

# REGULATORY T CELLS: POTENTIAL TARGET IN ANTICANCER IMMUNOTHERAPY

Chi-Mou Juang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Chien-Fu Hung<sup>3</sup>, Jiun-Yih Yeh<sup>1</sup>, Huann-Cheng Horng<sup>1</sup>,  
Nae-Fong Twu<sup>1</sup>, Ming-Huei Cheng<sup>1</sup>, Kuo-Chang Wen<sup>1</sup>, Chiou-Chung Yuan<sup>1</sup>,  
Kuan-Chong Chao<sup>1</sup>, T-C Wu<sup>3</sup>, Ming-Shien Yen<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Veterans General Hospital,

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Epidemiology, College of Public Health, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan, and

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Molecular Microbiology and Immunology,  
Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

## SUMMARY

The concept of regulatory T cells was first described in the early 1970s, and regulatory T cells were called suppressive T cells at that time. Studies that followed have demonstrated that these suppressive T cells negatively regulated tumor immunity and contributed to tumor growth in mice. Despite the importance of these studies, there was extensive skepticism about the existence of these cells, and the concept of suppressive T cells left the center stage of immunologic research for decades. Interleukin-2 receptor  $\alpha$ -chain, CD25, was first demonstrated in 1995 to serve as a phenotypic marker for CD4<sup>+</sup> regulatory cells. Henceforth, research of regulatory T cells boomed. Regulatory T cells are involved in the pathogenesis of cancer, autoimmune disease, transplantation immunology, and immune tolerance in pregnancy. Recent evidence has demonstrated that regulatory T cell-mediated immunosuppression is one of the crucial tumor immune evasion mechanisms and the main obstacle of successful cancer immunotherapy. The mechanism and the potential clinical application of regulatory T cells in cancer immunotherapy are discussed. [*Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol* 2007;46(3):215-221]

**Key Words:** CD25, Foxp3, immunotherapy, regulatory T cells

## Introduction

There is accumulating evidence that many tumor antigens recognized by autologous cytotoxic lymphocytes are antigenically normal self-constituents [1,2]. Furthermore, immunotherapy of cancer by vaccination with tumor antigens or transfusion of *ex vivo* propagated cytotoxic lymphocytes often leads to the appearance of autoimmunity because of antigenic cross-reactions between tumor antigens and normal tissue antigens [3,4].

T cells were initially subdivided into two broad classes, i.e. helper T cells and cytolytic/suppressor T cells, depending on the expression pattern of certain cell surface molecules. T cells bearing CD4 molecules (Lyt 1<sup>+</sup>2<sup>-</sup>3<sup>-</sup> in mice in early days) were classified as T helper cells, whereas CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (Lyt 2<sup>+</sup> in mice in early days) were classified as cytolytic/suppressor T cells. Thus, suppressor T cells were initially thought to be mostly CD8<sup>+</sup>. North's group first showed that T cells bearing the helper phenotype (Lyt 1<sup>+</sup>2<sup>-</sup>3<sup>-</sup>) can function as suppressor T cells in a mouse tumor model [5,6]. That human CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells can also function as "suppressor" or "regulatory" T cells were shortly demonstrated in the human tumor system [7-9].

Regulatory T cells (previously known as T suppressor cells) re-emerged as a dominant form of immunologic tolerance in the mid-1990s, when it was identified that the transfer of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells depleted of the CD25<sup>+</sup>

\*Correspondence to: Dr Ming-Shien Yen, Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, 201, Section 2, Shih-Pai Road, Taipei 112, Taiwan.  
E-mail: cmjuang@vghtpe.gov.tw  
Accepted: April 12, 2007

subset into *nu/nu* recipient mice induced the development of organ-specific autoimmune diseases, which could be reversed by the subsequent transfer of CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells [10]. Thymectomy of adult rats and mice followed by fractionated X-irradiation could also produce autoimmune disease [11]. Collectively, these data were strongly suggestive of the existence of a thymically produced suppressive T cell population, which was responsible for the establishment and maintenance of peripheral self-tolerance. These regulatory cells appeared to migrate out from the thymus at a relatively late stage, when compared with conventional/autoreactive T cells (more than 3 days after birth in mice) [12]. CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells have been associated with cancer [13], autoimmunity [14], transplantation tolerance [15], and, most recently, with pregnancy [16]. CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells actively contribute to the maintenance of natural immunologic self-tolerance. Self-tolerance maintained by natural CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells may, however, impede development of tumor immunity by hampering the generation and activation of tumor-effector T cells recognizing autologous tumor cells. If this is the case, reduction of CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells or attenuation of their suppressive activity may enhance immune responses to autologous tumor cells.

