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#### Abstract

Finite dimensional matrices with more columns than rows have no left inverses while those with more rows than columns have no right inverses. We give generalizations of these simple facts to bi-infinite matrices. Our results are then used to obtain density results for $p$-frames of time-frequency molecules in modulation spaces and identifiability results for operators with bandlimited Kohn-Nirenberg symbols. © 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ are not invertible if $m \neq n$. To generalize this basic fact from finite dimensional linear algebra to bi-infinite matrices, we first associate the quadratic shape $\square$ of $M \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ to bi-infinite matrices decaying away from their diagonals, more precisely, by matrices $M=\left(m_{j^{\prime} j}\right)_{j^{\prime}, j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ with $m_{j^{\prime} j}$ small for $\left\|\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\right\| j \|_{\infty} \mid$ large. The rectangular shape $\square$ of $M \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, m<n$, is then taken to correspond to bi-infinite matrices decaying off those wedges that are situated between two slanted diagonals of slope less than one and which are open "to the left and to the right". In short, for $\lambda>1$ we assume $m_{j^{\prime} j}$ small for $\lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty}$ positive and large. In this case, we use the symbol Similarly, the case $\square$, that is, $M \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, m>n$,

[^0]corresponds to those bi-infinite matrices that are adjoints of the matrices described above. That is, the bi-infinite case $\boldsymbol{Z}$ is described by: for $\lambda<1$ assume $m_{j^{\prime} j}$ small for $-\lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\|j\|_{\infty}$ positive and large. In both cases, $\lambda \neq 1$ corresponds to $\frac{n}{m} \neq 1$ in the theory of finite dimensional matrices.

Throughout, we consider bi-infinite matrices that act on weighted $l^{p}$ spaces, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. To illustrate our main result we shall first state its simplest case.

Theorem 1.1. Let $M=\left(m_{j^{\prime} j}\right): l^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ and let $w: \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$satisfy $w(x)=$ $\mathrm{o}\left(x^{-1-\delta}\right), \delta>0$.

1. If $\left|m_{j^{\prime} j}\right|<w\left(\lambda\left|j^{\prime}\right|-|j|\right)$ for $\lambda\left|j^{\prime}\right|-|j|>0$ and $\lambda>1$, then $M$ has no bounded left inverses.
2. If $\left|m_{j^{\prime} j}\right|<w\left(-\lambda\left|j^{\prime}\right|+|j|\right)$ for $-\lambda\left|j^{\prime}\right|+|j|>0$ and $\lambda<1$, then $M$ has no bounded right inverses.

Note that slanted matrices as covered in [1] and in the wavelets literature [2,3,4,5] are defined through their decay off slanted diagonals, that is, $\left|m_{j^{\prime}, j}\right|$ is small for $\left\|\lambda j^{\prime}-j\right\|_{\infty}$ large. Since $\left\|\lambda j^{\prime}-j\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant\left|\lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty}\right|$, our results in Section 2 apply to slanted matrices as well.

After stating and proving our main results as Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 in Section 2, we shall illustrate their usefulness in Section 3 by giving applications of these results in the area of timefrequency analysis. First, Theorem 2.2 is used to obtain elementary proofs of density theorems for Banach frames of time-frequency molecules, in particular of Gabor systems, in so-called modulation spaces [6,7]. Second, we discuss how Theorem 2.1 has been used to obtain necessary conditions on the identifiability of pseudodifferential operators that are characterized by a band-limitation of the operators' Kohn-Nirenberg symbols [8,9,10]. The background on timefrequency analysis that is used in Section 3 is given in Section 3.1.

## 2. Non-invertibility of "rectangular" bi-infinite matrices

Let $l_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$, be the weighted $l^{p}$-space with norm $\left\|\left\{x_{j}\right\}\right\|_{l_{s}^{p}}=\|\{(1+$ $\left.\left.\|j\|_{\infty}\right)^{s} x_{j}\right\} \|_{l p}$, where $\left\|\left\{x_{j}\right\}\right\|_{p}=\left(\sum_{j}\left|x_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for $p<\infty$ and $\left\|\left\{x_{j}\right\}\right\|_{\infty}=\sup _{j}\left\{\left|x_{j}\right|\right\}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $1 \leqslant p_{1}, q_{1}, p_{2} \leqslant \infty, \frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{q_{1}}=1$, and $M=\left(m_{j^{\prime} j}\right): l^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right) \rightarrow l^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$. If a function $w: \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$exists with $w(x)=\mathrm{o}\left(x^{-\left(\frac{1}{q_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) d-r_{1}-r_{2}-\delta}\right)$ and

$$
\left|m_{j^{\prime} j}\right| \leqslant w\left(\lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty}\right)\left(1+\|j\|_{\infty}\right)^{r_{1}}\left(1+\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{r_{2}}, \quad \lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty}>K_{0},
$$

for some constants $\lambda, K_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, \delta$ with $\lambda, K_{0}>1, \delta \geqslant 0, r_{1}+\delta>0$, and $\frac{d}{p_{2}}+r_{1}+r_{2}+\delta>0$, then $M$ has no bounded left inverses.

Theorem 2.1 is easily generalized:
Theorem 2.2. Let $1 \leqslant p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}, q_{2} \leqslant \infty, \frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{q_{1}}=1, \frac{1}{p_{2}}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}=1, r_{1}, r_{2}, s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $M=\left(m_{j^{\prime} j}\right): l_{s_{1}}^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right) \rightarrow l_{s_{2}}^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$.

