* under section *Musa* (Hakkinen 2013). In World Checklist of Selected Plant Families (http://apps.kew.org/wcsp/qsearch.

*Corresponding author. Phone/Fax: +62-251-8622833, E-mail: ritamegia@cbn.net.id do) *M. balbisiana* was classified into seven varieties. Unfortunately, there is no taxonomy study that classified Indonesian *M. balbisiana* into any variety. The variability of Indonesian *M. balbisiana* is mainly found on pigmentation of the pseudostem. 'Pisang Klutuk Wulung/Klutuk Hitam' has stronger pigmentation, with a black color pseudostem, than 'Pisang Klutuk Batu' and 'Pisang Klutuk Sukun'. Other variant is found on the shape and size of the bunch, such as 'Pisang Roti', and 'Pisang Pataga' which have a shorter and bigger bunch than 'Pisang Klutuk'.

In contrast to the well understood *M. acuminata*, only few genetic diversity study of *M. balbisiana* have been conducted. AFLP method has been performed to analyze *M. acuminata* and banana cultivars from Malaysia (Wong *et al.* 2001), Singapore (Loh *et al.* 2000), Egypt (El-Khishin *et al.* 2009), and Oman (Opara *et al.* 2010); *Musa* sections (Ude *et al.* 2002a); genetic diversity in *M. acuminata*, *M. balbisiana*, and their natural hybrids (Ude *et al.* 2002b); African plantains (Ude *et al.* 2003); and various wild and cultivated banana (Youssef *et al.* 2011). While for *M. balbisiana*, Wang *et al.* (2007) worked with wild population in China using AFLP marker and Uma *et al.* (2006) analyzed Indian collection using morphological and RAPD marker. In Indonesia only

Copyright © 2014 Institut Pertanian Bogor. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

a few of *M. balbisiana* accessions had been analyzed using microsatelite markers (Retnoningsih et al. 2011) and genetic diversity of this group of banana had never been examined extensively. Therefore this study was conducted to assess genetic diversity level of M. balbisiana in Indonesia using Amplified Fragment Lenght Polymorphism (AFLP) method. AFLP is a dominant molecular marker (Wang 2005) with high reproducibility and produce great quantities of data compared to RAPD, RFLP or ISSR methods (Garcia et al. 2004). The AFLP method is based on restriction of DNA using an even and frequent restriction enzyme that will produce various DNA fragment length. The DNA fragments are then ligated with oligonucleotide adapters. Subsequently, the DNA fragment is amplified selectively using primer which is designed based on adapters sequence that extend into restriction fragments sequence, so that the reproducible DNA bands are produced (Vos et al. 1995). The results of the study would be important to support Indonesian banana breeding.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Material Collection. Twenty one accessions of *M. balbisiana* was collected from Research Center for Biology Collection (Bogor), which consisted of seven accessions from West Java, two accessions from West Sumatra, two accessions from South Sulawesi, two accessions from North Sulawesi, six accessions from Yogyakarta and two accessions from Bogor Botanical Gardens (Table 1).

DNA Extraction. Total DNA was extracted from young leaves by Cetyltrimetylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Syamkumar *et al.* 2003) with modification, i.e., using 4% CTAB and addition of 0.1 g Polyvinylpirrolidone (PVP) for each reaction.

AFLP Analysis. The analysis was conducted by AFLP method (Vos *et al.* 1995) with minor modification, i.e., without radioactive labeling of primer, but by fluourescence nucleic acid dye. Twenty six primer pairs for selective amplification were selected from previous studies (Ude *et al.* 2002a; El-Khisin *et al.* 2009; Opara *et al.* 2010; Wongniam *et al.* 2010). Restriction buffer, restriction enzyme, ligation buffer, adapter, pre-selective amplification primer, pre-selective amplification PCR reagent, and selective primers were the product of Invitrogen AFLP Analysis System I kit. Selective amplification PCR reagent was the product of Promega GoTaq[®] Flexy DNA Polymerase.

Approximately 14 ng/ μ L of DNA was digested by 2.5 unit *Eco* RI/*Mse* I in restriction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, and 0.1% Triton[®] X-100) at 37 °C for 2 h. The restriction DNA fragments were ligated to *Eco* RI and *Mse* I adapters by adding 0.4 mM ATP, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM Mgacetate, 50 mM K-acetate, 4 μ L/mL T4 DNA ligase, 1 mM DTT, 50 mM KCl, *Eco* RI adapter and *Mse* I adapter, the mixture were incubated at 20 °C for 2 h. The product of the reaction was diluted 1:10 by dissolving the ligation mix in TE buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.1 mM EDTA]. Pre-selective