Generally speaking, CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells (T<sub>R</sub> cells) possess the ability to suppress immune responses and can be divided into two types: naturally occurring and adaptive regulatory cells [17]. Naturally occurring regulatory cells are generated in the thymus under poorly understood conditions. Adaptive regulatory cells (also referred to variously as “Th3” or “Tr1”) are generated outside of the thymus under specialized activation conditions [12].

## Surface Phenotype and Subsets of Regulatory T Cells

Initially, CD5 was proposed as a marker for T<sub>R</sub> cells by demonstrating that the otherwise normal lymphocytes depleted of CD5<sup>high</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> cells elicited autoimmunity when transferred to athymic nude mice [18]. Unfractionated CD4<sup>+</sup> cells (which contain CD5 high expressers) prevented the induction of autoimmunity when co-transferred along with the CD5<sup>low</sup> cells, implying that T<sub>R</sub> cells were contained specifically within the CD5<sup>high</sup> compartment. Similarly, the CD45RB molecule appears to divide T cells into two distinct functional subsets: CD45RB<sup>high</sup> and CD45RB<sup>low</sup> cells [19]. The CD45RB<sup>high</sup> population triggers inflammatory bowel disease when transferred to lymphopenic mice, by eliciting an immunopathologic reaction against normal gut flora,

whereas the CD45RB<sup>low</sup> counterpart prevents such disease induction. To date, the most functionally useful surface marker for T<sub>R</sub> cells has proven to be their constitutive expression of the interleukin (IL)-2 receptor  $\alpha$ -chain (IL-2R), CD25. Approximately, 5–10% of CD4<sup>+</sup> peripheral T cells constitutively express CD25 in normal naïve mice and healthy humans [10,20].

Interestingly, CD25 does not appear to be merely a marker for T<sub>R</sub> cells, but rather reflects an absolute dependence on IL-2 for their peripheral maintenance and function. This is dramatically demonstrated by the loss of T<sub>R</sub> cells and consequent autoimmunity, which occurs if IL-2 signaling is perturbed, e.g. in the case of knock-out mice [21] or antibody blockade [22].

More recently, a number of other cell surface molecules have been shown to be associated with T<sub>R</sub> cells, which includes CTLA-4 (CD152),  $\alpha_E\beta_7$ -integrin (CD103), glucocorticoid-induced tumor necrosis factor family receptor (GITR), and neuropilin-1 (a receptor involved in axon guidance) [23–26]. It should be noted, however, that no single uniquely expressed cell surface molecule has, thus far, been identified for T<sub>R</sub> cells, and in many cases, relatively specific molecules such as GITR or CD25 are also upregulated to high levels on activated non-regulatory T cells as well. This problem is made especially acute in humans who naturally show large numbers of activated CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells, and, therefore, a definitive identification is usually only possible by sorting the highest CD25<sup>+</sup> expressers [27].

There are significant differences in the expression of CD25 on humans as compared with mouse T cells, and these differences influence the techniques in isolating T<sub>R</sub> cells from human peripheral blood. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting profiles of T cells from mouse spleen and human peripheral blood stained with antibodies against CD25 and CD4 are not equivalent. In the mouse, CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells are seen as a distinct population of cells that is easily distinguished from the CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>-</sup> cells and comprise approximately 10% of splenic CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. Thus, the isolation of mouse T<sub>R</sub> cells is rather straightforward. In contrast, human CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells exhibit a continuous and primarily low expression of CD25 in which 2–4% express high levels of CD25, while up to 30% express low levels of CD25. This staining continuum makes it more difficult to determine whether all or only a subset of the CD25<sup>+</sup> cells should be included in the CD25 T<sub>R</sub> population. The analysis of other cell surface proteins expressed on the surface of CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>high</sup> or CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>low</sup> subsets isolated from peripheral blood indicates that the CD25<sup>high</sup> subset is homogenous, as over 95% of the cells express CD45RO, CD62L, and CD122, and includes the majority of cells that express HLA-DR and the transferring

receptor (CD71) [20,28]. In contrast, the CD25<sup>low</sup> subset contains a more heterogeneous mixture of cells, as demonstrated by expression of CD45RO (80%), CD62L (80%) and CD122 (28%).

