

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect



Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 84 (2013) 972 - 978

3rd World Conference on Psychology, Counselling and Guidance (WCPCG-2012)

Scientific Study, Validity, Reliability and Norming of Spiritual Intelligence Test in University Students

Aida Hadad Momeni^a*, Hamidreza Vatankhah^b

^a MA student of Azad University of Tonekabon, Iran ^b Lecturer of Azad University of Tonekabon, Iran

Abstract

The general purpose of this investigation is scientific study, validity, reliability and norming of spiritual intelligence test in Rasht city. To gain this purpose a sample group including 451 people (190 boys & 261 girls) were selected randomly. Afterward a questionnaire including 83 items applied to assess their spiritual intelligence. This was measured on a six-point likert scale with categories from never to almost always. To obtain the correlation of each item with total score of the group, Cronbach's alpha was used. For the group concluding 82 items, the coefficient of Cronbach alpha was 0.875. Whenever a question of the assessment is omitted, validity coefficient (homogenous) of the test which is equal to 0.875 in normal condition, decreases but if the questions number 5,6,9,11,22,23,31,33,34,44,53,55,60,68,74,75,76,79 are omitted, the validity coefficient increases. The maximum increase of validity is 0.877 which is related to the questions 9,11,22,23,34,75,76. Question 61 was omitted from the questionnaire due to weak correlation. To survey about the reliability of the concept and to know that this measurement is satiated with what factors, we used factor analysis. As the amount of Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) was 0.77 and as Croit Bartlet's particular is meaningful, there is a proper condition to perform factor analysis. By analyzing principal components and Varimax Rotation and with regard to factor Matrix, 14 factors were extracted. Average scores of boys and girls in factors 2,3,4,5 have meaningful differences between the other 10 factors. Generally there are significant differences between average scores of girls are more than boys; however there were not significant differences between the other 10 factors. Generally there are significant differences between average scores of girls and boys.

© 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license. Selection and peer-review under responsibility of Prof. Dr. Huseyin Uzunboylu & Dr. Mukaddes Demirok, Near East University, Cyprus

Keywords: Communication skills, Self-concept, Self-Esteem, Assertiveness;

1. Introduction

Spirituality is one of inner requirements by human which exists in hearts, minds and customs especially religious customs. As Tillich has said spirituality is the final concern; as all humans have a final concern so all are spiritual (Akins et al, 1988). In the recent decades, the theories of multiple-intelligence have increased our general perception about intelligence beside traditional perception (linguistic and logical abilities related to Intelligent Quotient (IQ) including spiritual, existential, social intelligence and talent which change to creative and emotional practices) (Bar,

Corresponding author name: * Hamidreza Vatankhah. Tel.: +98-912-351-1146

E-mail address: d.daryabari@yahoo.com

1877-0428 © 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license. Selection and peer-review under responsibility of Prof. Dr. Huseyin Uzunboylu & Dr. Mukaddes Demirok, Near East University, Cyprus doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.06.684 2000; Gardner, 1983, 2000; Emmons, 1999; Halama & Stridence, 2004; Goleman, 2001; Mayer & Salovey, 1993; Sternberg, 1997).

For each form of intelligence, a model of theory and a measurement tool is developed so that functionally measure the structure of similar new intelligence (Bar, 2000; Boyatziz & Goleman & Rhee, 2000; Mayer & Salovey & Caruo & Sitarenios, 2003; Sternberg, 1997). Sternberg (1997) defines intelligence as necessary mental abilities for being compatible, selecting and forming each environmental context. A more advanced and richer model for multiple intelligence which has been mentioned, is Gerdener Model (1983, 1999). Gardener defines intelligence as a series of abilities which are applied to remove problems and it creates products which are valuable in a cultural or social context. Lining up structural development of emotional intelligence and spiritual intelligence includes a series of abilities which are relate to spiritual resources. SI merges structures of spirituality and talent together. While spirituality is refer to search for experiencing holy and spiritual elements, meaning, more consciousness and eminency, spiritual intelligence includes abilities which are related to spiritual issues so that predicate performance and ability to compromise and present valuable and considerable results (Emmons, 1999). In a short look at spiritual, from intelligence point of view, Emmons (1999) says "SI is a framework for identifying and organizing skills and abilities needed for the adaptive use of spirituality". In this questionnaire, SI has identified as an ability to apply, reveal and depict values and spiritual resources and how to increase fitness, health and daily functioning. Despite previous researches which conducted about SI (Emmons, 2000, B; Nasl, 2004; Vagen, 2002; Volma, 2001), there have been some developments towards this world measurement tool of SI and it has been legally known as reliable. The purpose of the researcher is normalization spiritual intelligence inventory. With regard to standard stages in related operation to test standardization in the present research, researcher seeks to respond the following questions:

- 1. If there is enough inner coordination between set of questions which are provided for evaluation of SI?
- 2. If there is enough reliability in spiritual intelligence test?
- 3. What factors are saturated in content of spiritual intelligence inventory?.