1. If there is a $\delta \geqslant 0$ with $r_{1}-s_{1}+\delta>0$ and $\frac{d}{p_{2}}+r_{1}+r_{2}-s_{1}+s_{2}+\delta>0$, and if $\lambda>$ $1, K_{0}>0$, and a function $w: \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$exist with $w(x)=\mathrm{o}\left(x^{-\left(\frac{1}{q_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) d-r_{1}-r_{2}+s_{1}-s_{2}-\delta}\right)$ and
$\left|m_{j^{\prime} j}\right| \leqslant w\left(\lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty}\right)\left(1+\|j\|_{\infty}\right)^{r_{1}}\left(1+\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{r_{2}}, \quad \lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty}>K_{0}$,
then $M$ has no bounded left inverses.
2. If there is a $\delta \geqslant 0$ with $r_{2}-s_{2}+\delta>0$ and $\frac{d}{p_{1}}+r_{1}+r_{2}+s_{1}-s_{2}+\delta>0$ and if $0<\lambda<$ $1, K_{0}>0$ and a function $w: \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$exist with $w(x)=\mathrm{o}\left(x^{-\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}\right) d-r_{1}-r_{2}-s_{1}+s_{2}+\delta}\right)$ and
$\left|m_{j^{\prime} j}\right| \leqslant w\left(-\lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\|j\|_{\infty}\right)\left(1+\|j\|_{\infty}\right)^{r_{1}}\left(1+\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{r_{2}}, \quad-\lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\|j\|_{\infty}>K_{0}$,
$\lambda, K_{0}>0$, then $M$ has no bounded right inverses.
Clearly, Theorem 1.1 is equal to Theorem 2.2 for $r_{1}=r_{2}=s_{1}=s_{2}=0, p_{1}=q_{1}=p_{2}=$ $q_{2}=2$, and $d=1$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We begin with the case $p_{1}>1$ and $p_{2}<\infty$ and show that if $w: \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$satisfies $w(x)=\mathrm{o}\left(x^{-\left(\frac{1}{q_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) d-r_{1}-r_{2}-\delta}\right), \delta \geqslant 0, r_{1}+\delta>0$, and $\frac{d}{p_{2}}+r_{1}+r_{2}+\delta>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{K_{1}}=K_{1}^{p_{2} r_{1}} \sum_{K \geqslant K_{1}} K^{p_{2} r_{2}+d-1}\left(\sum_{k \geqslant K} k^{d-1} w(k)^{q_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } K_{1} \rightarrow \infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, we set $\tilde{w}(x)=\sup _{y \leqslant x} w(y) \in \mathrm{o}\left(x^{-\left(\frac{1}{q_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) d-r_{1}-r_{2}-\delta}\right)$ and choose $v \in C_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$ with $\tilde{w}(x) \leqslant v(x) x^{-\left(\frac{1}{q_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) d-r_{1}-r_{2}-\delta}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{K \geqslant K_{1}+2} K^{p_{2} r_{2}+d-1}\left(\sum_{k \geqslant K} k^{d-1} w(k)^{q_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}} \\
& \quad \leqslant \sum_{K \geqslant K_{1}+1} K^{p_{2} r_{2}+d-1}\left(\sum_{k \geqslant K+1} k^{d-1} \tilde{w}(k)^{q_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}} \\
& \quad \leqslant \int_{K_{1}}^{\infty} x^{p_{2} r_{2}+d-1}\left(\int_{x}^{\infty} y^{d-1} \tilde{w}(y)^{q_{1}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad \leqslant \int_{K_{1}}^{\infty} x^{p_{2} r_{2}+d-1}\left(\int_{x}^{\infty} v(y)^{q_{1}} y^{-1-\frac{q_{1}}{p_{2}} d-q_{1} r_{2}-q_{1} r_{1}-q_{1} \delta} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant \frac{\left\|\left.v\right|_{\left[K_{1}, \infty\right)}\right\|_{\infty}^{p_{2}}}{\frac{q_{1}}{p_{2}} d+q_{1} r_{2}+q_{1} r_{1}+q_{1} \delta} \int_{K_{1}}^{\infty} x^{p_{2} r_{2}+d-1} x^{-d-p_{2} r_{2}-p_{2} r_{1}-p_{2} \delta} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant \frac{\left\|\left.v\right|_{\left[K_{1}, \infty\right)}\right\|_{\infty}^{p_{2}}}{\left(r_{1}+\delta\right)\left(q_{1} d+p_{2} q_{1} r_{2}+p_{2} q_{1} r_{1}+p_{2} q_{1} \delta\right)} K_{1}^{-p_{2} r_{1}-p_{2} \delta}=\mathrm{o}\left(K_{1}^{-p_{2} r_{1}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left\|\left.v\right|_{\left[K_{1}, \infty\right)}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $K_{1} \rightarrow \infty$ and (1) follows.
To show that $\inf _{x \in l_{0}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)}\left\{\frac{\|M x\|_{p} p_{2}}{\|x\|_{l} p_{1}}\right\}=0$ we fix $\epsilon>0$ and note that (1) provides us with a $K_{1}>K_{0}$ satisfying $A_{K_{1}} \leqslant\left(2^{d} d\right)^{-\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}-1} 2^{-p_{2} r_{2}}\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}\right)^{p_{2} r_{1}} \epsilon^{p_{2}}$.

Set $N=\left\lceil\frac{\lambda\left(K_{1}+1\right)}{\lambda-1}\right\rceil$ and $\tilde{N}=\left\lceil\frac{N}{\lambda}\right\rceil+K_{1}$. Then $\frac{\lambda\left(K_{1}+1\right)}{\lambda-1} \leqslant N \leqslant \frac{\lambda\left(K_{1}+2\right)}{\lambda-1}$ implies $\lambda N \geqslant \lambda K_{1}+$ $\lambda+N$ and $N \geqslant K_{1}+\frac{N}{\lambda}+1>K_{1}+\left\lceil\frac{N}{\lambda}\right\rceil=\tilde{N}$. Therefore, $(2 \widetilde{N}+1)^{d}<(2 N+1)^{d}$ and the matrix $\widetilde{M}=\left(m_{j^{\prime} j}\right)_{\left\|j^{\prime}\right\| \infty \leqslant \tilde{N},\|j\| \leqslant N}: \mathbb{C}^{(2 N+1)^{d}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{(2 \widetilde{N}+1)^{d}}$ has a nontrivial kernel. We now choose $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{(2 N+1)^{d}}$ with $\|\tilde{x}\|_{p_{1}}=1$ and $\tilde{M} \tilde{x}=0$. We define $x \in l_{0}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ according to $x_{j}=\tilde{x}_{j}$ if $\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N$ and $x_{j}=0$ otherwise.

By construction we have $\|x\|_{l^{p_{1}}}=1$ and $(M x)_{j^{\prime}}=0$ for $\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \widetilde{N}$. To estimate $(M x)_{j^{\prime}}$ for $\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}>\widetilde{N}$, we fix $K>K_{1}$ and one of the $2 d\left(2\left(\left\lceil\frac{N}{\lambda}\right\rceil+K\right)\right)^{d-1}$ indices $j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ with $\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=$ $\left\lceil\frac{N}{\lambda}\right\rceil+K$. We have $\left\|\lambda j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant N+K \lambda$ and $\lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty} \geqslant K \lambda \geqslant K$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ with $\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(M x)_{j^{\prime}}\right|^{q_{1}} & =\left|\sum_{\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N} m_{j^{\prime} j} x_{j}\right|^{q_{1}} \leqslant\|x\|_{p_{1}}^{q_{1}} \sum_{\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N}\left|m_{j^{\prime} j}\right|^{q_{1}} \\
& \leqslant\left(1+\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{q_{1} r_{2}} \sum_{\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N}\left(1+\|j\|_{\infty}\right)^{q_{1} r_{1}} w\left(\lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty}\right)^{q_{1}} \\
& \leqslant\left(1+\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{q_{1} r_{2}}(N+1)^{q_{1} r_{1}} \sum_{\|j\|_{\infty} \geqslant K} w\left(\|j\|_{\infty}\right)^{q_{1}} \\
& =2^{d} d\left(1+\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{q_{1} r_{2}}(N+1)^{q_{1} r_{1}} \sum_{k \geqslant K} k^{d-1} w(k)^{q_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|M x\|_{l^{p_{2}}}^{p_{2}} & =\sum_{j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}\left|(M x)_{j^{\prime} \mid}\right|^{p_{2}}=\sum_{\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant\left\lceil\frac{N}{\lambda}\right\rceil+K_{1}}\left|(M x)_{j^{\prime}}\right|^{p_{2}} \\
& \leqslant\left(2^{d} d\right)^{\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}} \sum_{\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant\left\lceil\left\lceil\frac{N}{\lambda}\right\rceil+K_{1}\right.}\left(1+\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{p_{2} r_{2}}(N+1)^{p_{2} r_{1}}\left(\sum_{k \geqslant\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}} k^{d-1} w(k)^{q_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}} \\
& \leqslant\left(2^{d} d\right)^{\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}}(N+1)^{p_{2} r_{1}} \sum_{K \geqslant\left\lceil\frac{N}{\lambda}\right\rceil+K_{1}} 2 d(2 K)^{d-1}(K+1)^{p_{2} r_{2}}\left(\sum_{k \geqslant K} k^{d-1} w(k)^{q_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}} \\
& \leqslant\left(2^{d} d\right)^{\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}+1} 2^{p_{2} r_{2}}\left(\frac{\lambda\left(K_{1}+2\right)}{\lambda-1}+1\right)^{p_{2} r_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{\left.K \geqslant \Gamma \frac{N}{\lambda}\right\rceil+K_{1}} K^{p_{2} r_{2}+d-1}\left(\sum_{k \geqslant K} k^{d-1} w(k)^{q_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}} \\
\leqslant & \left(2^{d} d\right)^{\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}+1} 2^{p_{2} r_{2}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{p_{2} r_{1}}\left(K_{1}+3\right)^{p_{2} r_{1}} \\
& \times \sum_{\left.K \geqslant \Gamma \frac{N}{\lambda}\right\rceil+K_{1}} K^{p_{2} r_{2}+d-1}\left(\sum_{k \geqslant K} k^{d-1} w(k)^{q_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p_{2}}{q_{1}}} \\
\leqslant & \epsilon^{p_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $\|M x\|_{l^{p_{2}}} \leqslant \epsilon$. Since $\epsilon$ was chosen arbitrarily and $\|x\|_{l^{p_{1}}}=1$, we have $\inf _{x \in l_{0}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)}\left\{\frac{\|M x\|_{p} p_{2}}{\|x\|_{l} p_{1}}\right\}=0$. Consequently, $M$ is not bounded below and $M$ has no bounded left inverses.

