Wt1 and retinoic acid signaling are essential for stellate cell development and liver morphogenesis
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Abstract

Previous studies of knock-out mouse embryos have shown that the Wilms’ tumor suppressor gene (Wt1) is indispensable for the development of kidneys, gonads, heart, adrenals and spleen. Using OPT (Optical Projection Tomography) we have found a new role for Wt1 in mouse liver development. In the absence of Wt1, the liver is reduced in size, and shows lobing abnormalities. In normal embryos, coelomic cells expressing Wt1, GATA-4, RALDH2 and RXRα delaminate from the surface of the liver, intermingle with the hepatoblasts and incorporate to the sinusoidal walls. Some of these cells express desmin, suggesting a contribution to the stellate cell population. Other cells, keeping high levels of RXRα immunoreactivity, are negative for stellate or smooth muscle cell markers. However, coelomic cells lining the liver of Wt1-null embryos show decreased or absent RALDH2 expression, the population of cells expressing high levels of RXRα is much reduced and the proliferation of hepatoblasts and RXRα-positive cells is significantly decreased. On the other hand, the expression of smooth muscle cell specific α-actin increases throughout the liver, suggesting an accelerated and probably anomalous differentiation of stellate cell progenitors. We describe a similar retardation of liver growth in RXRα-null mice as well as in chick embryos after inhibition of retinoic acid synthesis. We propose that Wt1 expression in cells delaminating from the coelomic epithelium is essential for the expansion of the progenitor population of liver stellate cells and for liver morphogenesis. Mechanistically, at least part of this effect is mediated via the retinoic acid signaling pathway.
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Introduction

The gene Wt1 encodes a zinc-finger transcription factor involved in the development of several organs and in tumorigenesis. It has been experimentally demonstrated that Wt1 is able to activate and repress the transcription of many genes, but its physiological targets are still uncertain (Davies et al., 1999; Little et al., 1999). In normal development, Wt1 is transiently expressed in several mesodermal tissues, namely in mesothelial and submesothelial cells, derivatives of the intermediate mesoderm such as meso and metanephros, gonads and adrenals (Pritchard-Jones et al., 1990; Pelletier et al., 1991; Armstrong et al., 1993; Rackley et al., 1993), as well as the septum transversum and the limbs (Moore et al., 1998). Wt1 knock-out embryos have no kidneys, gonads, spleen nor adrenal glands and die at mid-gestation due to heart abnormalities (Kreidberg et al., 1993; Moore et al., 1999; Herzer et al., 1999).

We have studied in detail the visceral phenotype of Wt1-null mice, and found a previously undescribed liver hypoplasia with defects in lobe formation, as well as a mal-positioning of the stomach. Interestingly, Wt1 is expressed in the coelomic epithelium covering these viscera, as well as in the mesenchymal...
cells that delaminate from this epithelium, invade the septum transversum and the liver primordium and contribute to the diaphragm. Using chick embryos, we have previously shown that these delaminating coelomic cells contribute to the stellate (Ito) cell population, as well as to the sinusoidal endothelium (Perez-Pomares et al., 2004). However, the origin of stellate cells in mammals remains obscure (Cassiman et al., 2006). On the other hand, the expression pattern of Wt1 in the liver coincides with that of the main retinoic acid (RA) synthesizing enzyme RALDH2. Moreover, since RXRα-null mice also display a hitherto unexplained liver hypoplasia (Sucov et al., 1994) and equally die of cardiac failure, we suspected a functional connection between Wt1 and RA-dependent signaling.

In this paper, we present our detailed analysis of the role of Wt1 and retinoic acid signaling during early hepatic development. Our results provide new molecular evidence for parallels in visceral tissue development, whereby Wt1 expression in mesenchymal cells derived from the coelomic epithelium is essential for the development of the underlying tissue.

**Material and methods**

All the procedures involving use of animals were compliant with the legal regulations from the countries where these procedures were performed.

**Generation of transgenic mice**

Wt1 knock-out mice were generated by gene targeting, as previously described (Kreidberg et al., 1993). Embryos were genotyped by PCR on their yolk sacs. E11.5–E13.5 homozygous embryos were fully studied via serial sectioning or 3D virtual reconstruction using OPT (see below). Heterozygous and wild-type littersmates were used as controls. All embryos were alive at the moment of their collection. The yWT170LacZ mouse strain (A9.3, line H) expressing LacZ was previously described (Kastner et al., 1994). The RXRα knock-out mouse line was previously described (Kastner et al., 1994). We used E12.5 and E13.5 embryos for morphological comparison with Wt1-null embryos.

