High prevalence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae carriage in Dutch community patients with gastrointestinal complaints
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to determine the rate of carriage of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae (ESBL-E) in the community in the Netherlands and to gain understanding of the epidemiology of these resistant strains. Faecal samples from 720 consecutive patients presenting to their general practitioner, obtained in May 2010, and between December 2010 and January 2011, were analysed for presence of ESBL-E. Species identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing were performed according to the Dutch national guidelines. PCR, sequencing and microarray were used to characterize the genes encoding for ESBL. Strain typing was performed with amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) and multilocus sequence typing (MLST). Seventy-three of 720 (10.1%) samples yielded ESBL-producing organisms, predominantly E. coli. No carbapenemases were detected. The most frequent ESBL was CTX-M-15 (34/73, 47%). Co-resistance to gentamicin, ciprofloxacin and cotrimoxazole was found in (9/73) 12% of the ESBL-E strains. AFLP did not show any clusters, and MLST revealed that CTX-M-15-producing E. coli belonged to various clonal complexes. Clonal complex ST10 was predominant. This study showed a high prevalence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in Dutch primary care patients with presumed gastrointestinal discomfort. Hence, also in the Netherlands, a country with a low rate of consumption of antibiotics in humans, resistance due to the expansion of CTX-M ESBLs, in particular CTX-M-15, is emerging. The majority of ESBL-producing strains do not appear to be related to the international clonal complex ST131.
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Introduction

Due to the extensive use of beta-lactam antibiotics in human medicine, beta-lactamases have co-evolved with them [1]. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) are the main source of acquired antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative bacteria and are of particular concern [2]. These enzymes have a broad spectrum of activity against almost all beta-lactam antibiotics. The genes that encode ESBLs are transferred very efficiently due to their location on plasmids. Furthermore, these ESBL-encoding plasmids frequently bear resistance genes for additional antibiotic classes, thereby posing a significant challenge to antimicrobial therapy [3,4].

Recently, a major increase in the prevalence of ESBL has been observed, mainly due to an increase of CTX-M-type ESBLs [2]. Today organisms producing these enzymes are
the most common type of ESBL-producing bacteria found in most areas of the world [5]. The classic SHV and TEM enzymes, associated with nosocomial outbreaks, are substituted by CTX-M enzymes, principally in community-acquired infections caused by Escherichia coli [6]. This major shift in ESBL epidemiology is observed both in Europe and in other continents [5,6]. An increase in community-onset infections with ESBL-E due to CTX-M-producing E. coli is a large problem in many European countries, for example in Spain and France [3,5]. Especially, CTX-M-15 is predominant in community-acquired infections [2,7,8].


The presence of ESBL-producing Gram-negative bacteria in Dutch retail meat found in recent studies is quite worrying [9,10]. To the best of our knowledge, no data are available on the prevalence of carriage of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae (ESBL-E) in the Dutch community. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of ESBL carriage in the primary care population in the region of Amsterdam (a densely populated urban area) and Brabant (a more rural area), to assess the susceptibility of these isolates to common antibiotics that are important for treating community-acquired infections, to characterize the ESBL genes and plasmids involved, and to type the ESBL-positive strains to gain understanding of the epidemiology of this emerging resistance in the Dutch outpatient population.

Materials and Methods

Data collection/study design
Faecal samples, obtained between 12 April and 19 May 2010, and between 21 November 2010 and 9 January 2011, from patients presenting to their general practitioner (GP) with mild gastrointestinal discomfort and/or diarrhoea for more than 3 weeks were analysed. Samples were collected at the ATAL Medical Diagnostic Centre, a laboratory servicing GPs in Amsterdam, and the Microbiological Laboratory of Sint Elisabeth Hospital in Tilburg, a laboratory servicing GPs in the region of Brabant. Faecal samples were inoculated in tryptophan soy enrichment broth. Screening for ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae (ESBL-E) was performed by inoculation onto a selective screening agar, the EbSA ESBL screening agar (Cepheid Benelux, Apeldoorn, the Netherlands) [11,12]. All broths and plates were incubated overnight at 37°C.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing
Species identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing of colonies growing on the EbSA plates were performed with the Vitek 2 system (Vitek ID and Vitek AST; bioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France). The MIC breakpoints used for interpreting the results were according to the criteria of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [13]. ESBL production was confirmed with a combination disk diffusion test (Rosco, Taastrup, Denmark) and the E-test on Mueller-Hinton agar, interpreted according to the Dutch national guidelines [14].