The cases $p_{1}=1$ and/or $p_{2}=\infty$ are proven similarly.
Proof of Theorem 2.2. Part 1 . Let $M=\left(m_{j^{\prime} j}\right): l_{s_{1}}^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right) \rightarrow l_{s_{2}}^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2, part 1 . Suppose that $M=\left(m_{j^{\prime} j}\right): l_{s_{1}}^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right) \rightarrow l_{s_{2}}^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ has a bounded left inverse. This clearly implies that

$$
\tilde{M}=\left(\tilde{m}_{j^{\prime} j}\right)=\left(m_{j^{\prime} j}\left(1+\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{s_{2}}\left(1+\|j\|_{\infty}\right)^{-s_{1}}\right): l^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right) \rightarrow l^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)
$$

has a bounded left inverse. But this contradicts Theorem 2.1 because for $\lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty}>K_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\tilde{m}_{j^{\prime} j}\right| & \left.=\mid m_{j^{\prime} j}\left(1+\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{s_{2}}\left(1+\|j\|_{\infty}\right)^{-s_{1}}\right) \mid \\
& \leqslant w\left(\lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty}\right)\left(1+\|j\|_{\infty}\right)^{r_{1}-s_{1}}\left(1+\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{r_{2}+s_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\quad \delta \geqslant 0, \quad r_{1}-s_{1}+\delta>0, \quad \frac{d}{p_{2}}+r_{1}+r_{2}-s_{1}+s_{2}+\delta>0, \quad$ and $\quad w(x)=$ $\mathrm{o}\left(x^{-\left(\frac{1}{q_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}\right) d-r_{1}-r_{2}+s_{1}-s_{2}-\delta}\right)$.

Part 2. The matrix $M: l_{s_{1}}^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right) \rightarrow l_{s_{2}}^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ has a bounded right inverse if and only if its adjoint $M^{*}: l_{s_{2}}^{p_{2}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right) \rightarrow l_{s_{1}}^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ has a bounded left inverse. The conditions on $M$ in Theorem 2.2, part 2 , are equivalent to the conditions on $M^{*}$ in Theorem 2.2, part 1 . The result follows.

## 3. Applications

Before formulating applications of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we give a brief account of the concepts from time-frequency analysis that are relevant to this section. For additional background on time-frequency analysis and, in particular, Gabor frames see [11].

### 3.1. Time-frequency analysis and Gabor frames

The Fourier transform of a function $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is given by $\widehat{f}(\gamma)=\int f(x) \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} x \cdot \gamma} \mathrm{~d} x, \gamma \in$ $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}$, where $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}$ is the dual group of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Aside from notation, $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}=\mathbb{R}^{d}$. The Fourier transform can be extended to act unitarily on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and isomorphically on the dual space of the Frechet
space consisting of Schwarz class functions $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, that is, on the space of tempered distributions $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \supset \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

The translation operator $T_{y}: \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, is given by $\left(T_{y} f\right)(x)=f(x-$ $y), x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, and the modulation operator $M_{\xi}: \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is given by $\left(M_{\xi} f\right)(x)=$ $\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{ix} \mathrm{\xi}} f(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Both extend isomorphically to $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and so does their composition, the so-called time-frequency shift operator $\pi(z)=\pi(y, \xi)=T_{y} M_{\xi}, z=(y, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}$. Note that the adjoint operator $\pi(z)^{*}$ of $\pi(z)=\pi(y, \xi)$ is $\pi(z)^{*}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} y \xi} \pi(-z)$.

The short-time Fourier transform $V_{g} f$ of $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with respect to a window function $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ is given by

$$
V_{g} f(z)=\langle f, \pi(z) g\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) \overline{g(x-y)} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i}(x-y) \cdot \xi} \mathrm{d} x, \quad z=(y, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}
$$

We have $V_{g} f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}\right)$ and $\left\|V_{g} f\right\|_{L^{2}}=\|f\|_{L^{2}}\|g\|_{L^{2}}$.
A central goal in Gabor analysis is to find $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and full rank lattices $\Lambda=A \mathbb{Z}^{2 d} \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d \times 2 d}$ full rank, which allow the discretization of the formula $\left\|V_{g} f\right\|_{L^{2}}=$ $\|f\|_{L^{2}}\|g\|_{L^{2}}$. That is, for which $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and for which full rank lattices $\Lambda$ does $A, B>0$ exist with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leqslant \sum_{z \in \Lambda}\left|V_{g} f(z)\right|^{2} \leqslant B\|f\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \quad f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) ? \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (2) is satisfied, then $(g, \Lambda)=\{\pi(z) g\}_{z \in \Lambda}$ is called Gabor frame for the Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. More recently, the question posed above has also been considered for less structured or even unstructured sequences $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}$ in place of full rank lattice $\Lambda$ [12-17].

To generalize the Hilbert space frame concept (2) to Banach spaces, we adopt the definition of $p$-frames from [18].

Definition 3.1. The Banach space valued sequence $\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \subseteq X^{\prime}, d \in \mathbb{N}$, is an $l_{s}^{p}$-frame for the Banach space $X, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$, if the analysis operator $C_{\mathscr{F}}: X \longrightarrow l_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right), f \mapsto$ $\left\{\left\langle f, g_{j}\right\rangle\right\}_{j}$, is bounded and bounded below, that is, if $A, B>0$ exist with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\|f\|_{X} \leqslant\left\|\left\{\left\langle f, g_{j}\right\rangle\right\}\right\|_{l_{s}^{p}} \leqslant B\|f\|_{X}, \quad f \in X \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in the Hilbert space setting $X=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $l_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)=l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)$ discussed above, (2) necessitates that $C_{\mathscr{F}}$ has a bounded left inverse, while in Banach spaces condition (3) alone does not guarantee the existence of a bounded left inverse. Therefore, the existence of a bounded left inverse of $C_{\mathscr{F}}$ is included in the definition of the standard generalization of frames to Banach frames in Banach spaces [19,20,21].

