

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Procedia IUTAM 14 (2015) 503 - 510

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

IUTAM ABCM Symposium on Laminar Turbulent Transition

Global instability analysis of laminar boundary layer flow over a bump at transonic conditions

José Miguel Pérez^{a,*}, Pedro Paredes^a, Vassilis Theofilis^a

aSchool of Aeronautics, Universidad Polit´eecnica de Madrid, Plaza Cardenal Cisneros 3, E-28040 Madrid, Spain

Abstract

Modal three-dimensional BiGlobal linear instability analysis is performed in steady, spanwise-homogeneous two-dimensional laminar compressible boundary-layer flow past a millimeter-tall hemispherical bump at transonic conditions. Starting with subsonic inlet flow, at the flow conditions considered a stationary shock is formed near the downstream end of the bump. The interplay of shock and adverse-pressure-gradient results in a steady spanwise homogeneous laminar two-dimensional laminar separation bubble being formed at the downstream end of the bump.

The objective of the present analysis is to interrogate this basic flow with respect to its potential to sustain low-frequency unsteadiness arising from linear amplification of unstable traveling global flow eigenmodes. Such unsteadiness, coupled to eigenfrequencies of the structure, can lead to resonance phenomena that are detrimental for the performance and adversely affect the efficiency of systems on which the bump configuration is employed. Only damped global eigenmodes have been identified at the parameters examined, pointing to the possibility of the above mentioned unsteadiness being the result of algebraic instability.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: Shock wave - boundary layer interaction; transonic and supersonic flows; global linear instability Selection and peer-review under responsibility of ABCM (Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering)

∗ Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 91 336 32 97 *E-mail address:* josemiguel.perez@tupm.es

1. Introduction

Shock-wave laminar boundary layer interactions (SWLBLI) are present on aerodynamic systems in the transonic and supersonic regimes. Enhance by the presence of flow separation, SWLBLI may produce low-frequency unsteadiness which can be coupled to eigenfrequencies of the structure and lead to resonance phenomena that are detrimental for the performance and adversely affect the efficiency of such systems. Flow instability analysis may shed light on the origin of this interaction processes. However the geometrically complex nature of the surfaces on which SWLBLI typically appears (wedges, λ−shaped shock waves impinging upon the boundary layer, etc) has mostly confined such analysis efforts to idealized regions of the flow, on which classic local instability analysis could be employed³.

Two types of flow configurations have been used in the literature in order to investigate transonic flow over bumps: those in confined channels and bumps in open channels. The difference between these configurations is that in the former case reflections exist at the top walls. In addition, two different types of bumps are mostly considered in the literature: a variable-curvature bump (the so-called *Delery bump*¹ geometry) as well as a circular-arc or hemispherical bump geometry². Finally, most studies consider application-related, high Reynolds numbers. For example Batten et al. ¹¹ used RANS model in order to study a flow over the Delery bump while Sandham et al. ¹⁰ used LES in the case of a flow over a circular bump. In all cases, a strong normal shock impinges on the bump and is modified by the interaction with the geometry in inviscid flow and, additionally, with the boundary layer, when viscosity is considered, adopting the well-known λ pattern. In the latter case, the interaction causes separation of the boundary layer and a low-speed recirculating bubble is observed near the shock foot. The flow perturbations that arise in this problem are characterized by two distinct frequencies: a low frequency related with the slow motion of the shock, and a high frequency that appears in the mixing layer where the effects of the shear stress are most relevant. An idealization of the latter problem, which considered shock curvature has been studied by Duck et al.³ using classic local linear stability analysis.

The present effort focuses on the stability analysis of a freestream channel with a millimeter hemispherical bump with maximum height of 10 % of the bump width, where the Reynolds number is small and the flow is laminar. Advances in global linear instability analysis⁶ over the last decade permit commencing the analysis of SWLBLI flows. In addition, the successful work of Crouch and co-workers⁸ regarding the origin of buffeting over a two-dimensional airfoil at transonic flight conditions lends credibility to the followed approach.