| Accession | Cultivar/variety of Musa balbisiana | Origin | Note |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| KLT-JG1 | Klutuk | Yogyakarta* | Cultivated |
| KLT-JG2 | Klutuk | Yogyakarta* | Cultivated |
| KLT-JB | Klutuk | West Java | Cultivated |
| KS-JG1 | Klutuk Sukun | Yogyakarta * | Cultivated |
| KS-JG2 | Klutuk Sukun | Yogyakarta * | Cultivated |
| UB-SS1 | Unti Batu | South Sulawesi | Cultivated |
| UB-SS2 | Unti Batu | South Sulawesi | Cultivated |
| CM-JB1 | Cau Manggala | West Java | Cultivated |
| CM-JB2 | Cau Manggala | West Java | Cultivated |
| KW-JG1 | Klutuk Wulung | Yogyakarta * | Cultivated |
| KW-JG2 | Klutuk Wulung | Yogyakarta * | Cultivated |
| KW-JB1 | Klutuk Wulung | West Java | Cultivated |
| KW-JB2 | Klutuk Wulung | West Java | Cultivated |
| KH-JB1 | Klutuk Hitam | West Java | Cultivated |
| KH-JB2 | Klutuk Hitam | West Java | Cultivated |
| PR-SB1 | Pisang Roti | West Sumatera | Wild |
| PR-SB2 | Pisang Roti | West Sumatera | Wild |
| PAT-SU1 | Pisang Pataga | North Sulawesi | Wild |
| PAT-SU2 | Pisang Pataga | North Sulawesi | Wild |
| LIU-JP1 | var. liukiuensis (Matsum.) Hakkinen | Japan ** | Wild |
| LIU-JP2 | var. liukiuensis (Matsum.) Hakkinen | Japan** | Wild |

Table 1. Musa balbisiana Colla accession used for the study

*Collection of Cibinong Science Center, **Collection of Bogor Botanical Garden.

amplification was prepared by mixing 2.5 µL diluted ligation mix, 20 µL pre-amplification primer mix (contain primers Eco RI+A and Mse I+C), 0.5 µL Taq DNA polymerase (5 unit/ μ L) and 2.5 μ L 10x PCR buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 15 mM MgCl₂, 500 mM KCl). PCR was conducted at 24 cycles at: 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 60 s, and 72 °C for 60 s. The pre-selective amplification product was diluted 1:50 with TE buffer. Two and half micro liters pre-selective amplification product were used for selective amplification in a PCR tube containing 2.78 ng/µL selective primer Eco RI+NNN/Mse I+NNN primer, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 1.5 mM MgCl, 50 mM KCl, 0.2 mM dNTP and 0.5 µL Tag polymerase (5 unit/ μ L). This reaction was done at three sections PCR condition. First section was done at one cycle at 94 °C for 30 s, 65 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 60 s. Second section was done by lowering annealing temperature of 0.7 °C for each cycle during 12 cycles (a touchdown phase of 13 cycles). Last section was done at 23 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 60 s.

Visualization of AFLP Bands. Electrophoresis was carried out on 3.5% non-denaturized acryl amide using ATTO mini gel apparatus. The electrophoresis was run at 85 volt for 80 minutes. AFLP band was stained using 1X Gel RedTM staining solution (Biotium) for 30 minutes. AFLP band was observed above UV light and photographed in a gel documentation system (ATTO).

Data Analysis. Only clear and visible AFLP bands were selected and scored for presence (1) and absence (0) of a band using densitograph ATTO CS Analyzer Ver. 2.08c in level 4 of sensitivity setting. Bands size was calculated based on 100 bp plus (Fermentas) DNA marker by spline curve of the densitograph. Clustering analysis was performed under unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA) based on Nei and Li (1979), dissimilarity index using NTSYS (Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis System) program version 2.02 (Rohlf 1998). Genetic distance was analyzed by Popgene 1.32 (Yeh et al. 1997) based on Nei's unbiased measures of genetic identity and genetic distance (Nei 1978). Genetic diversity value was calculated by the difference between the highest dissimilarity index and the lowest dissimilarity index. Principal Component Analysis was performed by Minitab 14 (Minitab 2003).

RESULTS

AFLP Bands. Twenty two out of 26 AFLP primer pairs produced clear AFLP bands and these were used to analyze the genetic diversity of 21 accessions of *M*. *balbisiana* (Table 2). A total of 485 AFLP bands size ranging from 51-3206 bp were scored and 224 bands (46.18%) were polymorphic. The highest number of AFLP band (31 bands) was produced by primer pair 13 (E-AGC/M-CAC), while the least amount of

