184 Clinical Microbiology and Infection, Volume 12 Number 2, February 2006

- Hageage GJ, Harrington B. Use of calcofluor white in clinical mycology. *Lab Med* 1984; 15: 109–112.
- Haldane DJM, Robart E. A comparison of calcofluor white, KOH and culture for the laboratory diagnosis of superficial fungal infection. *Diagn Microb Infect Dis* 1990; 13: 387– 339.
- Honig PJ, Sullivan K, McGowan KL. The rapid diagnosis of tinea capitis using calcofluor white. *Paed Emerg Care* 1996; 12: 333–335.
- Rüchel R, Scaffrinski M. Versatile fluorescent staining of fungi in clinical specimens by using the optical brightener Blankophor. J Clin Microbiol 1999; 37: 2694–2696.

RESEARCH NOTE

Rapid diagnosis of toxinogenic *Clostridium difficile* in faecal samples with internally controlled real-time PCR

R. J. van den Berg, E. J. Kuijper, L. E. S. Bruijnesteijn van Coppenraet and E. C. J. Claas

Department of Medical Microbiology, Center of Infectious Diseases, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

ABSTRACT

A real-time PCR assay for *Clostridium difficile* was developed, based on the *tcdB* gene, which detected all known toxinogenic reference strains (n = 45), within 30 serogroups and 24 toxinotypes. The analytical sensitivity was 1×10^3 CFU/mL, and the detection limit in faeces was 1×10^5 CFU/g. The optimal protocol for DNA extraction from faecal samples involved use of the Magna-Pure system with a Stool Transport and Recovery (STAR) buffer pre-treatment. In a 1-month prospective study of 85 patients with diarrhoea, the sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive values of the assay were 100%, 94%, 55% and 100%, respectively, compared with the standard cell cytotoxicity assay.

Keywords Assay, *Clostridium difficile*, faecal samples, real-time PCR, *tcdB* gene

Original Submission: 27 January 2005; Revised Submission: 14 July 2005; Accepted: 2 September 2005

Clin Microbiol Infect 2006; 12: 184–186 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2005.01301.x

Clostridium difficile has been recognised as the causative agent of antibiotic-associated diarrhoea (CDAD) and pseudomembranous colitis (PMC). Enteropathogenicity depends on the production of enterotoxin A (TcdA; 308 kDa) and cytotoxin B (TcdB; 270 kDa) [1,2]. TcdA has been regarded as the most important factor causing enteropathogenic disease [3,4], but there have been an increasing number of reports of disease caused by TcdA-negative, TcdB-positive strains [5]. Therefore, the present study designed a real-time PCR assay for *tcdB* to enable rapid diagnosis of CDAD associated with toxinogenic C. difficile. An optimal DNA extraction protocol for faecal samples was established, and an internal control was included to verify amplification.

Primers and probe (Table 1) were designed from the non-repeat region of a known tcdB sequence (accession no. X53138) using the Primer3 program (http://www.broad.mit.edu/cgi-bin/ primer/primer3_www.cgi). Amplification reactions were performed in a 50-µL final volume, containing 25 µL IQ Supermix (Bio-Rad, Veenendaal, The Netherlands), 5 pmol forward primer, 10 pmol reverse primer, 4 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 µM probe, and 5 µL DNA extract. Following an enzyme activation step for 3 min at 95°C, amplification comprised 50 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 57°C and 30 s at 72°C in an iCycler IQ real-time detection system (Bio-Rad). The assay was optimised using C. difficile strain ATCC43594, and had an analytical sensitivity in saline 0.9% w/v of 1 CFU/PCR, corresponding to 1×10^3 CFU/mL. In addition, ten-fold dilutions of ATCC43594 $(1 \times 10^7 - 1 \text{ CFU})$ were spiked into 1 g of pooled C. *difficile* culture-negative faeces to determine the sensitivity of the real-time PCR assay in comparison with culture.

For *C. difficile* culture, faecal samples, with and without ethanol-shock treatment, were inoculated on to selective media as described previously [6]. Colonies of Gram-positive bacilli with sub-terminal spores were tested for L-proline-aminopeptidase production and aesculin hydrolysis [7]. Two separate experiments revealed that the sensitivity of the real-time PCR assay with faeces was less

Corresponding author and reprint requests: E. J. Kuijper, Department of Medical Microbiology, E4-67, Center of Infectious Diseases, PO Box 9600, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands E-mail: e.j.kuijper@lumc.nl.