2. Problem formulation

The geometry of the problem consists of a flat plate with a two-dimensional cylindrical bump element that is homogeneous in the spanwise direction. A schematic representation of the geometry and the boundary conditions employed for the computation of the basic state are shown in Figure 1. Zero-pressure-gradient flow of a Newtonian

Fig. 1. Computational domain and boundary conditions: Dirichlet inlet flow (*yellow*/left wall), freestream (*green* / top and right walls), adiabatic and slip wall (*red* / bottom wall in D_1) and adiabatic and non-slip wall (*blue* / bottom wall in D_2).

fluid is considered, and is taken to be compressible with its direction from left to right in the sketch of Figure 1. The

computational domain is $x \in [-1, 4] \times y \in [0, 1.5]$ in millimeters. The size of the circular-arc bump is defined by its length, $L_{bump} = 10^{-3}m$ and height, $h_{bump} = 10^{-4}m$. Quantities are made dimensionless using the bump length (L_{bump}), while temperature (T_∞) , velocity (U_∞) , density, (ρ_∞) and dynamic viscosity, (μ_∞) scales are defined at their respective freestream values. The *x*-axis is taken to be along the streamwise direction, *y* is the wall-normal direction and the *z*axis is the spanwise direction. The dimensionless quantities obtained using the above scales imply that low-Reynolds number laminar boundary layer flow ensues.

The domain is divided in two regions; D_1 and D_2 (see Figure 1). Base flow calculations are performed in D_1 and D_2 while stability analysis is only performed in $D₂$. Inviscid velocity and adiabatic temperature boundary conditions are considered at the *D*¹ (red) wall, while viscous velocity and adiabatic temperature boundary conditions are considered at the $D₂$ (blue) wall. Freestream boundary conditions are considered at the top and outflow boundaries (green); an analogous model proposed by Poinsot and Lele⁴ has been used in this respect.

2.1. Navier-Stokes equations

The non-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations are given by,

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0 \,, \tag{1}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{u})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}) = -\frac{1}{\gamma M^2} \nabla p + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla \cdot \sigma,
$$
\n(2)

$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla p + \gamma p \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \frac{\gamma}{RePr} \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla T) + \frac{\gamma (\gamma - 1) M^2}{Re} \Phi ,
$$
\n(3)

where $\mathbf{u} = (u, v, w)$ are the three component velocities, *p* is the pressure, *T* the temperature, σ is the viscous stress tensor of a Newtonian fluid and

$$
\Phi = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T) : \sigma,
$$
\n(4)

is the dissipation function. Here μ and κ are the dynamic viscosity and thermal conductivity, respectively. These quantities could be obtained from the Sutherland's formula,

$$
\mu = C_1 \frac{T^{3/2}}{T + C_2},\tag{5}
$$

and the relationship between μ and κ is

$$
\kappa = \mu c_p / Pr \,, \tag{6}
$$

where c_p is the specific heat at constant pressure and *Pr* is the Prandtl number. The parameters used are C_1 = 1.458×10^{-6} *kg*/(*ms* \sqrt{K}), $C_2 = 110.4K$ and $Pr = 0.72$; see Schlichting⁷ for more detail. The non-dimensional parameters of the problem are the Reynolds number $Re = \rho_{\infty} L_{\infty} U_{\infty} / \mu_{\infty}$ and the Mach number $M_{\infty} = U_{\infty} / \sqrt{\gamma RT_{\infty}}$.

3. Base flow

The two-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations were solved using the module rhoCentralFoam within the open-source CFD software OpenFOAM $^{\circledR}$. This module is a density-based compressible flow solver, which uses the central-upwind schemes of Kurganov and Tadmor⁵ and an unstructured collocated mesh with a finite volume method. In order to resolve the boundary layer, the grid points were clustered towards the wall in the normal direction. Finer mesh was also used on the bump surface in the streamwise direction clustering points near to the leading and trailing edges of the bump. Away from the bump, the mesh expands in the streamwise direction towards the inflow and outflow boundaries with an aspect ratio close to one. The base flow computational mesh comprises a total number of approximately 2×10^5 cells, while computations were performed in parallel on 8 cores.

A steady base flow at $Re = 1380$ and $M = 0.675$ at the inlet boundary was calculated, using $\rho_{\infty} = 1.2$ *kg*/*m*³, $U_{\infty} = 1.2$ 229.5*m/s* and $\mu_{\infty} = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ *kg/(ms)*. Convergence of the base flow was attained using around 550 control volumes in the *x*-direction and 300 control volumes in the normal direction, obtaining results such as those shown in Figure 3. No wave reflections were observed at the top and outflow boundaries during the numerical simulations. Figure 2 shows in semi-logarithmic scale the evolution of the relative error (defined using the transient and the converged values) of the streamwise base flow velocity component at a given point in the flow field, close to the laminar separation bubble. The relative error drops to \sim −14 at 5×10^{-4} *s*, which corresponds to 23 times the characteristic residential time of the flow.