Table 2. Total number and size of obtained AFLP band

| Primer pair | Number of band | Polymorphic band | Polymorphic band (%) | Size (bp) |
|--------------------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| E-AAC/M-CTC ^a | 29 | 18 | 62.07 | 153-3206 |
| E-AAG/M-CTA ^a | 29 | 20 | 68.97 | 70-3043 |
| E-ACG/M-CAA ^a | 22 | 12 | 54.55 | 110-2937 |
| E-AGC/M-CTT ^a | 19 | 6 | 31.58 | 85-1274 |
| E-AGG/M-CTA ^a | 21 | 6 | 28.57 | 110-1745 |
| E-AAC/M-CAG ^b | 25 | 16 | 64.00 | 92-1476 |
| E-ACA/M-CTG ^b | 15 | 12 | 80.00 | 61-2449 |
| E-ACC/M-CTT ^b | 20 | 14 | 70.00 | 55-1274 |
| E-ACG/M-CTA ^b | 16 | 9 | 56.25 | 71-1367 |
| E-ACT/M-CAT ^b | 19 | 9 | 47.37 | 56-1269 |
| E-ACT/M-CTC ^b | 14 | 7 | 50.00 | 57-537 |
| E-ACT/M-CTG ^c | 25 | 12 | 48.00 | 51-2860 |
| E-AGC/M-CAC ^c | 31 | 4 | 12.90 | 64-1544 |
| E-AGC/M-CTT° | 23 | 10 | 43.48 | 79-1236 |
| E-ACC/M-CTA ^d | 22 | 12 | 54.55 | 92-1259 |
| E-ACG/M-CTC ^d | 18 | 7 | 38.89 | 97-1342 |
| E-ACT/M-CAT ^d | 25 | 9 | 36.00 | 82-1478 |
| E-ACC/M-CAT ^{b,c} | 23 | 7 | 30.43 | 72-1200 |
| E-AGG/M-CTT ^{c,d} | 25 | 0 | 0.00 | 105-2804 |
| E-AAC/M-CAA ^{b,c,d} | 24 | 14 | 58.33 | 85-1385 |
| E-AAG/M-CAC ^{b,c,d} | 21 | 11 | 52.38 | 119-1308 |
| E-ACA/M-CAG ^{a,b,c,d} | 19 | 9 | 47.37 | 87-1137 |
| Total | 485 | 224 | 46.19 | |

^a: Ude et al. (2002a), ^b: Opara et al. (2010), ^c: El-Khisin et al. (2009), ^d: Wongniam et al. (2010).

| | LIU- JP2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
|------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | LIU- JP1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.042 |
| | PAT- SU2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.061 | 0.072 |
| | PAT- SU1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.023 | 0.060 | 0.074 |
| | PR- SB2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.089 | 0.092 | 0.109 | 0.104 |
| | PR- SB1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.066 | 0.127 | 0.124 | 0.152 | 0.144 |
| | KH- JB2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.131 | 0.088 | 0.040 | 0.034 | 0.065 | 0.073 |
| | KH- JB1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.027 | 0.134 | 0.089 | 0.039 | 0.038 | 0.051 | 0.067 |
| | KW- JB2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.102 | 0.104 | 0.152 | 0.121 | 0.099 | 0.114 | 0.123 | 0.109 |
| ша | KW- JB1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.070 | 0.107 | 0.109 | 0.142 | 0.129 | 0.102 | 0.105 | 0.128 | 0.112 |
| . balbisia | KW- JG2 | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.102 | 0.109 | 0.042 | 0.046 | 0.132 | 0.101 | 0.060 | 0.045 | 0.063 | 0.074 |
| ons of M | KW- JG1 | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.022 | 0.113 | 0.108 | 0.036 | 0.047 | 0.143 | 0.097 | 0.057 | 0.050 | 0.064 | 0.072 |
| l accessi | CM- JB2 | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.033 | 0.034 | 0.108 | 0.105 | 0.029 | 0.045 | 0.133 | 0.090 | 0.054 | 0.048 | 0.057 | 0.060 |
| oairs in 2 | CM- JB1 | | | | | | | | 0 | 0.026 | 0.038 | 0.034 | 0.096 | 0.099 | 0.037 | 0.043 | 0.129 | 0.086 | 0.050 | 0.051 | 0.063 | 0.066 |
| primer p | UB- SS2 | | | | | | | 0 | 0.061 | 0.063 | 0.075 | 0.069 | 0.099 | 0.101 | 0.062 | 0.058 | 0.130 | 0.093 | 0.056 | 0.057 | 0.084 | 0.078 |
| 22 AFLF | UB- SS1 | | | | | | 0 | 0.036 | 0.047 | 0.051 | 0.053 | 0.055 | 0.098 | 0.093 | 0.048 | 0.044 | 0.132 | 0.090 | 0.040 | 0.048 | 0.072 | 0.070 |
| based on | KS- JG2 | | | | | 0 | 0.06 | 0.074 | 0.037 | 0.030 | 0.041 | 0.050 | 0.124 | 0.118 | 0.038 | 0.044 | 0.148 | 0.096 | 0.047 | 0.045 | 0.063 | 0.074 |
| i (1979) i | KS- JG1 | | | | 0 | 0.017 | 0.056 | 0.077 | 0.040 | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.048 | 0.129 | 0.119 | 0.043 | 0.043 | 0.149 | 0.101 | 0.052 | 0.044 | 0.069 | 0.082 |
| Vei and L | KLT- JB | | | 0 | 0.051 | 0.052 | 0.065 | 0.082 | 0.056 | 0.058 | 0.062 | 0.064 | 0.123 | 0.113 | 0.064 | 0.072 | 0.138 | 0.100 | 0.068 | 0.064 | 0.088 | 0.079 |
| ndex of N | KLT- JG2 | | 0 | 0.035 | 0.025 | 0.029 | 0.057 | 0.078 | 0.043 | 0.036 | 0.045 | 0.049 | 0.118 | 0.113 | 0.044 | 0.050 | 0.141 | 0.100 | 0.050 | 0.044 | 0.067 | 0.076 |
| nilarity iı | KLT- JG1 | 0 | 0.014 | 0.033 | 0.029 | 0.033 | 0.061 | 0.080 | 0.048 | 0.040 | 0.047 | 0.053 | 0.126 | 0.113 | 0.049 | 0.055 | 0.145 | 0.102 | 0.055 | 0.051 | 0.072 | 0.078 |
| Table 3. Dissir. | Accession code | KLT-JG1 | KLT-JG2 | KLT-JB | KS-JG1 | KS-JG2 | UB-SS1 | UB-SS2 | CM-JB1 | CM-JB2 | KW-JG1 | KW-JG2 | KW-JB1 | KW-JB2 | KH-JB1 | KH-JB2 | PR-SB1 | PR-SB2 | PAT-SU1 | PAT-SU2 | LIU-JP1 | LIU-JP2 |