Moreover, it has long been known that there are major differences in the proteins expressed by humans when compared with mouse T cells, most strikingly in regard to HLA-DR and CD45RA/CD45RO. In contrast to the studies in the mouse demonstrating high levels of expression of CD62L and CD38 by CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells, these same surface markers have not been useful for isolation of human regulatory cells [29,30].

Two populations of Foxp3-expressing regulatory T cells have been characterized. One lineage, designated as natural suppressor cells, arises in the thymus in a pathway that requires T cell receptor stimulation by peptide-loaded major histocompatibility class II proteins, IL-2, and CD28, and might be mediated through dendritic cells associated with Hassall's corpuscles [31]. The maintenance of these cells after thymic export involves additional IL-2, CD40, and probably transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ). A second group of regulatory T cells might be induced in the periphery from Foxp3-negative CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells; prolonged, non-inflammatory antigen exposure and high local concentrations of TGF- $\beta$  promote this conversion [32].

### Mechanisms of Suppression by Regulatory T Cells

The mechanism of suppression by CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells is still poorly understood. The most basic feature indicated by *in vitro* models is that suppression requires direct cell contact between the CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> T<sub>R</sub> cell and the target T responders. Furthermore, it appears that human CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> T<sub>R</sub> cells must be activated through their T cell receptors in order to be operationally suppressive [27]. Another *in vitro* analysis has concluded that CD25<sup>+</sup> suppressor T cells are anergic, i.e. they do not proliferate in culture when stimulated with antibodies to CD3 or antigens unless supplemented with high doses of IL-2. In the absence of exogenous IL-2, stimulated CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells suppress the proliferation of CD4<sup>+</sup> as well as CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells by a reaction that is independent of IL-10 and TGF- $\beta$  secretion, as has been shown with suppressor T cells from IL-10- and TGF- $\beta$ -deficient mice, which seem to suppress effectively [33].

However, others have postulated an essential function for cell-bound TGF- $\beta$  on the basis of inhibition of suppression by antibodies to TGF- $\beta$  [34]. The suppression of proliferation requires direct cell contact between

suppressor and suppressed cells, as suppression does not occur when cells are separated by a permeable membrane. The presence of antigen-presenting cells (APCs) is not required, as suppression occurs in APC-free cultures. In all cases, the suppression requires activation of suppressor T cells by T cell receptor ligands or antibodies to CD3. No role has been shown for the surface molecules GITR, CTLA4, or PD-L1 using currently available blocking antibodies, as the addition of increasing numbers of CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> T<sub>R</sub> cell causes suppression even in the presence of these reagents [35,36].

Cytolytic activity has been invoked as a possible mechanism of suppression. Human CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> Foxp3-expressing T cells can be activated by a combination of antibodies to CD3 and CD46 to express granzyme A and kill activated CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells by a perforin-dependent mechanism in a reaction that does not involve Fas-Fas ligand binding. Antibodies to CD18 interfere with the killing, suggesting that CD18 is involved in the interaction of suppressor T cells with their targets. Antibodies to CD3 and CD46 are superior to antibodies to CD3 and CD28 in inducing granzyme- and perforin-mediated killing. It remains to be determined whether activation by antigen can have similar consequences [37].

### Regulatory T Cell and Cancer Immunity

It is becoming increasingly clear that while T effector cell "boosting" strategies are capable of raising high levels of antitumor T cells, tumors often grow despite such lymphocyte induction. The inability of most cancer patients to mount an effective immune response can largely be attributed to tumor evasion strategy. Several tumor immune evasion mechanisms have been defined and include: (1) downregulation of major histocompatibility complex class I, (2) loss of tumor antigen expression, (3) downregulation of adhesion/accessory molecules by tumor and/or APC, (4) induction of anergy or clonal deletion of responding T cells, (5) changes in T cell signal transduction molecules, (6) secretion of immunosuppressive cytokines, and (7) the recruitment or activation of T<sub>R</sub> cell [38-40].

