



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Algebra 294 (2005) 41–50

JOURNAL OF
Algebrawww.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

Centers of generic algebras with involution

Esther Beneish¹

Received 9 September 2004

Available online 5 October 2005

Communicated by Michel Van den Bergh

Abstract

Let F be an infinite field of characteristic different from 2. Let n be a positive integer, and let $V = M_n(F) \oplus M_n(F)$. The projective symplectic and orthogonal groups, PSp_n and PO_n , act on V by simultaneous conjugation. Results of Procesi and Rowen have shown that $F(V)^{PSp_n}$ and $F(V)^{PO_n}$ are the centers of the generic division algebras with symplectic and orthogonal involutions, respectively. Saltman has shown that $F(V)^{PSp_n}$ and $F(V)^{PO_n}$ are stably isomorphic over F for all n even, and that for all n odd $F(V)^{PO_n}$ is stably rational over F . Saltman has also shown that for all n for which the highest power of 2 dividing n is less than 8, $F(V)^{PSp_n}$ and therefore $F(V)^{PO_n}$ are stably rational over F . We show that the result is also true for all n for which the highest power of 2 dividing n is 8.

© 2005 Published by Elsevier Inc.

Keywords: Rationality; Flaque classes; Generic algebra; Symplectic and orthogonal groups

1. Introduction

Let F be an infinite field of characteristic different from 2. Let $V = M_n(F) \oplus M_n(F)$, then the general linear group GL_n acts on V by simultaneous conjugation, and since its center acts trivially, we obtain an action of the projective general linear group PGL_n on V . Let PO_n and PSp_n , the projective orthogonal and symplectic groups. Results of Procesi [7]

E-mail address: ebeneish@gmail.com.

¹ Partially supported by NSF grant #DMS-0244766.

and Rowen [8] show that $F(V)^{PSp_n}$ and $F(V)^{PO_n}$ are the centers of the generic division algebras of degree n with symplectic and orthogonal involutions, respectively.

Let G be a finite group and let F be a field. Given a ZG -lattice M , let $F[M]$ denote the group algebra of the abelian group M , and let $F(M)$ be its quotient field. There is an action of G on $F(M)$ via the G -action on M . Questions of rationality of $F(M)^G$, the fixed subfield of $F(M)$ under the action of G , are referred to as lattice invariant problems. The special case where $M = ZG$ is referred to as the Noether setting of G , and denoted by $F(G)$.

In [10] Saltman shows that $F(V)^{PSp_n}$ is stably isomorphic to the invariants of a certain lattice over the Weyl group, W , of PSp_n . This Weyl group is the wreath product of $Z/2Z$ by S_m , the symmetric group on m letters with $m = n/2$. Saltman also shows that $F(V)^{PSp_n}$ and $F(V)^{PO_n}$ are stably isomorphic over F for all n even, and that for all n odd $F(V)^{PO_n}$ is stably rational over F . Saltman further shows that $F(V)^{PSp_n}$, and thus $F(V)^{PO_n}$, is stably rational over F for all n for which the highest power of 2 dividing n is less than 8. The main result of this article is that $F(V)^{PSp_n}$, and thus $F(V)^{PO_n}$, are also stably rational over F for $n = 8s$ with s odd. The proof goes as follows. Let $n = 2m$, and let U_m be the standard rank m integral representation of S_m , and let I_m be defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_m \rightarrow U_m \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $D_2 = \text{Hom}(I_m/2I_m, F^*)$. In Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 we show that $F(V)^{PSp_n}$ is stably isomorphic to the invariants of the Noether setting of the group $D_2 \rtimes W$. In Theorem 3.4 we show that the invariants of the Noether settings of $D_2 \rtimes W$ and of $D_2 \rtimes S_m$ are stably isomorphic over F , and consequently $F(V)^{PSp_n}$ is stably isomorphic to the invariant of $F(D_2 \rtimes S_m)$. The main result, Theorem 3.5, now follows from Corollary 2.8, in which we show that the invariants of the Noether setting $D_2 \rtimes S_4$ are stably rational over F , from results of Katsylo and Schofield [5,9] on matrix invariants of composite size, and from Saltman’s result on the rationality of $F(V)^{PO_s}$ for s odd.

