



ELSEVIER

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 115 (1997) 81–85

---

---

JOURNAL OF  
PURE AND  
APPLIED ALGEBRA

---

---

## Differential simplicity and the module of derivations

Alok Kumar Maloo\*

*School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road,  
Bombay - 400 005, India*

Communicated by T. Hibi; received 30 November 1994

---

### Abstract

Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local ring containing a field  $k$ . If  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is finite and  $A$  is differentially simple under a set of  $k$ -derivations then it is shown that  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is free.

*1991 Math. Subj. Class.:* 13B10, 13H99

---

### Introduction

Let  $k$  be a field and let  $A$  be a Noetherian local ring containing  $k$  such that  $A$  is differentially simple under  $\text{Der}_k(A)$ . If  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module then we show that  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is free as an  $A$ -module. In fact, we prove the following result which is more general.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local ring and let  $\mathfrak{D}$  be an  $A$ -submodule of  $\text{Der}(A)$ . Let  $I$  be the maximally  $\mathfrak{D}$ -differential ideal. If  $\mathfrak{D}$  is closed under Lie operation of derivations and is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module then  $\mathfrak{D}/I\mathfrak{D}$  is free as an  $A/I$ -module.*

This result has the following application: Assume that characteristic of  $k$  is zero. If  $A$  is a  $G$ -ring (see [5, p. 256] for definition) then, by [2],  $A$  is regular. It was shown by an example in [3] that  $A$ , in general, is not regular. Recently in [1], a much simpler example of this fact was given. We first show that in this example  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is finitely generated. Now by our result  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is free. This gives us an example of a Noetherian local ring  $A$  containing a field  $k$  of characteristic zero such that  $A$  is

---

\* E-mail: alok@tifrvax.bitnet.

differentially simple under  $\text{Der}_k(A)$ ,  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is finitely generated and free and  $A$  is not regular. This example is also of interest in view of Zariski Lipman conjecture (though it is not a counterexample as it does not belong to the right category).

We remark that if  $A$  is differentially simple then  $A$  contains a field  $k$  such that  $A$  is differentially simple under  $\text{Der}_k(A)$ .

## 1. The results

By a ring we mean a commutative ring with unity.

Let  $A$  be a ring. We denote by  $\text{Der}(A)$ , the module of derivations of  $A$ . More specifically, if  $k$  is a ring and  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra then we denote by  $\text{Der}_k(A)$ , the module of  $k$ -linear derivations of  $A$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{D} \subset \text{Der}(A)$ . An ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is said to be  $\mathfrak{D}$ -differential if  $d(I) \subset I$  for all  $d \in \mathfrak{D}$ . If ideals  $I$  and  $J$  are  $\mathfrak{D}$ -differential then so are the ideals  $I + J, I \cap J$  and  $IJ$ .

An ideal  $I$  is said to be a maximally  $\mathfrak{D}$ -differential ideal if it is a maximal element of the set

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{D}} = \{J \mid J \text{ is a proper } \mathfrak{D}\text{-differential ideal of } A\}.$$

Note that by Zorn's lemma,  $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{D}}$  contains maximal elements. Also note that if  $A$  is local then  $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{D}}$  has a unique maximal element.

An ideal  $I$  is said to be maximally differential if it is maximally  $\mathfrak{D}$ -differential for some set  $\mathfrak{D} \subset \text{Der}(A)$ .

A ring is said to be differentially simple if the ideal  $(0)$  is maximally differential.

If  $A$  is differentially simple under  $\mathfrak{D} \subset \text{Der}_k(A)$  then the set  $\{a \in A \mid d(a) = 0 \text{ for all } d \in \mathfrak{D}\}$  is a field.

**Definition 1** (cf. Maloo [4, 1.6]). Let  $\mathfrak{D} \subset \text{Der}(A)$  and let  $a \in A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{D}_a$  denote the ideal of  $A$  generated by the set  $\{d_1 \dots d_r(a) \mid r \geq 0; d_1, \dots, d_r \in \mathfrak{D}\}$ . Then  $a \in \mathfrak{D}_a$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_a$  is  $\mathfrak{D}$ -differential.