It is now clear that many tumor-associated antigens recognized by autologous T cells are normal self-constituents, and thus, presumably within the remit of control by self-reactive T<sub>R</sub> cells. T<sub>R</sub> cells may even be actively recruited by tumors as a means of immune evasion. Because tumor-associated regulatory T cells express Foxp3 mRNA and protein, it is possible that these cells traffic to tumors from the thymus, bone marrow, lymph nodes, and peripheral blood [41]. The

desirable elimination of tumors by cytotoxic effector T cells may thus be impeded by the action of  $T_R$  cells, and evidence is accumulating that this, in fact, appears to be the case [42,43]. The early successful attempts to deplete  $CD4^+ CD25^+ T_R$  cells and, hence, induce tumor regression involved the systemic administration of anti-CD25 monoclonal antibody (mAb) prior to tumor challenge [44–46].

But what is the evidence, other than the simple presence of  $T_R$  cells in the peripheral blood of cancer patients, that they have an impact on immunity against human cancer? Three observations collectively provide strong evidence that  $T_R$  cells do, in fact, ameliorate immunity against a wide variety of human tumors. First, the frequency of  $T_R$  population is increased in the peripheral blood of cancer patients and/or enriched in frequency among tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes or within tumor-draining lymph nodes. Second, an accumulation of  $T_R$  cells in tumor-associated tissue predicts poor prognosis or survival. Third,  $T_R$  cells with specificity for antigens expressed by human tumors have recently been identified. Numerous studies in recent years have found increased frequencies of  $CD4^+ CD25^+$  T cells with some or all of the features of  $T_R$  in the peripheral blood of patients with a wide array of cancers, including head and neck cancer [47], lung cancer [48], gastrointestinal cancers [49], pancreatic and breast cancer [50], and skin cancer [51].

Moreover, experiments suggest that the simple elimination of  $T_R$  cells from splenocyte preparations by treatment with anti-CD25 mAb results in productive responses to syngeneic tumors when transferred together to lymphopenic recipients [45,52,53].

One crucial question regarding human tumors and the increased frequency of  $T_R$  cells within tumor microenvironments is the extent to which they are generated within, versus recruited to, the tumor microenvironment. One study of patients with ovarian carcinoma found that tumor cells and infiltrating macrophages secreted the chemokine CCL22, which was shown to be chemotactic for  $T_R$  cells, all of which expressed the relevant CCR4 receptor [54]. Thus, there is a precedent for recruitment of  $T_R$  cells to a tumor microenvironment. In addition to enhanced migration, it is also possible that the increased number of  $T_R$  cells within the tumor microenvironment might represent antigen-induced expansion of natural  $T_R$  cells or conversion of non-regulatory T cells into Foxp3<sup>+</sup>-induced  $T_R$  cells, because many tumors produce high levels of TGF- $\beta$  (necessary to induce  $T_R$  cells *in vitro*) [55].

Intra tumor infiltration/expansion of  $CD4^+ CD25^+$  Foxp3<sup>+</sup>  $T_R$  cells also potentially constitutes an immune evasion mechanism in the murine mesothelioma model.

A strong correlation between tumor size and percentage of  $CD4^+ CD25^+$  Foxp3<sup>+</sup>  $T_R$  cells was noted, implicating that increase in  $T_R$  cells within the small murine mesotheliomas allows the evasion of the host antitumor effector immune response, thus leading to tumor growth [56].

## Therapeutic Scheme Involving the Use of Regulatory T Cells

The immune responses to cancer mount two major systems. The innate response, composed of granulocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, natural killer cells, and complements, is rapidly triggered into action, detecting tissue disturbance through a set of germline-encoded pattern recognition receptors. The adaptive reaction, consisting of antibody-producing B cells and T lymphocytes, is slower to develop but manifests exquisite specificity and memory [57]. Emerging evidence implicates a critical role for immune regulatory circuits in attenuating antitumor immunity. These circuits primarily function to maintain tolerance to normal tissues, but since cancer arises from self, antitumor immune responses are similarly subject to regulation.

As detailed earlier, a large body of data from murine studies have demonstrated the potent ability of both natural and adaptive regulatory cells to control immune response under a wide range of clinically important conditions (Table).