2. Preliminary results and definitions

Let G be a finite group and let F be a field. A ZG -lattice M is a finitely generated Z -free ZG -module and as in the introduction, $F(M)$ denotes the quotient field of the group algebra of the abelian group M . We let \mathcal{L}_G denote the category of ZG -lattices.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a finite group and let M be a ZG -lattice. M is said to be a permutation module if it has a Z -basis permuted by G . M is said to be stably permutation if there exist permutation modules P and P' such that $M \oplus P \cong P'$. M is said to be invertible or permutation projective if it is a direct summand of a permutation module. M is said to be quasi-permutation if there exists a ZG -exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$ with P and R permutation.

Let G be a finite group. An equivalence relation is defined on \mathcal{L}_G as follows. M and M' in \mathcal{L}_G are equivalent if there exist permutation modules P and P' such that $M \oplus P \cong$

$M' \oplus P'$. The set of equivalence classes forms an abelian monoid under the direct sum. The zero element is the class of all stably permutation lattices. The equivalence class of M will be denoted by $[M]$. For any integer n , $H^n(G, M)$ will denote the n th Tate cohomology group of G with coefficients in M . A ZG -lattice M is flasque if $H^{-1}(H, M) = 0$ for all subgroups H of G . A flasque resolution of M is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

with P permutation and E flasque. It follows directly from [4, Lemma 1.1], that any ZG -lattice has a flasque resolution. The flasque class of M is $[E]$, and will be denoted by $\phi(M)$. By [2, Lemma 5, Section 1] $\phi(M)$ is independent of the flasque resolution of M . The lattices whose flasque class is 0 are the quasi-permutation lattices.

Definition 2.2. Let L and K be extension fields of a field F , and let G be a finite subgroup of their groups of F -automorphisms. Then L and K are stably isomorphic as G -fields if there exist G -trivial indeterminates $x_1 \dots x_n$ and $y_1 \dots y_r$ such that $L(x_1 \dots x_n) \cong K(y_1 \dots y_r)$ as F -algebras, and the isomorphism respects their G -actions. If $F = K$ we also say that K is stably rational over F .

Notation 2.3. For any positive integer k , Z_k will denote Z/kZ . Henceforth $G = S_m$ the symmetric group on m letters unless otherwise specified, and F will be an infinite field of characteristic different from 2. We will denote by H the subgroup of G generated by S_{m-2} and the transposition $(m - 1, m)$.

Definition 2.4. We define the ZG -lattice U_m to be the standard rank m permutation representation of G , more precisely U_m has the set $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ as a Z -basis and for $g \in G$, $g(u_i) = u_{g(i)}$. We define B to be the sublattice of U_m with Z -basis $\{u_i + u_m : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Finally we define I_m by the following exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_m \rightarrow U_m \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 2.5. There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow U_m \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow 0$ where the map $U_m \rightarrow Z_2$ sends u_i to 1.

Lemma 2.6. Let $D_2 = \text{Hom}(I_m/2I_m, F^*)$. The field $F(B \otimes I_m)^G$ is stably isomorphic to the invariants of the Noether setting of the group $G' = D_2 \rtimes G$.

