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#### Abstract

We consider the equation $\Delta u=p(x) f(u)$ where $p$ is a nonnegative nontrivial continuous function and $f$ is continuous and nondecreasing on $[0, \infty)$, satisfies $f(0)=0, f(s)>0$ for $s>0$ and the Keller-Osserman condition $\int_{1}^{\infty}(F(s))^{-1 / 2} d s=\infty$ where $F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(t) d t$. We establish conditions on the function $p$ that are necessary and sufficient for the existence of positive solutions, bounded and unbounded, of the given equation. Published by Elsevier Inc.
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## 1. Introduction

We consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta u=p(x) f(u), \quad x \in \Omega,  \tag{1}\\
& u(x) \rightarrow \infty, \quad x \rightarrow \partial \Omega, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is an open, connected subset of $\mathbf{R}^{N}(N \geqslant 3)$ with smooth boundary, the nonnegative nontrivial function $p$ is continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$ and the nondecreasing continuous function $f$ satisfies

[^0]$f(0)=0, f(s)>0$ for $s>0$. Any solution of (1) and (2) is called a large solution of (1). If $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$, then (2) means that $u(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and in such case $u$ is referred to as an entire large solution of (1).

Our purpose in this article is to extend some existence and nonexistence results of Keller [2] and Osserman [7] where $p(x) \equiv 1$ under the requirement that $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{\infty}[F(s)]^{-1 / 2} d s=\infty \quad\left(F(s) \equiv \int_{0}^{s} f(t) d t\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, they prove that a necessary and sufficient condition for (1) (with $p=1$ ) to have an entire solution is that $f$ satisfies (3). Such a solution will necessarily satisfy (2) and hence be a large solution. We extend this to the case where the function $p$ is spherically symmetric. More generally, however, we are interested in the influence of the function $p$ on existence results. In [3], we extended many of their results in a similar direction. We proved, for example, that if $p(x) \leqslant K|x|^{-\alpha}, \alpha>2$, for $|x|$ large, and consequently $p$ satisfies

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} r\left[\max _{|x|=r} p(x)\right] d r<\infty
$$

then a necessary and sufficient condition for (1) to have an entire large solution is that the integral in (3) be finite. Here, instead of fixing the condition on $p$, we fix the condition on $f$ (i.e., it satisfies (3)) and determine necessary and sufficient conditions on $p$ that ensure that (1) has an entire solution and whether such solutions are bounded or unbounded and, perhaps, large. Our results somewhat parallel those of [5] where Wood and the author considered the case $f(s)=s^{\gamma}$, $0<\gamma \leqslant 1$. We note that if $f(s)=s^{\gamma}, \gamma>0$, then (3) is equivalent to $\gamma \leqslant 1$. Thus the present results include those of [5] as a special case.

Finally, we note that the study of large solutions for (1) when the integral in (3) is finite has been the subject of many articles. See, for example, $[1,3]$ and their references.

## 2. Main results

Since Theorem 1 of [3] establishes the nonexistence of a large solution on any bounded domain whenever $f$ satisfies (3), we concentrate here on the case $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$. (We note that condition (A) in [3] is not needed in the proof of necessity there.)

Theorem 1. Let $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$ in (1). Suppose $f$ satisfies (3) and there exists a positive number $\varepsilon$ such that p satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{1+\varepsilon} \phi(t) d t<\infty, \quad \text { where } \phi(t)=\max _{|x|=t} p(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $r^{2 N-2} \phi(r)$ is nondecreasing for large $r$. Then Eq. (1) has a nonnegative nontrivial entire bounded solution on $\mathbf{R}^{N}$. If, on the other hand, $p$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t \psi(t) d t=\infty, \quad \text { where } \psi(t)=\min _{|x|=t} p(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $r^{2 N-2} \psi(r)$ is nondecreasing for large $r$, then Eq. (1) has no nonnegative nontrivial entire bounded solution on $\mathbf{R}^{N}$.

Remark. If $f(s)=s^{\gamma}, \gamma>0$, then $\varepsilon$ can be taken to be zero and the functions $r^{2 N-2} \phi(r)$ and $r^{2 N-2} \psi(r)$ need not be monotone for any $r$. (See [6].)