Analogous to Definition 3.1 we state a generalization of the Riesz basis concept in the Banach space setting.

Definition 3.2. A sequence $\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \subseteq X, d \in \mathbb{N}$, is called $l_{s}^{p}$-Riesz basis in the Banach space $X, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$, if the synthesis operator $D_{\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j}}: l_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right) \longrightarrow X,\left\{c_{j}\right\}_{j} \mapsto \sum_{j} c_{j} g_{j}$, is bounded and bounded below, that is, if $A, B>0$ exist with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left\|\left\{c_{j}\right\}_{j}\right\|_{l_{s}^{p}} \leqslant\left\|\sum_{j} c_{j} g_{j}\right\|_{X} \leqslant B\left\|\left\{c_{j}\right\}_{j}\right\|_{l_{s}^{p}}, \quad\left\{c_{j}\right\}_{j} \in l_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for any $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}, l_{s}^{p}$-Riesz bases form unconditional bases for their closed linear span. This follows directly from (4) and Definition 12.3 .1 and Lemma 12.3.6 in [11].

The Banach spaces of interest to us are so-called modulation spaces which we shall describe now (see also [11,22,23]).

Clearly, $V_{g} f(z)=\langle f, \pi(z) g\rangle, z \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}$, is well defined whenever $g \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $f \in$ $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ (or vice versa). This together with $\left\|V_{g} f\right\|_{L^{2}}=\|g\|_{L^{2}}\|f\|_{L^{2}}$ in the $L^{2}$-case motivates the following. We let $g=\mathfrak{g} \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be an $L^{2}$-normalized Gaussian, that is, $\mathfrak{g}(x)=2^{\frac{d}{4}} \mathrm{e}^{-\pi\|x\|_{2}^{2}}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, and define the modulation space $M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, by

$$
M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=\left\{f \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right): V_{\mathfrak{g}} f \in L_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}\right)\right\}
$$

We equip $M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with the Banach space norm

$$
\|f\|_{M_{s}^{p}}=\left\|V_{\mathfrak{g}} f\right\|_{L_{s}^{p}}=\left(\int\left|(1+\|z\|)^{s} V_{\mathfrak{g}} f(z)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} z\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty, \quad 1 \leqslant p<\infty
$$

with the usual adjustment for $p=\infty$. The discussion above shows that $M_{0}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with identical norms.

Example 3.3. For $\lambda<1$, $\left(\mathfrak{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)$ is an $l^{2}$-frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ [24,25]. Since $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset$ $M_{t}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for all $t \geqslant 0$, Theorem 20 in [17] implies that $\left(\mathfrak{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)$ is an $l_{s}^{p}$-frames for $M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. The so-called Wexler-Raz identity implies that for $\lambda>1,\left(\mathfrak{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)$ is an $l^{2}$-Riesz basis in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)[11,26]$. Hence, $D_{\left(\mathrm{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)}: l^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right) \longrightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ has a bounded left inverse of the form $C_{\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)}$ where the so-called dual function $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ [27]. The operator $C_{\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)}$ is a bounded operator mapping $M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to $l_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)$. This implies that $D_{\left(\mathfrak{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)}$ has a left inverse and $\left(\mathfrak{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)$ is an $l_{s}^{p}$-Riesz basis in $M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$.

### 3.2. Density results for Gabor type $l_{s}^{p}$-frames in modulation spaces

One of the central results in Gabor analysis is the fact that $(g, \Lambda), g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, cannot be a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if the measure of a fundamental domain of the full rank lattice $\Lambda$ is larger than 1 (see [28] and references within, in particular [29,30]). This result was first generalized to less structured or even unstructured sequences $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}$ in $[12,13,14]$. They require an alternative definition of density [ $15,28,31]$.

Definition 3.4. Let $Q_{R}=[-R, R]^{2 d} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}$ and let $\Gamma$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}$. Then

$$
D^{-}(\Gamma)=\liminf _{R \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}} \frac{\left|\Gamma \cap Q_{R}+z\right|}{(2 R)^{2 d}} \quad \text { and } \quad D^{+}(\Gamma)=\limsup _{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}} \frac{\left|\Gamma \cap Q_{R}+z\right|}{(2 R)^{2 d}}
$$

are called lower and upper Beurling density of $\Gamma$. If $D^{+}(\Gamma)=D^{-}(\Gamma)$, then $\Gamma$ is said to have uniform density $D(\Gamma)=D^{+}(\Gamma)=D^{-}(\Gamma)$.

Remark 3.5. The density of a sequence $\Gamma$ does not always equal the density of its range set. For example, the density of the sequence

$$
\{\ldots,-2,-2,-1,-1,0,0,1,1,2,2,3,3, \ldots\}
$$

in $\mathbb{R}$ is 2 , while the density of the range of the sequence, namely of $\mathbb{Z}$, is 1 .


Fig. 1. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.6. We choose $\lambda>1$ so that $\left(\mathfrak{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)$ is an $l_{s}^{p}$-Riesz basis in $M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Consequently, $D_{\left(\mathfrak{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)}$ is bounded below. Theorem 2.2 applied to $M=C_{(g, \Gamma)} \circ D_{\left(\mathfrak{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)}$ shows that $M$ is not bounded below. This implies that $C_{(g, \Gamma)}$ is not bounded below and, therefore, does not have a bounded left inverse.

In [32], it was shown that if $(g, \Gamma), g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}$, is an $l^{2}$-frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=$ $M_{0}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, then $1 \leqslant D^{-}(\Gamma) \leqslant D^{+}(\Gamma)<\infty$, a result that has recently been refined by Theorems 3 and 5 in [16]. For $l_{s}^{p}$-frames for $M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, Theorem 2.2 implies the following:

Theorem 3.6. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $g \in M_{2 d}^{\infty}$ if $s<0$ and $p \neq \infty$ and $g \in M_{2 d+\delta}^{\infty}, \delta>$ $s, 0$, else. If $(g, \Gamma)$ is an $l_{s}^{p}$-frame for $M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, then $D^{+}(\Gamma) \geqslant 1$.

Proof. See Fig. 1 for an illustration of the following arguments. Let $\Gamma$ be given with $D^{+}(\Gamma)<1$. We choose $\lambda>1$ with $1>\lambda^{-4 d}>D^{+}(\Gamma)$ and $R_{0}>0$ with

$$
\left|\Gamma \cap Q_{R}\right|<\sup _{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}}\left|\Gamma \cap Q_{R}+z\right|<\lambda^{-4 d}(2 R)^{2 d}, \quad R>R_{0} .
$$

Since $D^{+}(\Gamma)<\infty$, the sequence $\Gamma$ has no accumulation points and we can enumerate the sequence $\Gamma$ by $\mathbb{Z}^{2 d}$ so that $\left\|\gamma_{j^{\prime}}\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant\left\|\gamma_{j^{\prime \prime}}\right\|_{\infty}$ implies $\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant\left\|j^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}$ for $j^{\prime}, j^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}$.