bands (14 bands) was made by primer pair 11. The highest percentage (80%) of polymorphic band was formed by primer pair 7 (E-ACA/M-CTG), while primer pair 19 (E-AGG/M-CTT) did not show any polymorphic band.

Genetic Diversity of 21 *M. balbisiana* Accessions. Dissimilarity index (Nei & Li 1979) among the 21 accessions of *M. balbisiana* ranged from 0.014 to 0.152, with 13% genetic diversity. The lowest dissimilarity index was observed between KLT-JG1 and KLT-JG2 (cv. Klutuk from Yogyakarta), while the highest dissimilarity index was observed between PR-SB1 (Pisang Roti) and KW-JB2 (cv. Klutuk Wulung from West Java), and between PR-SB1 (Pisang Roti) and LIU-JP1 (var *liukiuensis*) (Table 3). Genetic diversity of wild *M. balbisiana* accessions were 12.9%, while for the cultivated one was 11.5%.

Cluster Analysis. Clustering performed by UPGMA analysis based on Nei and Li (1979) similarity index of 21 accessions of *M. balbisiana* generated three clusters at coefficient of 0.93 (Figure 1). Cluster I consists of cv. Klutuk from Yogyakarta and from West Java (KLT-JG1, KLT-JG2 and KLT-JB), cv. Klutuk Sukun-Yogyakarta (KS-JG1 and KS-JG2), cv. Unti Batu-South Sulawesi (UB-SS1 and UB-SS2), cv. Cau Manggala-West Java (CM-JB1 and CM-JB2), cv. Klutuk Wulung-Yogyakarta (KW-JG1 and KW-JG2), cv. Klutuk Hitam-West Java (KH-JB1 and KH-JB2), var. Pisang Pataga-North Sulawesi (PAT-SU1 and PAT-SU2) and var. *liukiuensis* from Bogor Botanical Garden (LIU-JP1 and LIU-JP2). Cluster II consists of cv. Klutuk Wulung-West Java (KW-JB1 and KW-JB2). Cluster III consists of Pisang Roti-West Sumatera (PR-SB1 and PR-SB2). Based on AFLP, the wild and cultivated accession of *M. balbisiana* did not separated into different clusters.

Principal Component Analysis. Pattern of grouping in Principal Component Analysis scatter plot was similar to the pattern of dendrogram from cluster analysis which consists of three groups, i.e., group A, B and C (Figure 2). Accessions of group A were the same to accessions in cluster II,



Figure 2. Scatter plot of principal component analysis of 21 accessions *M. balbisiana*.