Table 1. Sequences of primers and probes used for real-time PCR detection of Clostridium difficile

Target	Primers and probes	Nucleotide sequence (5'-3')	Amplicon size (bp)
C. difficile	398CLDs	GAAAGTCCAAGTTTACGCTCAAT	177
	399CLDas	GCTGCACCTAAACTTACACCA	
	551CLD-tq-FAM	FAM-ACAGATGCAGCCAAAGTTGTTGAATT-TAMRA	
PhHV [10]	295PhHVs	GGGCGAATCACAGATTGAATC	89
	296PhHVas	GCGGTTCCAAACGTACCAA	
	531PhHV-tq-CY5	CY5-TTTTTATGTGTCCGCCACCATCTGGATC-BHQ2	

PhHV, phocine herpes virus (internal control).

than that of culture $(1 \times 10^5 \text{ CFU/g} \text{ faeces vs.})$ $1 \times 10^4 - 1 \times 10^5$ CFU/g faeces), but was comparable to the sensitivity $(5 \times 10^4 \text{ CFU/g faeces})$ reported for a real-time PCR assay described previously [8].

To define the analytical specificity of the assay, all known *C. difficile* serogroups (n = 30; gift of M. Delmee, University of Louvain, Brussels, Belgium) and toxinotypes (n = 24; gift of M. Rupnik, University Goettingen, Goettingen, Germany) were tested. All toxinogenic serogroups (n = 23)and 22 of 24 toxinotypes were detected; two toxinotypes (XIa and XIb) do not harbour *tcdB*, and were not detected by the assay. In contrast, Belanger *et al.* [8] were unable to detect *tcdB* in toxinotypes III, IV and VI. This discrepancy was associated with polymorphisms around the 3'end of the primers. There were some mismatches between the primers and probe used in the present study and the available sequences for TcdA-/TcdB+ strains 1470 and 8864 (accession nos. CDTOXBA and CDI011301, respectively). Strain 1470, representing toxinotype VIII and serogroup F, showed one mismatch with the forward primer, but was still detected by the realtime PCR. Strain 8864, representing toxinotype X, showed two mismatches for both the forward primer and the probe, and one mismatch in the reverse primer at the 5'-end. This strain was also positive by the real-time PCR, but may be detected with reduced sensitivity when analysing clinical samples. Although degenerate primers could solve this problem, strain 8864 is a naturally occurring isolate that has not yet been detected in clinical samples. Nine *Clostridium* spp., other than C. difficile, and 27 other (including enteropathogenic) bacterial species were all negative according to the assay.

Since effective DNA extraction from faecal samples and removal of inhibitory factors is a key factor in successful application of PCR [9], polyvinylpolypyrolidone (PVPP) pre-treatment, followed by isolation using the QIAamp DNA

blood mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was compared with pre-treatment using the Stool Transport and Recovery (STAR) buffer, followed by automated extraction with the MagnaPure LC DNA isolation Kit III (Roche, Almere, The Netherlands) in the MagnaPure System (Roche). A fixed amount of Phocine Herpes Virus (PhHV), to give a crossing point (Ct) value of c. 33–34, was spiked into clinical samples before DNA extraction to serve as an internal control [10]. Primers used for PhHV detection are listed in Table 1. Although no differences in Ct values could be observed between the two extraction methods with faeces spiked with 2.2×10^6 –22 CFU/g, and the internal control was amplified efficiently in all assays, the STAR/MagnaPure method was deemed optimal because of its automated format.

For clinical evaluation of the assay, faecal samples were obtained from 28 patients with CDAD. Primary diagnosis and selection was made by detection of TcdA with an enzymelinked fluorescent immunoassay (ELFA) (VIDAS CDA2; bioMérieux, Boxtel, The Netherlands) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. All 28 ELFA-positive faecal samples were also positive by real-time PCR and culture for C. difficile. When a control group of 43 faecal samples from 43 patients without gastrointestinal symptoms was analysed, three (7%) were positive

Table 2. Prospective comparison of the cell cytotoxicity assay (indicative of Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea), enzyme-linked fluorescent assay, real-time PCR and culture with 85 faecal samples from patients with diarrhoea

	on No. of patients	No. of positive samples	
Clinical manifestation		ELFA	Real-time PCR
CDAD	6	5	6
Non-CDAD	79	1	5
Total	85	6	11 ^a

CDAD, Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea; ELFA, enzyme-linked fluorescent

assay. ^aAll real-time PCR-positive results were confirmed by culture of toxinogenic C. difficile

by real-time PCR and culture, indicating asymptomatic carriage of toxinogenic *C. difficile* [11,12]. These three samples were confirmed as positive following re-extraction and re-testing by real-time PCR.