Molecular characterization and ESBL typing
The presence of ESBL genes was confirmed by molecular analysis of all phenotypically confirmed ESBL-positive strains. Bacterial DNA was isolated with the QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands). Isolates obtained in Amsterdam were screened for ESBL resistance genes at the VUmc by Check-KPC ESBL microarray to identify CTX-M, TEM and SHV ESBL genes (Check-Points Health BV, Wageningen, the Netherlands) [15]. Isolates obtained at Amphia Hospital were screened with Check-MDR CT103 (Check-Points Health BV), a newly developed microarray that enables the detection of two commonly encountered ESBLs: CTX-M-1 and CTX-M-15. In isolates obtained in Amsterdam ESBL-encoding genes were characterized by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) at the VUmc, followed by sequencing (BaseClear, Leiden, the Netherlands), as described by Naïemi et al. [16]. Sequences were analysed with Bionumerics software (version 6.5; Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium) and compared with sequences in the NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST) and Lahey (http://www.lahey.org/studies/).

Characterization of plasmids
Identification of plasmids was performed by PCR-based replicon typing for the eight most prevalent plasmids [17]. This method allows the examination of plasmids conferring drug resistance by typing them by incompatibility groups in a multiplex PCR setting.

Epidemiological typing
Seventy ESBL-positive E. coli strains were analysed for genetic relatedness by amplified-fragment length polymorphism (AFLP). This DNA fingerprinting technique and the protocol used has been described by Savelkoul et al. [18]. AFLP banding patterns were analysed as described previously with Bionumerics software (Applied Maths).
Multilocus sequencing typing (MLST) was performed on all the E. coli isolates by using seven conserved housekeeping genes (adkA, fumC, gyrB, icd, mdh, purA and recA) as described by Wirth et al. [19]. The MLST protocol is detailed at http://mlst.ucc.ie/mlst/dbs/Ecoli. Clonal complexes were determined by including whole E. coli MLST data using eBURST v3 (http://eburst.mlst.net).

Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS, version 15.0. Principal components analysis (PCA) was performed with Bionumerics version 6.5.

Results
In total, 720 faecal samples were obtained from 720 consecutive patients presenting to their GP with complaints of gastrointestinal discomfort. Analysis of the samples for diagnosis was performed separately in a routine setting. These samples were considered to be community based because the specimens were obtained from a laboratory serving only general practitioners. Data regarding the patients’ history were not available. The median age of patients was 46 years (range, 2–87); 53% were female. Patients lived in different geographical areas and were not institutionalized.

In the region of Amsterdam, 50 out of 471 (10.6%, 9.7–11.5 95% CI) samples yielded ESBL-E: 49 Escherichia coli isolates and one Shigella sonnei isolate. In the region of Brabant 23 out of 249 (9.2%, 8.1–10.3 95% CI) samples yielded ESBL-E (Table 1). These included 21 E. coli and two Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates. Hence the frequency of ESBL-producing isolates was the same in both regions. No strains with reduced sensitivity to imipenem or meropenem were detected. The microarray revealed that both in Amsterdam and in Brabant the isolates contained genes belonging to the CTX-M family; bladCTX-M-15 was predominant, found in 34/73 (47%) isolates (see also Table 2). We also performed PCR and sequencing on the 50 strains isolated in Amsterdam. This showed four bladCTX-M-1, 24 bladCTX-M-15, one bladCTX-M-14, seven bladCTX-M-14b, four bladCTX-M-27, one bladTEM-52, one bladSHV-2a and one bladSHV-12 genes. One gene belonging to the CTX-M-1 family remained unidentified. No difference in the distribution of these genes in the two regions was seen.

The majority of the isolates showed co-resistance to cotrimoxazole, followed by ciprofloxacin and gentamicin. A summary of the co-resistances is shown in Table 3. Twelve per cent (9/73) of the ESBL-producing isolates were multiresistant (i.e. resistant to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories (aminoglycosides, quinolones and cotrimoxazole)) [20]. Thirty per cent (22/73) of strains were intermediate susceptible to nitrofurantoin. All isolates were susceptible to meropenem and imipenem.