Figure 1. Dendrogram of UPGMA cluster analyses based on 22 AFLP primer pairs of 21 Musa balbisiana accessions.

| Table 4 | . AFLP bands | that play | a role | in principal | component an | alysis | grouping | based | on th | ne first a | nd second | l principal | compor | nent's |
|---------|--------------|-----------|--------|--------------|--------------|--------|----------|-------|-------|------------|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|
| | highest abso | lute valu | e | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Number of primer | Drimor pairs | Size of hand (hn) | Absolute value | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Number of primer | Finner pairs | Size of balld (bp) | First principal component | Second principal component | | | | |
| 2 | E-AAG/M-CTA | 852 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 2 | E-AAG/M-CTA | 637 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 8 | E-ACC/M-CTT | 214 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 8 | E-ACC/M-CTT | 55 | 0.127 | 0.041 | | | | |
| 9 | E-ACG/M-CTA | 566 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 15 | E-ACC/M-CTA | 965 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 15 | E-ACC/M-CTA | 844 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 15 | E-ACC/M-CTA | 715 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 15 | E-ACC/M-CTA | 624 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 15 | E-ACC/M-CTA | 514 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 15 | E-ACC/M-CTA | 241 | 0.127 | 0.041 | | | | |
| 15 | E-ACC/M-CTA | 133 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 15 | E-ACC/M-CTA | 107 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 15 | E-ACC/M-CTA | 92 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 20 | E-AAC/M-CAA | 610 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 22 | E-ACA/M-CAG | 1066 | 0.060 | 0.144 | | | | |
| 22 | E-ACA/M-CAG | 756 | 0.127 | 0.041 | | | | |
| Variance (%) | | | 23.1 | 16.3 | | | | |
| Cumulative of varian | ce (%) | | 23.1 | 39.4 | | | | |

accessions of group B were the same to accessions in Cluster I and accessions in group C were the same to accessions in Cluster III.

Two principal components (PC I and PC II) consisted of 3 and 14 bands, respectively (Table 4), affected the pattern of grouping that grouped the accessions into three main clusters. PC I showed that three AFLP bands were responsible in separating Pisang Roti (PR-SB1 and PR-SB2) and cv. Klutuk Wulung from West Java (KW-JB1 and KW-JB2) from the rest of 17 *M. balbisiana* accessions into group C. These bands were 55 bp of primer pair 8 (E-ACC/M-CTT), 241 bp of primer pair15 (E-ACC/M-CTA) and 756 bp of primer pair 22 (E-ACA/M-CAG) (Table 4). PC II was component that grouped cv. Klutuk Wulung from West Java (KW-JB1 and KW-JB2) into group A. Fourteen AFLP bands are responsible for the grouping (Table 4). An AFLP band 852 bp of primer pair 2 (E-AAG/M-CTA) was possessed only by cv. Klutuk Wulung from West Java while 13 other bands were possesed by all accessions of M. balbisiana except for this cultivar.

DISCUSSION

In this study, 22 selective AFLP primer pairs produced various sizes of AFLP bands at different polymorphic levels of 21 accessions of *M. balbisiana*. The different number of AFLP bands produced by each pair of primers was due to different combinations of three adjacent bases at either 5'- or 3'-end. The highest number of band (31 bands)

resulted from primer pair 13 and the lowest number of band resulted from primer pair 11 which produced 14 bands (Table 2).

Polymorphism in AFLP occurs because DNA fragments with the same combination of three bases at 3'-end have different length compared to other accessions. In this study, primer pair 7 (E-ACA/M-CTG) was the highest polymorphic band producer (80%) while primer pair 19 (E-AGG/M-CTT) did not produce any polymorphic band.

The level of AFLP bands polymorphism in this study (13.8%) was much lower than the genetic diversity of *M. acuminata* in Malaysia which was 93% (Wong et al. 2001). El-Khishin et al. (2009) using 11 banana cultivars from Egypt detected 91.6% of polymorphism level while Opara et al. (2010) who analyzed seven cultivars in Oman using 12 primer pairs obtained 92.5% of polymorphism. The study of Youssef et al. (2011) on 40 wild and cultivated in genus Musa yielded 94% polymorphic AFLP bands. The higher polymorphism level of AFLP of banana cultivars or hybrids might occur because the banana have genomes combination of *M. acuminata* and/or with *M. balbisiana* genomes (i. e. AA, AAA, AAB, ABB, AAAB, AABB, or ABBB) (Teo et al. 2005), the structure of the genotype is more heterogeneous. Therefore, the possibility of restriction sites in the cultivars or hybrids genome was more varied.

Genetic diversity of 21 accessions *M. balbisiana* from Indonesia was 13.8% which was much lower compared to genetic diversity of *M. balbisiana* from

India (Uma *et al.* 2006) and Thailand (Wongniam *et al.* 2010). Genetic diversity of 16 accessions of *M. balbisiana* in India was 32% (Uma *et al.* 2006) while genetic diversity of 19 Thailand accessions was 33% (Wongniam *et al.* 2010). This result may support the hypothesis that the center of origin and center of diversity of *M. balbisiana* might came from South Asia and Indochina (de Langhe 2009; Aung *et al.* 2010).