Fig. 2. Logarithm of relative error of $U(x = 1.5, y = 0.5)$ versus time.

Iso-contours of streamwise and transverse base flow velocity components, *U* and *V*, and Mach number are shown in Figure 3 were a peak of $M = 1.4$ is observed. The bump is placed inside the flat-plate boundary layer, but is actually quite large compared with the characteristic dimension of the boundary layer: the displacement thickness at the inflow and outflow boundaries are, respectively, $\delta_{\text{inflow}}^* = 0.5$ h_{bump} and $\delta_{\text{outflow}}^* = 1.0$ h_{bump} . A small recirculation bubble is observed in this configuration, as shown in Figure 4. Figure 5 (*left)* shows the variation of the skin friction coefficient along the bump surface. The friction coefficient decreases at the leading edge of the bump and then increases up to the shock position. This coefficient drops suddenly across the shock and becomes negative. Flow separation exists in this region. Reattachment occurs in a two-dimensional sense when the skin friction is again positive. Progressively the skin-friction coefficient gradually increases downstream. The streamwise velocity component profile at the leeside foot of the bump is shown in Figure 5 (*right)*, where weak recirculation is observed.

Fig. 3. Steady two-dimensional laminar base flow: *U* velocity and streamlines (*left)*, *V* velocity in dimensions variables (*middle)* and Mach number contours (*right).*

Fig. 4. Detail of the recirculation bubble, with $U_{\text{reverse}} \approx -2\% U_{\infty}$. Base flow streamlines are shown at the leeside foot of the bump.

Fig. 5. (*Left:)* Skin friction coefficient and (*Right:)* Streamwise velocity component *U*(*y*) at this location.

4. Global Linear Stability Analysis

Global linear stability theory studies the temporal evolution of small amplitude disturbances superimposed upon a base flow⁶. Flow is decomposed into a steady base flow $\mathbf{Q} = (\rho, \rho u, \rho v, E)$, where E is the total energy, and a three-dimensional unsteady small perturbation q' ,

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}'}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \mathbf{q}' \equiv \mathbf{A}\mathbf{q}',\tag{7}
$$

where A is the Jacobian matrix of the right-hand-side of the Navier-Stokes equations (1-3). According to the BiGlobal Ansatz, solution of the equation (7) are sought as eigenmodes:

$$
\mathbf{q}'(x, y, z, t) = \epsilon \mathbf{\hat{q}}(x, y) e^{i(\beta z - \omega t)} + c.c.
$$
\n(8)

where $\epsilon \ll 1$, $\beta = 2\pi/L_z$ is the wavenumber in the spanwise spatial direction, *z*, $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ are the eigenvectors and $\omega = \omega_r + i \cdot \omega_i$ where ω_r representing the circular frequency and ω_i being the amplification/damping rate of the disturbances. A largescale non-Hermitian generalized eigenvalue problem is obtained, by inserting equation (8) into equation (7),

$$
\mathbf{A}\hat{q}(x, y) = \omega \mathbf{B}\hat{q}(x, y). \tag{9}
$$

A shift-and-invert implementation of the Arnoldi algorithm was employed in order to recover a window of the eigenspectrum centered around the shift parameter σ ,

$$
\hat{\mathbf{A}}X = \mu X, \quad \text{where } \hat{\mathbf{A}} = (\mathbf{A} - \sigma \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{B}, \quad \mu = \frac{1}{\Omega - \sigma}, \tag{10}
$$

where **A** and **B** are a matrix representation for a given discretization of the operators defined in (9) for a given appropriate boundary conditions. The linear algebra work was performed using the sparse MUltifrontal Massively Parallel Sparse direct Solver (MUMPS) package⁹. This was first successfully employed to global linear instability problems by Crouch et al. 8.

5. Results

The eigenvalue problem (10) derived from the BiGlobal stability analysis was solved numerically using finitedifference discretization of 6th order with $Nx = 501$ and $Ny = 301$ discretization points. Transformation functions were used in order to follow the geometry variations and cluster points in the vicinity of the wall and the bump ends. This involved using general transformation coordinate as well as metrics in the linearized Navier-Stokes equations, see reference 12 for more details.

The computational domain considered in the stability analysis corresponds to the region D_2 in Figure 1. The boundary conditions used for the perturbations variables were homogeneous Dirichlet at the inflow and wall, and homogeneous Neumann at the outflow and top boundaries, with the exception of the density component which satisfies the linearized continuity equations at the walls.