The ESBL-producing strains were genotyped by AFLP to assess their diversity. The AFLP-based dendogram showed a few pairs of isolates with identical AFLP patterns (Fig. 1). All patients with strains with related AFLP patterns lived in different geographical areas. Further analysis by PCA did not reveal any clusters (Figure not shown).

The results of MLST are shown in Fig. 2. Multilocus sequence typing revealed 43 different sequence types, and included nine new sequence types not present yet in the

### Table 1. Number of patients and ESBL-producing bacterial isolates in the urban and rural communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Rural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESBL-positive bacterial isolates</td>
<td>50 (10.6)</td>
<td>23 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Distribution of ESBL genes and plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESBL group*</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>ColE</th>
<th>FrepB</th>
<th>FIB</th>
<th>ColEtp</th>
<th>IncI</th>
<th>FIA</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Fils</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTX-M-1 group</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTX-M-2 group</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTX-M-9 group</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHV</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>72*</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The genes belonging to the CTX-M-1 group were six bladCTX-M-1, and 34 bladCTX-M-15, genes. One gene belonging to the CTX-M-1 group remained unidentified, TEM and SHV were ESBL (no wild-type).
FIG. 1. Dendrogram showing the relatedness of AFLP patterns. Seventy ESBL-positive E. coli strains were analysed for genetic relatedness by amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP).
E. coli MLST database. Most isolates belonged to sequence types ST38 (seven isolates; 10%), ST131 (six isolates; 8.6%), ST648 (five isolates; 7.1%) and ST10 (four isolates; 5.7%). The main clonal complexes according to the MLST database (including sequence types with one locus difference) were ST10 (12 isolates; 17.1%) and ST38 (nine isolates; 12.8%). All but one cluster harboured different ESBL genes. CTX-M-15 was scattered over all the ST types. There was no difference in MLST types between Amsterdam and Brabant (Fig. 3).

The distribution of ESBL genes and plasmids is described in Table 2. ColE, FIB and FIA were the most prevalent plasmids.

Discussion

This study showed that one out of ten Dutch outpatients with gastrointestinal complaints carried ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in their faeces. This was an unexpected high prevalence because the Netherlands is a country well known for its prudent antimicrobial use in human clinical practice, both in the outpatient setting and in the hospital (ESAC-Net, http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/activities/surveillance/ESAC-Net/). No carbapenemase-producing strains were detected. It is well-known that the prevalence of ESBL-E differs markedly between countries. To date a high prevalence is found in clinical isolates in southern European countries, in Turkey and in India while the prevalence is low in northern European countries, including the Netherlands (EARS-Net, http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/activities/surveillance/EARS-Net/) [6,21]. Few studies have investigated the faecal carriage rate of ESBL-E in non-hospitalized patients. Valverde et al. [22] showed that the faecal carriage rate of ESBL-E in a Spanish community was 5.5% in 2003. In the same study a prevalence of 3.7% was seen in healthy volunteers [22]. Hence the prevalence we measured is high compared with surveys performed previously in surrounding European countries. Possibly, the high rate we measured in our more recent study is due to the steep increase in ESBL-producing
strains that is being observed over the last few years all over
the world.

The prevalence of rectal carriage among hospitalized
patients in the Netherlands in previous years was lower.
Before 2000 a prevalence of <1% was recorded in Dutch
hospitals. This increased to 4–8% after 2005 [23,24].
Recently, Overdevest et al. [10] found a percentage of 6% in
hospitalized patients and 4% in patients at time of admission
to the hospital. This high percentage of carriage of ESBL-pro-
ducing bacteria on admission already pointed towards a com-
munity reservoir. Initially, ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae
were considered an in-hospital problem, but now this study
also reveals an unexpected increase in the Dutch community.
Therefore, our results confirm the worrisome element that
a continuous influx from the community into the hospital
might be possible [3,4].