Proof. We tensor the exact sequence of Remark 2.5 by I_m to obtain

$$0 \rightarrow B \otimes I_m \rightarrow U_m \otimes I_m \rightarrow I_m/2I_m \rightarrow 0.$$

This sequence gives an embedding of $F(B \otimes I_m)$ into $F(U_m \otimes I_m)$ which respects the G -actions. By Galois theory $F(U_m \otimes I_m)$ is a Galois extension of $F(B \otimes I_m)$ with group D_2 . Now we have Galois extensions

$$F(B \otimes I_m)^G \subset F(B \otimes I_m) \subset F(U_m \otimes I_m)$$

and it is easy to see that the Galois group of $F(U_m \otimes I_m)$ over $F(B \otimes I_m)^G$ is G' . Since the action of G' on $F(U_m \otimes I_m)$ is faithful and F -linear, $F(U_m \otimes I_m)$ is stably isomorphic to $F(G')$ as G' -fields by [1, Lemma 1.3] and the result follows. \square

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be the symmetric group on 4 letters. The flasque class of the ZG-lattice $B \otimes I_4$ is equal to 0.*

Proof. There is a map from $U_4 \otimes U_4$ to B sending $u_i \otimes u_j$ to $u_i - u_j$ if $i \neq j$ and $u_i \otimes u_i$ to $2u_i$. Now form the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & B \otimes I_4 & \longrightarrow & B \otimes U_4 & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & B \otimes I_4 & \longrightarrow & S & \longrightarrow & U_4 \otimes U_4 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & M & \longrightarrow & M & & \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Since $\text{Res}_{S_3}^G B \cong \text{Res}_{S_3}^G U_4$ and since $U_4 \cong ZG/S_3$ the middle horizontal sequence splits by [2, Lemma 2, Section 1]. Furthermore, we have $B \otimes U_4 \cong U_4 \otimes U_4$ by Frobenius reciprocity. Therefore we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \otimes I_4 \rightarrow M \oplus B \otimes U_4 \rightarrow U_4 \otimes U_4 \rightarrow 0.$$

By [2, Lemma 7, Section 1], $\phi(M) = \phi(B \otimes I_4)$, where as above $\phi(M)$ denotes the flasque class of M . Now changing to multiplicative notation, we let the set $\{y_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq 4\}$ be a Z -basis for $U_4 \otimes U_4$, with y_{ij} corresponding to $u_i \otimes u_j$. We define the sets

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1 &= \{t_{ij} = y_{ij}y_{ji} : 1 \leq i < j \leq 4\}, \\
 A_2 &= \{x_{1j} = y_{11}y_{1j}^{-2}y_{jj}^{-1} : 2 \leq j \leq 4\}, \\
 A_3 &= \{w_{ij} = y_{1i}y_{ij}y_{j1} : 1 < i < j \leq 4\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We also defined $x_{ij} = y_{ii}y_{ij}^{-2}y_{jj}^{-1}$ for all $i < j$. It is not difficult to check that $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$ is a basis for M over Z . As above H is defined to be the subgroup of G generated by S_2 and the transposition $(3, 4)$. The set A_1 is a Z -basis for ZG/H , since A_1 is a transitive G -set and the stabilizer of t_{34} is H . The idea for the remainder of this proof comes from

the proof of [6, Lemma 4, Section 3]. Let V_4 be the Klein four-group, then $G/V_4 \cong S_3$ and we let $T = ZS_3/S_2$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \oplus Z \rightarrow U_4 \oplus T \rightarrow 0,$$

where the map $M \oplus Z \rightarrow U_4 \oplus T$ is defined as follows. First let $\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ be a multiplicative Z -basis for T . Then

- $t_{12} \rightarrow u_1 u_2 t_1 t_2$ and for all $g \in G$ $t_{g(1),g(2)} = u_{g(1)} u_{g(2)} t_{\bar{g}(1)} t_{\bar{g}(2)}$ where $\bar{g} = gV_4$.
- $x_{12} \rightarrow (u_1 u_2 t_1 t_2)^{-1}$ and for all $g \in G$ $x_{g(1),g(2)} = (u_{g(1)} u_{g(2)} t_{\bar{g}(1)} t_{\bar{g}(2)})^{-1}$ where $\bar{g} = gV_4$.
- $w_{ij} \rightarrow u_1 u_i u_j t_1 t_2 t_3$.
- $z \rightarrow u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4 t_1 t_2 t_3$.