Currently, it is tempting to speculate that part of the antitumor efficacy of current cytotoxic treatments might involve antagonizing regulatory T-cell function; previous work indicated that cyclophosphamide disrupts immune regulatory circuits [58], and thus, patients with weak regulatory T-cell but strong  $CD8^+$  T-cell reactions might respond to this manipulation. Nonetheless, robust regulatory T-cell infiltrates will likely require more potent and specific strategies, and a number of critical immunoregulatory molecules expressed on the surface of regulatory T cells may well be suited to this purpose. Indeed, initial clinical testing of a fully human monoclonal antibody that blocks CTLA-4 has already established the ability of this scheme to effectuate substantial tumor destruction, although at the expense of some autoimmunity and potential severe side effects (e.g. enterocolitis) [59].

Another strategy is using anti-CD25 mAb. Anti-CD4 mAb has been administered systemically or intra-tumorally to treat different tumor cell lines [44–46,56]. Surprisingly, intra-tumoral delivery of anti-CD25 mAb is potentially feasible. This treatment regime is in line with the current thinking that  $T_R$  cells are active at the site of immune regulation, and attempts to modulate

**Table.** Potential clinical applications of CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> T<sub>R</sub> cells

	Target	Potential therapeutic strategies
Increase of T <sub>R</sub> cell potency	Transplantation	<i>Ex vivo</i> gene transduction of Foxp3 (expression marker of T <sub>R</sub> cell) to conventional T cells
	Autoimmune disease	<i>Ex vivo</i> generation of regulatory cells from conventional T cells using cytokines, pharmacologic agents or modified dendritic cells
	Allergy	Expansion of host T <sub>R</sub> cells <i>ex vivo</i> using transplantation antigens or known autoantigens plus growth factors such as interleukin-2, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor
Reduction of T <sub>R</sub> cell potency	Cancer	Decrease of T <sub>R</sub> cells or transient elimination of T <sub>R</sub> cells and/or perturbation of suppressive function <i>in vivo</i> (anti-CD25 mAb, anti-CTLA4 mAb)
	Infectious disease	Rendering effectors cells resistant to suppression (signaling through GITR with anti-GITR mAb or GITRL)

mAb = monoclonal antibody; GITR = glucocorticoid-induced tumor necrosis factor family receptor.

the effects of these T<sub>R</sub> cells should be targeted to these sites. Moreover, intratumoral treatment may avoid potential side effects incurred by systemic depletion of T<sub>R</sub> cells using systemic delivery of anti-CD25 mAb.

Current tumor antigen vaccination strategies have begun to incorporate strategies that combine depletion of T<sub>R</sub> cells followed by tumor vaccination, to foster better expansion and/or effector function of vaccine-induced tumor immunity. In this regard, IL-2 diphtheria toxin fusion protein (ONTAK) has shown efficacy in the treatment of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and cutaneous T cell lymphoma [60]. Moreover, signaling directed through Toll-like receptor 8 via a CpG motif can reverse T<sub>R</sub> cells function *in vitro* and *in vivo* [61], further demonstrating that it might be feasible to manipulate T<sub>R</sub> cells activity to enhance the efficacy of cancer vaccines. This evidence also has implications for the potential additional effectiveness of DNA-based vaccines, because they are likely to contain CpG motifs [62,63].

## Conclusion

It is now clear that T<sub>R</sub> cells actively hinder tumor immunity in cancer patients. Emerging data indicate that T<sub>R</sub> cells might be generated to the same tumor-associated (self) antigens that comprise many candidate cancer vaccines. Removal of T<sub>R</sub> cells lead to the activation of not only tumor-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> cytotoxic T lymphocytes, but also, presumably, tumor-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> helper T cells. Using this strategy can help devise a novel immunotherapy for cancer in humans or make the current immunotherapies more effective, e.g. by cytokine gene transduction in tumor cells, DNA vaccination, vaccination with tumor antigens/peptides, or tumor antigen-pulsed dendritic cells.