2. Method

Statistical society of the present research includes all male and female university students engaged in education in academic year of 2008-2009 in governmental, Azad and Payame-noor universities with age between 20 to 30 years old in all courses of studying. As the subject of the research is SI test standardization, therefore, sample group shall be large so that required factor analysis could be conducted. For this purpose, a sample group with 500 people was selected from the society under study through random stratified sampling based on common methods to standard tests.

The research tool is SI test which has been created by Emrem & Derir (2007). This inventory included 83 items so that students study each item and rank their agreement based on their status during recent 6 to 12 months by sixpoint likert scale which is degreed from "never to always". This inventory could be done individually or in group. In order to conduct the plan and collect data, more than 451 people were selected. Scale performed by the researcher. The test conducted individually for students (male and female). The maximum time for the test was 30 to 40 minutes.

Data were analyzed based on purposes of the research and using descriptive and deductive statistic methods as follow: To determine statistical feature of groups common descriptive methods such as frequency distribution, central tendency indexes and dispersion indexes were used based on collected variables (sex). To determine inner coordination and validity of inventory, Chronbach alpha was used. To survey reliability of inventory principal components analysis was used. In order to find that the test is saturated with how many factors, Varimax rotation was used after analyzing principal components. And finally to determine significant difference between male and female groups, independent t-test was used.

3. Findings

The main purpose of the present research is study about validity, reliability and norming SI inventory. To evaluate inner coordination of validity coefficient of SI inventory, general formula of Chronbach alpha coefficient was used. For 82-item set, Chronbach alpha coefficient was 0.875. Whenever a question of the test is omitted from set of questions, validity coefficient (homogenous) of the test which is equal to 0.875 in normal condition decreases but if the questions number 5, 6, 9, 11, 22, 23, 31, 33, 34, 44, 53, 55, 60, 68, 74, 75, 76, 79 are omitted, the validity coefficient increases. The maximum increase of validity is 0.877 which is related to the questions 9, 11, 22, 23, 34, 75, 76. Question 61 was omitted from the questionnaire due to weak correlation.

Observing the following assumptions are necessary to conduct factor analysis:

1. Kaiser – Meyer – Olkin(KMO) in this research is 0.77 which is justifiable by conducting factor analysis.

2. The certainty that correlation matrix which is basis of factor analysis is not zero. In order to survey this issue Bartlett test of dphericity is used: In the present research amount of statistical feature of Bartlett test of dphericity is 9019/128 and significant level is 0.0000. therefore it could be claimed that there is correlation between variables in the society.

3. Factor loading of each question in factor matrix and rotation matrix should be at least 0.3 and it is better to be more than that. In this research factor loading with coefficient 0.30 was selected as acceptable factor loading.

4. Each factor should at least belong to 3 questions.

5. Factors should enjoy enough validity.

To determine that the questions are saturated with how many meaningful factor, 3 major indexes are considered:

1. Egenvalue

2. Proportion of variance explained by each factor

3. Diagram of Egenvalue which is called Scree

Eigenvalue of 27 factors is more than 1 and these 27 factors totally explain 60.9 percent of total variance of variables which 9.28 percent belongs to the first factor. Therefore, if 27 factors are extracted from function of subjects in the present study, 9.28%, it means approximately 15% of common variance is explained by the first factor. Based on obtained results from factor analysis and indexes mentioned above, 14 factors extracted from set of questions which 41.9% of total variance is explained. The 1st factor with eigenvalue 9.281 justifies 11.5% of total variance and finally the 14th factor with eigenvalue 1.398 justifies 1.7% of total variance. Subscription rate of 82-question set of SI questionnaire which obtained through analyzing principal components, showed that the least rate of subscription is 0.276 which belongs to question 26 and the most rate of subscription is 0.683 which belongs to question 56. Subscription rate of the most questions is more than 0.2. in order to obtain a meaningful structure about analyzed data, extracted factors should be transfer to the new axis through Varimax rotation. Obtained structure matrix has been shown in table 1. By observing figures in table 1 following issues are deducted:

- 1. All questions have factor loading.
- 2. There is not any factor loading less than 0.3 in the form of 14-factor.