Based on AFLP markers, wild M. balbisiana has slightly higher genetic diversity than the cultivated one. Although cultivated M. balbisiana has ability to produce seeds, people dominate in vegetative propagation of this banana. Vegetative propagation will influence the low level of genetic diversity (Paredas et al. 2007; Nemati et al. 2012). Selections in domesticated banana, on morphological traits, by people decrease the genetic diversity of domesticated bananas (Li et al. 2013). This explained the low dissimilarity index among the same cultivars from the same region (Tabel 3). In contrast, wild M. balbisiana, such as two accessions of Pisang Roti, which vegetatively propagated (by suckers) and generatively propagated (by seeds), have the highest dissimilarity index (0.066).

AFLP markers in this study showed genetic diversity of cultivated *M. balbisiana*. Genetic diversity among vegetatively propagated banana might happen because of mutation or the existence of transposon, and more than a third of open reading frame (ORF) in the genome are related to transposon (Heslop-Horrison & Schwarzacher 2007). Transposon will led genetic diversity without gamete recombination (Bennetzen 2005; Morgante *et al.* 2005) because of the ability of transposon in moving and inserting in chromosome.

Klutuk accessions from Yogyakarta (KLT-JG1 and KLT-JG2) had the lowest dissimilarity index (0.014) (Table 3). This could be explained because the cultivars were from the same origin (Yogyakarta) and vegetatively propagated. On the other hand, the highest dissimilarity index (0.152) was observed between wild *M. balbisiana* Pisang Roti (PR-SB1) from Sumatra and cv. Klutuk Wulung (KW-JB2) from West Java, and between wild *M. balbisiana* Pisang Roti (PR-SB1) from Sumatra and *M. balbisiana* var. *liukiuensis* (LIU-JP1) (introduced to Bogor Botanic Garden from Japan, and cultivated for more than 20 years). The pattern of clustering between accessions from same origin is clustered together (Lyngdoh *et al.* 2011).

Cluster analysis did not separate wild *M. balbisiana* and cultivated *M. balbisiana* accessions into different clusters (Figure 1). Pisang Pataga (PAT-

SU1 and PAT-SU2) and var. *liukiunensis* (LIU-JP1 and LIU-JP2) mixed with other cultivated accessions in cluster I. Meanwhile cv. Klutuk Wulung from West Java (KW-JB1 and KW-JB2) formed cluster II. The pattern of dendrogram was formed because there were no specific AFLP bands for wild or cultivated *M. balbisiana*. The absence of specific bands for wild and cultivated *M.balbisiana* probably because there has been hybridization between both *M. balbisiana*. This opinion agrees to de Langhe (2009) hypothesis who mentioned that recent *M. balbisiana* in South Asia is the result of hybridization among *M. balbisiana*.

M. balbisiana cv. Klutuk Wulung in Indonesia seems to be the most varied genetically. The cultivar from West Java (KW-JB1 and KW-JB2) was separated from Cluster I, a group of Klutuk Wulung from Yogyakarta (KW-JG1 and KW-JG2) and 15 other accessions, at a coefficient similarity of 0.93. An AFLP band of 852 bp at primer pair 2 (E-AAG/M-CTA) was the unique band possessed only by Klutuk Wulung from West Java. On the other hand, 13 other bands, possesed by Klutuk Wulung-Yogyakarta (including by all other accessions of *M. balbisiana* in cluster I), were absent in Klutuk Wulung accessions from West Java.

The pattern of grouping based on PCA was similar to the pattern based on UPGMA clustering. While PCA is unfavorable for grouping of closely related accessions, because PCA create group based by some selected character meanwhile UPGMA as hierarchical analysis (Matsen & Evans 2013) which considered to similarity of all character among each accession, the grouping using UPGMA showed more detail clustering. For example, at similarity coefficient of 0.98 (Figure 1) cv. Klutuk from Yogyakarta (KLT-JG1 and KLT-JG2) formed a subcluster despite of their slightly difference of AFLP banding characters. In PCA, these accessions were hardly grouping, instead of mixing with the other 15 accessions (Figure 2).

A benefit of PCA method is that it is the easy way to find important characters from bulky data by compressing data to smaller data that represent the grouping (Ilin & Raiko 2010), such as AFLP bands which are responsible for grouping from bulky data. This benefit was not obtained by UPGMA method. Seventeen AFLP bands that have the most important role in grouping of 21 accessions *M. balbisiana* were generated by PCA. The primer pair 15 (E-ACC/M-CTA) was the most important one since it constitutes 9 out of 17 AFLP bands of first and second principal components (Table 4). Together with primer pair 8 (E-ACC/M-CTA) and primer pair 22 (E-ACA/M- CAG) as part of the first principal component, they contribute the most in the grouping.

The study conclude that AFLP is a good method to evaluate the genetic diversity of *M. balbisiana*. Although genetic diversity of banana in Indonesia is not observed as high as in its center of diversity in South Asia, it shows that banana still develop naturally. By studying more *M. balbisiana* accessions from all parts of Indonesia it could certainly increase our knowledge about genetic diversity of this germplasm in the country. The information will not only be important in basic breeding program but also in germplasm management and conservation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

A Scholarship from Ministry of Research and Technology Scholarship Program 2010 was awarded to the first author. This research was also supported by LIPI competitive program subprogram Domestication and Measurable Utilization through a research project of "Exploration and utilization of wild *Musa acuminata* as male parent in triploid banana breeding for fusarium wilt resistance", Research Center for Biology DIPA 2012 Program under a research project of "Tubers and fruits germplasm", and Ministry of Research and Technology research program 2012.