## References

1. Houghton AN. Cancer antigens: immune recognition of self and altered self. *J Exp Med* 1994;180:1-4.
2. Boon T, Cerottini JC, Van den Eynde B, van der Bruggen P, Van Pel A. Tumor antigens recognized by T lymphocytes. *Annu Rev Immunol* 1994;12:337-65.
3. Hara I, Takechi Y, Houghton AN. Implicating a role for immune recognition of self in tumor rejection: passive immunization against the brown locus protein. *J Exp Med* 1995;182:1609-14.
4. Rosenberg SA, White DE. Vitiligo in patients with melanoma: normal tissue antigens can be targets for cancer immunotherapy. *J Immunother Emphasis Tumor Immunol* 1996;19: 81-4.
5. Berendt MJ, North RJ. T-cell-mediated suppression of anti-tumor immunity. An explanation for progressive growth of an immunogenic tumor. *J Exp Med* 1980;151:69-80.
6. North RJ. Down-regulation of the antitumor immune response. *Adv Cancer Res* 1985;45:1-43.
7. Mukherji B, Wilhelm SA, Guha A, Ergin MT. Regulation of cellular immune response against autologous human melanoma. I. Evidence for cell-mediated suppression of *in vitro* cytotoxic immune response. *J Immunol* 1986;136:1888-92.
8. Mukherji B, Nashed AL, Guha A, Ergin MT. Regulation of cellular immune response against autologous human melanoma. II. Mechanism of induction and specificity of suppression. *J Immunol* 1986;136:1893-8.
9. Mukherji B, Guha A, Chakraborty NG, Sivanandham M, Nashed AL, Sporn JR, Ergin MT. Clonal analysis of cytotoxic and regulatory T cell responses against human melanoma. *J Exp Med* 1989;169:1961-76.
10. Sakaguchi S, Sakaguchi N, Asano M, Itoh M, Toda M. Immunologic self-tolerance maintained by activated T cells expressing IL-2 receptor alpha-chains (CD25). Breakdown of a single mechanism of self-tolerance causes various autoimmune diseases. *J Immunol* 1995;155:1151-64.
11. Sakaguchi N, Miyai K, Sakaguchi S. Ionizing radiation and autoimmunity. Induction of autoimmune disease in mice by high dose fractionated total lymphoid irradiation and its