3. The largest factor loading in structure matrix is related to question 56 (0.789).

4. There are at least 3 variables in each factor.

Table 1. Matrix of factor rotation by Varimax method

T4	£ +	I.4	6	I.4	6	T4	£	T4	6	T4	£	I4	£
Item	factor	Item	Tactor	Item	factor	Item	Tactor	Item	Tactor	Item	factor	Item	factor
	1		2		3		4		5		6		7
Q56	.789	Q15	.588	Q13	.582	Q10	.533	Q20	.557	Q82	.493	Q77	.640
Q67	.758	Q28	.511	Q27	.469	Q39	.492	Q41	.499	Q23	.476	Q81	.494
Q72	.401	Q19	.476	Q37	.468	Q53	.479	Q57	.449	Q31	.458	Q80	.455
Q70	.349	Q12	.425	Q18	.462	Q29	.443	Q30	.447	Q33	.433	Q2	.332
Q49	.341	Q4	.419	Q26	.361	Q16	.435	Q6	.403	Q44	.402		
Q51	.323	Q1	.397	Q40	.328	Q66	.433	Q64	.388	Q76	.377		
Q45	.316	Q14	.356			Q52	.361	Q59	.369	Q36	.319		
Q42	.307	Q38	.338			Q40	.320	Q17	.341				
Q54	.300	Q58	.310			-		-					

050

04

201

Q04	.340	Q30 Q59	.369										
Item	factor 8	Item	factor 9	Item	factor 10	Item	factor 11	Item	factor 12	Item	factor 13	Item	factor 14
Q74	.681	Q22	.523	Q60	.586	Q79	.510	Q32	.536	Q35	.565	Q5	.544
Q73	.657	Q48	.482	Q8	.581	Q75	.503	Q3	.507	Q25	.492	Q71	.377
Q78	.356	Q68	.460	Q11	.558	Q63	.422	Q9	479	Q7	.467	Q34	.356
Q46	.333	Q24	.421			Q62	.325			Q55	.364	Q43	345
		Q65	.345			Q21	.312						
		Q69	.340										
		Q47	.315										

Based on structure matrix of factors, questions which was correlated with the same factor created a Pare Test which are obtained as follow:

1. The 1st factor has a strong correlation with 9 questions (54-42-45-51-49-70-72-67-56) which shows consciousness

2. The 2nd question has a strong correlation with 10 questions (15 - 50-38-58-14-1-4-12-19-28-) which shows self-consciousness