Now observe that if $\gamma_{j^{\prime}} \in Q_{R}$ for $R>R_{0}$, then $\gamma_{j^{\prime \prime}} \in Q_{R}$ for all $j^{\prime \prime}$ with $\left\|j^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$, a condition which is satisfied by $\left(2\left(\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-1\right)+1\right)^{2 d}=\left(2\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-1\right)^{2 d}$ indices. As $Q_{R}$ contains at most $\lambda^{-4 d}(2 R)^{2 d}$ points, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{j^{\prime}} \notin Q_{R} \quad \text { if }\left(2\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-1\right)^{2 d} \geqslant \lambda^{-4 d}(2 R)^{2 d}, \quad R>R_{0} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the inequality in (5) for $R$, we obtain in particular that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{j^{\prime}} \notin Q_{\lambda^{2}\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}} \quad \text { for } \lambda^{2}\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}>R_{0} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{(g, \Gamma)} \circ D_{\left(\mathfrak{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)}: l_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right) \longrightarrow l_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right), \\
& \quad\left\{c_{j}\right\}_{j} \mapsto\left\{\sum_{j} c_{j}\left\langle\pi(\lambda j) h, \pi\left(\gamma_{j^{\prime}}\right) g\right\rangle\right\}=M\left\{c_{j}\right\}_{j},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $M=\left(m_{j^{\prime} j}\right)$ and $\left|m_{j^{\prime} j}\right|=\left|\left\langle\pi(\lambda j) h, \pi\left(\gamma_{j^{\prime}}\right) g\right\rangle\right|=\left|V_{g} h\left(\gamma_{j^{\prime}}-\lambda j\right)\right|$.
Using (6) we observe that for $\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}>\frac{R_{0}}{\lambda^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\left\|\gamma_{j^{\prime}}-\lambda j\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant \lambda^{2}\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}-\|\lambda j\|_{\infty}=\lambda\left(\lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty}-\frac{\lambda}{2}\right),
$$

and so

$$
\left|m_{j^{\prime} j}\right|=\left|\left\langle\pi(\lambda j) \mathfrak{g}, \pi\left(\gamma_{j^{\prime}}\right) g\right\rangle\right|=\left|V_{g} \mathfrak{g}\left(\gamma_{j^{\prime}}-\lambda j\right)\right| \leqslant w\left(\lambda\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty}\right)
$$

where

$$
w(\|z\|)=(1+\|z\|)^{-2 d-\delta} \sup _{\tilde{z}}\left((1+\|\tilde{z}\|)^{2 d+\delta}\left|V_{g} \mathfrak{g}(\tilde{z})\right|\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}
$$

Theorem 2.2 now implies that $C_{(g, \Gamma)} \circ D_{\left(\mathfrak{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)}$ is not bounded below. Since $D_{\left(\mathrm{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)}$ is bounded below, we conclude that $C_{(g, \Gamma)}$ is not bounded below. This completes the proof.

Note that the last lines in the proof of Theorem 3.6 can be modified to apply to time-frequency molecules which we shall consider in the following.

Definition 3.7. A sequence $\left\{g_{j^{\prime}}\right\}_{j^{\prime}}$ of functions consists of time-frequency molecules that are ( $v, r_{1}, r_{2}$ )-localized at $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{j^{\prime}}\right\}_{j^{\prime}}$ if

$$
\left|V_{\mathfrak{g}} g_{j^{\prime}}(z)\right| \leqslant\left(1+\|z\|_{\infty}\right)^{r_{1}}\left(1+\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{r_{2}} w\left(\left\|z-\gamma_{j^{\prime}}\right\|_{\infty}\right), \quad w=\mathrm{o}\left(x^{-v}\right)
$$

If $r_{1}=r_{2}=0$, then we simply speak of time-frequency molecules that are $v$-localized at $\Gamma$.
Note that if $\left\{g_{j^{\prime}}\right\}_{j^{\prime}} \subseteq\left(M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)^{\prime}$ is $\left(v, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$-localized, then, by definition, $\left\{g_{j^{\prime}}\right\}_{j^{\prime}} \subseteq$ $M_{v-r_{1}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and, consequently, if $v-r_{1}>2 d$ we have $\left\{g_{j^{\prime}}\right\}_{j^{\prime}} \subseteq M^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, a fact which we take into consideration when stating the hypotheses of Theorems 3.8 and 3.9

Related concepts of localization were introduced in $[1,17,15,16]$ to obtain density results and to describe the time-frequency localization of dual frames of irregular Gabor frames, see also Remark 3.11.

Theorem 3.8. If $\left\{g_{j^{\prime}}\right\}_{j^{\prime}} \subseteq\left(M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{v-r_{1}}^{\infty}, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$, is an $l_{s}^{p}$-frame for $M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ which is $\left(v, r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$-localized at $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{j^{\prime}}\right\}_{j^{\prime}}$ with $\delta-s, v-r_{1}-r_{2}-2 d-\delta, r_{1}+\frac{2 d}{p}+\delta>0$, and $\delta \geqslant 0$, then $D^{+}(\Gamma) \geqslant 1$.

Note that Theorem 9 in [16] states that if $\left\{g_{j^{\prime}}\right\}$ is an $l^{2}$-frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ which consists of time-frequency molecules $d+\delta$-localized at $\Gamma, \delta>0$, then necessarily $1 \leqslant D^{-}(\Gamma)$. Below, we show that the heuristics underlying the proof of Theorem 2.1 can be used to obtain some of the density results given above with $D^{+}(\Gamma)$ being replaced by the less restrictive $D^{-}(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 3.9. If $\left\{g_{j^{\prime}}\right\}_{j^{\prime}} \subseteq M^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is an $l^{p}$-frame for $M^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, which is $2 d+\delta$ localized at $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{j^{\prime}}\right\}_{j^{\prime}}$ with $D^{+}(\Gamma)<\infty$ and $\delta>0$, then $D^{-}(\Gamma) \geqslant 1$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left\{g_{j^{\prime}}\right\}_{j^{\prime}}$ is an $l_{s}^{p}$-frame for $M^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ which is $2 d+\delta$-localized at $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{j^{\prime}}\right\}_{j^{\prime}}$, $D^{-}(\Gamma)<1$. For $z_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ and $0<\alpha_{3}<1$ chosen below, we shall consider the Gabor system $\left\{\pi\left(\alpha_{3}^{-1} j+z_{0}\right) \mathfrak{g}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}}$ which is an $l^{p}$-Riesz basis for $M^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ (see Example 3.3). We shall show that $\left\{g_{j^{\prime}}\right\}$ is not an $l^{p}$-frame by arguing that

$$
\inf _{x \in l^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)} \frac{\left\|C_{\left\{g_{j^{\prime}}\right\}} \circ D_{\left\{\pi\left(\alpha_{3}^{-1} j+z_{0}\right) \mathfrak{9}\right\}} x\right\|_{l^{p}}}{\|x\|_{l^{p}}}=0 .
$$

To this end, fix $\epsilon>0$. We first assume $1<p<\infty$.