**Histology and immunohistochemistry**

For histology and immunohistochemistry, embryos were fixed in 4% buffered paraformaldehyde in PBS, in methanol-acetone-water (2:2:1) or in Dent’s fixative (methanol-DMSO 4:1), dehydrated and paraffin-embedded. Some embryos were fixed by cryosubstitution, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept in methanol at −80 °C for 5 days. Subsequently, the embryos were kept at −20 °C for 6 h, washed twice with methanol at 4 °C (45 min) and twice with methanol at room temperature. Dehydration was completed in methanol/butanol 1:1 (20 min) and pure butanol (20 min). yWT470lacZ Transgenic embryos were fixed in o/n in 2% PFA at 4 °C. After washing in PBS, the embryos were stained with Xgal, washed and stored in 1% PFA until further use. Immunoperoxidase and double immunofluorescence staining were performed as previously described (Guadix et al., 2006).

The monoclonal mouse anti-smooth muscle cell (SMC) α-actin antibody (clone 1A4, Sigma) was used at a 1:2000 dilution for immunoperoxidase staining and at 1:100 for immunofluorescence. Polyclonal rabbit anti-RALDH2 (a gift of Dr. Peter McCaffery, Eunice Shriver Center, University of Massachusetts) was used at a 1:5000 dilution. Polyclonal rabbit anti-RXRα (Sc-553, Santa Cruz) and anti-HNF1 (Sc-8986, Santa Cruz) were used at a 1:300 and 1:400 dilutions for immunoperoxidase, respectively, or at a 1:100 for immunofluorescence. For RXRα immunofluorescence, tyramide signal amplification (TSA Kit, Perkin-Elmer) was necessary. Monoclonal mouse anti-desmin (clone DE-U-10, Sigma) was used at 1:200 for immunoperoxidase and 1:100 for immunofluorescence. Polyclonal goat anti-GATA-4 (Sc-1237, Santa Cruz) was used at 1:50 dilution for immunoperoxidase and, with tyramide amplification, for immunofluorescence. Polyclonal rabbit anti-phosphohistone H3 (Phospho-Ser 10, Upstate) was used at a 1:200 dilution. Monoclonal rat anti-Ter119 (Ser-1952, Santa Cruz), monoclonal mouse anti-PCNA (Sigma) and polyclonal rabbit anti-bovine epidermal cytokeratin (Z622, Dakopatts, Denmark) were used at 1:100 dilution.

**OPT analysis**

Wt1 wild-type and null embryos for morphological analysis were fixed for 2 h in 4% PFA at 4 °C. The embryos were dehydrated using sequential MeOH/PBS wash steps (25%, 50%, 75%), and stored in 100% MeOH at −20 °C until further use. Before OPT embedding (see below), the embryos were slowly rehydrated using several wash steps.

All embryos were embedded in 1% low-melting point agarose, immersed in Murray’s Clear, and scanned through 360° as previously described (Sharpe et al., 2002). Morphological differences between Wt1 wild-type and mutant embryos were detected by scanning for the auto-fluorescence emitted by all tissues. Using a back-projection algorithm, the 400 captured digital images were independently reconstructed, and high-resolution representations of sections through the specimen were produced. Alternatively, 3D iso-surfaces (contours that connect all regions above a certain threshold intensity) were generated to illustrate the shapes of the tissues in which they were expressed.

**Image analysis**

Co-localization analysis using confocal images was performed through image analysis using with ImageJ software. Briefly, we obtained images composed of the non-black pixels present at the same time in the red and green channels as previously described (Carmona et al., 2007). Quantification of the signal in these and other immunoperoxidase images was also performed with ImageJ software. Comparisons were always made on equivalent images, obtained during the same experiment and captured under the same conditions. A gray level threshold was manually established for the selection of the positive cells, and the same threshold was applied to all the images. Then, the surface of the selected cells was measured and expressed as percentage of the total surface. Comparison of mean values for each group of measurements was made using the Student’s t-test.