In our study, the most prevalent ESBLs were CTX-M. This
is consistent with the worldwide dissemination of this type
of ESBL and is comparable with the CTX-M pandemic in the
community in other European countries [3,6,8]. The most
prevalent CTX-M ESBL in our survey was CTX-M-15, again
as noticed elsewhere [6,7,21,25]. In several countries the
expansion of CTX-M-15-producing E. coli is due to the
worldwide pandemic clone ST131 [26]. In contrast, the E. coli
strains that we identified belonged to multiple sequence type
clonal complexes and the presence of CTX-M-15 in these
community-acquired isolates was scattered over different
clusters. AFLP and PCA confirmed the data obtained with
MLST, and showed that there was no epidemiological rela-
tionship between the strains.

Next to clonal dispersion, the acquisition of multidrug-
resistant plasmids plays a pivotal role in the dissemination of
CTX-M-15-producing ESBLs. Various replicons, especially
those widely distributed among E. coli strains, could be
involved in part of this dissemination process. It has been
proposed that most of the CTX-M-15 enzymes are encoded
on IncF replicons (FIA, FIB and FII) [27]. Indeed, also in our
study IncF replicons, FIB and FIA-type plasmids, were associ-
ated with the presence of bla_{CTX-M-15}. Taken together, MLST
and plasmid replicon typing point to both dispersion of sev-
eral different clones and to spread of mobile genetic ele-
ments as drivers of the dissemination of ESBL genes in the
Dutch community.

The distribution of ESBL genes and plasmids in carriers
of ESBL-positive E. coli isolates in this outpatient population
differs from the distribution described recently in E. coli
strains recovered from patients from hospitals and long-
term care facilities in the Netherlands in 2009 and 2010. In
these studies bla_{CTX-M-1} and IncI1 were the most frequent
genes and plasmids [9,10]. It has been postulated that these
are acquired through contaminated poultry, because Dutch
chicken meat has been shown to be heavily colonized with

FIG. 3. Multilocus sequencing typing showing several clusters in E. coli isolates (n = 70) obtained from faecal samples: Amsterdam vs. Brabant.
E. coli strains containing \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-1}} \) and \( \text{IncI} \): 94% of chickens are colonized with these strains [9,10,28]. In human isolates from other countries \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-15}} \) is the most frequent gene [7]. In our patient population, strains producing CTX-M-15 were predominant. Possibly, the difference between our study and the previous Dutch studies is due to the difference in patient populations; we analysed faecal samples from outpatients presenting to their GP with complaints of gastrointestinal discomfort. In Dutch general practice faeces cultures are only requested for patients with gastrointestinal complaints that last for more than 10 days or gastrointestinal complaints after travel to foreign countries, especially to the (sub)tropics [29]. Various studies show that foreign travel, especially to countries with a high prevalence of ESBL-E, is a risk factor for colonization with ESBL-E [30–32]. A high prevalence of faecal carriage of ESBL-producing strains is observed in particular in patients with travellers’ diarrhoea [30,32]. We have no data on travel history, previous use of antibiotics or recent hospitalization for the individual patients in our study, but Dutch general practitioners very seldom prescribe antibiotics for treatment of gastrointestinal complaints, according to the algorithms laid down in their own professional standards [29]. Thus, knowing that diagnostics for diarrhoea is mainly performed after foreign travel, and that use of antibiotics in the treatment of diarrhoea is very unusual in Dutch general practice, it seems likely that foreign travel might be responsible for at least part of the prevalence of ESBL-E in Dutch outpatients. Whatever the source of the resistance, however, the prevalence of ESBL-E in this specific patient population was worryingly high. At the same time, it is reassuring that carbapenemase-producing strains were still absent in the community.

The association of ESBL production with multidrug resistance adds to the magnitude of the problem [5,22]. In this study we noted that nearly half of the ESBL-producing strains were resistant to ciprofloxacin, and nearly three-quarters were resistant to cotrimoxazole. None of the isolates were resistant to nitrofurantoin, the drug currently recommended for uncomplicated urinary tract infections in the Netherlands.

In conclusion, this study showed an unexpected high prevalence of ESBL-E in Dutch outpatients presenting to their GP with gastrointestinal complaints. The present study emphasizes that multidrug-resistant CTX-M-producing (in particular CTX-M-15) \textit{E. coli} are present in the community even in the Netherlands, a country well known for its prudent antimicrobial use in human medicine. Therefore it is important to monitor systematically the epidemiology of ESBL-E in hospitals, in the community and in other reservoirs such as food and the environment.
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