A direct calculation shows that this map is a group epimorphism whose kernel K has as a Z -basis

$$\{t_{ij} x_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq m\}.$$

In terms of the y_{ij} 's, $t_{ij} x_{ij} = y_{ii} y_{ij}^{-1} y_{jj}^{-1} y_{ji}$, and so $K \cong ZG/H^-$, that is ZG/H tensored with the sign representation of G . Therefore, we have

$$0 \rightarrow ZG/H^- \rightarrow M \oplus Z \rightarrow U \oplus T \rightarrow 0.$$

By [2, Lemma 7, Section 1] $\phi(M) = \phi(ZG/H^-)$ and the latter is equal to 0, since the following sequence is exact

$$0 \rightarrow ZG/H^- \rightarrow ZG/S_2 \rightarrow ZG/H \rightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.8. *The invariants of the Noether setting of the group $G' = T_2 \rtimes S_4$ are stably rational over the base field F .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, $F(B \otimes I_4)^{S_4}$ and $F(G')^{G'}$ are stably isomorphic, and by [1, Lemma 1.4], $F(B \otimes I_4)^{S_4}$ is stably rational over F since $\phi(B \otimes I_4) = 0$. \square

3. The center

In [10], Saltman gives a description of Z_{2m} , the center of the generic division algebra of degree $2m$ with symplectic involution over a base field F , as a lattice invariant problem over the Weyl group W of PSp_n . This description will be formulated in Lemma 3.1. Let T be the direct sum of m copies of Z_2 , let $G = S_m$ and let W be the semidirect product of T by G , where G acts on T by permutating the summands; equivalently W is the wreath product of T by Z_2 . We use the following notation some of which is the same as in Section 2.

- $G' = S_{2m}$, and $G = S_m$.
- $W = T \rtimes G$, where we let $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ generate T and T embeds into G' by sending σ_i to the transposition $(i, m + i)$.
- $U_{2m} \cong ZG'/S_{2m-1}$ and we let the set $\{u_i: i = 1, \dots, 2m\}$ be its Z -basis.
- $U_m \cong ZG/S_{m-1}$.
- I_{2m} will be defined by the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_{2m} \rightarrow U_{2m} \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$.
- I_m will be defined by the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_m \rightarrow U_m \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$.
- Y' will be the ZW -lattice with Z -basis $\{y_{ij}: i, j = 1, \dots, 2m\}$ and with the following W -action.

We let G act on the set $\{1, \dots, 2m\}$ with the usual action on $\{1, \dots, m\}$ and for $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ $g(m + k) = g(k)$. Moreover,

$$\sigma_i(k) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } i \not\equiv k \pmod m, \\ m + i & \text{if } i \equiv k \pmod m \text{ and } i \leq m, \\ i - m & \text{if } i \equiv k \pmod m \text{ and } i > m. \end{cases}$$

Now for $w \in W$, $wy_{ij} = y_{w(i), w(j)}$.

The following lemma is in [10], we include the constructive part of the proof as it will be needed later.

Lemma 3.1. *We have an epimorphism from Y' to I_{2m}/I_m with kernel Y_1 , such that $F(Y_1)^W$ is stably isomorphic to the center of the generic division algebra of degree $2m$ with symplectic involution.*

Proof. A Z -basis for I_{2m} is the set $\{u_i - u_m, u_{m+i} - u_{2m}: i = 1, \dots, m - 1, u_m - u_{2m}\}$, and the sublattice with Z -basis $\{u_i - u_m + u_{m+i} - u_{2m}: i = 1, \dots, m - 1\}$ is isomorphic to I_m . Therefore, we have an exact sequence of ZW -lattices

$$0 \rightarrow I_m \rightarrow I_{2m} \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0.$$