**RALDH2 inhibition and in vitro culture of quail liver explants**

RA-synthesis was inhibited by two competitive inhibitors of aldehyde dehydrogenases, DEAB (4-diethylaminobenzaldehyde, Sigma) and citral (Fluka). Stock solutions were made in DMSO (DEAB, 150 mM; citral, 100 mM). For injection, the competitive inhibitor stocks were further diluted with Tyrode solution to obtain a final concentration of 0.4 mM.

Chick eggs were incubated for 48–72 h. After windowing, 2 ml of albumin was aspirated, after which 2 ml of either DEAB or citral solution was injected per egg. The eggs were re-incubated for 24 h. Then, a further 1 ml of the inhibitor solution was injected into the egg, after which it was incubated again for 24 h. Control eggs were injected with the same volume of DMSO diluted 1:250 with Tyrode.

In order to check the effects of RA and RA inhibitors on in vitro growth of liver explants, we dissected the hepatic primordia of HH16-HH17 quail embryos, and we cultured them in four-well plates (Nunc) with DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Paa), 2% chick serum (Sigma), 10 IU penicillin/streptomycin (Gibico) and Plasmocin (Invivogen) at 37 °C, 5% CO2. Two explants were placed in each well and treated with 10 μM citral, 1 μM RA, both substances or vehicle (DMSO) only. After 24 h, the explants from each well were pooled and trypsinized, and the cells were pelleted, washed twice in cold PBS +0.1% fetal bovine serum, fixed in ice-cold 70% ethanol for 1 h, washed again in PBS, treated with 100 μg/ml RNase-A and stained with propidium iodide (40 μg/ml) for 1 h at 37 °C. Cell fluorescence was analyzed using a MoFlo flow cytometer and the results were quantified with Summit 4.0 software. The experiment was performed by duplicate.
Results

Anatomy of the liver and stomach in Wt1-null mice

In Wt1-null embryos, the liver shape and size are grossly abnormal by E13.5 (Figs. 1A–H). In wild-type embryos, the liver is divided into three main lobes, one of them surrounding the stomach dorsally and covering most of the greater curvature of the gastric wall (Figs. 1A, C). The entire surface of the diaphragm (transverse septum and pleuropertitoneal septa) is in contact with the liver. Instead, in the mutant embryos, the liver was much smaller and attached only to the apex of the transverse septum and the right surface of the mesogastrium. Pleuropertitoneal septa were lacking, causing a mal-development of the diaphragm and a wide communication between the pleural and peritoneal cavities (Figs. 1F, I and 2). Furthermore, a clearly defined dorsal left lobe of the liver was not observed (Figs. 1B, D, F). Instead an unusual ventral lobe was observed extending to the left, ventrally to the stomach (Fig. 1B). Virtual 3D surface reconstruction of the isolated visceral organs from E13.5 wild-type (Fig. 1E) and Wt1-null (Fig. 1F) embryos clearly shows the liver hypoplasia and lobing abnormalities.

The stomach and the lesser and greater gastric omenta showed a different anatomical arrangement in the Wt1-null embryos as compared to control embryos. In the latter, a sagittally arranged omental bursa was located between the stomach and the liver (Fig. 1C). The tissue of the dorsal and ventral pancreatic bursa was observed within the mesenteric tissue, to the right of the stomach. Instead, in the Wt1-null mice, the stomach was displaced dorsally and toward the left, and rotated such that the cardiac and pyloric portions were closely located (Figs. 1C, D). The omental bursa was also misplaced, covering the stomach dorsally. Due to this mal-rotation, the greater omentum was very elongated and thinned, populated by fewer mesenchymal cells and vascularized less than in the control embryos (Fig. 1D). In some cases, the dorsal pancreatic primordium could be seen extending ectopically along the greater omentum (not shown). On the other hand, α-actin smooth muscle immunoreactive cells were dispersed, and the muscular band of the stomach was poorly defined (Figs. 1C, D).

Expression of coelomic and differentiation markers in the liver of control and Wt1-null mice

The yWT470LacZ mice express β-galactosidase under control of the human Wt1-promoter. By E12.5, LacZ staining was observed in the coelomic epithelium covering the liver, and also in the cells apparently migrating into the liver from the surface (Figs. 1I, J). These LacZ-positive cells are seen in the walls of the developing sinusoids, both at endothelial and sub-endothelial level.