Open problem. It remains unknown as to whether (1) has an entire large solution if (5) is satisfied, even in the case $f(s)=s^{\gamma}, 0<\gamma \leqslant 1$. The best related result seems to be in [4] where, in addition to requiring $p$ to satisfy (5), it is also required that $\phi(s)-\psi(s)$ decay very rapidly to zero as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Suppose (4) holds. We will show that (1) has a solution by finding an upper solution, $v$, and a lower solution, $w$, for which $w \leqslant v$. To do this, we first prove the existence of $w$ to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w=\phi(r) f(w) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that this equation becomes in this case

$$
w^{\prime \prime}+\frac{N-1}{r} w^{\prime}=\phi(r) f(w)
$$

and that any solution $w$ to the integral equation

$$
w(r)=1+\int_{0}^{r} t^{1-N} \int_{0}^{t} s^{N-1} \phi(s) f(w(s)) d s d t, \quad r>0
$$

is a solution to (6). To establish a solution to this equation, we use successive approximation. Let $w_{0}=1$ and define the sequence $\left\{w_{k}\right\}$ by

$$
w_{k}(r)=1+\int_{0}^{r} t^{1-N} \int_{0}^{t} s^{N-1} \phi(s) f\left(w_{k-1}(s)\right) d s d t \quad \text { for all } k \text { and all } r \geqslant 0
$$

Clearly $w_{0} \leqslant w_{1}$ which, in turn, yields $w_{1} \leqslant w_{2}$ since $f$ is nondecreasing. Hence the sequence $\left\{w_{k}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of nonnegative, nondecreasing functions. We now show that the sequence $\left\{w_{k}\right\}$ is bounded above and hence converges. We note that $w_{k}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{N-1} w_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=r^{N-1} \phi(r) f\left(w_{k-1}\right), \quad k \geqslant 1, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the monotonicity of $\left\{w_{k}\right\}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r^{N-1} w_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \leqslant r^{N-1} \phi(r) f\left(w_{k}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $R>0$ so that $r^{2 N-2} \phi(r)$ is nondecreasing for $r \geqslant R$. We first show that $w_{k}(R)$ and $w_{k}^{\prime}(R)$, both of which are nonnegative, are bounded above independent of $k$. To do this, let $\Phi_{R}=\max \{\phi(r): 0 \leqslant r \leqslant R\}$. Using this and the fact that $w_{k}^{\prime} \geqslant 0$, we note that (8) yields

$$
w_{k}^{\prime \prime} \leqslant \Phi_{R} f\left(w_{k}\right), \quad 0 \leqslant r \leqslant R
$$

Multiply this by $w_{k}^{\prime}$ and integrate to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w_{k}^{\prime}(r)\right)^{2} \leqslant 2 \Phi_{R} \int_{1}^{w_{k}(r)} f(s) d s, \quad 0 \leqslant r \leqslant R \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields

$$
\int_{1}^{w_{k}(R)}\left[\int_{1}^{t} f(s) d s\right]^{-1 / 2} d t \leqslant \sqrt{2 \Phi_{R}} R
$$

From (3), we now conclude that $w_{k}(R)$ is bounded above independent of $k$ and using this fact in (9) shows that the same is true of $w_{k}^{\prime}(R)$. We now show that $w_{k}$ is bounded for all $r \geqslant 0$ and all $k$. Multiplying (8) by $r^{N-1} w_{k}^{\prime}$ and integrating gives

$$
\left(r^{N-1} w_{k}^{\prime}(r)\right)^{2} \leqslant\left(R^{N-1} w_{k}^{\prime}(R)\right)^{2}+2 \int_{R}^{r} t^{2 N-2} \phi(t) \frac{d}{d t} \int_{1}^{w_{k}(t)} f(s) d s d t \quad(r \geqslant R)
$$

Using the monotonicity of $t^{2 N-2} \phi(t)$ for $t \geqslant R$, we get $\left(C \equiv\left(R^{N-1} w_{k}^{\prime}(R)\right)^{2}\right)$

$$
\left(r^{N-1} w_{k}^{\prime}(r)\right)^{2} \leqslant C+2 r^{2 N-2} \phi(r) F\left(w_{k}(r)\right)
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{k}^{\prime}(r) \leqslant \sqrt{C} r^{1-N}+\sqrt{2 \phi(r)}\left[F\left(w_{k}(r)\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{d}{d r} \int_{1}^{w_{k}(r)}[F(t)]^{-1 / 2} d t \leqslant \sqrt{C} r^{1-N}\left[F\left(w_{k}(r)\right)\right]^{-1 / 2}+\sqrt{2 \phi(r)}
$$