Since $D^{+}(\Gamma)<\infty$, there exist $\alpha_{1} \geqslant 1$ and $\widetilde{R_{0}} \geqslant 1$ with $\infty>\alpha_{1}^{2 d}>D^{+}(\Gamma) \geqslant 0$ and

$$
\left|\Gamma \cap Q_{R}+z\right| \leqslant \alpha_{1}^{2 d}(2 R)^{2 d}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}, R \geqslant \widetilde{R_{0}}
$$

Further, we can pick $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}>\frac{1}{2}$ with $D^{-}(\Gamma)<\alpha_{2}^{2 d}<\alpha_{3}^{2 d}<1$, and select $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$
\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1}\left(\left(1+\frac{1}{n_{0}}\right)^{2 d}-1\right)^{-2 d}<\alpha_{3}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n_{0}}\right)^{2 d}
$$

We now choose a monotonically decreasing function $w$ with $w(x)=\mathrm{o}\left(x^{-2 d-\delta}\right)$ and $\left|V_{\mathfrak{g}} g_{j^{\prime}}(z)\right| \leqslant$ $w\left(\left\|z-\gamma_{j^{\prime}}\right\|_{\infty}\right)$. As demonstrated in the proof of Theorem 2.1, $w(x)=\mathrm{o}\left(x^{-2 d-\delta}\right), \delta>0$, allows us to pick $\widetilde{K}_{2}$ such that for all $K_{2} \geqslant \widetilde{K}_{2}$

$$
\left(2^{2 d} 2 d\right)^{\frac{p}{q}+1} \sum_{K \geqslant K_{2}} K^{2 d-1}\left(\sum_{k \geqslant \frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}} K} k^{2 d-1} w(k)^{q}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}<\epsilon^{p} .
$$

Also, there exist $R_{0}, N_{0}=\left\lceil\alpha_{3} R_{0}\right\rceil$ such that

- there exists $z_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{d}{ }^{\text {with }}\left|Q_{R_{0}}+z_{0} \cap \Gamma\right| \leqslant \alpha_{2}^{2 d}\left(2 R_{0}\right)^{2 d}$;
- $R_{0} \geqslant \widetilde{R_{0}} n_{0} ; N_{0} \geqslant n_{0}, \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}} \widetilde{R_{0}}$;
- $\left(5 \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{3}} R_{0}\right)^{2 d} w\left(\frac{R_{0}}{n_{0}}-2\right)<\epsilon$;
- $K_{1}=N_{0}-1-\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil>1$;
- $K_{2}=2\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{3}} N_{0}-\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil\right) \geqslant \widetilde{K}_{2}, K_{1}$.

The sequence $\Gamma$ has no accumulation point since $D^{+}(\Gamma)<\infty$. This implies that we can choose an enumeration of the sequence $\Gamma$ by $\mathbb{Z}^{2 d}$ with $\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left\|j^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}$ if $\left\|\gamma_{j^{\prime}}-z_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left\|\gamma_{j^{\prime \prime}}-z_{0}\right\|_{\infty}$, $j^{\prime}, j^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}$. As mentioned earlier, we set $\mathfrak{g}_{j}=\pi\left(\alpha_{3}^{-1} j+z_{0}\right) \mathfrak{g}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}$ and $M=\left(m_{j^{\prime} j}\right)=$ ( $\left.\left\langle g_{j^{\prime}}, \mathfrak{g}_{j}\right\rangle\right)$.

The matrix $\widetilde{M}=\left(m_{j^{\prime} j}\right)_{\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant N_{0}-1,\|j\| \leqslant N_{0}}: \mathbb{C}^{\left(2 N_{0}+1\right)^{d}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\left(2 N_{0}-1\right)^{d}}$ has a nontrivial kernel, so we may choose $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{\left(2 N_{0}+1\right)^{d}}$ with $\|\tilde{x}\|_{p}=1$ and $\tilde{M} \tilde{x}=0$. Define as before $x \in l_{0}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ according to $x_{j}=\tilde{x}_{j}$ if $\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N_{0}$ and $x_{j}=0$ otherwise.

To estimate the contributions of each $\left|(M x)_{j^{\prime}}\right|, j^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}$, to $\|M x\|_{l^{p}}$, we consider three cases.

Case 1. $\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{1}=N_{0}-1$. This implies $(M x)_{j^{\prime}}=0$ by construction.
Case 2. $\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{1}<\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{2}$. Observe that the set $Q_{R_{0}+\frac{R_{0}}{n_{0}}}+z_{0} \backslash Q_{R_{0}}+$ $z_{0}$ consists of a finite number of hypercubes of width $\frac{R_{0}}{n_{0}} \geqslant \widetilde{R_{0}}$. This allows us to estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|Q_{R_{0}+\frac{R_{0}}{n_{0}}}+z_{0} \cap \Gamma\right| & \leqslant \alpha_{2}^{2 d}\left(2 R_{0}\right)^{2 d}+\alpha_{1}^{2 d}\left(\left(2\left(R_{0}+\frac{R_{0}}{n_{0}}\right)\right)^{2 d}-\left(2 R_{0}\right)^{2 d}\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(2 R_{0}\right)^{2 d}\left(\alpha_{2}^{2 d}+\alpha_{1}^{2 d}\left(\left(1+\frac{1}{n_{0}}\right)^{2 d}-1\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant\left(2 \alpha_{3}^{-1} N_{0}\right)^{2 d} \alpha_{3}^{2 d}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n_{0}}\right)^{2 d} \\
& \leqslant\left(2 N_{0}-\frac{2 N_{0}}{2 n_{0}}\right)^{2 d} \leqslant\left(2 N_{0}-1\right)^{2 d}
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.6 we conclude that for any $j^{\prime}$ with $\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant N_{0}=\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{1}+1$, we have $\gamma_{j}^{\prime} \notin Q_{R_{0}+\frac{R_{0}}{n_{0}}}+z_{0}$ and, therefore, for $\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N_{0}=\left\lceil\alpha_{3} R_{0}\right\rceil$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\alpha_{3}^{-1} j+z_{0}-\gamma_{j^{\prime}}\right\|_{\infty} & =\left\|\left(\gamma_{j^{\prime}}-z_{0}\right)-\alpha_{3}^{-1} j\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant R_{0}+\frac{R_{0}}{n_{0}}-\alpha_{3}^{-1}\left\lceil\alpha_{3} R_{0}\right\rceil \\
& \geqslant \frac{R_{0}}{n_{0}}-\alpha_{3}^{-1} \geqslant \frac{R_{0}}{n_{0}}-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left|m_{j^{\prime} j}\right|=\left|\left\langle g_{j^{\prime}}, \mathfrak{g}_{j}\right\rangle\right|=\left|V_{\mathfrak{g}} g_{j^{\prime}}\left(\alpha_{3}^{-1} j+z_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant w\left(\left\|\alpha_{3}^{-1} j+z_{0}-\gamma_{j^{\prime}}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \leqslant w\left(\frac{R_{0}}{n_{0}}-2\right)
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\left. M x\right|_{\left\{j^{\prime}:\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{1}<\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{2}\right\} \|_{p}^{p}} ^{p} \sum_{\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{1}<\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{2}}\left|\sum_{\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N_{0}} m_{j^{\prime} j} x_{j}\right|^{p} \\
&=\sum_{\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{1}<\left\|j^{\prime}\right\| \infty \leqslant\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{2}}\left(\sum_{\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N_{0}}\left|m_{j^{\prime} j}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}\|\tilde{x}\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \leqslant w\left(\frac{R_{0}}{n_{0}}-2\right)^{p} \sum_{\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{1}<\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{2}}\left(2 N_{0}+1\right)^{2 d \frac{p}{q}} \sum_{\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N_{0}}\left|x_{j}\right|^{p} \\
& \leqslant w\left(\frac{R_{0}}{n_{0}}-2\right)^{p}\left(2 \cdot 2 \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{3}} N_{0}+1\right)^{2 d}\left(2 N_{0}+1\right)^{2 d \frac{p}{q}} \\
& \leqslant w\left(\frac{R_{0}}{n_{0}}-2\right)^{p}\left(5 \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{3}} R_{0}\right)^{2 d\left(1+\frac{p}{q}\right)} \leqslant \epsilon^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