No differences in hepatoblast density were observed between Wt1-null and control embryos, as shown by HNF1 staining (Figs. 3A, B). The density of erythroblasts was similar by E12.5 (not shown), but the number of erythroblasts was dramatically reduced in Wt1-null mice by E13.5 (Figs. 3C, D). Desmin immunoreactive cells were observed around the sinusoidal spaces in both Wt1-null and control embryos, without significant differences between them (Figs. 3E, F). However, we found differences in the staining pattern of SMC α-actin, which was weak and restricted to the walls of the large vessels in E12.5 and E13.5 control embryos, but widely extended, even in isolated cells, in Wt1-null embryos of the same ages (Figs. 3G, H and 6E–J). In the control embryos, coelomic cells lining the liver surface were GATA4-positive, as well as cells apparently delaminating from the surface and interspersed between the hepatoblasts. In Wt1-null embryos, these cells were less abundant at the surface of the liver, and they were mainly located in the sinusoidal walls. GATA4 submesothelial cells were cytokeratin immunoreactive, suggesting that they originate by delamination from the epithelial layer (Figs. 3K, L). GATA4/desmin co-localization was also observed, although in a different pattern between Wt1-null and control embryos. In the latter, only a fraction of GATA4–cells were also desmin immunoreactive (Figs. 3M, N). However, in Wt1-null embryos, most of the GATA4-positive cells were located in the sinusoidal wall and expressed desmin (Figs. 3O, P).

Cell proliferation in the liver of control and Wt1-null mice

No significant differences were found in the number of proliferating cells between Wt1-null and control embryos by phosphohistone-3 immunolocalization (Figs. 4I, J). However, HNF1/PCNA co-localization showed that by E12.5 nuclei from hepatoblasts were more frequently and intensely stained with anti-PCNA antibody in control embryos (Figs. 4A–D). “Hot spots” of proliferating hepatoblasts were abundant in the surface of the liver, especially in the tips of the lobes. Through image analysis, we quantified this difference which resulted to be statistically significant (p<0.05) (Fig. 4K). The difference in hepatoblast proliferation rates was confirmed by cytokeratin/PCNA co-localization (not shown). On the other hand, we assessed through a similar procedure the differences in the proliferation rates of the RXRα-expressing population between Wt1-null and control embryos (Figs. 4E–H and K). By E12.5, the higher proliferation rates found in the control embryos were statistically significant (p<0.05). RXRα proliferating cells were most abundant in the surface of the liver (Figs. 4E, G). Highly expressing RXRα cells interspersed between the hepatocytes usually showed also high levels of PCNA immunoreactivity. Differences between Wt1-null and control embryos were smaller when considering together 12.5 and E13.5 embryos. This difference was very close to the critical values of the statistical significance (p<0.06).

RXRα and RALDH2 immunoreactivity in control and Wt1-null embryos

Liver RXRα immunoreactivity revealed differences between Wt1-null embryos and their control littermates (Figs. 5A–D). Both E12.5 and E13.5 control embryos showed a number of immunoreactive cells scattered between the hepatoblasts and frequently in contact with the sinusoidal walls. However, control embryos always showed more intensely stained cells not asso-
associated with the sinusoids (Figs. 5A, B, E, F, I). RXRα staining in Wt1-null embryos was always less intense, and the RXRα-positive cells were usually located in the sinusoids (Figs. 5C, D, G, H, J). The difference in the amount of highly stained cells was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$, Fig. 4K). In control embryos, RXRα expression co-localized with SMC α-actin expression in the walls of the large vessels. In contrast, in Wt1-null embryos, co-localization was observed in many cells either associated to the vessels, sinusoids or even in isolated cells (Figs. 5H and J, respectively). The RXRα signal did not co-localize with the erythroblastic marker Ter119 (Fig. 5L).