REFERENCES

- Aung B, Kongsawadwarakul P, Somana J, Swangpol S. 2010. In search for the origin of *Musa balbisiana*-genome containing bananas using their endogenous viral sequences. *Thai J Bot* 2:215-220.
- Bennetzen JL. 2005. Transposable elements, gene creation and genome rearrangement in flowering plants. *Curr Opin Genet Devel* 15:621-627. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. gde.2005.09.010
- Davey MW, Gudimella R, Harikrishna JA, Sin LW, Khalid N, Keulemans J. 2013. A draft *Musa balbisiana* genome sequence for molecular genetics in ploidy, inter- and intraspecific *Musa* hybrids. *BMC Genomics* 14:683. http://dx.doi. org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-683
- de Langhe E. 2009. Relevance of banana seeds in archaeology. *Ethnobot Res Appl* 7:271-281.
- El-Khisin DA, Belatus EL, El-Hamid AA, Radwan KH. 2009. Molecular Characterization of Banana Cultivars (*Musa* spp.) from Egypt Using AFLP. *Res J Agric Biol Sci* 5:272-279.
- Garcia AAF, Benchimol LL, Barbosa AMM, Geraldi IO, Souza Jr CL, de Souza AP. 2004. Comparison of RAPD, RFLP, AFLP, and SSR markers for diversity studies in tropical maize inbred lines. *Genet Mol Biol* 27:579-588. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/ S1415-47572004000400019
- Hakkinen M. 2013. Reappraisal of sectional taxonomy in Musa (Musaceae). Taxon 62:809-813. http://dx.doi. org/10.12705/624.3

- Heslop-Horrison JS, Schwarzacher T. 2007. Domestication, genomic and the future for banana. *Annals Bot* 100:1073-1084. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcm191
- Ilin A, Raiko T. 2010. Practical approaches to principal component analysis in the presence of missing values. J Mach Learn Res 11:1957-2000.
- Kumakech A, Kiggundu A, Okori P. 2013. Reaction of *Musa* balbisiana to banana bacterial wilt infection. *Afr Crop Sci* J 21:337-346.
- Li LF, Wang HY, Zhang C, Wang XF, Shi FX, Chen WN, Ge XJ. 2013. Origins and domestication of cultivated banana inferred from chloroplast and nuclear genes. *PLoS One* 8:e80502. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080502
- Liu H-Y, Dai J-R, Feng D-R, Liu B, Wang H-B, Wang J-F. 2010. Characterization of a novel plantain Asr gene, MpAsr, that is regulated in response to infection of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* and abiotic stresses. *J Integr Plant Biol* 14:315-323. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2010.00912.x
- Loh JP, Kiew R, Set O, Gan LH, Gan YY. 2000. Aplified fragment length polymorphism fingerprinting of 16 banana cultivars (*Musa* cvs.). *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 17:360-366. http://dx.doi. org/10.1006/mpev.2000.0848
- Lyngdoh N, Gunaga RP, Joshi G, Vasudeva R, Ravikanth G, Shaanker RU. 2011. Influence of geographic distance and genetic dissimilarity among clones on flowering synchrony in a Teak (*Tectona grandis* Linn.) clonal seed orchad. *Silvae Genet* 61:10-17.
- Matsen FAIV, Evans SN. 2013. Edge principal components and squash clustering: using the special structure of phylogenetic placement data for sample comparison. *PLoS One* 8:e56859. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056859
- Minitab Inc. 2003. Meet Minitab Release 14. (US): Minitab Inc.
- Morgante M, Brunner S, Pea G, Fengler K, Zuccolo A, Rafalski A. 2005. Gene duplication and exon shuffling by helitronlike transposons generate intraspecies diversity in maize. *Nat Genet* 37:997-1002. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng1615
- Nei M. 1978. Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals. *Genetics* 89:583-590.
- Nei M, Li WH. 1979. Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 76:5269-5273. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.76.10.5269
- Nemati Z, Tehranifar A, Farsi M, Kakhki AM, Nemati H, Khayat M. 2012. Evaluation of genetic diversity of Iranian Pomegranate cultivars using fruit morphological characteristics and AFLP markers. *Not Bot Horti Agrobo* 40:261-268.
- Opara UL, Jacobson D, Al-Saady NA. 2010. Analysis of genetic diversity in banana cultivars (*Musa* cvs.) from the South of Oman using AFLP markers and classification by phylogenetic, hierarchical clustering and principal component analyses. *J Zhejiang Univ* 11:332-341. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1631/jzus.B0900310
- Paredas CM, Becerra VV, Gonzales AMI. 2007. Low genetic diversity among garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) accessions detected using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD). *Chilean J Agric Res* 68:3-12.
- Retnoningsih A, Megia R, Hartana A. 2011. Microsatellite markers for classifying and analysing genetic relationship between banana cultivars in Indonesia. *Acta Hort* 897:153-159.
- Rohlf FJ. 1998. *NTSYSpc: Numerical Taxonomy System, ver.* 2.20. Exeter Publishing, Ltd.: Setauket, NY.