We also have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Y' \rightarrow I_{2m} \rightarrow 0$$

where the map $Y' \rightarrow I_{2m}$ sends y_{ij} to $u_i - u_j$. We form the pullback of the maps $Y' \rightarrow I_{2m}$ and $I_m \rightarrow I_{2m}$ to obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & & & S & \longrightarrow & S \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & I_{2m} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & I_m \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

By [10, Proposition 1.5], $F(Y_1)^W$ is stably isomorphic $F(V)^{PSp_n}$ and the latter is the center of the generic division algebra of degree $2m$ with symplectic involution by [7, pp. 377–378] and [8, p. 184]. \square

Recall that $D_2 = \text{Hom}(I_m/2I_m, F^*)$. Let T_1 be the subgroup of T generated by $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1}\}$. We define

$$\tilde{U} \cong Z[W/T_1S_{m-1}] \otimes I_{T/T_1},$$

where I_{T/T_1} is the kernel of the augmentation map from $Z[T/T_1]$ to Z . We have $\text{Res}_W^{G'} U_{2m} = \text{Res}_W^{G'} ZG'/S_{2m-1} = ZW/T_1S_{m-1}$ by Mackey’s subgroup theorem [3, Theorem 10.13].

Theorem 3.2. *Keeping the above notation, there is a ZW -lattice Y'' defined by the exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y'' \rightarrow \tilde{U} \rightarrow 0$$

such that $F(Y'')^W$ is equivalent to the invariants of the Noether setting of the group $D_2 \rtimes W$.

Proof. As in Lemma 3.1, $S \cong I_{2m}/I_m$. Let $s_i = u_i - u_m + I_m$ for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$, and let $z = u_m - u_{2m} + I_m$. Then it is immediate that the set $\{s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, z\}$ is a Z -basis for S . Now consider the sublattice K of S with Z -basis $\{t_i = 2s_i + z: i = 1, \dots, m - 1, t_m = z\}$. For $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_i &= 2(u_i - u_m) + u_m - u_{2m} + I_m \\
 &= u_i - u_m - (u_{m+i} - u_{2m}) + u_m - u_{2m} + I_m = u_i - u_{m+i} + I_m.
 \end{aligned}$$

So K is isomorphic to U_m as a G -module, and $\sigma_i(t_k) = -t_k$ if $k = i$, $\sigma_i(t_k) = t_k$ otherwise. We have the W -exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow U_{2m} \rightarrow U_m \rightarrow 0$$

where the map $U_{2m} \rightarrow U_m$ sends u_i to $u_i \bmod m$. Therefore $K = ZW \otimes_{TS_{m-1}} I_T/T_1$ and hence $K \cong \tilde{U}$.

A simple computation now shows that $S/\tilde{U} \cong D'_2 = \text{Hom}(D_2, F^*)$. Now we form the pullback of the maps $Y' \rightarrow S$ and $\tilde{U} \rightarrow S$ to obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 & & & D'_2 & \longrightarrow & D'_2 & \\
 & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & S \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & Y'' & \longrightarrow & \tilde{U} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 & & & 0 & & 0 &
 \end{array}$$

The middle vertical sequence gives a W -embedding of $F(Y'')$ into $F(Y')$ and by Galois theory $F(Y')^{D_2} \cong F(Y')$ as W -fields. Furthermore, $F(Y')^{D_2 \rtimes W} \cong F(Y'')^W$. Since the action of $D_2 \rtimes W$ on $F(Y')$ is faithful and F -linear, $F(Y')^{D_2 \rtimes W}$ is stably isomorphic to $F(D_2 \rtimes W)^{D_2 \rtimes W}$ by [1, Lemma 1.3]. \square

Theorem 3.3. *The fields $F(Y'')$ and $F(Y_1)$ are stably isomorphic as W -fields. Consequently the center of the generic division algebra of degree $2m$ with symplectic involution is equivalent to the invariants of the Noether setting $F(D_2 \rtimes W)$.*