RALDH2 expression was detected by immunohistochemistry in the livers of Wt1-null and control embryos aged E10.5 and older (Figs. 2 and 6). No conspicuous differences were found in the liver of E10.5 embryos, which showed a weak immunoreactivity in the dorsal surface. However, RALDH2-positive cells were seen extending in the body wall at both sides of the dorsal coelomic cavity in control embryos, while in Wt1-null embryos these cells remained closely compacted in the same area, not extending ventrally (Figs. 6A–C). Between E11.5 and E12.5, RALDH2 immunoreactivity in control embryos was prominent throughout the liver coelomic epithelium, but more weakly in the ventral areas (Figs. 6D, E, G). Some subepithelial cells were also weakly stained, mainly in the dorsal tips of the liver lobes. Expression was also found in the dorsal and dorsolateral coelomic epithelium, as well as in the mesenchymal cells from the coelomic wall. These immunoreactive mesenchymal cells extended toward the lateroventral body wall from E10.5 to E11.5 (Figs. 6A, D, E). RALDH2 immunoreactivity decreased by E13.5, but remained detectable throughout the liver coelomic epithelium, as well as in the adjacent parietal coelomic epithelium (Figs. 6K, L). In the liver of E11.5–E13.5 Wt1-null embryos, RALDH2 immunoreactivity was always weaker than in control littermates, and it remained mainly restricted to the dorsal areas. Very weak or no immunoreactivity was found in the lateral and ventral areas of the liver.
the liver by these stages (Figs. 6F, H–J, M). This lack of immunoreactivity was uniform throughout the liver. The parietal area of immunoreactivity remained restricted at the same level as it was found by E10.5, never extending to the lateral body wall (Figs. 6C, F and insert in M). By E13.5, strongly RALDH2-positive cells accumulate in the area where the pleuroperitoneal septum defect occurs (Fig. 2D).

Liver development in RXRα-null mice

As compared to control littermates, RXRα-null embryos showed a reduced liver size, similar to Wt1-null mice (Fig. 7). The left liver lobe was small and did not surround the greater curvature of the stomach (Figs. 7C, F). The stomach was displaced to the left due to the reduction in size of the left
liver lobe, but it was not mal-rotated as in Wt1-null embryos. There was no diaphragmatic defect, but the pleuroperitoneal septa were much shorter than in controls, and the diaphragmatic muscle fibers appeared disorganized (Figs. 7B, E). SMC α-actin staining was similar in control and RXR α-null embryos.

Liver phenotype after RALDH2 inhibition

To analyze whether the observed reduction of RALDH2 expression in Wt1-null embryos is an indirect effect of the loss of Wt1 expression or whether it is causal to the phenotype, we decided to mimic loss of RALDH2 expression in vivo using chemical inhibitors of RA synthesis and chick embryos. RALDH2 inhibition with DEAB caused a reduction in liver size ranging from mild to severe when compared to vehicle-treated control embryos (Figs. 8A–F). In general, the strongest effects were observed in chick embryos treated between HH14 and HH15. These embryos showed extreme reduction of the liver tissue, in some cases showing only a few clusters of hepatoblasts surrounded by large hepatic sinusoids (Figs. 8B, D). No morphological signs of apoptosis or necrosis were found in the liver tissue. In embryos treated by HH16–17, the reduction in liver size was much less apparent, although livers were usually smaller than those from control embryos at the same developmental stage (Figs. 8E, F). Besides the liver hypoplasia, the embryos looked basically normal, except for a thin ventricular myocardium (Fig. 8B). Citral-treated embryos showed the same phenotype as described for DEAB (Figs. 8G–H).
Effects of citral and RA treatment of quail liver explants on cell proliferation

Quail liver explants obtained from HH16–17 embryos showed reduced proliferation rates when cultured for 24 h only with medium (Fig. 8). The subG1 fraction was prominent, suggesting extensive cell apoptosis in addition to the cell death induced by the dissection procedure. In fact, a control experiment performed in parallel with cultured cells showed no increased subG1 fraction (not shown). Citral treatment of explants did not produce significant variations with respect to the control. However, 1 μM RA, either alone or combined with citral, leads to a 35–40% increase in cell proliferation (see table in Fig. 8). Furthermore, retinoic treatment apparently improved survival of cells in the explants, since the subG1 fraction was clearly smaller in the explants treated with RA.

Discussion

The liver is an organ formed by complex interactions between endodermal and mesodermal cells. Hepatoblasts and bile duct cells are endodermal in origin, while the sinusoidal endothelium and the stellate (Ito) cells differentiate from the mesodermal mesenchyme. Several studies, both in avian (Le Douarin, 1975; Perez-Pomares et al., 2004) and in mammalian embryos (Moore et al., 1998), have shown that at least part of the liver mesenchyme originates from the delamination of cells from the coelomic epithelium covering the liver primordium. In this study, we characterized the cells that delaminate from the hepatic coelomic epithelium and provide evidence of their contribution to the stellate cell population.