- prevention by inoculating normal T cells. *J Immunol* 1994; 152:2586–95.
12. Fehervari Z, Sakaguchi S. CD4<sup>+</sup> regulatory cells as a potential immunotherapy. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2005;360: 1647–61.
  13. Chattopadhyay S, Chakraborty NG, Mukherji B. Regulatory T cells and tumor immunity. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 2005;54:1153–61.
  14. Lan RY, Ansari AA, Lian ZX, Gershwin ME. Regulatory T cells: development, function and role in autoimmunity. *Autoimmun Rev* 2005;4:351–63.
  15. Sakaguchi S. Naturally arising Foxp3-expressing CD25<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> regulatory T cells in immunological tolerance to self and non-self. *Nat Immunol* 2005;6:345–52.
  16. Saito S, Sasaki Y, Sakai M. CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>high</sup> regulatory T cells in human pregnancy. *J Reprod Immunol* 2005;65:111–20.
  17. Bluestone JA, Abbas AK. Natural versus adaptive regulatory T cells. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2003;3:253–7.
  18. Sakaguchi S, Fukuma K, Kuribayashi K, Masuda T. Organ-specific autoimmune diseases induced in mice by elimination of T cell subset. I. Evidence for the active participation of T cells in natural self-tolerance; deficit of a T cell subset as a possible cause of autoimmune disease. *J Exp Med* 1985;161: 72–87.
  19. Powrie F, Leach MW, Mauze S, et al. Phenotypically distinct subsets of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells induce or protect from chronic intestinal inflammation in C. B-17 scid mice. *Int Immunol* 1993;5:1461–71.
  20. Baecher-Allan C, Brown JA, Freeman GJ, Hafler DA. CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>high</sup> regulatory cells in human peripheral blood. *J Immunol* 2001;167:1245–53.
  21. Almeida AR, Legrand N, Papiernik M, Freitas AA. Homeostasis of peripheral CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells: IL-2R alpha and IL-2 shape a population of regulatory cells that controls CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell numbers. *J Immunol* 2002;169:4850–60.
  22. Setoguchi R, Hori S, Takahashi T, Sakaguchi S. Homeostatic maintenance of natural Foxp3(+) CD25(+) CD4(+) regulatory T cells by interleukin (IL)-2 and induction of autoimmune disease by IL-2 neutralization. *J Exp Med* 2005;201:723–35.
  23. Read S, Malmstrom V, Powrie F. Cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 plays an essential role in the function of CD25(+)CD4(+) regulatory cells that control intestinal inflammation. *J Exp Med* 2000;192:295–302.
  24. Takahashi T, Tagami T, Yamazaki S, et al. Immunologic self-tolerance maintained by CD25(+)CD4(+) regulatory T cells constitutively expressing cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4. *J Exp Med* 2000;192:303–10.
  25. Lehmann J, Huehn J, de la Rosa M, et al. Expression of the integrin alpha Ebeta 7 identifies unique subsets of CD25<sup>+</sup> as well as CD25<sup>-</sup> regulatory T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99:13031–6.
  26. McHugh RS, Whitters MJ, Piccirillo CA, Young DA, Shevach EM, Collins M, Byrne MC. CD4(+)CD25(+) immunoregulatory T cells: gene expression analysis reveals a functional role for the glucocorticoid-induced TNF receptor. *Immunity* 2002;16:311–23.
  27. Baecher-Allan C, Viglietta V, Hafler DA. Human CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> regulatory T cells. *Semin Immunol* 2004;16:89–98.
  28. Cao D, Malmstrom V, Baecher-Allan C, Hafler D, Klareskog L, Trollmo C. Isolation and functional characterization of regulatory CD25<sup>bright</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from the target organ of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Eur J Immunol* 2003;33: 215–23.
  29. Taams LS, Smith J, Rustin MH, Salmon M, Poulter LW, Akbar AN. Human anergic/suppressive CD4(+)CD25(+) T cells: a highly differentiated and apoptosis-prone population. *Eur J Immunol* 2001;31:1122–31.
  30. Lepault F, Gagnerault MC. Characterization of peripheral regulatory CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells that prevent diabetes onset in nonobese diabetic mice. *J Immunol* 2000;164:240–7.
  31. Watanabe N, Wang YH, Lee HK, Ito T, Wang YH, Cao W, Liu YJ. Hassall's corpuscles instruct dendritic cells to induce CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> regulatory T cells in human thymus. *Nature* 2005;436:1181–5.
  32. Apostolou I, von Boehmer H. *In vivo* instruction of suppressor commitment in naive T cells. *J Exp Med* 2004;199:1401–8.
  33. Shevach EM. CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> suppressor T cells: more questions than answers. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2002;2:389–400.
  34. Nakamura K, Kitani A, Strober W. Cell contact-dependent immunosuppression by CD4(+)CD25(+) regulatory T cells is mediated by cell surface-bound transforming growth factor beta. *J Exp Med* 2001;194:629–44.
  35. Baecher-Allan C, Viglietta V, Hafler DA. Inhibition of human CD4(+)CD25(+high) regulatory T cell function. *J Immunol* 2002;169:6210–7.
  36. Levings MK, Sangregorio R, Roncarolo MG. Human CD25(+)CD4(+) T regulatory cells suppress naive and memory T cell proliferation and can be expanded *in vitro* without loss of function. *J Exp Med* 2001;193:1295–302.
  37. von Boehmer H. Mechanisms of suppression by suppressor T cells. *Nat Immunol* 2005;6:338–44.
  38. Kiessling R, Wasserman K, Horiguchi S, Kono K, Sjoberg J, Pisa P, Petersson M. Tumor-induced immune dysfunction. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 1999;48:353–62.
  39. Strand S, Galle PR. Immune evasion by tumours: involvement of the CD95 (APO-1/Fas) system and its clinical implications. *Mol Med Today* 1998;4:63–8.
  40. Ganss R, Arnold B, Hammerling GJ. Mini-review: overcoming tumor-intrinsic resistance to immune effector function. *Eur J Immunol* 2004;34:2635–41.
  41. Hontsu S, Yoneyama H, Ueha S, et al. Visualization of naturally occurring Foxp3<sup>+</sup> regulatory T cells in normal and tumor-bearing mice. *Int Immunopharmacol* 2004;4:1785–93.
  42. Sakaguchi S. Regulatory T cells: key controllers of immunologic self-tolerance. *Cell* 2000;101:455–8.
  43. Takahashi T, Sakaguchi S. The role of regulatory T cells in controlling immunologic self-tolerance. *Int Rev Cytol* 2003; 225:1–32.
  44. Ko K, Yamazaki S, Nakamura K, et al. Treatment of advanced tumors with agonistic anti-GITR mAb and its effects on tumor-infiltrating Foxp3<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> regulatory T cells. *J Exp Med* 2005;202:885–91.
  45. Onizuka S, Tawara I, Shimizu J, Sakaguchi S, Fujita T, Nakayama E. Tumor rejection by *in vivo* administration of anti-CD25 (interleukin-2 receptor alpha) monoclonal antibody. *Cancer Res* 1999;59:3128–33.
  46. Jones E, Dahm-Vicker M, Simon AK, Green A, Powrie F, Cerundolo V, Gallimore A. Depletion of CD25<sup>+</sup> regulatory cells results in suppression of melanoma growth and induction of autoreactivity in mice. *Cancer Immunol* 2002;2:1.