For further clinical evaluation, 85 faecal samples received from adult patients with a request for C. difficile testing or from patients with diarrhoea admitted to the hospital for ≥ 3 days were investigated prospectively (Table 2). These samples were tested initially by ELFA, and were then stored at -80° C within 24 h pending further analysis by the cell cytotoxicity assay and real-time PCR. Of six (7%) samples positive by the cell cytotoxicity assay (Table 2), five were positive by ELFA, and six by real-time PCR. Of 79 cytotoxicity-negative samples, one was positive by ELFA and real-time PCR, and five were positive only by real-time PCR. Thus, 11 (13%) of 85 samples were positive by real-time PCR; C. difficile was cultured subsequently from all these samples. Using the cytotoxicity assay as the standard, the sensitivity, specificity and PPV and NPV for the real-time PCR assay were 100%, 94%, 55% and 100%, respectively.

In conclusion, detection of the *C. difficile tcdB* gene in faecal samples by real-time PCR, using an automated DNA extraction protocol and an internal control, can be used as a rapid method for diagnosing CDAD and for detecting carriage in asymptomatic patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by a grant from the Foundation Microbiology Leiden. We thank K. Templeton for support in the development of the real-time PCR assay. This study was presented, in part, at the 43rd Interscience Conference of Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy (Chicago, 2003), and the First International *Clostridium difficile* Symposium (Slovenia, 2004).

REFERENCES

- Barroso LA, Wang SZ, Phelps CJ, Johnson JL, Wilkins TD. Nucleotide sequence of *Clostridium difficile* toxin B gene. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1990; 18: 4004.
- Dove CH, Wang SZ, Price SB *et al*. Molecular characterization of the *Clostridium difficile* toxin A gene. *Infect Immun* 1990; 58: 480–488.
- Lyerly DM, Saum KE, MacDonald DK, Wilkins TD. Effects of *Clostridium difficile* toxins given intragastrically to animals. *Infect Immun* 1985; 47: 349–352.
- Lyerly DM, Lockwood DE, Richardson SH, Wilkins TD. Biological activities of toxins A and B of *Clostridium difficile*. *Infect Immun* 1982; 35: 1147–1150.

- van den Berg RJ, Claas EC, Oyib DH et al. Characterization of toxin A-negative, toxin B-positive *Clostridium difficile* isolates from outbreaks in different countries by amplified fragment length polymorphism and PCR ribotyping. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004; 42: 1035–1041.
- Aspinall ST, Hutchinson DN. New selective medium for isolating *Clostridium difficile* from faeces. *J Clin Pathol* 1992; 45: 812–814.
- Garcia A, Garcia T, Perez JL. Proline-aminopeptidase test for rapid screening of *Clostridium difficile*. J Clin Microbiol 1997; 35: 3007.
- Belanger SD, Boissinot M, Clairoux N, Picard FJ, Bergeron MG. Rapid detection of *Clostridium difficile* in feces by realtime PCR. J Clin Microbiol 2003; 41: 730–734.
- Morgan UM, Pallant L, Dwyer BW, Forbes DA, Rich G, Thompson RC. Comparison of PCR and microscopy for detection of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in human fecal specimens: clinical trial. J Clin Microbiol 1998; 36: 995–998.
- 10. Niesters HG. Clinical virology in real time. *J Clin Virol* 2002; **25**: S3–S12.
- Fekety R, Shah AB. Diagnosis and treatment of *Clostridium difficile* colitis. JAMA 1993; 269: 71–75.
- Ozaki E, Kato H, Kita H *et al. Clostridium difficile* colonization in healthy adults: transient colonization and correlation with enterococcal colonization. *J Med Microbiol* 2004; 53: 167–172.

RESEARCH NOTE

Prevalence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in infected and uninfected diabetic foot ulcers

- N. Tentolouris, G. Petrikkos, N. Vallianou,
- C. Zachos, G. L. Daikos, P. Tsapogas,
- G. Markou and N. Katsilambros

First Department of Propaedeutic Medicine, Athens University Medical School, Athens, Greece

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in infected and uninfected diabetic foot ulcers of 84 patients with the two types of diabetes. *S. aureus* was the most common pathogen among the Gram-positive bacteria isolated from ulcers, and almost 50% of *S. aureus* isolates were MRSA. The

Corresponding author and reprint requests: N. Tentolouris, 33 Lakonias Street, 115 23 Athens, Greece E-mail: ntentol@med.uoa.gr