Integrating this and using the fact that

$$
\sqrt{2 \phi(r)}=\sqrt{2 r^{(1+\varepsilon) / 2} \phi(r) r^{(-1-\varepsilon) / 2}} \leqslant r^{1+\varepsilon} \phi(r)+r^{-1-\varepsilon}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{w_{k}(R)}^{w_{k}(r)}[F(t)]^{-1 / 2} d t \leqslant & \sqrt{C} \int_{R}^{r} t^{1-N}\left[F\left(w_{k}(t)\right)\right]^{-1 / 2} d t \\
& +\int_{R}^{r} t^{1+\varepsilon} \phi(t) d t+\int_{R}^{r} t^{-1-\varepsilon} d t \\
\leqslant & \sqrt{C}\left[F\left(w_{k}(R)\right)\right]^{-1 / 2} \int_{R}^{r} t^{1-N} d t \\
& +\int_{R}^{r} t^{1+\varepsilon} \phi(t) d t+\frac{1}{\varepsilon R^{\varepsilon}} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Since for each $\varepsilon>0$ the right side of this inequality is bounded independent of $k$ (note that $w_{k}(R) \geqslant 1$ ), so is the left side and hence, in light of (3), the sequence $\left\{w_{k}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence. Thus $w_{k} \uparrow w$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $w$ is a solution to (6). Furthermore, $w^{\prime} \geqslant 0$ and since the
sequence $\left\{w_{k}\right\}$ is bounded above, so is $w$. We let $M$ be the least upper bound of $w$ and note that $M=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} w(r)$. Now let $v$ be the positive increasing bounded solution of

$$
v(r)=M+\int_{0}^{r} t^{1-N} \int_{0}^{t} s^{N-1} \psi(s) f(v(s)) d s d t, \quad r>0
$$

which, of course, satisfies (6) with $w$ replaced with $v$. It is also clear that $v \geqslant M$. (The proof of the existence of $v$ and that it has the properties mentioned is virtually identical to the proof for $w$ above and is therefore omitted.) Thus we have that $w$ and $v$ satisfy, respectively,

$$
\Delta w \geqslant p(x) f(w), \quad \Delta v \leqslant p(x) f(v)
$$

on $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ and $w \leqslant v$. Hence the standard upper-lower solution principle (see [8]) implies that (1) has a solution $u$ such that $w \leqslant u \leqslant v$ on $\mathbf{R}^{N}$, which is the desired solution.

Now assume that (5) holds and that (1) has a nontrivial nonnegative entire bounded solution, $u$. Let $\bar{u}$ be the spherical mean of $u$, defined as in [6] and given by

$$
\bar{u}(r)=\frac{1}{v_{0}\left(S^{N-1} r\right)} \int_{|x|=r} u(x) d \sigma_{r} \equiv \int_{|x|=r} u(x) d \sigma,
$$

where $v_{0}\left(S^{N-1} r\right)$ is the volume of the $(N-1)$-dimensional sphere of radius $r$ and $\sigma_{r}$ is the measure on the sphere. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \bar{u} & =\bar{u}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{N-1}{r} \bar{u}^{\prime}=\int_{|x|=r} \Delta u d \sigma=\int_{|x|=r} p(x) f(u) d \sigma \\
& \geqslant \psi(r) \int_{|x|=r} f(u) d \sigma \equiv \psi(r) \overline{f(u)},
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields

$$
\bar{u}(r) \geqslant \bar{u}(0)+\int_{0}^{r} t^{1-N} \int_{0}^{t} s^{N-1} \psi(s) \overline{f(u)}(s) d s d t
$$

Assuming $M=\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}} u(x)$ and knowing that $\bar{u}^{\prime} \geqslant 0$, we get $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}(r)=M$. Thus there exists $R>0$ such that $\bar{u}(r) \geqslant 3 M / 4$ for $r \geqslant R$. Now define the function $g:[0, M] \rightarrow[0, M]$ by $g(s)=0$ for $0 \leqslant s \leqslant M / 2$ and

$$
g(s)=\frac{2 f(M / 2)}{M} s-f(M / 2) \quad \text { for } M / 2 \leqslant s \leqslant M .
$$

Then $g$ is nonnegative nondecreasing convex and $g \leqslant f$ on $[0, M]$. Hence, for $r \geqslant R$,

$$
\overline{f(u)}(r) \geqslant \int_{|x|=r} g(u) d \sigma \geqslant g\left(\int_{|x|=r} u d \sigma\right) \geqslant g(3 M / 4) \equiv c_{0}>0 .
$$

Thus

$$
\bar{u}(r) \geqslant \bar{u}(0)+c_{0} \int_{0}^{r} t^{1-N} \int_{0}^{t} s^{N-1} \psi(s) d s d t \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty,
$$

a contradiction to the boundedness of $u$. This completes the proof.