Proof. We have the ZW -exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y'' \rightarrow \tilde{U} \rightarrow 0$$

and since $U_m \cong ZW/TS_{m-1}$ we also have

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{U} \rightarrow U_{2m} \rightarrow U_m \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $L = F(Y_1)$. Then $F(Y'') \cong L_\alpha(\tilde{U})$ for some $\alpha \in \text{Ext}_W^1(\tilde{U}, L^*)$. We show that $\text{Ext}_W^1(\tilde{U}, L^*) = 0$. We have

$$\text{Ext}_W^1(\tilde{U}, L^*) \cong \text{Ext}_W^1(ZW \otimes_{ZT_{S_{m-1}}} I_{T/T_1}, L^*) \cong \text{Ext}_{T_{S_{m-1}}}^1(I_{T/T_1}, L^*)$$

by Shapiro’s Lemma. Set $\hat{I} = \text{Hom}(I_{T/T_1}, L^*)$, then the inflation-restriction sequence gives

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(T_{S_{m-1}}/T_1 S_{m-1}, \hat{I}^{T_1 S_{m-1}}) \rightarrow H^1(T_{S_{m-1}}, \hat{I}) \rightarrow H^1(T_1 S_{m-1}, \hat{I}).$$

Now $\hat{I} \cong L^*$ as a $T_1 S_{m-1}$ -module, so $H^1(T_1 S_{m-1}, \hat{I}) = 0$ by Hilbert’s Theorem 90, and $\hat{I}^{T_1 S_{m-1}} = \text{Hom}_{T_1 S_{m-1}}(I, L^*) \cong (L^*)^{T_1 S_{m-1}} \cong (L^{T_1 S_{m-1}})^*$. Then

$$H^1(T_{S_{m-1}}/T_1 S_{m-1}, \hat{I}^{T_1 S_{m-1}}) \cong H^1(T_{S_{m-1}}/T_1 S_{m-1}, (L^{T_1 S_{m-1}})^*) = 0$$

again by Hilbert’s Theorem 90, since the action of $T_{S_{m-1}}/T_1 S_{m-1}$ on $L^{T_1 S_{m-1}}$ is faithful. Therefore $\text{Ext}_W^1(\tilde{U}, L^*) = 0$ and hence $F(Y'') \cong L(\tilde{U}) \cong F(Y_1 \oplus \tilde{U})$ as W -fields.

Since $\text{Res}_G^W \tilde{U} \cong U_m$ we choose the same Z -basis for both, namely the set $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ with the natural action of W , and we view this basis as multiplicative. So $L(\tilde{U}) = L(u_1, \dots, u_m)$. Set

$$z_i = \frac{1 + u_i^{-1}}{1 - u_i^{-1}},$$

then $L(u_1, \dots, u_m) = L(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ and the action of W is L -linear, since $\sigma_i(z_k) = (-1)^{\delta_{ik}} z_k$ where δ is the Kronecker delta. Therefore $F(Y_1 \oplus \tilde{U}) = L(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ and $L = F(Y'')$ are stably isomorphic as W -fields by [1, Lemma 1.3]. By Theorem 3.2 the last statement follows. \square

Theorem 3.4. *The invariants of the Noether settings of the groups $D_2 \rtimes W$ and $D_2 \rtimes G$ are stably isomorphic over F . Consequently the center of the generic division algebra of degree $2m$ with symplectic involution is equivalent to the invariants of the Noether setting $F(D_2 \rtimes G)$.*

Proof. By [1, Lemma 1.3] $F(M)$ and $F(D_2 \rtimes W)$ are stably isomorphic over F for any F -vector space M on which $D_2 \rtimes W$ acts linearly and faithfully. Note that $D_2 \rtimes W = (D_2 \times T) \rtimes G$ by definition. So let $M = F \otimes_Z (U_m \otimes I_m \oplus U_m)$ with the usual G -action and with the following actions of T and D_2 . We let T act trivially on $U_m \otimes I_m$. Recall that $D_2 = \text{Hom}(I_m/2I_m, F^*)$. We obtain a faithful $D_2 \rtimes G$ -faithful action on $F(U_m \otimes I_m)$ via the exact sequence of Remark 2.5, namely