3. The 3rd factor has a strong correlation with 5 questions (13- 27-37- 18- 26) which shows existential inquiry

4. The 4th factor has a strong correlation with 8 questions (10- 39-53-29-16- 66- 52- 40) which shows attendance

5. The 5th factor has a strong correlation with 8 questions (20- 41-57-30-6- 64- 59- 17) which shows divinity

6. The 6th factor has a strong correlation with 7 questions (82- 23-31-33-44- 76- 36) which shows inner supervision

7. The 7th factor has a strong correlation with 4 questions (77-81-80-2) which shows meaning.

8. The 8th factor has a strong correlation with 4 questions (74-73-78-46) which shows separation from sensuality

9. The 9th factor has a strong correlation with 7 questions (22- 48-68-24-65-69-47) which shows holistic view

10. The 10th factor has a strong correlation with 3 questions (60- 8-11) which shows problem solving

11. The 11th factor has a strong correlation with 5 questions (79-75-63-62-21) which shows evidences

12. The 12th factor has a strong correlation with 3 questions (32- 3-9) which shows truth

13. The 13th factor has a strong correlation with 4 questions (35, 25, 7, 55) which shows virtuous behavior

14. The 14th factor has a strong correlation with 4 questions (5, 71, 34, 43) which shows intellectuality.

In order to obtain certainty about this issue that there is fundamental and significant difference between two groups of male and female so that understand that this difference is not due to sampling error, data obtained from SI scale on 261 females and 190 males analyzed through independent t-test for mean homology. The difference is significant between average scores of males and females in factors 2, 3, 4, 5 in level of 0.01 and 0.05. Average scores of girls in factors 2, 3, 4, 5 and total score are respectively 43.56, 22.00, 30.61, 30.01, 318.06 and average scores of boys in mentioned factors are respectively 41.70, 20.85, 21.51, 28.06 and 308.98 and t score in mentioned factors are respectively -2.76, -2,83, -2.07, -3.10 and -3.064 with freedom degree of 459. In all the 4 factors girls' score are more than boys'. Average scores were not meaningful in 10 other factors. Totally, there was significant differences between average scores of boys and girls.

In order to interpret scores of each person, his/her raw scores should be expressed in a scale which gives a general framework for comparing scores. The purpose of this scale which is called norm is to express relative status and rank of a person in a suitable reference group. A suitable reference group is the group that a person could be compared with it logically (Hooman, 2002). To obtain these purposes, in this research, scores obtained as percent norms in 14 factors so that relative status of each person could be determined by having his/her raw score. Generally, with regard to average scores, median and standard deviation we find that distribution and shape of diagrams of factors 1 to 14 is likely normal and 8th factor is skewed.

4. Discussion

The present study conducted to survey scientific study, validity, reliability and norming of spiritual intelligence

test in university students (Girls and Boys) of Guilan University, Islamic Azad University and Payame-noor University of Rasht city. After conducting spiritual intelligence test on 451 male and female student, data were collected and analyzed. Results of the research say that whenever a question of the test is omitted, validity coefficient (homogenous) of the test which is equal to 0.875 in normal condition, decreases but if the questions number 5,6,9,11,22,23,31,33,34,44,53,55,60,68,74,75,76,79 are omitted, the validity coefficient increases. The maximum increase of validity is 0.877 which is related to the questions 9,11,22,23,34,75,76. Regarding that the maximum increase in validity of test is from 0.875 to 0.877 and as it is not considerable, it is better to preserve length of the test and no question omitted. Question 61 was omitted from the questionnaire due to weak correlation. This validity coefficient shows that we could be certain of later results and computations. Also, in previous researches, Emrem & Derir (2007) obtained validity coefficient (0.97) for 83-item questionnaire of IS. With regard to the data along factor analysis, 14 factors were extracted and distribution and shape of diagrams for factors 1 to 14 is relatively normal and factor 8 is skewed. In order to survey structure reliability of the questionnaire and to understand that how many factors has the questionnaire, factor analyzing were used. Amount of KMO was approximately 0.77 and feature of Bartlett test of dphericity was meaningful, therefore, it represent a proper condition to conduct factor analysis. Based on principal components analysis and Varimax rotation, 14 factors were extracted from 82-item questionnaire regarding to factor matrix, seep digram and percentage of explained variance. This 14 factors totally cover 32.9% of total variance of variables which shows that this questionnaire enjoys reliability to some extent. In order to simplification extracting factors, inclined rotation was used. There is significant difference between average scores of girls and boys in factors 2, 3, 4, 5 at level of 0.01 and 0.05. In every four factors, average scores of girls are more than boys; however there were not significant differences between the other 10 factors. Generally there are significant differences between average scores of girls and boys.

References

Shahidi, Shirafkan (2004), Spirituality & Psychotherapy, Roshd Publication.

Sharifi Daramadi, Parviz. (2007). Emotional Intelligence and Spirituality. (1st Edition). Isfahan: Sepahan Publication.

- Abdi, H (2007). "Bonferroni and Sidak corrections for multiple comparisons ", in N.J. Salkind(ed.): Encyclopedia of Measurement and Statistics. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. Amram, Yosi (in press). The Seven Dimensions of Spiritual Intelligence: An Ecumenical Grounded Theory. Paper accepted to the 115th Annual (August 2007) Conference of the American Psychological Association, San Francisco, CA. Summary available on
- http://www.yosiamram.net/papers/.
- Bar-On, Reuven. (2000). Emotional and social intelligence: Insights from the Emotional Quotient Inventory. In Reuven Bar-On & James Parker (Eds.), The handbook of emotional intelligence: Theory, development, assessment and applications at home, school, and in the workplace (pp. 363-388). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Boyatzis, Richard, Goleman, Daniel, & Rhee, Kenneth. (2000). Clustering competence in emotional intelligence: Insights from the emotional competence inventory. In Reuven Bar-On& James Parker (Eds.), The handbook of emotional intelligence: Theory, development, assessment and applications at home, school, and in the workplace (pp. 343-362). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Cloninger, Robert, Svrakic, Dragan, & Przybeck, Thomas. (1993). A psychobiological model of temperament and character. Archives of General Psychiatry, 50, 975-990.
- Davidson, R., Kabat-Zinn, J., Schumacher, J., Rosenkranz, M., Muller, D., Santorelli, S., Urbanowski, F., Harrington, A., Bonus, K., & Sheridan, J. (2003). Alterations in brain and immune function produced by mindfulness meditation. Psychosomatic Medicine, 65(4), 564-570.