Case 3. $\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{2}<\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$. We set $N=\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$ and obtain $\alpha_{1}^{-1}\left(N-\frac{1}{2}\right) \geqslant \alpha_{1}^{-1}\left(\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+\right.$ $\left.K_{2}+1-\frac{1}{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}} N_{0} \geqslant \widetilde{R_{0}}$, and, hence,

$$
\left|\Gamma \cap Q_{\alpha_{1}^{-1}\left(N-\frac{1}{2}\right)}+z_{0}\right| \leqslant \alpha_{1}^{2 d}\left(2 \alpha_{1}^{-1}\left(N-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{2 d}=(2 N-1)^{2 d}
$$

As seen in the proof of Theorem 3.6, this implies $\gamma_{j^{\prime}} \notin Q_{\alpha_{1}^{-1}\left(\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}+z_{0}$. Similarly as in Case 2., we fix $j^{\prime}, K$ with $\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K, K>K_{2}$, and conclude that for $\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\alpha_{3}^{-1} j+z_{0}-\gamma_{j^{\prime}}\right\|_{\infty} & =\left\|\left(\gamma_{j^{\prime}}-z_{0}\right)-\alpha_{3}^{-1} j\right\|_{\infty} \geqslant \alpha_{1}^{-1}\left(\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\alpha_{3}^{-1}\|j\|_{\infty} \\
& \geqslant \frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{1}}\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty}-\frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geqslant \frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{1}}\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+2 \frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}} K-N_{0}-\frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}} \\
& \geqslant \frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}}\left(K-2\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{3}} N_{0}-\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil\right)-1\right)+\frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}} K \geqslant \frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}} K .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(M x)_{j^{\prime}}\right|^{q} & =\left|\sum_{\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N_{0}} m_{j^{\prime} j} x_{j}\right|^{q} \leqslant\|x\|_{p}^{q} \sum_{\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N_{0}}\left|m_{j^{\prime} j}\right|^{q} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{\|j\|_{\infty} \leqslant N_{0}} w\left(\frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{1}}\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}-\|j\|_{\infty}-\frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}}\right)^{q} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{\|j\|_{\infty} \geqslant \frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}} K} w\left(\|j\|_{\infty}\right)^{q}=\sum_{k \geqslant \frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}} K} 2(2 d)(2 k)^{2 d-1} w(k)^{q} \\
& =2^{2 d} 2 d \sum_{k \geqslant \frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}} K} k^{2 d-1} w(k)^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we compute

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\left\|j^{\prime}\right\| \infty>\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{2}} \mid(M x)_{\left.j^{\prime}\right|^{p}}^{p} \\
& \leqslant\left(2^{2 d} 2 d\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \sum_{\left\|j^{\prime}\right\| \infty \geqslant\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{2}}\left(\sum_{k \geqslant \frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}}\left\|j^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}} k^{2 d-1} w(k)^{q}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\
& \leqslant\left(2^{2 d} 2 d\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \sum_{K \geqslant\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{2}} 2(2 d)(2 K)^{2 d-1}\left(\sum_{k \geqslant \frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}} K} k^{2 d-1} w(k)^{q}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\
& \leqslant\left(2^{2 d} 2 d\right)^{\frac{p}{q}+1} \sum_{K \geqslant\left\lceil\alpha_{2} N_{0}\right\rceil+K_{2}} K^{2 d-1}\left(\sum_{k \geqslant \frac{\alpha_{3}}{2 \alpha_{1}} K} k^{2 d-1} w(k)^{q_{2}}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leqslant \epsilon^{p} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

by hypothesis. Clearly, (7) and (8) give $\|M x\|_{l^{p}} \leqslant 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \epsilon$ which completes the proof for $1<p<\infty$. The cases $p=1$ and $p=\infty$ are proven similarly.

Remark 3.10. If $\left\{g_{j}\right\}=(g, \Gamma)$ and the analysis operator $C_{(g, \Gamma)}$ is bounded, then $D^{+}(\Gamma)<\infty$ follows [32]. If $\left\{g_{j}\right\}$ is only assumed to consist of $\Gamma$ localized time-frequency molecules, then boundedness of $C_{\left\{g_{j}\right\}}$ does not imply $D^{+}(\Gamma)<\infty$. For example, consider $\left\{g_{j}\right\}=\left\{2^{-j} \mathfrak{g}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Remark 3.11. Theorem 9 in [16] implies that time-frequency molecules $\left\{g_{j}\right\}$ which are $v$-localized at $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{j}\right\}, v>d$, and which generate an $l^{2}$-frame for $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $1 \leqslant D^{-}(\Gamma) \leqslant D^{+}(\Gamma)$. Further, Theorem 22 in [17] states that if $v>d$ is replaced by $v>2 d+s$, then being an $l^{2}$-frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is equivalent to being an $l_{s}^{p}$-frame for $M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for all $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and all $s \geqslant 0$. This
result alone implies neither Theorem 3.8 nor Theorem 3.9 as these only assume that $\left\{g_{j}\right\}$ is an $l_{s}^{p}$-frame for $M_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for some $p$ and $s$. Under stronger conditions on $v$, [1] fills this gap. Namely, Theorem 3.1 and Example 3.1 in [1] show that if $v>(2 d+1)^{2}+2 d$ and if $\left\{g_{j}\right\}$ is for one $p$, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, an $l^{p}$-frame for $M^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ which is $v$-localized at $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{j}\right\}$, then $\left\{g_{j}\right\}$ is an $l^{p}$ frame for $M^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for all $p$ and, therefore, also for the well-studied case $p=2$ [16]. This result implies Theorem 3.9 for $v>(2 d+1)^{2}+2 d$.

### 3.3. Identification of operators with bandlimited Kohn-Nirenberg symbols

A central goal in applied sciences is to identify a partially known operator $H$ from a single input-output pair $(g, H g)$. We refer to an operator class $\mathscr{H}$ as identifiable, if there exists an element $g$ in the domain of all $H \in \mathscr{H}$ that induces a map $\Phi_{g}: \mathscr{H} \longrightarrow Y, H \mapsto H g$, which is bounded and bounded below as a map between Banach spaces.