Our detailed analysis has revealed a so far unnoticed hepatic phenotype in Wt1-deficient embryos, affecting not only the size of the liver but also the anatomical arrangement of the liver and
the stomach. This is remarkable as the hepatic expression of Wt1 is restricted to the coelomic epithelium of the liver and to the cells delaminating from it. As soon as these delaminated cells disperse among the hepatoblasts, the expression of Wt1 is downregulated, as is the expression of cytokeratin and RALDH2. In contrast, the expression of other genes including GATA-4 and RXRα continues even as the cells differentiate into stellate cells and vascular smooth muscle cells. In addition, we identified a desmin-negative subpopulation of GATA-4- and RXRα-positive cells that is not incorporated into either the

Fig. 6. RALDH2 expression in Wt1-null and control embryos. Hematoxylin counterstaining. (A–C) E10.5 control (A) and Wt1-null embryos (B, C) show moderate RALDH2 immunoreactivity in the dorsal lobes of the liver (arrows). In control embryos, immunoreactive cells can be seen extending through the dorsal and lateral walls of the coelomic cavity (arrowheads). However, in Wt1-null embryos, these cells remain closely associated to the dorsal coelomic epithelium (arrowheads). (D–F) E11.5 control (D, E) and Wt1-null (F) embryos already show important differences. Control embryos display RALDH2 immunoreactivity in the parietal (arrowheads) and liver (arrows) coelomic epithelia. However, Wt1-null embryos show no immunoreactivity in the lateral and ventral surface of the liver, and the limit of the parietal immunoreactivity remains in the same place as in the former stage (arrowhead in panel F). (G–J) Details of E12.5 control (G) and Wt1-null (H–J) embryos. Panel H show a sagittal section, and the box is shown at higher magnification in panel I. Control embryos show surface and parietal RALDH2 immunoreactivity (arrows in panel G), but in Wt1-null embryos the lateral and ventral liver surface is not stained (arrows), despite the strong immunoreactivity of the parietal pericardium (arrowhead in panel H) or other viscerae such as the stomach (S, arrowheads in panel I) or intestine (I) which serve as positive control. (K–M) E13.5 control (K, L) and Wt1-null (M) embryos. Immunoreactivity is weak but still visible in the liver surface and parietal epithelium of control embryos of this stage (arrows and arrowhead in panels K and L), but absent in Wt1-null littermates (arrows and arrowheads in panel M). The insert shows the dorsal area of the liver in the same section at lower magnification. The limit of the RALDH2-positive area of the parietal and visceral epithelia remains in the same place as in E10.5 embryos (arrowhead and arrow, respectively). Note staining in the rudimentary mesonephros (MN) and in the lung surface (LU).
sinusoids or vessels, and probably represents a progenitor pool of stellate/perivascular cells. Anyhow, the origin of stellate cells from coelomic epithelium-derived cells in mice seems well supported by our data, in agreement with our previous studies on avian embryos (Perez-Pomares et al., 2004), with reports on an origin of the stellate cells from the septum transversum mesenchyme (Enzan et al., 1997) and with the possibility raised by recent studies which discarded other sources (Cassiman et al., 2006).

GATA-4 is mainly expressed in the heart and endoderm (Bossard and Zaret, 1998), and thus its strong expression in coelomic cells and in stellate cell progenitors was unexpected. However, a recent study has shown that GATA-4 is required for liver and ventral pancreas development. Interestingly, in E9.5 embryos derived from GATA-4-deficient ES cells by tetraploid embryo complementation, the liver bud is able to form a pseudo-stratified epithelial liver but it fails to expand (Watt et al., 2007). These embryos lack septum transversum mesenchyme, thus suggesting the important role played by GATA-4 in the formation of this mesenchyme which appear to delaminate from the coelomic epithelium and is essential for liver bud growth. Similar observations have been made on GATA-6-deficient embryos (Zhao et al., 2005). Furthermore, Rojas et al. (2005) have described a GATA-4 enhancer which is strongly expressed in lateral mesoderm, septum transversum and perihepatic mesenchyme. The activity of this enhancer, which requires BMP4 signals and contains a high affinity Forkhead binding site, is maximum between E11.5 and E13.5, i.e. when we observe the delamination of GATA-4-positive cells from the surface of the liver and the formation of the stellate cell progenitor population. The lack of differences in the expression of GATA-4 in Wt1-null and control embryos suggests that this gene works upstream of Wt1 in liver development. All these observations can be related with the requirement of GATA-4 for development of the proepicardium (Watt et al., 2004), a coelomic outgrowth which is the progenitor tissue of the epicardium. We have shown elsewhere that the proepicardium is able to invade the liver and to supply it with sinusoidal cells (Perez-Pomares et al., 2004), thus suggesting common developmental potentials for GATA-4-expressing coelomic cells.