Deutsch, G., Springer, S. (1997). Left Brain, Right Brain: Perspectives from Cognitive Neuroscience. New York: Worth.

Diener, Ed. (1984). Subjective well-being. Psychological Bulletin, 95, 542-575.

Elmer, Lori, MacDonald, Douglas, & Friedman, Harris. (2003). Transpersonal psychology, physical health, and mental health: Theory, research and practice. Humanistic Psychologist, 31, 159-181.

Emmons, Robert. (1999). The psychology of ultimate concerns: Motivation and spirituality in personality.. New York: Guilford.

Emmons, Robert. (2000a). Is spirituality and intelligence? Motivation, cognition and the psychology of the ultimate concern. International Journal for the Psychology of Religion, 10(1), 3-26.

Emmons, Robert. (2000b). Spirituality and intelligence: Problems and prospects. International Journal for the Psychology of Religion, 10(1), 57-64.

Gallese Vittorio. (2003). The roots of empathy: The shared manifold hypothesis and the neural basis of intersubjectivity. Psychopathology, 36, 171-180.

- Gallese Vittorio. (2005). Being Like Me: Self-Other Identity, Mirror Neurons, and Empathy. In Susan Hurley & Nick Chater (Eds.), Perspectives on Imitations: From Neuroscience to Social Science: Vol. 1: Mechanisms of imitation and limitation in animals. (pp. 101-118). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Gardner, Howard. (1983). Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences. New York: HarperCollins.
- Gardner, Howard. (1999). Intelligence Reframed. New York: Basic Books.
- Gardner, Howard. (2000). A case against spiritual intelligence. International Journal for the Psychology of Religion, 10(1), 27-34.
- George, L., Larson, D., Koening, H. & McCullough, M. (2000). Spirituality and health: What we know, what we need to know. Journal of Social & Clinical Psychology, 19(1), 102-116.

Goleman, Daniel. (1998). Working with emotional intelligence. New York: Bantam.