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow U_m \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

which we now tensor by I_m over Z

$$0 \rightarrow B \otimes I_m \rightarrow U_m \otimes I_m \rightarrow I_m/2I_m \rightarrow 0.$$

Now by Kummer theory $D_2 \rtimes G$ acts F -linearly and faithfully on $F(U_m \otimes I_m)$. We take $\{y_{ij}: i, j = 1, \dots, m, i \neq j\}$ as a multiplicative basis for $U_m \otimes I_m$. We let D_2 act trivially on U_m , and we let $\sigma_k(u_i) = -u_i$ if $k = i$ and $\sigma_k(u_i) = u_i$, otherwise. Then

$$F(M)^T = F(y_{ij})(u_1^2, \dots, u_m^2)$$

and by [1, Lemma 1.3] $F(M)^T$ is stably isomorphic to $F(U_m \otimes I_m)$ as a $D_2 \rtimes G$ -field. Since the action of $D_2 \rtimes G$ on $F(U_m \otimes I_m)$ is linear and faithful, $F(U_m \otimes I_m)$ is stably isomorphic to the Noether setting $F(D_2 \rtimes G)$. The last statement follows from Theorem 3.3. \square

Theorem 3.5. *For s odd, the center of the generic division algebra of degree $8s$ with involution over an infinite field F of characteristic different from 2 is stably rational over F .*

Proof. We let G denote the symmetric group on 4 letters, and hence $W = T \rtimes G$ where now T is direct sum of 4 copies of Z_2 . Let $n = 8s$. By [10, Corollary 0.6 and Theorem 1.1] the centers of the generic division algebras of even degree with symplectic and orthogonal involutions are stably isomorphic. Let Z_s , Z_8 and Z_n be the centers of the generic division algebras of degrees s , 8, and n with the appropriate involutions. The proof of [10, Lemma 5.2] shows that stable rationality of Z_s and Z_8 implies stable rationality of Z_n . An earlier proof from which this result follows can be found in [5,9]. By [10, Theorem 1.2] Z_s is rational over F , thus it remains to prove that Z_8 is stably rational over F . We keep all the above notation. By Theorem 3.3, Z_8 is stably isomorphic to the invariants of the Noether setting $F(D_2 \rtimes W)$. By Theorem 3.4, these invariants are stably isomorphic to those of $D_2 \rtimes G$ and the result now follows by Corollary 2.8. \square

References

- [1] E. Beneish, Lattice invariants and the center of the generic division ring, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 356 (4) (2003) 1609–1622.
- [2] J.L. Colliot-Thelene, J.P. Sansuc, La R -equivalence sur les tores, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) 10 (1977) 175–230.
- [3] C. Curtis, I. Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory*, vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [4] S. Endo, T. Miyata, On the classification of the function fields of algebraic tori, *Nagoya Math. J.* 56 (1974) 85–104.
- [5] P.I. Katsylo, Stable rationality of linear representations of the groups PSL_6 and PSL_{12} , *Mat. Zametki* 48 (2) (1990) 49–52 (in Russian). Translation in: *Math. Notes* 48 (1–2) (1991) 751–753.
- [6] E. Formanek, The center of the ring of 3×3 generic matrices, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 7 (1979) 203–212.
- [7] C. Procesi, The invariant theory of $n \times n$ -matrices, *Adv. Math.* 19 (3) (1976) 306–381.
- [8] L.H. Rowen, *Polynomial Identities in Ring Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [9] A. Schofield, Matrix invariants of composite size, *J. Algebra* 147 (2) (1991) 345–349.
- [10] D. Saltman, Invariant fields of symplectic and orthogonal groups, *J. Algebra* 258 (2002) 507–534.