We attribute the liver phenotype of the Wt1-null embryos to two main factors; a reduction in hepatoblast proliferation at early stages, and the low proliferation and anomalous differentiation of the cells derived from the coelomic epithelium (see Fig. 9 for our model). The differences in hepatoblast proliferation did not lead to a larger hepatoblast density in control embryos, as shown by HNF1 localization. Thus, this difference in proliferation should be related with the distal growth of the liver lobes, and thus with the final size and shape of the liver. On the other hand, the anomalous differentiation of the cells derived from the coelomic epithelium is evidenced by the altered expression pattern of SMCα-actin in Wt1-null embryos. Interestingly, stellate cells, even in adults, express low levels of SMCα-actin. During chronic liver disease, the expression of SMCα-actin increases dramatically, suggesting a myofibroblastic transformation of the stellate cells (Tsutsumi et al., 1987; Rockey et al., 1992; Enzan et al., 1994, 1995). We postulate that Wt1 represses the myofibroblastic transformation of the coelomic epithelium-derived cells, thus allowing for stellate cell differentiation.

At the molecular level, the sharp downregulation of RALDH2 expression in the coelomic epithelium lining the liver of Wt1-null mice probably underlies the proliferation and differentiation defects. Importantly, our results provide new evidence that similar mechanisms are involved in the development of the liver and the heart, since RALDH2 is also down-
Fig. 8. Inhibition of RA synthesis induces liver hypoplasia in chick embryos. (A–D) Severe liver hypoplasia is observed in chick embryos 48 h post treatment with DEAB at stage HH15. Treated embryos (B, D) show just a few hepatocytic clusters (arrows) surrounded by large blood-filled sinusoids. However, control embryos treated with DMSO show normal size livers (A, C). Note the hypoplasic heart ventricle (V) in panel B. (E–F) Treatment of embryos with DEAB by HH16, causes only a slight reduction in the liver size (F) as compared to the control embryos (E). (G–H) Treatment of HH15 embryos with citral, another competitive inhibitor of aldehyde dehydrogenases, induces the same phenotype as treatment with DEAB. The largest liver transverse sections of a citral-treated and a control embryo are shown in panels H and G, respectively. Bottom: Flow cytometry analysis of HH16–17 quail liver explants treated for 24 h with RA, citral, citral + RA or vehicle only. The experiment was performed by duplicate, but only one set of results is shown. Cells in G2/M are more abundant after RA treatments, but citral showed no significant effect. Note also the decrease in the abundance of subG1 cells (apoptotic and dead cells) with RA treatments. The table shows the area of the peaks as a percentage of the total area (mean±standard error of two experiments), as well as the percentage of G2/M cells respect to total cells (excluding the SubG1 fraction).
regulated in the epicardium of Wt1-null embryos (Pérez-Pomares et al., unpublished observations). In Wt1-null embryos, cells expressing high levels of RALDH2 are only found in a narrow band located in the dorsal part of the coelomic cavity, and no expression of RALDH2 is detected in the lateral and ventral areas of the developing liver. This could be significant, since it has been shown that waves of RALDH2 expression circulate throughout the embryo and contribute to the normal morphogenesis of the heart (Hochgreb et al., 2003). It is conceivable that Wt1 expression is required for the extension of a RALDH2 expression wave toward the lateral body wall and the liver, since the limit of the immunoreactive area remains always in the same place in Wt1-null embryos between E10.5 and E13.5 (Fig. 6). This would explain our results with quail liver explants in culture, where proliferation was low in isolated explants and the addition of an RA synthesis inhibitor had no significant effect. However, addition of RA to the medium, even in presence of the RXRα-null embryos would show only reduced liver growth, but no anomalous differentiation.