- Syamkumar S, Lowarence B, Sasikumar B. 2003. Isolation and amplification of DNA from rhizomes of tumiric and ginger. *Plant Mol Biol Rep* 212:171a-171e. http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/BF02774243
- Teo CH, Tan SH, Ho CL, Faridah QZ, Othman YR, Heslop-Harrison JS, Kalendar R, Schulman AH. 2005. Genome constitution and classification using retrotransposon-based markers in the orphan crop banana. *J Plant Biol* 48:96-105. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF03030568
- Tripathi L, Odipio J, Tripathi JN, Tusiime G. 2007. A rapid technique for screening banana cultivars for resistance to *Xanthomonas* wilt. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 14:9-19.
- Tripathi L, Tripathi J. 2009. Relative susceptibility of banana cultivars to Xanthomonas campestris pv. musacearum. Afr J Biotechnol 14:5343-5350.
- Ude G, Pillay M, Nwakanma D, Tenkouano A. 2002a. Analysis of genetic diversity and sectional relationships in *Musa* using AFLP markers. *Theor Appl Genet* 104:1239-1245. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-001-0802-3
- Ude G, Pillay M, Nwakanma D, Tenkouano A. 2002b. Genetic diversity in *Musa acuminata* Colla and *Musa balbisiana* Colla and some of their natural hybrids using AFLP markers. *Theor Appl Genet* 104:1246-1252. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s00122-002-0914-4
- Ude G, Pillay M, Ogundiwin E, Tenkouano A. 2003. Genetic diversity in an African plantain core collection using AFLP and RAPD markers. *Theor Appl Genet* 107:248-255. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-003-1246-8
- Uma S, Siva SA, Saraswathi MS, Manickavasagam M, Durai P, Selvarajan R, Sathiamoorthy. 2006. Variation and intraspesific relationship in Indian wild *Musa balbisiana* (BB) population an evidenced by random amplified polymorphic DNA. *Genet Resources Crop Evol* 53:349-355. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-004-0576-y

Vanhove AC, Vermelen W, Panis B, Swennen R, Carpentier SC. 2012. Screening the banana biodiversity for drought tolerance: can an *in vitro* growth model and proteomics be used as a tool to discover tolerant varieties and understand homeostasis. *Front Plant Sci* 3:176. http://dx.doi. org/10.3389/fpls.2012.00176

47

- Vos P, Hogers R, Bleeker M, Reijans M ven de Lee T, Hornes M, Frijters'A, Pet J, Peleman J, Kupier M, Zabeau M. 1995. AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. *Nucleic Acids Res* 23:4407-4414. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ nar/23.21.4407
- Wang Y. 2005. Inheritance of AFLP markers and their use for genetic diversity analysis in wild and domesticated pawpaw [Asimina triloba (L.) Dunal]. J Amer Soc Hort Sci 130:561-568.
- Wang XL, Chiang T, Roux N, Hao G, Ge X. 2007. Genetic diversity of wild banana (*Musa balbisiana* Colla) in China as revealed by AFLP markers. *Genet Resources Crop Evol* 54:1125-1132. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-006-9004-9
- Wong C, Kiew R, Loj JP, Gan LH, Set O, Lee SK, Lum S, Gan YY. 2001. Genetic diversity of the wild banana *Musa* acuminata Colla in Malaysia as evidenced by AFLP. Annals Bot 88:1017-1025. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/anbo.2001.1542
- Wongniam S, Somana J, Swangpol S, Seelanan T, Chareonsap P, Chadchawan S, Jenjittikul T. 2010. Genetic diversity and species-specific PCR-Based markers from AFLP analyses of Thai bananas. *Biochem Syst Ecol* 38:416-427. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.bse.2010.03.015
- Yeh FC, Yang RC, Boyle T, Ye ZH, Mao JX. 1997. POPGENE: the user-friendly shareware for population genetic analysis. Molecular Biology and Biotechnology Centre, University of Alberta, Canada. [Available at http://www.ualberta.ca/~fyeh/
- Youssef M, James AC, Rivera-Madrid R, Ortiz R, Medrano RME. 2011. Musa Genetic Diversity Revealed by SRAP and AFLP. Mol Biotechnol 47:189-199. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s12033-010-9328-8