Fig. 6. BiGlobal eigenspectrum at $Re = 1380$, $M = 0.675$, $\beta = 1$.

Figure 6 shows the eigenspectrum corresponding to $Re = 1380$, $M = 0.675$ and $\beta = 1$ where the least damped eigenvalue is a traveling perturbation (and its complex-conjugate), marked with a red circle (marked left eigenvalue). The next stronger damped eigenmode is a stationary perturbation, marked with a blue circle (marked right eigenvalue). Analogous eigenspectrum structure appears for any of the examined values of $\beta \in [0, 4\pi]$. Convergence of the leading eigenvalue was attained using different domain lengths in the *x*-direction, as well as a larger number of discretization points. Iso-contours of the module of the spanwise perturbation velocity amplitude function and amplitude function of the temperature perturbation of the leading traveling and stationary eigenmodes are shown in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. These perturbations are concentrated around the shock and the boundary layer downstream of the bump. Finally, a three-dimensional reconstruction of the *total* spanwise velocity and temperature fields, as obtained from the base flow and a linearly-small amount of the respective eigenmodes is shown in Figure 9. The potential three-dimensionalization of the reattachment region and the subsequent growth in *x* of linear, spanwise periodic perturbations is evident in these results. Of particular interest is the adequate resolution of the perturbation around the shock region, evident in the temperature field reconstruction.

Fig. 8. Values of $||\hat{W}||$ and $||\hat{T}||$ of one of the leading traveling modes at $Re = 1380$, $M = 0.675$ and $\beta = 1$.

Fig. 9. Total flow field reconstruction of the spanwise velocity (*left*) and temperature (*right*) of the leading stationary mode at *Re* = 1380, *M* = 0.675 and $\beta = 1$.

6. Conclusions

Linear three-dimensional instability analysis of laminar transonic over a circular-arc bump inside a boundary layer has been investigated. Modal global perturbations have been unraveled, peaking in the separated flow region downstream of the bump. Parametric sweeps in β has shown that the two-dimensional laminar basic flow at these conditions is stable to both two- and three-dimensional modal perturbations. Consequently, transient growth analysis of the same geometry is presently being pursued.

7. Acknowledgments

Support of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation through Grant TRA2012-34148 (Plan Nacional 2012- 2015) is gratefully acknowledged..

References

- 1. Delery, J.M., "Experimental investigation of turbulence properties in transonic shock/boundary-layer interactions,", *AIAA Journal* 21 *(2), 180185*, 1983
- 2. Liu, X., Squire, L.C., "An investigation of shock boundary-layer interactions on curved surfaces at transonic speeds,", *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 187*, 467486*, 1987
- 3. Duck, P. W., Lasseigne, D. J. and Hussaini, M. Y. "The effect of three-dimensional freestream disturbances on the supersonic flow past a wedge", *Phys. Fluids,* 9*, 456*, 1997
- 4. Poinsot, T. J. Lele, S. "Boundary conditions for direct simulations of compressible viscous flow", *J. Computational Physics,* 101*, 104-129*, 1992 5. Kurganov A. and Tadmor E., "New high-resolution central schemes for nonlinear conservation laws and convection diffusion equations,", *Journal of Computational Physics,* 160 *(1), 241-282*, 2000
- 6. Theofilis, V. "Global linear instability", *Annual Reviews of Fluid Mechanics,* 43*, 319-352*, 2011
- 7. Schlichting H. "Boundary layer theory", *McGraw-Hill, 1979, 7th*
- 8. Crouch, J. D. and Garbaruk, A. and Magidov, D., "Predicting the onset of flow unsteadiness based on global instability", *J. Comp. Phys.* 224 *2, 92494*, 2007
- 9. Amestoy, P. R. and Duff, I. S. and L'Excellent, J.-Y. and Koster, J., "A fully asynchronous multifrontal solver using distributed dynamic scheduling", *SIAM Journal of Matrix Analysis and Applications.* 1*, 1541*, 2001
- 10. Sandham, N.D. and. Yao, Y.F. and Lawal, A.A., "Large-eddy simulation of transonic turbulent flow over a bump", *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow* 24*, 584595*, 2003
- 11. Batten, P. and. Craft, T.J. and Leschziner, M.A. and Loyau, H., "Reynolds- stress-transport modeling for compressible aerodynamics applications." *AIAA Journal* 37 *(7), 785797*, 1999
- 12. Paredes, P. "Advances in global instability computations: from incompressible to hypersonic flow", *PhD Thesis, Technical University of Madrid*, 2014