We recall the following lemma from [4].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{D} \subset \text{Der}(A)$  and let  $I$  be a maximally  $\mathfrak{D}$ -differential ideal. For  $a \in A \setminus I$ , construct  $\mathfrak{D}_a$  as in Definition 1. Then  $I + \mathfrak{D}_a = A$ .*

**Definition 3** (cf. Maloo [4, 1.8]). Let  $\mathfrak{D} \subset \text{Der}(A)$  and let  $I$  be a maximally  $\mathfrak{D}$ -differential ideal. Let  $Q$  be a (prime) ideal of  $A$  containing  $I$ . To every  $a \in A$  we associate a nonnegative integer  $n := n(a, Q)$  as follows: If  $a \in I$ , put  $n(a, Q) = \infty$ . If  $a \notin I$ , construct  $\mathfrak{D}_a$  as in Definition 1. Then by Lemma 2,  $I + \mathfrak{D}_a = A$ . Therefore, there exist  $d_1, \dots, d_r \in \mathfrak{D}$  (for some  $r \geq 0$ ) such that  $d_1 \dots d_r(a) \notin Q$ . Let  $n(a, Q)$  denote the least nonnegative integer  $r$  with this property. Clearly,  $n(a, Q)$  is uniquely determined.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\mathfrak{D}$ ,  $I$  and  $Q$  be as in Definition 3. Then:

(a) For  $a, b \in A$ ,  $n(a+b, Q) \geq \min\{n(a, Q), n(b, Q)\}$  and equality holds if  $n(a, Q) \neq n(b, Q)$ . Also  $n(ab, Q) \geq n(a, Q) + n(b, Q)$ .

(b) Let  $J = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  be an ideal of  $A$ . Then for all  $a \in J$ ,  $n(a, Q) \geq \min\{n(a_i, Q) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ .

**Proof.** Immediate from the definition.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local ring and let  $\mathfrak{D}$  be an  $A$ -submodule of  $\text{Der}(A)$ . Let  $I$  be the maximally  $\mathfrak{D}$ -differential ideal. If  $\mathfrak{D}$  is closed under Lie operation of derivations and is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module then  $\mathfrak{D}/I\mathfrak{D}$  is free as an  $A/I$ -module.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  denote the maximal ideal of  $A$ . Let  $d_1, \dots, d_m$  be a minimal set of generators for  $\mathfrak{D}$ . We have to show that if  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i d_i = 0$  then  $a_i \in I$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Assume the contrary. For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , let  $n_i = n(a_i, \mathfrak{m})$ , as defined in Definition 3. Let  $n = \min\{n_1, \dots, n_m\}$ . Then  $n < \infty$ . Choose  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  such that  $n$  is the least. We show that  $n = 0$ . Suppose  $n > 0$ . We may assume that  $n = n_1$ . Then there exist  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \mathfrak{D}$  such that  $\delta_1 \dots \delta_n(a_1) \notin \mathfrak{m}$ . Put  $\delta = \delta_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left[ \delta, \sum_{i=1}^m a_i d_i \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \{ \delta(a_i) d_i + a_i [\delta, d_i] \} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\delta(a_i) + b_i) d_i, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $b_i \in J = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then by Lemma 4  $n(\delta(a_1) + b_1, \mathfrak{m}) = n - 1$  and  $n(\delta(a_i) + b_i, \mathfrak{m}) \geq n - 1$  for  $i = 2, \dots, m$ . This contradicts the minimality of  $n$ . Therefore  $n = 0$ . This is impossible as  $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}$  and therefore  $n_i \geq 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** Let  $A$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$  be as in Theorem 5. Suppose  $A$  is differentially simple under  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{D}$  is free as an  $A$ -module.

**Proof.** Immediate from Theorem 5.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** Let  $A$  be a Noetherian local ring containing a field  $k$  such that  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module. If  $A$  is differentially simple under  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  then  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is free as an  $A$ -module.

**Proof.** Follows from Corollary 6 as  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is closed under the Lie operation of derivations.  $\square$

**Remark 8.** We apply Corollary 7 to show that there exists a Noetherian local ring  $A$  containing a field  $k$  of characteristic zero such that  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is finitely generated and free as an  $A$ -module and  $A$  is not regular. To show that we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian domain containing a field  $k$  and let  $K$  be the quotient field of  $A$ . If  $\text{Der}_k(K)$  is finitely generated as a  $K$ -vector space then  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module.*

**Proof.** Let  $n = \dim_K \text{Der}_k(K)$ . Let  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  be a basis of  $\text{Der}_k(K)$ . First we show that there exist  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \text{Der}_k(K)$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$  such that  $\delta_r(x_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i < r$ ,  $\delta_r(x_r) = 1$  and  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r, d_{r+1}, \dots, d_n$  is a basis of  $\text{Der}_k(K)$ , for all  $1 \leq r \leq n$ . This we do by induction on  $r$ .

Since  $d_1 \neq 0$ ,  $d_1(A) \neq 0$ . Therefore there exists  $x_1 \in A$  such that  $d_1(x_1) \neq 0$ . Put  $\delta_1 = (d_1(x_1))^{-1}d_1$ . Suppose  $r > 1$  and  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{r-1}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}$  have already been constructed. Then there exist (unique) elements  $a_1, \dots, a_{r-1} \in K$  such that  $\delta(x_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i < r$ , where  $\delta = d_r - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i \delta_i$ . Since  $\delta \neq 0$ , there exists  $x_r \in A$  such that  $\delta(x_r) \neq 0$ . Put  $\delta_r = (\delta(x_r))^{-1}\delta$ .