RA is an important morphogen, critical to the development of several organs. In the lung, RA promotes mesenchymal proliferation through the upregulation of Pdgf/PdgfRβ (Liebeskind et al., 2000) and FGF10 (Desai et al., 2004). Furthermore, it is essential for the proliferation of epicardially derived cells that secrete factors inducing myocardial proliferation (Kang and Sucov, 2005; Lavine et al., 2005). In the epicardium, expression of the RA receptor RXRα is essential not only for the proliferation of epicardially derived cells, but also for the production of a mitogenic signal for myocardial growth (Merki et al., 2005). The liver in both Wt1 and RXRα-null embryos is hypoplastic, the absence of the left lobe causing a malpositioning of the stomach. Both models display diaphragmatic defects, though RXRα-null embryos do not show any pleuropertitoneal defects. Interestingly, we did not observe any abnormal expression of SMC α-actin in the liver of RXRα-null embryos, suggesting that the proliferation of coelomic epithelium-derived cells, but not the differentiation, is dependent on RA signaling.

Our hypothesis that RA is required for normal liver growth, and thus that the observed loss of RALDH2 expression is causal to the liver phenotype, is further supported by our experiments with chick embryos. We were able to show that the inhibition of RALDH2 expression during the early stages of liver development (HH14–15) causes liver hypoplasia, whereas the same treatment at later stages of development (HH18) had no effect, suggesting that RA signaling is required during a very precise developmental window. Importantly, at these early stages, RALDH1 and RALDH3 are not expressed in the liver primordium (Blentic et al., 2003), hence inhibition of alternative endodermal RA synthesis pathways cannot account for the observed effect. The absence of hematopoietic cells in the avian liver by these stages dramatically showed that the hypoplasia was due to a reduction of the number of hepatoblasts. Strikingly, heart development was also affected by the treatment, as evidenced by epicardial defects and a thin ventricular myocardium, similar to the phenotype observed in Wt1-null and in RXRα-null embryos.

The relationship between the anomalous differentiation of coelomic epithelium-derived cells and hepatoblast proliferation remains obscure. However, it has been established that endothelial progenitors from the septum transversum are essential for liver morphogenesis (Matsumoto et al., 2001). Since these endothelial progenitors probably originate, like the stellate cells, from Wt1-expressing coelomic cells (Moore et al., 1998; Perez-Pomares et al., 2004), it is conceivable that the hepatic hypoplasia in Wt1-null embryos is related to the absence of trophic factors released by coelomic epithelium-derived cells before their differentiation into endothelial or stellate cells. In analogy, the LIM-homeobox gene Lhx2 is expressed in the septum transversum and co-localizes with desmin, a main marker of stellate cells, in adult hepatic cells. Significantly, Lhx2-null embryos show normal differentiation of hepatocytes, but failure of liver growth, suggesting a role for stellate cells in promoting hepatoblast proliferation (Kolterud et al., 2004).

Two additional features of the liver phenotype in Wt1-null embryos are the decreased number of erythroblasts and the diaphragmatic defect. The impaired survival of hematopoietic cells observed in the Wt1-null embryos is possibly due to reduced erythropoietin (Epo) caused both by the loss of Wt1 expression and by the decrease in RALDH2 expression. Indeed, Epo has been shown to be a transcriptional target of Wt1 (Dame et al., 2006). Moreover, between E10 and E12, Epo expression in the liver is promoted by RA (Makita et al., 2001). As to the diaphragmatic defect, our data indicate this could be attributed to defective proliferation and differentiation of the transverse septum mesenchyme. Indeed, we observed abnormal accumulation of RALDH2+ cells at the site of the diaphragmatic
defect, suggesting a failure in the normal migration of these cells.

In summary, we describe a novel liver phenotype in Wt1-null mouse embryos. We show that Wt1 is required for the control of differentiation of the coelomic epithelium-derived stellate cell progenitor population, as well as for the activation/maintenance of a RA/RXRα signaling pathway essential for liver morphogenesis (summarised in Fig. 9). Importantly, mechanistic parallels can be drawn between liver, heart and gut (Wilm et al., 2005) development, suggesting a common and previously unsuspected role for the coelomic epithelium-derived cells in visceral development.
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