In [8,9], special cases of Theorem 2.2 played crucial roles in showing that classes of pseudodifferential operators with Kohn-Nirenberg symbols bandlimited to a rectangular domain $\left[-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right] \times$ $\left[-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right]$ are not identifiable if $a b>1$. The bandlimitation of a Kohn-Nirenberg symbol to a rectangular domain $\left[-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right] \times\left[-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right]$ can be expressed by a corresponding support condition on the operators' so-called spreading function $\eta_{H} \cdot{ }^{1}$ Analogously, we consider here operators $H: D \longrightarrow M_{s}^{p}(\mathbb{R}), D \subseteq M^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, included in

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{H}_{s}^{p}\left(\left[-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right] \times\left[-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right]\right) \\
& \quad=\left\{H=\int_{\left[-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right] \times\left[-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right]} \eta_{H}(z) \pi(z) \mathrm{d} z, \eta_{H} \in M_{s}^{p}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}})\right\} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and with norm $\|H\|_{\mathscr{H}_{s}^{p}}=\left\|\eta_{H}\right\|_{M_{s}^{p}}$. The integral in (9) is defined weakly using $\langle H f, h\rangle=$ $\left\langle\eta_{H}, V_{h} f\right\rangle^{2}$ [9]. In [8] the following was shown.

Theorem 3.12. There is $g \in M^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\Phi_{g}: \mathscr{H}_{0}^{2}\left(\left[-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right] \times\left[-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right]\right) \longrightarrow M_{0}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ bounded and bounded below if and only if $a b \leqslant 1$.

Note that $\mathscr{H}_{0}^{1}\left(\left[-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right] \times\left[-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right]\right)$ consists of Hilbert-Schmidt operators and the norm \|. $\|_{\mathscr{H}_{0}^{2}}$ is equivalent to the Hilbert-Schmidt space norm.

The main result in [9] is the following:
Theorem 3.13. If $a b<1$, then there is $g \in M^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\Phi_{g}: \mathscr{H}_{0}^{\infty}\left(\left[-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right] \times\left[-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right]\right) \longrightarrow$ $M_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ bounded and bounded below, while if ab>1 then no such $g \in M^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ exists.

Here, we use the generality of Theorem 2.2 to obtain:
Theorem 3.14. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. For ab>1, no $g \in M^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ exists with $\Phi_{g}$ : $\mathscr{H}_{s}^{p}\left(\left[-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right] \times\left[-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right]\right) \longrightarrow M_{s}^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ bounded and bounded below.
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Fig. 2. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.14. We choose a structured operator family $\left\{P_{j}\right\} \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{s}^{p}$ so that the corresponding synthesis map $D_{\left\{P_{j}\right\}}:\left\{c_{j}\right\} \longrightarrow \sum c_{j} P_{j}$ has a bounded left inverse. Recall that $C_{\left(\mathfrak{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)}$ has a bounded left inverse for $\lambda<1$ as well. We then use Theorem 2.2 to show that for any $g \in M^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, the composition $M=C_{\left(\mathfrak{g}, \lambda \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}\right)} \circ \phi_{g} \circ D_{\left\{P_{j}\right\}}$ has no bounded left inverses. This implies that $\phi_{g}: \mathscr{H}_{s}^{p} \longrightarrow M_{s}^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ also has no bounded left inverses.

Sketch of proof. We assume $a=b$ and $a^{2}>1$. The general case $a b>1$ follows similarly. The goal is to show that for any $g \in M^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ which induces a bounded operator $\Phi_{g}$ : $\mathscr{H}_{s}^{p}\left(\left[-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right]^{2}\right) \longrightarrow M_{s}^{p}(\mathbb{R})$, the operator $\Phi_{g}$ is not bounded below (see Fig. 2).

To see this, we pick $\lambda>1$ with $1<\lambda^{4}<a^{2}$ and define a prototype operator $P \in \mathscr{H}_{s}^{p}\left(\left[-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right]^{2}\right)$ via its spreading function $\eta_{P}(t, v)=\eta(t) \eta(\nu)$ where $\eta$ is smooth, takes values in [0,1], and satisfies $\eta(t)=1$ for $|t-a / 2| \leqslant a / 2 \lambda$ and $\eta(t)=0$ for $|t-a / 2| \geqslant a / 2$.

The collection of functions $\left\{M_{\frac{\lambda}{a} j} \eta_{P}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}$ corresponds to the operator family $\left\{\pi\left(\frac{\lambda}{a} j\right) P \pi\left(\frac{\lambda}{a} j\right)^{*}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}$ [9]. Further, it forms a Riesz basis for its closed linear span in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}})$ and, for $c>0$ sufficiently large, the collection $\left\{\pi\left(\frac{\lambda}{a} j, \frac{1}{c} k\right) \eta_{P}\right\}_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}$ is a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ [11,33]. Arguing as in Example 3.3, we obtain a bounded left inverse of $D_{\left\{M_{\frac{\lambda}{a} j} \eta_{P}\right\}}: l_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow$ $M_{s}^{p}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}})$, thereby showing that $\left.D_{\left\{M_{\bar{\lambda}} j\right.} \eta_{P}\right\}$ and also the corresponding operator synthesis map $D_{\left\{P_{j}\right\}}: l_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_{s}^{p}(\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}})$ with $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{P}_{j}=\pi\left(\frac{\lambda}{a} j\right) P \pi\left(\frac{\lambda}{a} j\right)^{*}, j \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, are bounded below.

For any fixed $g \in M^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ which induces a bounded map $\Phi_{g}: \mathscr{H}_{s}^{p}\left(\left[-\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}\right]^{2}\right) \longrightarrow M_{s}^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ we consider the operator

$$
M=\left(m_{j j^{\prime}}\right)=C_{\left(\mathrm{g}, \frac{\lambda^{2}}{a}\right)} \circ \Phi_{g} \circ D_{\left\{P_{j}\right\}}: l_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow l_{s}^{p}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)
$$

We have $\left|m_{j j^{\prime}}\right|=\left|\left\langle\pi\left(\frac{\lambda}{a} j\right) P \pi\left(\frac{\lambda}{a} j\right)^{*} g, \pi\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{a} j^{\prime}\right) \mathfrak{g}\right\rangle\right|=\left|V_{\mathfrak{g}} P \pi\left(\frac{\lambda}{a} j\right)^{*} g\left(\frac{\lambda}{a}\left(\lambda j^{\prime}-j\right)\right)\right|$. In [8] it is shown that smoothness and compact support of $\eta_{P}$ implies that there exist nonnegative functions $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}$, decaying rapidly at infinity, such that for all $g \in M^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),|P g(x)| \leqslant\|g\|_{M^{\infty}} d_{1}(x)$ and $|\widehat{P g}(\xi)| \leqslant\|g\|_{M^{\infty} d_{2}(\xi) \text {. This implies that } V_{\mathfrak{g}} P \pi\left(\frac{\lambda}{a} j\right)^{*} g \text { decays rapidly and independently }}$ of $j$, a fact that allows us to apply Theorem 2.2 to show that $M$ is not bounded below. Since $\frac{\lambda^{2}}{a}<1$, Example 3.3 implies that $C_{\left(\mathfrak{g}, \frac{\lambda^{2}}{a}\right)}$ is bounded below. Also, $D_{\left\{P_{j}\right\}}$ is bounded below, implying that $\Phi_{g}$ cannot be bounded below. Since $g \in M^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ was chosen arbitrarily, the proof is complete.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ In fact, the spreading function of an operator is the symplectic Fourier transform of the operator's Kohn-Nirenberg symbol $[8,10]$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Here, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is taken to be linear in the first component and conjugate linear in the second.