## 3. The spherically symmetric case

We now consider the radial case (i.e., $p(x)=p(|x|)$ ), and show that (1) has an entire solution. We would like to show that a necessary and sufficient condition for such a solution to be large is that $p$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} r p(r) d r=\infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is true, for example, if $f(s)=s^{\gamma}, 0<\gamma \leqslant 1$. (See [6].) However, we have been unable to prove this under the Keller-Osserman condition (3). The best we have been able to establish is given here in Theorem 2 below. Thus it remains an open problem.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $p$ is spherically symmetric (i.e., $p(x)=p(|x|)$ ) and $\Omega=\mathbf{R}^{N}$. If $f$ satisfies (3), then Eq. (1) has a nonnegative nontrivial entire solution. Suppose furthermore that $r^{2 N-2} p(r)$ is nondecreasing for large $r$. If $p$ satisfies (12), then any nonnegative nontrivial entire solution $u$ of (1) is large. Conversely, if (1) has a nonnegative entire large solution, then $p$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} r^{1+\varepsilon} p(r) d r=\infty \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$.
Proof. For any $a>0$ a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)=a+\int_{0}^{r} t^{1-N} \int_{0}^{t} s^{N-1} p(s) f(v(s)) d s d t \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for, at least, small $r$. Since $v^{\prime} \geqslant 0$, the only way that the solution can become singular at $R$ is for $v(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \uparrow R$. Thus, if we can show that, for each $R>0$, there exists $C_{R}>0$ so that $v(R) \leqslant C_{R}$, we will have existence. To this end, let $M_{R}=\max \{p(r): 0 \leqslant r \leqslant R\}$ and consider the equation

$$
w(r)=b+M_{R} \int_{0}^{r} t^{1-N} \int_{0}^{t} s^{N-1} f(w(s)) d s d t
$$

where $b>a$. The solution to this equation exists for all $r \geqslant 0$ (see [7, Lemma 3]) and of course, it is a solution to $\Delta w=M_{R} f(w)$ on $\mathbf{R}^{N}$. We now show that $v(r) \leqslant w(r)$ for all $0 \leqslant r \leqslant R$ and hence complete the proof of existence. Clearly $v(0)<w(0)$ so that $v(r)<w(r)$ for at least all $r$ near zero. Let $r_{0}=\sup \{r: v(s)<w(s)$ for all $s \in[0, r]\}$. If $r_{0}=R$, then we are done. Thus assume that $r_{0}<R$. Then we have

$$
v\left(r_{0}\right)=a+\int_{0}^{r_{0}} t^{1-N} \int_{0}^{t} s^{N-1} p(s) f(v(s)) d s d t
$$

$$
<b+M_{R} \int_{0}^{r_{0}} t^{1-N} \int_{0}^{t} s^{N-1} f(w(s)) d s d t=w\left(r_{0}\right)
$$

Thus there exists $\varepsilon>0$ so that $v(r)<w(r)$ for all $\left[0, r_{0}+\varepsilon\right)$, contradicting the definition of $r_{0}$. Thus we conclude that $v \leqslant w$ on $[0, R]$ for all $R>0$ and hence $v$ is a nontrivial entire solution of (1).

Now let $u$ be any nonnegative nontrivial entire solution of (1) and suppose $p$ satisfies (12). Then the proof that $u(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ is very similar to part of the proof of Theorem 1 of [6] so we provide details here only of the difference between the two proofs. Indeed since $u$ is nontrivial and nonnegative, there exists $R>0$ so that $u(R)>0$. Since $u^{\prime} \geqslant 0$, we get $u(r) \geqslant$ $u(R)>0$ for $r \geqslant R$, and thus from (14) (since $u$ will satisfy that equation for all $r \geqslant 0$ ) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(r) & =u(0)+\int_{0}^{r} t^{1-N} \int_{0}^{t} s^{N-1} p(s) f(u(s)) d s d t \\
& \geqslant u(R)+f(u(R)) \int_{R}^{r} t^{1-N} \int_{R}^{t} s^{N-1} p(s) d s d t \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have applied Eq. (8) in [5] to establish the limit.
To prove the converse we use an argument similar to part of the proof of Theorem 1 above. Indeed, if $f$ satisfies (3) and $w$ is a nonnegative entire large solution of (1), then $w$ satisfies

$$
\left(r^{N-1} w^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=r^{N-1} p(r) f(w)
$$

Now, as in the proof of Theorem 1, we multiply this by $r^{N-1} w^{\prime}(r)$, integrate, and use the monotonicity of $r^{2 N-2} p(r)$ for $r \geqslant R$ to get (see inequality (10) above and its derivation)

$$
w^{\prime}(r) \leqslant \sqrt{C} r^{1-N}+\sqrt{2 p(r)}[F(w(r))]^{1 / 2}
$$

and hence, as with (11), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{w(R)}^{w(r)}[F(t)]^{-1 / 2} d t & \leqslant \sqrt{C}[F(w(R))]^{-1 / 2} \int_{R}^{r} t^{1-N} d t+\int_{R}^{r} t^{1+\varepsilon} p(t) d t+\frac{1}{\varepsilon R^{\varepsilon}} \\
& \leqslant C_{R}+\int_{R}^{r} t^{1+\varepsilon} p(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{R}=\sqrt{C}[F(w(R))]^{-1 / 2} R^{N-2} /(N-2)+1 /\left(\varepsilon R^{\varepsilon}\right)$. Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we find that $p$ satisfies (13) since $w$ is large and $f$ satisfies (3). This completes the proof.
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