Since  $\det(\delta_i(x_j)) \neq 0$ , we may assume that  $(\delta_i(x_j))$  is an  $n \times n$  identity matrix.

Now we show that  $\text{Der}_k(A) \subset \sum_{i=1}^n A\delta_i$ . Let  $d \in \text{Der}_k(A)$ . Extend  $d$  to  $K$ . Then  $d = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \delta_i$ , for some  $b_i \in K$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $b_i = d(x_i) \in A$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $A$  is Noetherian we are through.  $\square$

**Example.** Now we give an example of a non-regular local ring  $A$  containing a field  $k$  of characteristic zero such that  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is finitely generated and free as an  $A$ -module (and  $A$  is differentially simple under  $\text{Der}_k(A)$ ). In fact, we take  $A$  to be the ring  $R$  constructed in [1, Example B]. For the sake of completeness, we give an alternative construction of  $A$ . Let  $X, Y$  be indeterminates over  $k$  and let  $d$  and  $\delta$  be the  $k$  derivations of  $k[X, Y]$  such that  $d(X) = 0, d(Y) = 1$  and  $\delta(X) = 1, \delta(Y) = 1 + Y$ . Put  $R = k[X, Y]_{(X, Y)}$ . Then  $R$  is differentially simple under  $\delta$  (see [6, Example 2.10]). For  $a \in R$ , let  $n(a)$  denote the integer  $n(a, XR + YR)$  as defined in Definition 3. Then by [3],  $a \mapsto n(a)$  extends to a discrete valuation of  $K = k(X, Y)$ . Let  $B$  denote the valuation ring of this valuation. Then  $R \subset B$ . By [3],  $\delta$  extends to  $B$ . Let

$$A = \{b \in B \mid d(b) \in B\}.$$

Then,  $A$  has the following properties:

- (a)  $R \subset A \subsetneq B$  and  $B$  is integral over  $A$ .
- (b)  $\delta(A) \subset A$  and  $A$  is differentially simple under  $\delta$ .
- (c)  $A$  is a Noetherian local ring of dimension 1.
- (d)  $A$  is not regular and  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is finitely generated and free.

**Proof.** (a) Since  $d(R) \subset R$ ,  $R \subset A$ . Suppose  $B = A$ . Then  $d$  is a derivation of  $B$ . Since the maximal ideal of  $B$  is  $XB$ , and  $d(X) = 0$ ,  $XB$  is  $d$ -differential. On the other hand,  $Y \in XB$  and  $d(Y) = 1 \notin XB$ .

Now we show that  $B$  is integral over  $A$ . Let  $b \in B$ . By [4, Lemma 2.5], the natural map  $R \rightarrow B/XB$  is surjective and hence  $b = a + Xb'$  for some  $a \in R$  and  $b' \in B$ . Therefore, we may assume that  $b = Xb'$ . Since  $d(b') = c/X^n$  for some  $c \in B$  and  $n \geq 0$ , hence  $d(b^n) \in B$  i.e.  $b^n \in A$ .

(b) Since  $[d, \delta] = d$  and  $\delta(B) \subset B$ , it follows that  $\delta(A) \subset A$ . Now, by [4, Lemma 2.5]  $A$  is differentially simple under  $\delta$ .

(c) By (a),  $A$  is a local ring of dimension 1. By [4, Lemma 2.5], the maximal ideal of  $A$  is  $XA + YA$ . Hence  $A$  is Noetherian.

(d) Since  $B \neq A$ ,  $A$  is not normal and hence not regular. Since the quotient field of  $A$  is  $K = k(X, Y)$  and  $\text{Der}_k(K)$  is finitely generated as a  $K$ -vector space, by Lemma 9,  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  is finitely generated and hence by Corollary 7 free as an  $A$ -module.  $\square$

**Remark 10.** The above example is of interest in view of Zariski Lipman conjecture.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Prof. Balwant Singh for his constant help and encouragement. I would also like to thank Dr. Ravi Rao for the interest he has shown in this work.

## References

- [1] K.R. Goodearl and T.H. Leganan, Constructing bad Noetherian domains using derivations, *J. Algebra* 123 (1989) 478–495.
- [2] R. Hart, Derivations on commutative rings, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 8 (1974) 171–175.
- [3] Y. Lequain, Differential simplicity and complete integral closure, *Pacific J. Math.* 36 (1971) 741–751.
- [4] A.K. Maloo, Maximally differential ideals, *J. Algebra* 176 (1995) 806–823.
- [5] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986).
- [6] B. Singh, Maximally differential prime ideals in a complete local ring, *J. Algebra* 82 (1983) 331–339.
- [7] O. Zariski, Studies in equi-singularity I, *Amer. J. Math.* 87 (1965) 507–536.