47. Schaefer C, Kim GG, Albers A, Hoermann K, Myers EN, Whiteside TL. Characteristics of CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> regulatory T cells in the peripheral circulation of patients with head and neck cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2005;92:913–20.
48. Wolf AM, Wolf D, Steurer M, Gastl G, Gunsilius E, Grubeck-Loebenstien B. Increase of regulatory T cells in the peripheral blood of cancer patients. *Clin Cancer Res* 2003;9:606–12.
49. Ichihara F, Kono K, Takahashi A, Kawaida H, Sugai H, Fujii H. Increased populations of regulatory T cells in peripheral blood and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in patients with gastric and esophageal cancers. *Clin Cancer Res* 2003;9:4404–8.
50. Liyanage UK, Moore TT, Joo HG, et al. Prevalence of regulatory T cells is increased in peripheral blood and tumor microenvironment of patients with pancreas or breast adenocarcinoma. *J Immunol* 2002;169:2756–61.
51. Gray CP, Arosio P, Hersey P. Heavy chain ferritin activates regulatory T cells by induction of changes in dendritic cells. *Blood* 2002;99:3326–34.
52. Shimizu J, Yamazaki S, Sakaguchi S. Induction of tumor immunity by removing CD25<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells: a common basis between tumor immunity and autoimmunity. *J Immunol* 1999;163:5211–8.
53. Suttmuller RP, van Duivenvoorde LM, van Elsas A, et al. Synergism of cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 blockade and depletion of CD25(+) regulatory T cells in anti-tumor therapy reveals alternative pathways for suppression of autoreactive cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses. *J Exp Med* 2001;194:823–32.
54. Curiel TJ, Coukos G, Zou L, et al. Specific recruitment of regulatory T cells in ovarian carcinoma fosters immune privilege and predicts reduced survival. *Nat Med* 2004;10:942–9.
55. Baecher-Allan C, Anderson DE. Immune regulation in tumor-bearing hosts. *Curr Opin Immunol* 2006;18:214–9.
56. Needham DJ, Lee JX, Beilharz MW. Intra-tumoural regulatory T cells: a potential new target in cancer immunotherapy. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2006;343:684–91.
57. Dranoff G. CTLA-4 blockade: unveiling immune regulation. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:662–4.
58. Awwad M, North RJ. Cyclophosphamide-induced immunologically mediated regression of a cyclophosphamide-resistant murine tumor: a consequence of eliminating precursor L3T4<sup>+</sup> suppressor T-cells. *Cancer Res* 1989;49:1649–54.
59. Wolf D, Hoehegger K, Wolf AM, et al. CD4<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> regulatory T cells inhibit experimental anti-glomerular basement membrane glomerulonephritis in mice. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005;16:1360–70.
60. Kuzel TM. DAB(389)IL-2 (denileukin diftitox, ONTAK): review of clinical trials to date. *Clin Lymphoma* 2000;1(Suppl 1):S33–6.
61. Peng G, Guo Z, Kiniwa Y, et al. Toll-like receptor 8-mediated reversal of CD4<sup>+</sup> regulatory T cell function. *Science* 2005;309:1380–4.
62. Zhou X, Zheng L, Liu L, Xiang L, Yuan Z. T helper 2 immunity to hepatitis B surface antigen primed by gene-gun-mediated DNA vaccination can be shifted towards T helper 1 immunity by codelivery of CpG motif-containing oligodeoxynucleotides. *Scand J Immunol* 2003;58:350–7.
63. Zhou X, Xie Y, Tao X, Zhang Z, Li Z, Fauquet CM. Characterization of DNABeta associated with begomoviruses in China and evidence for co-evolution with their cognate viral DNA-A. *J Gen Virol* 2003;84:237–47.