- Goleman, Daniel. (2001). An EI-Based Theory of Performance. In C. Cherniss & D. Goleman (Eds.), The emotionally intelligent workplace: how to select for, measure, and improve emotional intelligence in individuals, groups, and organizations (pp. 27-44). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Halama, Peter, & Strizenec, Michal. (2004). Spiritual, existential or both? Theoretical considerations on the nature of .higher intelligences.. Studia Psychologica, 46(3), 239-253.
- Hamer, Dean. (2004). The God Gene. New York: Anchor Books.
- Heckathorn, D.D. (1997). Respondent-Driven Sampling: A New Approach to the Study of Hidden Populations. Social Problems, 44, 174-199.
- Hedlund, Jennifer, & Sternberg, Robert. (2000). Too many intelligences? Integrating social, emotional, and practical intelligence. In Reuven Bar-On & James Parker (Eds.), The handbook of emotional intelligence: Theory, development, assessment and applications at home, school, and in the workplace (pp. 136-167). San Francisco, CA: Jossev-Bass.
- Herrmann, Ned. (1981). The creative brain. Training and Development Journal, 35(10), 10-16.
- Huxely, Aldus. (1945). The Perennial Philosophy. New York: Harper & Row.
- Jung, C.G (1875/1961). Man and His Symbols. New York: Doubleday.
- Kalton, G. (1983). Introduction to survey sampling. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Kass, J., Friedman, R., Leserman, J., Zuttermeister, P., & Benson, H. (1991). Health outcomes and new index of spiritual experience. Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 30(2), 203-211.
- Kirk, K., Eaves, L., & Martin, N. (1999). Self-transcendence as a measure of spirituality in a sample of older Australian twins. Twin Research, 2, 81-87.
- Levin, Michal. (2000). Spiritual intelligence: Awakening the power of your spirituality and intuition. London: Hodder & Stoughton.
- Lazar, S., Kerr, C., Wasserman, R., Gary, J., Greve, D., Treadway, M., McGarvey, M., Quinn, B. Dusek, J., Benson, H. Rauch, S., Moore, C., Fischl, B. (2005). Meditation experience is associated with increased cortical thickness. Neuroreport, 16(17), 1893-1897.
- Lutz, A., Greischar, L., Rawlings, N., Ricard, M., & Davidson, R. (2004). Long-term meditators self-induce high-amplitude gamma synchrony during mental practice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 101, 16369-16373.
- MacDonald, Douglas & Friedman, Harris. (2002). Assessment of Humanistic, Transpersonal and spiritual constructs: State of the science. Journal of Humanistic Psychology, 42(4), 102-125.
- Marietta, D. E. (1995). People and the planet: Holism and humanism in environmental ethics. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Mayer, John (2000). Spiritual intelligence or spiritual consciousness. International Journal for the Psychology of Religion, 10(1), 47-56
- Mayer, John, & Salovey, Peter. (1993). The intelligence of emotional intelligence. Intelligence, 17(4), 433-442.
- Mayer, John, & Salovey, Peter & Caruso, David & Sitarenios, Gill. (2003). Measuring emotional intelligence with MSCEIT V2.0. Emotion, 3(1), 97-105.
- Mayer, John, Salovey, Peter, & Caruso, David. (2004). Emotional intelligence: Theory, findings, and implications. Psychological Inquiry, 15(3), 197-215.
- Nasel, Dagmar Dasha. (2004). Spiritual orientation in relation to spiritual intelligence: A new consideration of traditional Christianity and New Age/individualistic spirituality. Doctoral Dissertation, University of South Australia: Australia.
- Ornstein, Robert. (2004). The Right Mind: Making Sense of the Hemispheres. Orlando, FL: Harvest Books.
- Pavot, W., & Diener, E. (1993). Review of the Satisfaction With Life Scale. Psychological Assessment, 5(2), 164-172
- Power, S., & Lundsten, L. (1997). Studies that compare type theory and left-brain/right-brain theory. Journal of Psychological Type, 43, 22-28.
- Seitz, R., Nickel, J., & Azari, N. (2006). Functional Modularity of Medial Prefrontal Cortex: Involvement in Human Empathy. Neuropsychology, 20(6), 743-751.
- Smith, Huston. (1987). Is there a perennial philosophy? Journal of the American Academy of Religion, 55, 553-556.
- Smith, Huston. (1992). Forgotten Truth: The Common Vision of the World.s Religions. San Francisco, CA: Harper.
- Sternberg, Robert. (1997a). The concept of intelligence and its role in lifelong learning and success. American Psychologist, 52(10), 1030-1037.
- Sternberg, Robert. (1997b). Managerial Intelligence: Why IQ isn.t enough. American Journal of Management, 23(3), 475-493.
- Sternberg, Robert. (2001). Successful intelligence: A new approach to leadership. In R. Riggio, S. Murphy, & F. Pirozzolo (Eds.) Multiple intelligences and leadership (pp. 3-12). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Strauss, A., Corbin, J. (1990). Basics of Qualitative Research: Grounded Theory Procedures and Techniques. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Vaughan, Frances. (2002). What is spiritual intelligence? Journal of Humanistic Psychology, 42(2), 16-33.

Trott, David. (1996). Spiritual well-being of workers: An exploratory study of spirituality in the workplace. Dissertation Abstracts International, University of Texas: Austin, TX.

Walsh, Roger. (1999). Essential Spirituality: The 7 Central Practices to Awaken heart and mind. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Wilber, Ken. (1975). Psychologia perennis: The spectrum of consciousness. The Journal of Transpersonal Psychology, 7(2), 105-132.
Wilber, Ken. (2000). Integral Psychology: Consciousness, Spirit, Psychology, Therapy. Boston, MA: Shambhala.
Wolman, Richard. (2001). Thinking with your soul: Spiritual intelligence and why it matters. New York: Harmony.
Zohar, Danah, & Marshall, Ian. (2000). SQ: Connecting with our spiritual intelligence. New York: Bloomsbury.